

Hawaii Department of Health State Revolving Fund Program Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) Review Checklist

Check the box that applies to this submittal:

CWSRF Project

DWSRF Project

Check the box that applies to this submittal:

Environmental Impact Statement (EIS)

EIS/Record Of Decision (ROD) Reaffirmation

APPLICANT INFORMATION	
Applicant:	
Contact Person:	Contact Phone:
Project Name:	Project No:
Project Description:	

For sections A-C below, check applicable "Yes", "No", or "N/A" box next to the given statements.

A. DOCUMENT SUBMITTAL & PUBLIC NOTICE	YES	NO	N/A	DOH REVIEW/ CONCURRENCE
Environmental Document Title: <i>(Fill in)</i>				
Environmental Documents submitted: <i>(Fill in)</i>				
If a prior-decision document submitted, is it less than 5 years old?				
If no, has the prior decision document been reaffirmed?				
Have public notice requirements been met?				
Have public meeting requirements been met?				
Have response to public comment requirements been met?				

B. SERP CRITERIA ADDRESSED	YES	NO	N/A	DOH REVIEW/ CONCURRENCE
1. Identification of applicant				
2. Identification of approving agency				
3. All agencies consulted				
4. Detailed Project Description (maps, technical data, economic and cultural effects and historical perspective)				
5. Analysis of alternatives including the no action alternative and explanation why alternatives were rejected				
6. Description of environmental setting/affected environment				
7. Statement of the relationship of the proposed action to land use plans, policies and controls for the affected area				
8. Description of the probable impacts of the project including direct, indirect and cumulative impacts, also impacts on natural and human environments				
9. Description of the relationship between short-term uses of environmental resources and long-term productivity (sustainable analysis)				
10. Statement of the unavoidable environmental impacts caused by the project and a rationale for proceeding with the project in light of these impacts				
11. Consideration of all mitigation measures proposed to avoid, minimize, rectify, or reduce the project's adverse impacts				
12. A summary of unresolved issues and a discussion of how issues will be resolved				
13. Substantive comments received during the study process and responses to comments				
14. A summary of any coordination or consultation undertaken with any federal, state, or local government				
15. A summary of persons commenting on the draft EIS				
16. Applicant's responses to comments received				
17. Names of the persons primarily responsible for preparing the EIS				
18. Applicant prepared and issued a ROD				
19. ROD contains the decision to proceed or not to proceed with the project				

B. SERP CRITERIA ADDRESSED	YES	NO	N/A	DOH REVIEW/ CONCURRENCE
20. ROD includes any commitments to mitigation, an explanation if the environmental preferred alternative was not selected				

C. CROSS-CUTTERS ADDRESSED:	YES	NO	N/A	DOH REVIEW / CONCURRENCE	U.S. EPA REVIEW/ CONCURRENCE (when req'd)
1. Archeological and Historical Preservation Act (16 U.S.C. § 469a-1)					
2. Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act (16 U.S.C. §§ 668-668c)					
3. Clean Air Act (42 U.S.C. § 7401)					
4. Coastal Barriers Resource Act (16 U.S.C. § 3501)					
5. Coastal Zone Management Act (16 U.S.C. § 1451)					
6. Endangered Species Act (16 U.S.C. § 1531)					
7. Environmental Justice (Executive Order 12898)					
8. Farmland Protection Policy Act (7 U.S.C. § 4201)					
9. Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act (16 U.S.C. § 661)					
10. Floodplain Management (Executive Order 11988, as amended by Executive Orders 12148 and 13690)					
11. Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act (16 U.S.C. §§ 1801 <i>et seq.</i>)					
12. Marine Mammal Protection Act (16 U.S.C. §§ 703 <i>et seq.</i>)					
13. Migratory Bird Treaty Act (16 U.S.C. §§703 <i>et seq.</i>)					
14. National Historic Preservation Act (54 U.S.C. §§ 300101 <i>et seq.</i>)					
15. Protection of Wetlands (Executive Order 11990 (1977), as amended by Executive Order 12608 (1997))					
16. Rivers and Harbors Act (33 U.S.C. § 403)					

C. CROSS-CUTTERS ADDRESSED:	YES	NO	N/A	DOH REVIEW / CONCURRENCE	U.S. EPA REVIEW / CONCURRENCE (when req'd)
17. Safe Drinking Water Act (42 U.S.C. § 300f)					
18. Wild and Scenic Rivers Act (16 U.S.C. § 1271)					

PLEASE CHECK THE BOX THAT APPLIES TO THE PROJECT:

- The County has prepared a Final Environmental Impact Statement (FEIS) and Record of Decision (ROD) in accordance with Chapter 343 of the Hawaii Revised Statutes (HRS) and Chapter 11-200 of the Hawaii Administrative Rules (HAR), and 40 CFR §35.3140(b)(3)(ii) (for CWSRF projects), or 40 CFR §35.3580(c)(3)(ii) (for DWSRF projects). Where there are differences between the Hawaii Statutes/Rules and the USEPA statutes and regulations that affect a Project, the Project must comply with the USEPA statutes and regulations in order to qualify for the SRF Program's loan. The County recommends that the Department of Health (DOH) approve the FEIS and ROD.
- The County recommends that the project qualifies for a reaffirmation or modification of a decision contained in a previously issued FEIS/ROD in accordance with 40 CFR §35.3140(b)(3)(iii) (for CWSRF projects) or 40 CFR §35.3580(c)(3)(iii) (for DWSRF projects).

TO BE FILLED OUT BY THE SRF PROGRAM:

- DOH concurs with the County's recommendation and approves the determination of the FEIS/ROD in accordance with 40 CFR §35.3140(b)(3)(ii) (for CWSRF projects) or 40 CFR §35.3580(c)(3)(ii) (for DWSRF projects); and Chapter 343, HRS and HAR, Chapter 11-200, HAR based on the information provided by the County. However, this decision may be reconsidered if a discovery of information concerning potential environmental impacts of the project occurs.
- DOH concurs with the County's recommendation and approves the reaffirmation or modification of the previously issued FEIS/ROD in accordance with 40 CFR §35.3140(b)(3)(iii) (for CWSRF projects) or 40 CFR §35.3580(c)(3)(iii) (for DWSRF projects) based on the information provided by the County. However, this decision may be reconsidered if a discovery of information concerning potential environmental impacts of the project occurs.
- DOH does not concur or approve (*fill in type of action and briefly explain reasons*).

DOH Reviewer: _____ Date: _____