



# LABORATORY DATA CONSULTANTS, INC.

2701 Loker Ave. West, Suite 220, Carlsbad, CA 92010 Bus: 760-827-1100 Fax: 760-827-1099

AECOM  
1001 Bishop Street Suite 1600  
Honolulu, HI 96813  
ATTN: Ms. Alethea Ramos  
[alethea.ramos@aecom.com](mailto:alethea.ramos@aecom.com)

March 31, 2022

SUBJECT: Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126 (NOI) - Data Validation

Dear Ms. Ramos,

Enclosed is the final validation report for the fraction listed below. This SDG was received on February 28th and March 10, 2022 . Attachment 1 is a summary of the samples that were reviewed for the analysis.

## **LDC Project #53484:**

| <b><u>SDG #</u></b>        | <b><u>Fraction</u></b>   |
|----------------------------|--|
| 97466, 97756, 97769, 98212 | Volatiles, Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons, Gasoline Range Organics, Total Petroleum |
| 98336, 98337, 98381, 97924 | Hydrocarbons as Extractables, Total Organic Carbon, Gasoline Range Organics            |

The data validation was performed under Stage 2B & 4 validation guidelines. The analysis was validated using the following documents and variances, as applicable to the method:

- Work Plan/Scope of Work, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor - Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 02, January 2017)
- Sampling and Analysis Plan, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor - Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 01, April 2017)
- Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 01, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, September 2017)
- Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 03, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, June 2018)
- U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) Quality Systems Manual (QSM) for Environmental Laboratories, Version 5.3 (2019)
- DoD General Validation Guidelines (November 2019)
- U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) Data Validation Guidelines Module 1: Data Validation Procedure for Organic Analysis by GC/MS (May 2020)
- U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) Data Validation Guidelines Module 4: Data Validation Procedure for Organic Analysis by GC (March 2021)
- EPA SW 846, Third Edition, Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Waste, update 1, July 1992; update IIA, August 1993; update II, September 1994; update IIB, January 1995; update III, December 1996; update IIIA, April 1998; IIIB, November 2004; update IV, February 2007; update V, July 2014; update VI, July 2018

Please feel free to contact us if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Stella Cuenco  
Operations Manager/Senior Chemist  
[scuenco@lab-data.com](mailto:scuenco@lab-data.com)

90/10 2B/4 EDD

**LDC# 53484 (AECOM - Honolulu, HI / Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126)**

| LDC                | SDG#  | DATE REC'D | (2) DATE DUE | BTEX (8260B) |   | (3)PAHs (8270D -SIM) |   | GRO (8260B) |   | TPH-E (8015B) |   | SGCU TPH-E (8015B) |   | TOC (9060A) |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |  |  |     |
|--------------------|-------|------------|--------------|--------------|---|----------------------|---|-------------|---|---------------|---|--------------------|---|-------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|--|--|-----|
|                    |       |            |              | W            | S | W                    | S | W           | S | W             | S | W                  | S | W           | S | W | S | W | S | W | S | W | S | W | S | W | S | W | S | W | S | W | S |  |  |     |
| Matrix: Water/Soil |       |            |              |              |   |                      |   |             |   |               |   |                    |   |             |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |  |  |     |
| A                  | 97466 | 02/28/22   | 03/14/22     | 9            | 0 | 5                    | 0 | 9           | 0 | 5             | 0 | 5                  | 0 | -           | - |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |  |  |     |
| B                  | 97756 | 02/28/22   | 03/14/22     | -            | - | -                    | - | -           | - | -             | - | -                  | - | 1           | 0 |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |  |  |     |
| C                  | 97769 | 02/28/22   | 03/14/22     | -            | - | -                    | - | -           | - | -             | - | -                  | - | 1           | 0 |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |  |  |     |
| D                  | 98212 | 02/28/22   | 03/14/22     | 3            | 0 | 2                    | 0 | 3           | 0 | 2             | 0 | 2                  | 0 | 1           | 0 |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |  |  |     |
| E                  | 98336 | 02/28/22   | 03/14/22     | 5            | 0 | 3                    | 0 | 5           | 0 | 3             | 0 | 3                  | 0 | 2           | 0 |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |  |  |     |
| F                  | 98337 | 02/28/22   | 03/14/22     | 8            | 0 | 4                    | 0 | 8           | 0 | 4             | 0 | 4                  | 0 | 4           | 0 |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |  |  |     |
| G                  | 98381 | 02/28/22   | 03/14/22     | 3            | 0 | 1                    | 0 | 3           | 0 | 1             | 0 | 1                  | 0 | 5           | 0 |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |  |  |     |
| G                  | 98381 | 02/28/22   | 03/14/22     | 3            | 0 | 2                    | 0 | 3           | 0 | 2             | 0 | 2                  | 0 | 2           | 0 |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |  |  |     |
| H                  | 97924 | 03/10/22   | 03/24/22     | 3            | 0 | 2                    | 0 | 3           | 0 | 2             | 0 | 2                  | 0 | 1           | 0 |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |  |  |     |
| Total              |       |            |              |              |   |                      |   |             |   |               |   |                    |   |             |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |  |  |     |
| T/SC               |       |            |              |              |   |                      |   |             |   |               |   |                    |   |             |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |  |  | 142 |

Shaded cells indicate Stage 4 validation (all other cells are Stage 2B validation). These sample counts do not include MS/MSD, and DUPs

**Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc.**  
**Data Validation Report**

**Project/Site Name:** Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126

**LDC Report Date:** March 27, 2022

**Parameters:** Volatiles

**Validation Level:** Stage 2B

**Laboratory:** APPL, Inc., Clovis, CA

**Sample Delivery Group (SDG):** 97466

| <b>Sample Identification</b> | <b>Laboratory Sample Identification</b> | <b>Matrix</b> | <b>Collection Date</b> |
|------------------------------|---|---------------|------------------------|
| ERH1652                      | BA40208                                 | Water         | 09/08/21               |
| ERH1653                      | BA40209                                 | Water         | 09/08/21               |
| ERH1654                      | BA40210                                 | Water         | 09/08/21               |
| ERH1655                      | BA40211                                 | Water         | 09/08/21               |
| ERH1656                      | BA40212                                 | Water         | 09/08/21               |
| ERH1657                      | BA40213                                 | Water         | 09/08/21               |
| ERH1658                      | BA40214                                 | Water         | 09/08/21               |
| ERH1659                      | BA40215                                 | Water         | 09/08/21               |
| ERH1660                      | BA40216                                 | Water         | 09/08/21               |

## Introduction

This Data Validation Report (DVR) presents data validation findings and results for the associated samples listed on the cover page. Data validation was performed in accordance with the Work Plan/Scope of Work, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 02, January 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 01, April 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 01, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, September 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 03, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, June 2018), the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) Quality Systems Manual (QSM) for Environmental Laboratories, Version 5.3 (2019), the DoD General Validation Guidelines (November 2019), and the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) Data Validation Guidelines Module 1: Data Validation Procedure for Organic Analysis by GC/MS (May 2020). Where specific guidance was not available, the data has been evaluated in a conservative manner consistent with industry standards using professional experience.

The analyses were performed by the following method:

Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs) which are Benzene, Toluene, Ethylbenzene, and Xylenes (BTEX) by Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) SW 846 Method 8260B

All sample results were subjected to Stage 2B data validation, which comprises an evaluation of quality control (QC) summary results.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers utilized during data validation:

- J+ (Estimated, High Bias): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated, displaying high bias, due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- J- (Estimated, Low Bias): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated, displaying low bias, due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- J (Estimated, Bias Indeterminate): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated due to non-conformances discovered during data validation. Bias is indeterminate.
- U (Non-detected): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the analyte should be considered non-detected due to the presence of contaminants detected in the associated blank(s).
- UU (Non-detected estimated): The analyte was not detected and the associated numerical value is approximate.
- X (Exclusion of data recommended): The sample results (including non-detects) were affected by serious deficiencies in the ability to analyze the sample and to meet published method and project quality control criteria. The presence or absence of the analyte cannot be substantiated by the data provided. Exclusion of the data is recommended.
- NA (Not Applicable): The non-conformance discovered during data validation demonstrates a high bias, while the affected analyte in the associated sample(s) was reported as not detected by the laboratory and did not warrant the qualification of the data.

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

## Qualification Code Reference

- a ICP Serial Dilution %D was not within control limits.
- b Presumed contamination from preparation (method blank).
- c Calibration %RSD, r, r<sup>2</sup>, %D or %R was noncompliant.
- d The analysis with this flag should not be used because another more technically sound analysis is available.
- e MS/MSD or Duplicate RPD was high.
- f Presumed contamination from FB or ER.
- g ICP ICS results were unsatisfactory.
- h Holding times were exceeded.
- i Internal standard performance was unsatisfactory.
- k Estimated Maximum Possible Concentration (HRGC/HRMS only)
- l LCS/LCSD %R was not within control limits.
- m Result exceeded the calibration range.
- o Cooler temperature or temperature blank was noncompliant and/or sample custody problems.
- p RPD between two columns was high (GC only).
- q MS/MSD recovery was not within control limits.
- s Surrogate recovery was not within control limits.
- t Presumed contamination from trip blank.
- v Unusual problems found with the data not defined elsewhere. Description of the problem can be found in the validation report.
- w LCS/LCSD RPD was high.
- y Chemical recovery was not within control limits (Radiochemistry only).

## **I. Sample Receipt and Technical Holding Times**

All samples were received in good condition and cooler temperatures upon receipt met validation criteria.

All technical holding time requirements were met.

## **II. GC/MS Instrument Performance Check**

A bromofluorobenzene (BFB) tune was performed at 12 hour intervals.

All ion abundance requirements were met.

## **III. Initial Calibration and Initial Calibration Verification**

An initial calibration was performed as required by the method.

The percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) were less than or equal to 15.0% for all analytes.

In the case where the laboratory used a calibration curve to evaluate the analytes, all coefficients of determination ( $r^2$ ) were greater than or equal to 0.990.

Average relative response factors (RRF) for all analytes were within validation criteria.

The percent differences (%D) of the initial calibration verification (ICV) standard were less than or equal to 20.0% for all analytes.

## **IV. Continuing Calibration**

Continuing calibration was performed at the required frequencies.

The percent differences (%D) were less than or equal to 20.0% for all analytes.

The percent differences (%D) of the ending continuing calibration verifications (CCVs) were less than or equal to 50.0% for all analytes.

All of the continuing calibration relative response factors (RRF) were within validation criteria.

## **V. Laboratory Blanks**

Laboratory blanks were analyzed as required by the method. No contaminants were found in the laboratory blanks.

## **VI. Field Blanks**

Samples ERH1652, ERH1654, ERH1656, and ERH1658 were identified as trip blanks. No contaminants were found.

## VII. Surrogates

Surrogates were added to all samples as required by the method. All surrogate recoveries (%R) were within QC limits with the following exceptions:

| Sample  | Surrogate                                   | %R (Limits)                   | Affected Analyte | Flag | A or P |
|---------|---|-------------------------------|------------------|------|--------|
| ERH1652 | 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4                       | 130 (81-118)                  | All analytes     | NA   | -      |
| ERH1653 | 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4                       | 133 (81-118)                  | All analytes     | NA   | -      |
| ERH1654 | 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4                       | 133 (81-118)                  | All analytes     | NA   | -      |
| ERH1655 | 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4                       | 129 (81-118)                  | All analytes     | NA   | -      |
| ERH1656 | 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4                       | 128 (81-118)                  | All analytes     | NA   | -      |
| ERH1657 | 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4                       | 129 (81-118)                  | All analytes     | NA   | -      |
| ERH1658 | 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4                       | 127 (81-118)                  | All analytes     | NA   | -      |
| ERH1659 | 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4                       | 134 (81-118)                  | All analytes     | NA   | -      |
| ERH1660 | 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4<br>Bromofluorobenzene | 132 (81-118)<br>82.9 (85-114) | All analytes     | NA   | -      |

## VIII. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

The laboratory has indicated that there were no matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyses specified for the samples in this SDG, and therefore matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate analyses were not performed for this SDG.

## IX. Laboratory Control Samples

Laboratory control samples (LCS) and laboratory control samples duplicates (LCSD) were analyzed as required by the method. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits. Relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

## X. Field Duplicates

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

## XI. Internal Standards

All internal standard areas and retention times were within QC limits.



## **XII. Target Analyte Quantitation**

Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

## **XIII. Target Analyte Identification**

Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

## **XIV. System Performance**

Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

## **XV. Overall Assessment of Data**

The analysis was conducted within all specifications of the method. No results were rejected or recommended for exclusion in this SDG.

**Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126  
Volatiles - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 97466**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

**Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126  
Volatiles - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 97466**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

**Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126  
Volatiles - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 97466**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

LDC #: 53484A1a

**VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET**

SDG #: 97466

Stage 2B

Laboratory: APPL, Inc., Clovis, CA

Date: 3/15/22

Page: 1 of 1

Reviewer: [Signature]

2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

**METHOD:** GC/MS Volatiles (BTEX) (EPA SW-846 Method 8260B)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

|       | Validation Area                        |     | Comments                           |
|-------|--|-----|------------------------------------|
| I.    | Sample receipt/Technical holding times | A/A |                                    |
| II.   | GC/MS Instrument performance check     | A   |                                    |
| III.  | Initial calibration/ICV                | A/A | % RSD ≤ 15, r <sup>2</sup> CV ≤ 20 |
| IV.   | Continuing calibration ending          | A   | CV ≤ 20/50                         |
| V.    | Laboratory Blanks                      | A   |                                    |
| VI.   | Field blanks                           | ND  | TB = 1, 3, 5, 7                    |
| VII.  | Surrogate spikes                       | SW  |                                    |
| VIII. | Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates   | N   | CS                                 |
| IX.   | Laboratory control samples             | A   | LES 1P                             |
| X.    | Field duplicates                       | N   |                                    |
| XI.   | Internal standards                     | A   |                                    |
| XII.  | Target analyte quantitation            | N   |                                    |
| XIII. | Target analyte identification          | N   |                                    |
| XIV.  | System performance                     | N   |                                    |
| XV.   | Overall assessment of data             | A   |                                    |

Note: A = Acceptable  
 N = Not provided/applicable  
 SW = See worksheet

ND = No compounds detected  
 R = Rinsate  
 FB = Field blank

D = Duplicate  
 TB = Trip blank  
 EB = Equipment blank

SB=Source blank  
 OTHER:

|    | Client ID  | Lab ID  | Matrix | Date     |
|----|------------|---------|--------|----------|
| 1  | ERH1652 TB | BA40208 | Water  | 09/08/21 |
| 2  | ERH1653    | BA40209 | Water  | 09/08/21 |
| 3  | ERH1654 TB | BA40210 | Water  | 09/08/21 |
| 4  | ERH1655    | BA40211 | Water  | 09/08/21 |
| 5  | ERH1656 TB | BA40212 | Water  | 09/08/21 |
| 6  | ERH1657    | BA40213 | Water  | 09/08/21 |
| 7  | ERH1658 TB | BA40214 | Water  | 09/08/21 |
| 8  | ERH1659    | BA40215 | Water  | 09/08/21 |
| 9  | ERH1660    | BA40216 | Water  | 09/08/21 |
| 10 |            |         |        |          |

Notes:

|              |  |  |  |  |
|--------------|--|--|--|--|
| 210920BL-BLK |  |  |  |  |
|              |  |  |  |  |
|              |  |  |  |  |

**VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET**  
**Surrogate Spikes**

**METHOD:** GC/MS VOA (EPA SW 846 Method 8260 B)

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

- Y(N) N/A Were all surrogate %R within QC limits? (5)
- Y(N) N/A If the percent recovery (%R) for one or more surrogates was out of QC limits, was a reanalysis performed to confirm samples with %R out of outside of criteria?

| # | Sample ID | Surrogate | %Recovery (Limits)   |            | Qualifications         |
|---|-----------|-----------|----------------------|------------|------------------------|
|   | 1         | DCE       | 130                  | ( 81-118 ) | J <sup>+</sup> du/P ND |
|   |           |           |                      | ( )        |                        |
|   | 2         |           | 133                  | ( )        |                        |
|   |           |           |                      | ( )        |                        |
|   | 3         |           | 133                  | ( )        |                        |
|   |           |           |                      | ( )        |                        |
|   | 4         |           | 129                  | ( )        |                        |
|   |           |           |                      | ( )        |                        |
|   | 5         |           | 128                  | ( )        |                        |
|   |           |           |                      | ( )        |                        |
|   | 6         |           | 129                  | ( )        |                        |
|   |           |           |                      | ( )        |                        |
|   | 7         |           | 127                  | ( )        |                        |
|   |           |           |                      | ( )        |                        |
|   | 8         |           | 134                  | ( )        |                        |
|   |           |           |                      | ( )        |                        |
|   | 9         | ↓         | 132                  | ( ↓ )      | ↓                      |
|   |           | BFB       | <del>131</del> 132.9 | ( 85-114 ) | ↓                      |

P7

- SMC1 (TOL) = Toluene-d8
- SMC2 (BFB) = Bromofluorobenzene
- SMC3 (DCE) = 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4
- SMC4 (DFM) = Dibromofluoromethane

**VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET**  
**Surrogate Spikes**

**METHOD:** GC/MS VOA (EPA SW 846 Method 8260 B)

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

- Y N N/A Were all surrogate %R within QC limits? (s)
- Y N N/A If the percent recovery (%R) for one or more surrogates was out of QC limits, was a reanalysis performed to confirm samples with %R out of outside of criteria?

| # | Sample ID    | Surrogate | %Recovery (Limits) |          | Qualifications |
|---|--------------|-----------|--------------------|----------|----------------|
|   | 210920BL-BIK | DCE       | 128                | (81-118) | J+ dit / P     |
|   |              | BFB       | 82.9               | (85-114) | ↓              |
|   |              |           |                    | ( )      |                |
|   |              |           |                    | ( )      |                |
|   |              |           |                    | ( )      |                |
|   |              |           |                    | ( )      |                |
|   |              |           |                    | ( )      |                |
|   |              |           |                    | ( )      |                |
|   |              |           |                    | ( )      |                |
|   |              |           |                    | ( )      |                |
|   |              |           |                    | ( )      |                |
|   |              |           |                    | ( )      |                |
|   |              |           |                    | ( )      |                |
|   |              |           |                    | ( )      |                |
|   |              |           |                    | ( )      |                |
|   |              |           |                    | ( )      |                |
|   |              |           |                    | ( )      |                |
|   |              |           |                    | ( )      |                |

SMC1 (TOL) = Toluene-d8  
 SMC2 (BFB) = Bromofluorobenzene  
 SMC3 (DCE) = 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4  
 SMC4 (DFM) = Dibromofluoromethane

## Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc. Data Validation Report

**Project/Site Name:** Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126

**LDC Report Date:** March 27, 2022

**Parameters:** Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons

**Validation Level:** Stage 2B

**Laboratory:** APPL, Inc., Clovis, CA

**Sample Delivery Group (SDG):** 97466

| <b>Sample Identification</b> | <b>Laboratory Sample Identification</b> | <b>Matrix</b> | <b>Collection Date</b> |
|------------------------------|---|---------------|------------------------|
| ERH1653                      | BA40209                                 | Water         | 09/08/21               |
| ERH1655                      | BA40211                                 | Water         | 09/08/21               |
| ERH1657                      | BA40213                                 | Water         | 09/08/21               |
| ERH1659                      | BA40215                                 | Water         | 09/08/21               |
| ERH1660                      | BA40216                                 | Water         | 09/08/21               |

## Introduction

This Data Validation Report (DVR) presents data validation findings and results for the associated samples listed on the cover page. Data validation was performed in accordance with the Work Plan/Scope of Work, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 02, January 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 01, April 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 01, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, September 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 03, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, June 2018), the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) Quality Systems Manual (QSM) for Environmental Laboratories, Version 5.3 (2019), the DoD General Validation Guidelines (November 2019), and the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) Data Validation Guidelines Module 1: Data Validation Procedure for Organic Analysis by GC/MS (May 2020). Where specific guidance was not available, the data has been evaluated in a conservative manner consistent with industry standards using professional experience.

The analyses were performed by the following method:

Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAHs) which are 1-Methylnaphthalene, 2-Methylnaphthalene, and Naphthalene by Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) SW 846 Method 8270D in Selected Ion Monitoring (SIM) mode

All sample results were subjected to Stage 2B data validation, which comprises an evaluation of quality control (QC) summary results. Samples appended with a double asterisk on the cover page were subjected to Stage 4 data validation, which is comprised of the QC summary forms as well as the raw data, to confirm sample quantitation and identification.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers utilized during data validation:

- J+ (Estimated, High Bias): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated, displaying high bias, due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- J- (Estimated, Low Bias): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated, displaying low bias, due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- J (Estimated, Bias Indeterminate): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated due to non-conformances discovered during data validation. Bias is indeterminate.
- U (Non-detected): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the analyte should be considered non-detected due to the presence of contaminants detected in the associated blank(s).
- UJ (Non-detected estimated): The analyte was not detected and the associated numerical value is approximate.
- X (Exclusion of data recommended): The sample results (including non-detects) were affected by serious deficiencies in the ability to analyze the sample and to meet published method and project quality control criteria. The presence or absence of the analyte cannot be substantiated by the data provided. Exclusion of the data is recommended.
- NA (Not Applicable): The non-conformance discovered during data validation demonstrates a high bias, while the affected analyte in the associated sample(s) was reported as not detected by the laboratory and did not warrant the qualification of the data.

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.



## Qualification Code Reference

- a ICP Serial Dilution %D was not within control limits.
- b Presumed contamination from preparation (method blank).
- c Calibration %RSD, r, r<sup>2</sup>, %D or %R was noncompliant.
- d The analysis with this flag should not be used because another more technically sound analysis is available.
- e MS/MSD or Duplicate RPD was high.
- f Presumed contamination from FB or ER.
- g ICP ICS results were unsatisfactory.
- h Holding times were exceeded.
- i Internal standard performance was unsatisfactory.
- k Estimated Maximum Possible Concentration (HRGC/HRMS only)
- l LCS/LCSD %R was not within control limits.
- m Result exceeded the calibration range.
- o Cooler temperature or temperature blank was noncompliant and/or sample custody problems.
- p RPD between two columns was high (GC only).
- q MS/MSD recovery was not within control limits.
- s Surrogate recovery was not within control limits.
- t Presumed contamination from trip blank.
- v Unusual problems found with the data not defined elsewhere. Description of the problem can be found in the validation report.
- w LCS/LCSD RPD was high.
- y Chemical recovery was not within control limits (Radiochemistry only).

## **I. Sample Receipt and Technical Holding Times**

All samples were received in good condition and cooler temperatures upon receipt met validation criteria.

All technical holding time requirements were met.

## **II. GC/MS Instrument Performance Check**

A decafluorotriphenylphosphine (DFTPP) tune was performed at 12 hour intervals.

All ion abundance requirements were met.

## **III. Initial Calibration and Initial Calibration Verification**

An initial calibration was performed as required by the method.

The percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) were less than or equal to 15.0% for all analytes.

Average relative response factors (RRF) for all analytes were within validation criteria.

The percent differences (%D) of the initial calibration verification (ICV) standard were less than or equal to 20.0% for all analytes.

## **IV. Continuing Calibration**

Continuing calibration was performed at the required frequencies.

The percent differences (%D) were less than or equal to 20.0% for all analytes.

The percent differences (%D) of the ending continuing calibration verifications (CCVs) were less than or equal to 50.0% for all analytes.

All of the continuing calibration relative response factors (RRF) were within validation criteria.

## **V. Laboratory Blanks**

Laboratory blanks were analyzed as required by the method. No contaminants were found in the laboratory blanks.

## **VI. Field Blanks**

No field blanks were identified in this SDG.

## VII. Surrogates

Surrogates were added to all samples as required by the method. All surrogate recoveries (%R) were within QC limits with the following exceptions:

| Sample  | Surrogate        | %R (Limits)   | Affected Analyte | Flag                 | A or P |
|---------|------------------|---------------|------------------|----------------------|--------|
| ERH1655 | Fluoranthene-d10 | 51.7 (58-120) | All analytes     | J- (all detects)     | P      |
| ERH1657 | Fluoranthene-d10 | 43.7 (58-120) | All analytes     | UJ (all non-detects) | P      |

## VIII. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

The laboratory has indicated that there were no matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyses specified for the samples in this SDG, and therefore matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate analyses were not performed for this SDG.

## IX. Laboratory Control Samples

Laboratory control samples (LCS) and laboratory control samples duplicates (LCSD) were analyzed as required by the method. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits. Relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

## X. Field Duplicates

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

## XI. Internal Standards

All internal standard areas and retention times were within QC limits.

## XII. Target Analyte Quantitation

Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

## XIII. Target Analyte Identification

Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

## XIV. System Performance

Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

## XV. Overall Assessment of Data

The analysis was conducted within all specifications of the method. No results were rejected or recommended for exclusion in this SDG.

Due to surrogate %R, data were qualified as estimated in two samples.

**Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126  
 Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 97466**

| Sample             | Analyte      | Flag                                     | A or P | Reason              |
|--------------------|--------------|--|--------|---------------------|
| ERH1655<br>ERH1657 | All analytes | J- (all detects)<br>UJ (all non-detects) | P      | Surrogates (%R) (s) |

**Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126  
 Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification  
 Summary - SDG 97466**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

**Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126  
 Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary -  
 SDG 97466**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

LDC #: 53484A2b

**VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET**

SDG #: 97466

Stage 2B

Laboratory: APPL, Inc., Clovis, CA

Date: 3/15/22

Page: 1 of 1

Reviewer: [Signature]

2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

**METHOD:** GC/MS Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons (EPA SW-846 Method 8270D-SIM)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

|       | Validation Area                        |     | Comments                 |
|-------|--|-----|--------------------------|
| I.    | Sample receipt/Technical holding times | A/A |                          |
| II.   | GC/MS Instrument performance check     | A   |                          |
| III.  | Initial calibration/ICV                | A/A | % PSD ≤ 15      ICV ≤ 20 |
| IV.   | Continuing calibration <i>tending</i>  | A   | CV ≤ 20/SD               |
| V.    | Laboratory Blanks                      | D   |                          |
| VI.   | Field blanks                           | N   |                          |
| VII.  | Surrogate spikes                       | SW  |                          |
| VIII. | Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates   | N   | CS                       |
| IX.   | Laboratory control samples             | A   | ICS 10                   |
| X.    | Field duplicates                       | N   |                          |
| XI.   | Internal standards                     | A   |                          |
| XII.  | Target analyte quantitation            | N   |                          |
| XIII. | Target analyte identification          | N   |                          |
| XIV.  | System performance                     | N   |                          |
| XV.   | Overall assessment of data             | A   |                          |

Note: A = Acceptable  
N = Not provided/applicable  
SW = See worksheet

ND = No compounds detected  
R = Rinsate  
FB = Field blank

D = Duplicate  
TB = Trip blank  
EB = Equipment blank

SB=Source blank  
OTHER:

|     | Client ID | Lab ID  | Matrix | Date     |
|-----|-----------|---------|--------|----------|
| 1 † | ERH1653   | BA40209 | Water  | 09/08/21 |
| 2 † | ERH1655   | BA40211 | Water  | 09/08/21 |
| 3 - | ERH1657   | BA40213 | Water  | 09/08/21 |
| 4 - | ERH1659   | BA40215 | Water  | 09/08/21 |
| 5 † | ERH1660   | BA40216 | Water  | 09/08/21 |
| 6   |           |         |        |          |
| 7   |           |         |        |          |
| 8   |           |         |        |          |
| 9   |           |         |        |          |

Notes:

|             |  |  |  |  |  |
|-------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| 210914A-BIK |  |  |  |  |  |
|             |  |  |  |  |  |
|             |  |  |  |  |  |
|             |  |  |  |  |  |



## Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc. Data Validation Report

**Project/Site Name:** Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126

**LDC Report Date:** March 28, 2022

**Parameters:** Gasoline Range Organics

**Validation Level:** Stage 2B

**Laboratory:** APPL, Inc., Clovis, CA

**Sample Delivery Group (SDG):** 97466

| Sample Identification | Laboratory Sample Identification | Matrix | Collection Date |
|-----------------------|----------------------------------|--------|-----------------|
| ERH1652               | BA40208                          | Water  | 09/08/21        |
| ERH1653               | BA40209                          | Water  | 09/08/21        |
| ERH1654               | BA40210                          | Water  | 09/08/21        |
| ERH1655               | BA40211                          | Water  | 09/08/21        |
| ERH1656               | BA40212                          | Water  | 09/08/21        |
| ERH1657               | BA40213                          | Water  | 09/08/21        |
| ERH1658               | BA40214                          | Water  | 09/08/21        |
| ERH1659               | BA40215                          | Water  | 09/08/21        |
| ERH1660               | BA40216                          | Water  | 09/08/21        |



## Introduction

This Data Validation Report (DVR) presents data validation findings and results for the associated samples listed on the cover page. Data validation was performed in accordance with the Work Plan/Scope of Work, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 02, January 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 01, April 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 01, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, September 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 03, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, June 2018), the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) Quality Systems Manual (QSM) for Environmental Laboratories, Version 5.3 (2019), the DoD General Validation Guidelines (November 2019), and the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) Data Validation Guidelines Module 1: Data Validation Procedure for Organic Analysis by GC/MS (May 2020). Where specific guidance was not available, the data has been evaluated in a conservative manner consistent with industry standards using professional experience.

The analyses were performed by the following method:

Gasoline Range Organics by Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) SW 846 Method 8260B

All sample results were subjected to Stage 2B data validation, which comprises an evaluation of quality control (QC) summary results.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers utilized during data validation:

- J+ (Estimated, High Bias): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated, displaying high bias, due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- J- (Estimated, Low Bias): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated, displaying low bias, due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- J (Estimated, Bias Indeterminate): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated due to non-conformances discovered during data validation. Bias is indeterminate.
- U (Non-detected): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the analyte should be considered non-detected due to the presence of contaminants detected in the associated blank(s).
- UJ (Non-detected estimated): The analyte was not detected and the associated numerical value is approximate.
- X (Exclusion of data recommended): The sample results (including non-detects) were affected by serious deficiencies in the ability to analyze the sample and to meet published method and project quality control criteria. The presence or absence of the analyte cannot be substantiated by the data provided. Exclusion of the data is recommended.
- NA (Not Applicable): The non-conformance discovered during data validation demonstrates a high bias, while the affected analyte in the associated sample(s) was reported as not detected by the laboratory and did not warrant the qualification of the data.

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

## Qualification Code Reference

- a ICP Serial Dilution %D was not within control limits.
- b Presumed contamination from preparation (method blank).
- c Calibration %RSD, r, r<sup>2</sup>, %D or %R was noncompliant.
- d The analysis with this flag should not be used because another more technically sound analysis is available.
- e MS/MSD or Duplicate RPD was high.
- f Presumed contamination from FB or ER.
- g ICP ICS results were unsatisfactory.
- h Holding times were exceeded.
- i Internal standard performance was unsatisfactory.
- k Estimated Maximum Possible Concentration (HRGC/HRMS only)
- l LCS/LCSD %R was not within control limits.
- m Result exceeded the calibration range.
- o Cooler temperature or temperature blank was noncompliant and/or sample custody problems.
- p RPD between two columns was high (GC only).
- q MS/MSD recovery was not within control limits.
- s Surrogate recovery was not within control limits.
- t Presumed contamination from trip blank.
- v Unusual problems found with the data not defined elsewhere. Description of the problem can be found in the validation report.
- w LCS/LCSD RPD was high.
- y Chemical recovery was not within control limits (Radiochemistry only).

## **I. Sample Receipt and Technical Holding Times**

All samples were received in good condition and cooler temperatures upon receipt met validation criteria.

All technical holding time requirements were met.

## **II. GC/MS Instrument Performance Check**

Instrument performance check was performed at the required frequency.

All ion abundance requirements were met.

## **III. Initial Calibration and Initial Calibration Verification**

An initial calibration was performed as required by the method.

A curve fit, based on the initial calibration, was established for quantitation. The coefficient of determination ( $r^2$ ) was greater than or equal to 0.990.

The percent differences (%D) of the initial calibration verification (ICV) standard were less than or equal to 20.0% for all analytes.

## **IV. Continuing Calibration**

Continuing calibration was performed at the required frequencies.

The percent differences (%D) were less than or equal to 20.0% for all analytes.

The percent differences (%D) of the ending continuing calibration verifications (CCVs) were less than or equal to 20.0% for all analytes.

## **V. Laboratory Blanks**

Laboratory blanks were analyzed as required by the method. No contaminants were found in the laboratory blanks.

## **VI. Field Blanks**

Samples ERH1652, ERH1654, ERH1656, and ERH1658 were identified as trip blanks. No contaminants were found.

## **VII. Surrogates**

Surrogates were added to all samples as required by the method. All surrogate recoveries (%R) were within QC limits with the following exceptions:

| Sample  | Surrogate          | %R (Limits)   | Affected Analyte        | Flag                 | A or P |
|---------|--------------------|---------------|-------------------------|----------------------|--------|
| ERH1660 | Bromofluorobenzene | 82.9 (85-114) | Gasoline range organics | UJ (all non-detects) | P      |

**VIII. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates**

The laboratory has indicated that there were no matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyses specified for the samples in this SDG, and therefore matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate analyses were not performed for this SDG.

**IX. Laboratory Control Samples**

Laboratory control samples (LCS) were analyzed as required by the method. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

**X. Field Duplicates**

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

**XI. Internal Standards**

All internal standard areas and retention times were within QC limits.

**XII. Target Analyte Quantitation**

Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

**XIII. Target Analyte Identification**

Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

**XIV. System Performance**

Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

**XV. Overall Assessment of Data**

The analysis was conducted within all specifications of the method. No results were rejected or recommended for exclusion in this SDG.

Due to surrogate %R, data were qualified as estimated in one sample.

**Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126  
Gasoline Range Organics - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 97466**

| Sample  | Analyte                 | Flag                 | A or P | Reason (Codes)      |
|---------|-------------------------|----------------------|--------|---------------------|
| ERH1660 | Gasoline range organics | UJ (all non-detects) | P      | Surrogates (%R) (s) |

**Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126  
Gasoline Range Organics - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 97466**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

**Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126  
Gasoline Range Organics - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 97466**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

LDC #: 53484A7

**VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET**

SDG #: 97466

Stage 2B

Laboratory: APPL, Inc., Clovis, CA

Date: 3/15/22

Page: 1 of 1

Reviewer: [Signature]

2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

**METHOD:** GC/MS Gasoline Range Organics (EPA SW-846 Method 8260B)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

|       | Validation Area                        |     | Comments                |
|-------|--|-----|-------------------------|
| I.    | Sample receipt/Technical holding times | A/A |                         |
| II.   | GC/MS Instrument performance check     | A   |                         |
| III.  | Initial calibration/ICV                | A/A | 1 <sup>2</sup> ICV ≤ 20 |
| IV.   | Continuing calibration                 | A   | CCV ≤ 20/20             |
| V.    | Laboratory Blanks                      | A   |                         |
| VI.   | Field blanks                           | ND  | TB = 1, 3, 5, 7         |
| VII.  | Surrogate spikes                       | SW  |                         |
| VIII. | Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates   | N   | CS                      |
| IX.   | Laboratory control samples             | A   | CS                      |
| X.    | Field duplicates                       | N   |                         |
| XI.   | Internal standards                     | A   |                         |
| XII.  | Target analyte quantitation            | N   |                         |
| XIII. | Target analyte identification          | N   |                         |
| XIV.  | System performance                     | N   |                         |
| XV.   | Overall assessment of data             | A   |                         |

Note: A = Acceptable  
N = Not provided/applicable  
SW = See worksheet

ND = No compounds detected  
R = Rinsate  
FB = Field blank

D = Duplicate  
TB = Trip blank  
EB = Equipment blank

SB=Source blank  
OTHER:

|                | Client ID  | Lab ID  | Matrix | Date     |
|----------------|------------|---------|--------|----------|
| 1              | ERH1652 TB | BA40208 | Water  | 09/08/21 |
| 2              | ERH1653    | BA40209 | Water  | 09/08/21 |
| 3              | ERH1654 TB | BA40210 | Water  | 09/08/21 |
| 4 <sup>+</sup> | ERH1655    | BA40211 | Water  | 09/08/21 |
| 5              | ERH1656 TB | BA40212 | Water  | 09/08/21 |
| 6              | ERH1657    | BA40213 | Water  | 09/08/21 |
| 7              | ERH1658 TB | BA40214 | Water  | 09/08/21 |
| 8              | ERH1659    | BA40215 | Water  | 09/08/21 |
| 9              | ERH1660    | BA40216 | Water  | 09/08/21 |
| 10             |            |         |        |          |

Notes:

|  |  |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|--|
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

**VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET**  
**Surrogate Recovery**

METHOD:  GC  HPLC

Are surrogates required by the method? Yes  or No .

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

N/A Were surrogates spiked into all samples and blanks?

N/A Did all surrogate recoveries (%R) meet the QC limits? (S)

| # | Sample ID        | Detector/ Column | Surrogate Compound | %R (Limits) |              | Qualifications |
|---|------------------|------------------|--------------------|-------------|--------------|----------------|
|   | 21092001-<br>BIK |                  | BFB                | 82.9        | ( 85 - 114 ) | J-14J   P      |
|   |                  |                  |                    |             | ( )          |                |
|   |                  |                  |                    |             | ( )          |                |
|   | 9                |                  | ↓                  | 82.9        | ( ↓ )        | J-14J   P ND   |
|   |                  |                  |                    |             | ( )          |                |
|   |                  |                  |                    |             | ( )          |                |
|   |                  |                  |                    |             | ( )          |                |
|   |                  |                  |                    |             | ( )          |                |
|   |                  |                  |                    |             | ( )          |                |
|   |                  |                  |                    |             | ( )          |                |
|   |                  |                  |                    |             | ( )          |                |
|   |                  |                  |                    |             | ( )          |                |
|   |                  |                  |                    |             | ( )          |                |
|   |                  |                  |                    |             | ( )          |                |
|   |                  |                  |                    |             | ( )          |                |
|   |                  |                  |                    |             | ( )          |                |
|   |                  |                  |                    |             | ( )          |                |
|   |                  |                  |                    |             | ( )          |                |
|   |                  |                  |                    |             | ( )          |                |
|   |                  |                  |                    |             | ( )          |                |

|   | Surrogate Compound         |   | Surrogate Compound  |   | Surrogate Compound                |   | Surrogate Compound      |    | Surrogate Compound            |
|---|----------------------------|---|---------------------|---|-----------------------------------|---|-------------------------|----|-------------------------------|
| A | Chlorobenzene (CBZ)        | G | Octacosane          | M | Benzo(e)Pyrene                    | S | 1-Chloro-3-Nitrobenzene | Y  | Tetrachloro-m- xylene         |
| B | 4-Bromofluorobenzene (BFB) | H | Ortho-Terphenyl     | N | Terphenyl-D14                     | T | 3,4-Dinitrotoluene      | Z  | 2-Bromonaphthalene            |
| C | a,a,a-Trifluorotoluene     | I | Fluorobenzene (FBZ) | O | Decachlorobiphenyl (DCB)          | U | Triphenyltin            | AA | Chloro-octadecane             |
| D | Bromochlorobenene          | J | n-Triacontane       | P | 1-methylnaphthalene               | V | Tri-n-propyitin         | BB | 2,4-Dichlorophenylacetic acid |
| E | 1,4-Dichlorobutane         | K | Hexacosane          | Q | Dichlorophenyl Acetic Acid (DCAA) | W | Tributyl Phosphate      | CC | 2,5-Dibromotoluene            |
| F | 1,4-Difluorobenzene (DFB)  | L | Bromobenzene        | R | 4-Nitrophenol                     | X | Triphenyl Phosphate     |    |                               |



## Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc. Data Validation Report

**Project/Site Name:** Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126

**LDC Report Date:** March 28, 2022

**Parameters:** Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Extractables

**Validation Level:** Stage 2B

**Laboratory:** APPL, Inc., Clovis, CA

**Sample Delivery Group (SDG):** 97466

| <b>Sample Identification</b> | <b>Laboratory Sample Identification</b> | <b>Matrix</b> | <b>Collection Date</b> |
|------------------------------|---|---------------|------------------------|
| ERH1653                      | BA40209                                 | Water         | 09/08/21               |
| ERH1655                      | BA40211                                 | Water         | 09/08/21               |
| ERH1657                      | BA40213                                 | Water         | 09/08/21               |
| ERH1659                      | BA40215                                 | Water         | 09/08/21               |
| ERH1660                      | BA40216                                 | Water         | 09/08/21               |
| ERH1653(SGCU)                | BA40209(SGCU)                           | Water         | 09/08/21               |
| ERH1655(SGCU)                | BA40211(SGCU)                           | Water         | 09/08/21               |
| ERH1657(SGCU)                | BA40213(SGCU)                           | Water         | 09/08/21               |
| ERH1659(SGCU)                | BA40215(SGCU)                           | Water         | 09/08/21               |
| ERH1660(SGCU)                | BA40216(SGCU)                           | Water         | 09/08/21               |

Samples appended with SGCU underwent "Silica Gel Clean Up"

## Introduction

This Data Validation Report (DVR) presents data validation findings and results for the associated samples listed on the cover page. Data validation was performed in accordance with the Work Plan/Scope of Work, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 02, January 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 01, April 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 01, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, September 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 03, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, June 2018), the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) Quality Systems Manual (QSM) for Environmental Laboratories, Version 5.3 (2019), the DoD General Validation Guidelines (November 2019), and the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) Data Validation Guidelines Module 4: Data Validation Procedure for Organic Analysis by GC (March 2021). Where specific guidance was not available, the data has been evaluated in a conservative manner consistent with industry standards using professional experience.

The analyses were performed by the following method:

Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (TPH) as Extractables by Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) SW 846 Method 8015B

All sample results were subjected to Stage 2B data validation, which comprises an evaluation of quality control (QC) summary results.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers utilized during data validation:

- J+ (Estimated, High Bias): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated, displaying high bias, due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- J- (Estimated, Low Bias): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated, displaying low bias, due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- J (Estimated, Bias Indeterminate): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated due to non-conformances discovered during data validation. Bias is indeterminate.
- U (Non-detected): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the analyte should be considered non-detected due to the presence of contaminants detected in the associated blank(s).
- UJ (Non-detected estimated): The analyte was not detected and the associated numerical value is approximate.
- X (Exclusion of data recommended): The sample results (including non-detects) were affected by serious deficiencies in the ability to analyze the sample and to meet published method and project quality control criteria. The presence or absence of the analyte cannot be substantiated by the data provided. Exclusion of the data is recommended.
- NA (Not Applicable): The non-conformance discovered during data validation demonstrates a high bias, while the affected analyte in the associated sample(s) was reported as not detected by the laboratory and did not warrant the qualification of the data.

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

## Qualification Code Reference

- a ICP Serial Dilution %D was not within control limits.
- b Presumed contamination from preparation (method blank).
- c Calibration %RSD, r, r<sup>2</sup>, %D or %R was noncompliant.
- d The analysis with this flag should not be used because another more technically sound analysis is available.
- e MS/MSD or Duplicate RPD was high.
- f Presumed contamination from FB or ER.
- g ICP ICS results were unsatisfactory.
- h Holding times were exceeded.
- i Internal standard performance was unsatisfactory.
- k Estimated Maximum Possible Concentration (HRGC/HRMS only)
- l LCS/LCSD %R was not within control limits.
- m Result exceeded the calibration range.
- o Cooler temperature or temperature blank was noncompliant and/or sample custody problems.
- p RPD between two columns was high (GC only).
- q MS/MSD recovery was not within control limits.
- s Surrogate recovery was not within control limits.
- t Presumed contamination from trip blank.
- v Unusual problems found with the data not defined elsewhere. Description of the problem can be found in the validation report.
- w LCS/LCSD RPD was high.
- y Chemical recovery was not within control limits (Radiochemistry only).

## I. Sample Receipt and Technical Holding Times

All samples were received in good condition and cooler temperatures upon receipt met validation criteria.

All technical holding time requirements were met.

## II. Initial Calibration and Initial Calibration Verification

An initial calibration was performed as required by the method.

Where average calibration factors were utilized, percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) were less than or equal to 20.0%.

In the case where the laboratory used a calibration curve to evaluate the analytes, all coefficients of determination ( $r^2$ ) were greater than or equal to 0.990.

The percent differences (%D) of the initial calibration verification (ICV) standard were less than or equal to 20.0% for all analytes.

## III. Continuing Calibration

Continuing calibration was performed at the required frequencies.

The percent differences (%D) were less than or equal to 20.0% for all analytes.

The percent differences (%D) of the ending continuing calibration verifications (CCVs) were less than or equal to 20.0% for all analytes.

## IV. Laboratory Blanks

Laboratory blanks were analyzed as required by the method. No contaminants were found in the laboratory blanks with the following exceptions:

| Blank ID     | Extraction Date | Analyte       | Concentration | Associated Samples  |
|--------------|-----------------|---------------|---------------|---|
| 210915A1-BLK | 09/15/21        | Oil (C24-C40) | 320 ug/L      | ERH1653(SGCU)<br>ERH1655(SGCU)<br>ERH1657(SGCU)<br>ERH1659(SGCU)<br>ERH1660(SGCU) |

Sample concentrations were compared to concentrations detected in the laboratory blanks. The sample concentrations were either not detected or were significantly greater (>5X blank contaminants) than the concentrations found in the associated laboratory blanks with the following exceptions:

| Sample        | Analyte       | Reported Concentration | Modified Final Concentration |
|---------------|---------------|------------------------|------------------------------|
| ERH1653(SGCU) | Oil (C24-C40) | 330 ug/L               | 330J+ ug/L                   |
| ERH1655(SGCU) | Oil (C24-C40) | 300 ug/L               | 300U ug/L                    |
| ERH1657(SGCU) | Oil (C24-C40) | 410 ug/L               | 410J+ ug/L                   |
| ERH1659(SGCU) | Oil (C24-C40) | 280 ug/L               | 300U ug/L                    |
| ERH1660(SGCU) | Oil (C24-C40) | 270 ug/L               | 300U ug/L                    |

## V. Field Blanks

No field blanks were identified in this SDG.

## VI. Surrogates

Surrogates were added to all samples as required by the method. All surrogate recoveries (%R) were within QC limits with the following exceptions:

| Sample        | Surrogate                     | %R (Limits)                  | Affected Analyte | Flag             | A or P |
|---------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------|------------------|--------|
| ERH1653(SGCU) | Octacosane<br>ortho-Terphenyl | 160 (60-142)<br>128 (56-125) | All analytes     | J+ (all detects) | P      |
| ERH1655(SGCU) | Octacosane<br>ortho-Terphenyl | 168 (60-142)<br>136 (56-125) | All analytes     | J+ (all detects) | P      |
| ERH1657(SGCU) | Octacosane<br>ortho-Terphenyl | 175 (60-142)<br>138 (56-125) | All analytes     | J+ (all detects) | P      |
| ERH1659(SGCU) | Octacosane<br>ortho-Terphenyl | 192 (60-142)<br>147 (56-125) | All analytes     | J+ (all detects) | P      |

## VII. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

The laboratory has indicated that there were no matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyses specified for the samples in this SDG, and therefore matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate analyses were not performed for this SDG.

## VIII. Laboratory Control Samples

Laboratory control samples (LCS) and laboratory control samples duplicates (LCSD) were analyzed as required by the method. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits with the following exceptions:

| LCS ID<br>(Associated Samples)   | Analyte          | LCS<br>%R (Limits) | LCSD<br>%R (Limits) | Flag             | A or P |
|--|------------------|--------------------|---------------------|------------------|--------|
| 210915A1LCS/LCSD<br>(ERH1655(SGCU))  | Diesel (C10-C24) | 177 (36-132)       | 134 (36-132)        | J+ (all detects) | P      |
| 210915A1LCS/LCSD<br>(ERH1653(SGCU)<br>ERH1657(SGCU)<br>ERH1659(SGCU)<br>ERH1660(SGCU)) | Diesel (C10-C24) | 177 (36-132)       | 134 (36-132)        | NA               | -      |
| 210915A1LCS/LCSD<br>(All samples in SDG<br>97466)                                      | Oil (C24-C40)    | 190 (41-113)       | 151 (41-113)        | J+ (all detects) | P      |

Relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

### IX. Field Duplicates

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

### X. Target Analyte Quantitation

Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

### XI. Target Analyte Identification

Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

### XII. Overall Assessment of Data

The analysis was conducted within all specifications of the method. No results were rejected or recommended for exclusion in this SDG.

Due to surrogate %R and LCS/LCSD %R, data were qualified as estimated in ten samples.

Due to laboratory blank contamination, data were qualified as estimated or not detected in five samples.

**Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126  
Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Extractables - Data Qualification Summary -  
SDG 97466**

| Sample   | Analyte          | Flag             | A or P | Reason (Code)                       |
|--|------------------|------------------|--------|-------------------------------------|
| ERH1653(SGCU)<br>ERH1655(SGCU)<br>ERH1657(SGCU)<br>ERH1659(SGCU)   | All analytes     | J+ (all detects) | P      | Surrogates (%R) (s)                 |
| ERH1655(SGCU)  | Diesel (C10-C24) | J+ (all detects) | P      | Laboratory control samples (%R) (l) |
| ERH1653<br>ERH1655<br>ERH1657<br>ERH1659<br>ERH1660<br>ERH1653(SGCU)<br>ERH1655(SGCU)<br>ERH1657(SGCU)<br>ERH1659(SGCU)<br>ERH1660(SGCU) | Oil (C24-C40)    | J+ (all detects) | P      | Laboratory control samples (%R) (l) |

**Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126  
Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Extractables - Laboratory Blank Data  
Qualification Summary - SDG 97466**

| Sample        | Analyte       | Modified Final Concentration | A or P | Code |
|---------------|---------------|------------------------------|--------|------|
| ERH1653(SGCU) | Oil (C24-C40) | 330J+ ug/L                   | A      | b    |
| ERH1655(SGCU) | Oil (C24-C40) | 300U ug/L                    | A      | b    |
| ERH1657(SGCU) | Oil (C24-C40) | 410J+ ug/L                   | A      | b    |
| ERH1659(SGCU) | Oil (C24-C40) | 300U ug/L                    | A      | b    |
| ERH1660(SGCU) | Oil (C24-C40) | 300U ug/L                    | A      | b    |

**Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126  
Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Extractables - Field Blank Data Qualification  
Summary - SDG 97466**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG



LDC #: 53484A8  
 SDG #: 97466  
 Laboratory: APPL, Inc., Clovis, CA

**VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET**

Stage 2B

Date: 3/15/22

Page: 1 of 1

Reviewer: [Signature]

2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

**METHOD:** GC TPH as Extractables (EPA SW-846 Method 8015B)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

|       | Validation Area                        |     | Comments               |
|-------|--|-----|------------------------|
| I.    | Sample receipt/Technical holding times | A/A |                        |
| II.   | Initial calibration/ICV                | A/A | % PSD ≤ 20, 12 CW ≤ 20 |
| III.  | Continuing calibration <i>ending</i>   | Δ   | CW ≤ 20/20             |
| IV.   | Laboratory Blanks                      | SW  |                        |
| V.    | Field blanks                           | N   |                        |
| VI.   | Surrogate spikes                       | SW  |                        |
| VII.  | Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates   | N   | CS                     |
| VIII. | Laboratory control samples             | SW  | LCS 10                 |
| IX.   | Field duplicates                       | N   |                        |
| X.    | Target analyte quantitation            | N   |                        |
| XI.   | Target analyte identification          | N   |                        |
| XII.  | Overall assessment of data             | Λ   |                        |

Note: A = Acceptable      ND = No compounds detected      D = Duplicate      SB=Source blank  
 N = Not provided/applicable      R = Rinsate      TB = Trip blank      OTHER:  
 SW = See worksheet      FB = Field blank      EB = Equipment blank

|     | Client ID     | Lab ID        | Matrix | Date     |
|-----|---------------|---------------|--------|----------|
| 1 ↑ | ERH1653       | BA40209       | Water  | 09/08/21 |
| 2 ↑ | ERH1655       | BA40211       | Water  | 09/08/21 |
| 3 ↑ | ERH1657       | BA40213       | Water  | 09/08/21 |
| 4 ↑ | ERH1659       | BA40215       | Water  | 09/08/21 |
| 5 ↑ | ERH1660       | BA40216       | Water  | 09/08/21 |
| 6   | ERH1653(SGCU) | BA40209(SGCU) | Water  | 09/08/21 |
| 7   | ERH1655(SGCU) | BA40211(SGCU) | Water  | 09/08/21 |
| 8   | ERH1657(SGCU) | BA40213(SGCU) | Water  | 09/08/21 |
| 9   | ERH1659(SGCU) | BA40215(SGCU) | Water  | 09/08/21 |
| 10  | ERH1660(SGCU) | BA40216(SGCU) | Water  | 09/08/21 |
| 11  |               |               |        |          |
| 12  |               |               |        |          |
| 13  |               |               |        |          |

Notes:

|   |          |  |  |  |  |
|---|----------|--|--|--|--|
| 1 | 210915A  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 210915A1 |  |  |  |  |
|   |          |  |  |  |  |
|   |          |  |  |  |  |

**VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET**

Blanks

METHOD:  GC  HPLC

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

- N N/A Were all samples associated with a given method blank?
- N N/A Was a method blank performed for each matrix and whenever a sample extraction procedure was performed?
- N N/A Was a method blank performed with each extraction batch?
- N N/A Were any contaminants found in the method blanks? If yes, please see findings below.

Result  
LOQ (b)

**Level IV/D Only**

N N/A (Gasoline and aromatics only) Was a method blank analyzed with each 24 hour batch?

N N/A Was a method blank analyzed for each analytical / extraction batch of ≤20 samples?

Blank extraction date: 9/15/21 Blank analysis date: 10/11/21 Associated samples: 6-10

Conc. units: ug/L

| Compound             | Blank ID            | Sample Identification    |              |                          |                  |                  |  |
|----------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|--------------|--------------------------|------------------|------------------|--|
|                      |                     | 6                        | 7            | 8                        | 9                | 10               |  |
|                      | <u>210915A1-BLK</u> |                          |              |                          |                  |                  |  |
| <u>Oil (C24-C40)</u> | <u>320</u>          | <u>330 J<sup>+</sup></u> | <u>300 U</u> | <u>410 J<sup>+</sup></u> | <u>280/300 U</u> | <u>270/300 U</u> |  |
|                      | <u>320</u>          | <u>320</u>               | <u>320</u>   | <u>320</u>               | <u>320</u>       | <u>320</u>       |  |
|                      |                     |                          |              |                          |                  |                  |  |
|                      |                     |                          |              |                          |                  |                  |  |
|                      |                     |                          |              |                          |                  |                  |  |
|                      |                     |                          |              |                          |                  |                  |  |

Blank extraction date: \_\_\_\_\_ Blank analysis date: \_\_\_\_\_ Associated samples: \_\_\_\_\_

Conc. units: \_\_\_\_\_

| Compound | Blank ID | Sample Identification |   |   |   |    |  |
|----------|----------|-----------------------|---|---|---|----|--|
|          |          | 6                     | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |  |
|          |          |                       |   |   |   |    |  |
|          |          |                       |   |   |   |    |  |
|          |          |                       |   |   |   |    |  |
|          |          |                       |   |   |   |    |  |
|          |          |                       |   |   |   |    |  |
|          |          |                       |   |   |   |    |  |
|          |          |                       |   |   |   |    |  |

ALL CIRCLED RESULTS WERE NOT QUALIFIED. ALL RESULTS NOT CIRCLED WERE QUALIFIED BY THE FOLLOWING STATEMENT:  
All contaminants within five times the method blank concentration were qualified as not detected, "U".

LDC #: 53484A0

**VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET**  
**Surrogate Recovery**

Page: 1 of 1  
Reviewer: FT

METHOD:  GC  HPLC

Are surrogates required by the method? Yes  or No .

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

Y N N/A Were surrogates spiked into all samples and blanks?

Y N N/A Did all surrogate recoveries (%R) meet the QC limits? (5)

| # | Sample ID      | Detector/Column | Surrogate Compound | %R (Limits) |          | Qualifications |
|---|----------------|-----------------|--------------------|-------------|----------|----------------|
|   | 6              |                 | G                  | 160         | (60-142) | J+du/P ND+DET  |
|   |                |                 | H                  | 128         | (56-125) | ↓              |
|   | 7              |                 | ↓                  | 168         | ( ↓ )    | J+du/P ND+DET  |
|   |                |                 |                    | 136         | ( ↓ )    | ↓              |
|   | <del>8</del> 9 |                 | ↓                  | 175         | ( ↓ )    | J+du/P ND+DET  |
|   |                |                 |                    | 138         | ( ↓ )    | ↓              |
|   | 10             |                 | ↓                  | 192         | ( ↓ )    | J+du/P ND+DET  |
|   |                |                 |                    | 147         | ( ↓ )    | ↓              |
|   | 210915A1       |                 | ↓                  | 198         | ( ↓ )    | J+du/P         |
|   |                |                 |                    | 153         | ( ↓ )    | ↓              |
|   |                |                 |                    | ( )         |          |                |
|   |                |                 |                    | ( )         |          |                |
|   |                |                 |                    | ( )         |          |                |
|   |                |                 |                    | ( )         |          |                |
|   |                |                 |                    | ( )         |          |                |

|   | Surrogate Compound         |   | Surrogate Compound  |   | Surrogate Compound                |   | Surrogate Compound      |    | Surrogate Compound            |
|---|----------------------------|---|---------------------|---|-----------------------------------|---|-------------------------|----|-------------------------------|
| A | Chlorobenzene (CBZ)        | G | Octacosane          | M | Benzo(e)Pyrene                    | S | 1-Chloro-3-Nitrobenzene | Y  | Tetrachloro-m- xylene         |
| B | 4-Bromofluorobenzene (BFB) | H | Ortho-Terphenyl     | N | Terphenyl-D14                     | T | 3,4-Dinitrotoluene      | Z  | 2-Bromonaphthalene            |
| C | a,a,a-Trifluorotoluene     | I | Fluorobenzene (FBZ) | O | Decachlorobiphenyl (DCB)          | U | Triphenyltin            | AA | Chloro-octadecane             |
| D | Bromochlorobenzene         | J | n-Triacontane       | P | 1-methylnaphthalene               | V | Tri-n-propyltin         | BB | 2,4-Dichlorophenylacetic acid |
| E | 1,4-Dichlorobutane         | K | Hexacosane          | Q | Dichlorophenyl Acetic Acid (DCAA) | W | Tributyl Phosphate      | CC | 2,5-Dibromotoluene            |
| F | 1,4-Difluorobenzene (DFB)  | L | Bromobenzene        | R | 4-Nitrophenol                     | X | Triphenyl Phosphate     |    |                               |

LDC #: 5 3484 AB

**VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET**  
**Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)**

METHOD:  GC  HPLC

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

Y N N/A Were a laboratory control samples (LCS) and laboratory control sample duplicate (LCSD) analyzed for each matrix in this SDG?

Y N N/A Were the LCS percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) within the QC limits?

**Level IV/D Only**

Y N N/A Was an LCS analyzed every 20 samples for each matrix or whenever a sample extraction was performed?

(2)

| # | LCS/LCSD ID | Compound           | LCS<br>%R (Limits) | LCSD<br>%R (Limits) | RPD (Limits) | Associated Samples | Qualifications     |
|---|-------------|--------------------|--------------------|---------------------|--------------|--------------------|--------------------|
|   | 210915 A1   | *                  | 177 (36-132)       | 134 (36-132)        | ( )          | 6 → 10,            | Std dev / P #7 Det |
|   | les 1P      | **                 | 190 (41-113)       | 151 (41-113)        | ( )          | 210915 A1-B1K      | ↓ All Det          |
|   |             |                    | ( )                | ( )                 | ( )          |                    |                    |
|   |             |                    | ( )                | ( )                 | ( )          |                    |                    |
|   |             |                    | ( )                | ( )                 | ( )          |                    |                    |
|   |             | * Diesel (c10-c24) | ( )                | ( )                 | ( )          |                    |                    |
|   |             |                    | ( )                | ( )                 | ( )          |                    |                    |
|   |             | ** Oil (c24-c40)   | ( )                | ( )                 | ( )          |                    |                    |
|   |             |                    | ( )                | ( )                 | ( )          |                    |                    |
|   |             |                    | ( )                | ( )                 | ( )          |                    |                    |
|   |             |                    | ( )                | ( )                 | ( )          |                    |                    |
|   |             |                    | ( )                | ( )                 | ( )          |                    |                    |
|   |             |                    | ( )                | ( )                 | ( )          |                    |                    |
|   |             |                    | ( )                | ( )                 | ( )          |                    |                    |
|   |             |                    | ( )                | ( )                 | ( )          |                    |                    |
|   |             |                    | ( )                | ( )                 | ( )          |                    |                    |
|   |             |                    | ( )                | ( )                 | ( )          |                    |                    |
|   |             |                    | ( )                | ( )                 | ( )          |                    |                    |
|   |             |                    | ( )                | ( )                 | ( )          |                    |                    |

**Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc.  
Data Validation Report**

**Project/Site Name:** Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126

**LDC Report Date:** March 28, 2022

**Parameters:** Total Organic Carbon

**Validation Level:** Stage 2B

**Laboratory:** APPL, Inc., Clovis, CA

**Sample Delivery Group (SDG):** 97756

| <b>Sample Identification</b> | <b>Laboratory Sample Identification</b> | <b>Matrix</b> | <b>Collection Date</b> |
|------------------------------|---|---------------|------------------------|
| ERH1767                      | BA42410                                 | Water         | 10/04/21               |

## Introduction

This Data Validation Report (DVR) presents data validation findings and results for the associated samples listed on the cover page. Data validation was performed in accordance with the Work Plan/Scope of Work, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 02, January 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 01, April 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 01, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, September 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 03, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, June 2018), the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) Quality Systems Manual (QSM) for Environmental Laboratories, Version 5.3 (2019), and the DoD General Validation Guidelines (November 2019). Where specific guidance was not available, the data has been evaluated in a conservative manner consistent with industry standards using professional experience.

The analyses were performed by the following method:

Total Organic Carbon by Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) SW 846 Method 9060A

All sample results were subjected to Stage 2B data validation, which comprises an evaluation of quality control (QC) summary results.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers utilized during data validation:

- J+ (Estimated, High Bias): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated, displaying high bias, due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- J- (Estimated, Low Bias): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated, displaying low bias, due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- J (Estimated, Bias Indeterminate): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated due to non-conformances discovered during data validation. Bias is indeterminate.
- U (Non-detected): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the analyte should be considered non-detected due to the presence of contaminants detected in the associated blank(s).
- UJ (Non-detected estimated): The analyte was not detected and the associated numerical value is approximate.
- X (Exclusion of data recommended): The sample results (including non-detects) were affected by serious deficiencies in the ability to analyze the sample and to meet published method and project quality control criteria. The presence or absence of the analyte cannot be substantiated by the data provided. Exclusion of the data is recommended.
- NA (Not Applicable): The non-conformance discovered during data validation demonstrates a high bias, while the affected analyte in the associated sample(s) was reported as not detected by the laboratory and did not warrant the qualification of the data.

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

## Qualification Code Reference

- a ICP Serial Dilution %D was not within control limits.
- b Presumed contamination from preparation (method blank).
- c Calibration %RSD, r, r<sup>2</sup>, %D or %R was noncompliant.
- d The analysis with this flag should not be used because another more technically sound analysis is available.
- e MS/MSD or Duplicate RPD was high.
- f Presumed contamination from FB or ER.
- g ICP ICS results were unsatisfactory.
- h Holding times were exceeded.
- i Internal standard performance was unsatisfactory.
- k Estimated Maximum Possible Concentration (HRGC/HRMS only)
- l LCS/LCSD %R was not within control limits.
- m Result exceeded the calibration range.
- o Cooler temperature or temperature blank was noncompliant and/or sample custody problems.
- p RPD between two columns was high (GC only).
- q MS/MSD recovery was not within control limits.
- s Surrogate recovery was not within control limits.
- t Presumed contamination from trip blank.
- v Unusual problems found with the data not defined elsewhere. Description of the problem can be found in the validation report.
- w LCS/LCSD RPD was high.
- y Chemical recovery was not within control limits (Radiochemistry only).



## **I. Sample Receipt and Technical Holding Times**

All samples were received in good condition.

All technical holding time requirements were met.

## **II. Initial Calibration**

All criteria for the initial calibration were met.

## **III. Continuing Calibration**

Continuing calibration frequency and analysis criteria were met.

## **IV. Laboratory Blanks**

Laboratory blanks were analyzed as required by the method. No contaminants were found in the laboratory blanks.

## **V. Field Blanks**

No field blanks were identified in this SDG.

## **VI. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates**

The laboratory has indicated that there were no matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyses specified for the samples in this SDG, and therefore matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate analyses were not performed for this SDG.

## **VII. Duplicate Sample Analysis**

The laboratory has indicated that there were no duplicate (DUP) analyses specified for the samples in this SDG, and therefore duplicate analyses were not performed for this SDG.

## **VIII. Laboratory Control Samples**

Laboratory control samples (LCS) and laboratory control samples duplicates (LCSD) were analyzed as required by the method. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits. Relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

## **IX. Field Duplicates**

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

## **X. Target Analyte Quantitation**

Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

## **XI. Overall Assessment of Data**

The analysis was conducted within all specifications of the method. No results were rejected or recommended for exclusion in this SDG.

**Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126**  
**Total Organic Carbon - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 97756**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

**Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126**  
**Total Organic Carbon - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 97756**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

**Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126**  
**Total Organic Carbon - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 97756**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

LDC #: 53484B6

# VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET

Date: 3/15/22

SDG #: 97756

Stage 2B

Page: 1 of 1

Laboratory: APPL, Inc., Clovis, CA

Reviewer: ATV

2nd Reviewer: DC

## METHOD: (Analyte) TOC (EPA SW-846 Method 9060A)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

|       | Validation Area                        |     | Comments |
|-------|--|-----|----------|
| I.    | Sample receipt/Technical holding times | A A |          |
| II    | Initial calibration                    | A   |          |
| III.  | Calibration verification               | A   |          |
| IV    | Laboratory Blanks                      | A   |          |
| V     | Field blanks                           | N   |          |
| VI.   | Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates   | N   | C.S      |
| VII.  | Duplicate sample analysis              | N   |          |
| VIII. | Laboratory control samples             | A   | LCS/LCSD |
| IX.   | Field duplicates                       | N   |          |
| X.    | Target Analyte Quantitation            | N   |          |
| XI.   | Overall assessment of data             | A   |          |

Note: A = Acceptable  
 N = Not provided/applicable  
 SW = See worksheet

ND = No compounds detected  
 R = Rinsate  
 FB = Field blank

D = Duplicate  
 TB = Trip blank  
 EB = Equipment blank

SB=Source blank  
 OTHER:

|    | Client ID | Lab ID  | Matrix | Date     |
|----|-----------|---------|--------|----------|
| 1  | ERH1767   | BA42410 | Water  | 10/04/21 |
| 2  |           |         |        |          |
| 3  |           |         |        |          |
| 4  |           |         |        |          |
| 5  |           |         |        |          |
| 6  |           |         |        |          |
| 7  |           |         |        |          |
| 8  |           |         |        |          |
| 9  |           |         |        |          |
| 10 |           |         |        |          |
| 11 |           |         |        |          |
| 12 |           |         |        |          |
| 13 |           |         |        |          |
| 14 |           |         |        |          |
| 15 |           |         |        |          |

Notes: \_\_\_\_\_

**Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc.  
Data Validation Report**

**Project/Site Name:** Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126

**LDC Report Date:** March 28, 2022

**Parameters:** Total Organic Carbon

**Validation Level:** Stage 2B

**Laboratory:** APPL, Inc., Clovis, CA

**Sample Delivery Group (SDG):** 97769

| <b>Sample Identification</b> | <b>Laboratory Sample Identification</b> | <b>Matrix</b> | <b>Collection Date</b> |
|------------------------------|---|---------------|------------------------|
| ERH1771                      | BA42475                                 | Water         | 10/05/21               |

## Introduction

This Data Validation Report (DVR) presents data validation findings and results for the associated samples listed on the cover page. Data validation was performed in accordance with the Work Plan/Scope of Work, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 02, January 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 01, April 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 01, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, September 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 03, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, June 2018), the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) Quality Systems Manual (QSM) for Environmental Laboratories, Version 5.3 (2019), and the DoD General Validation Guidelines (November 2019). Where specific guidance was not available, the data has been evaluated in a conservative manner consistent with industry standards using professional experience.

The analyses were performed by the following method:

Total Organic Carbon by Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) SW 846 Method 9060A

All sample results were subjected to Stage 2B data validation, which comprises an evaluation of quality control (QC) summary results.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers utilized during data validation:

- J+ (Estimated, High Bias): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated, displaying high bias, due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- J- (Estimated, Low Bias): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated, displaying low bias, due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- J (Estimated, Bias Indeterminate): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated due to non-conformances discovered during data validation. Bias is indeterminate.
- U (Non-detected): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the analyte should be considered non-detected due to the presence of contaminants detected in the associated blank(s).
- UJ (Non-detected estimated): The analyte was not detected and the associated numerical value is approximate.
- X (Exclusion of data recommended): The sample results (including non-detects) were affected by serious deficiencies in the ability to analyze the sample and to meet published method and project quality control criteria. The presence or absence of the analyte cannot be substantiated by the data provided. Exclusion of the data is recommended.
- NA (Not Applicable): The non-conformance discovered during data validation demonstrates a high bias, while the affected analyte in the associated sample(s) was reported as not detected by the laboratory and did not warrant the qualification of the data.

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

## Qualification Code Reference

- a ICP Serial Dilution %D was not within control limits.
- b Presumed contamination from preparation (method blank).
- c Calibration %RSD, r, r<sup>2</sup>, %D or %R was noncompliant.
- d The analysis with this flag should not be used because another more technically sound analysis is available.
- e MS/MSD or Duplicate RPD was high.
- f Presumed contamination from FB or ER.
- g ICP ICS results were unsatisfactory.
- h Holding times were exceeded.
- i Internal standard performance was unsatisfactory.
- k Estimated Maximum Possible Concentration (HRGC/HRMS only)
- l LCS/LCSD %R was not within control limits.
- m Result exceeded the calibration range.
- o Cooler temperature or temperature blank was noncompliant and/or sample custody problems.
- p RPD between two columns was high (GC only).
- q MS/MSD recovery was not within control limits.
- s Surrogate recovery was not within control limits.
- t Presumed contamination from trip blank.
- v Unusual problems found with the data not defined elsewhere. Description of the problem can be found in the validation report.
- w LCS/LCSD RPD was high.
- y Chemical recovery was not within control limits (Radiochemistry only).



## **I. Sample Receipt and Technical Holding Times**

All samples were received in good condition.

All technical holding time requirements were met.

## **II. Initial Calibration**

All criteria for the initial calibration were met.

## **III. Continuing Calibration**

Continuing calibration frequency and analysis criteria were met.

## **IV. Laboratory Blanks**

Laboratory blanks were analyzed as required by the method. No contaminants were found in the laboratory blanks.

## **V. Field Blanks**

No field blanks were identified in this SDG.

## **VI. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates**

The laboratory has indicated that there were no matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyses specified for the samples in this SDG, and therefore matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate analyses were not performed for this SDG.

## **VII. Duplicate Sample Analysis**

The laboratory has indicated that there were no duplicate (DUP) analyses specified for the samples in this SDG, and therefore duplicate analyses were not performed for this SDG.

## **VIII. Laboratory Control Samples**

Laboratory control samples (LCS) and laboratory control samples duplicates (LCSD) were analyzed as required by the method. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits. Relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

## **IX. Field Duplicates**

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

## **X. Target Analyte Quantitation**

Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

## **XI. Overall Assessment of Data**

The analysis was conducted within all specifications of the method. No results were rejected or recommended for exclusion in this SDG.

**Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126  
Total Organic Carbon - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 97769**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

**Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126  
Total Organic Carbon - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 97769**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

**Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126  
Total Organic Carbon - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 97769**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

LDC #: 53484C6

# VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET

Date: 3/15/22

SDG #: 97769

Stage 2B

Page: 1 of 1

Laboratory: APPL, Inc., Clovis, CA

Reviewer: ATV

2nd Reviewer:

## METHOD: (Analyte) TOC (EPA SW-846 Method 9060A)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

|       | Validation Area                        |     | Comments |
|-------|--|-----|----------|
| I.    | Sample receipt/Technical holding times | A A |          |
| II    | Initial calibration                    | A   |          |
| III.  | Calibration verification               | A   |          |
| IV    | Laboratory Blanks                      | A   |          |
| V     | Field blanks                           | N   |          |
| VI.   | Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates   | N   | C.S      |
| VII.  | Duplicate sample analysis              | N   |          |
| VIII. | Laboratory control samples             | A   | LCS/LCSD |
| IX.   | Field duplicates                       | N   |          |
| X.    | Target Analyte Quantitation            | N   |          |
| XI.   | Overall assessment of data             | A   |          |

Note: A = Acceptable  
N = Not provided/applicable  
SW = See worksheet

ND = No compounds detected  
R = Rinsate  
FB = Field blank

D = Duplicate  
TB = Trip blank  
EB = Equipment blank

SB=Source blank  
OTHER:

|    | Client ID | Lab ID  | Matrix | Date     |
|----|-----------|---------|--------|----------|
| 1  | ERH1771   | BA42475 | Water  | 10/05/21 |
| 2  |           |         |        |          |
| 3  |           |         |        |          |
| 4  |           |         |        |          |
| 5  |           |         |        |          |
| 6  |           |         |        |          |
| 7  |           |         |        |          |
| 8  |           |         |        |          |
| 9  |           |         |        |          |
| 10 |           |         |        |          |
| 11 |           |         |        |          |
| 12 |           |         |        |          |
| 13 |           |         |        |          |
| 14 |           |         |        |          |
| 15 |           |         |        |          |

Notes: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

## Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc. Data Validation Report

**Project/Site Name:** Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126

**LDC Report Date:** March 27, 2022

**Parameters:** Volatiles

**Validation Level:** Stage 2B

**Laboratory:** APPL, Inc., Clovis, CA

**Sample Delivery Group (SDG):** 98212

| <b>Sample Identification</b> | <b>Laboratory Sample Identification</b> | <b>Matrix</b> | <b>Collection Date</b> |
|------------------------------|---|---------------|------------------------|
| ERH1906                      | BA46114                                 | Water         | 11/10/21               |
| ERH1907                      | BA46115                                 | Water         | 11/10/21               |
| ERH1909                      | BA46116                                 | Water         | 11/10/21               |

## Introduction

This Data Validation Report (DVR) presents data validation findings and results for the associated samples listed on the cover page. Data validation was performed in accordance with the Work Plan/Scope of Work, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 02, January 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 01, April 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 01, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, September 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 03, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, June 2018), the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) Quality Systems Manual (QSM) for Environmental Laboratories, Version 5.3 (2019), the DoD General Validation Guidelines (November 2019), and the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) Data Validation Guidelines Module 1: Data Validation Procedure for Organic Analysis by GC/MS (May 2020). Where specific guidance was not available, the data has been evaluated in a conservative manner consistent with industry standards using professional experience.

The analyses were performed by the following method:

Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs) which are Benzene, Toluene, Ethylbenzene, and Xylenes (BTEX) by Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) SW 846 Method 8260B

All sample results were subjected to Stage 2B data validation, which comprises an evaluation of quality control (QC) summary results.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers utilized during data validation:

- J+ (Estimated, High Bias): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated, displaying high bias, due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- J- (Estimated, Low Bias): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated, displaying low bias, due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- J (Estimated, Bias Indeterminate): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated due to non-conformances discovered during data validation. Bias is indeterminate.
- U (Non-detected): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the analyte should be considered non-detected due to the presence of contaminants detected in the associated blank(s).
- UJ (Non-detected estimated): The analyte was not detected and the associated numerical value is approximate.
- X (Exclusion of data recommended): The sample results (including non-detects) were affected by serious deficiencies in the ability to analyze the sample and to meet published method and project quality control criteria. The presence or absence of the analyte cannot be substantiated by the data provided. Exclusion of the data is recommended.
- NA (Not Applicable): The non-conformance discovered during data validation demonstrates a high bias, while the affected analyte in the associated sample(s) was reported as not detected by the laboratory and did not warrant the qualification of the data.

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

## Qualification Code Reference

- a ICP Serial Dilution %D was not within control limits.
- b Presumed contamination from preparation (method blank).
- c Calibration %RSD,  $r$ ,  $r^2$ , %D or %R was noncompliant.
- d The analysis with this flag should not be used because another more technically sound analysis is available.
- e MS/MSD or Duplicate RPD was high.
- f Presumed contamination from FB or ER.
- g ICP ICS results were unsatisfactory.
- h Holding times were exceeded.
- i Internal standard performance was unsatisfactory.
- k Estimated Maximum Possible Concentration (HRGC/HRMS only)
- l LCS/LCSD %R was not within control limits.
- m Result exceeded the calibration range.
- o Cooler temperature or temperature blank was noncompliant and/or sample custody problems.
- p RPD between two columns was high (GC only).
- q MS/MSD recovery was not within control limits.
- s Surrogate recovery was not within control limits.
- t Presumed contamination from trip blank.
- v Unusual problems found with the data not defined elsewhere. Description of the problem can be found in the validation report.
- w LCS/LCSD RPD was high.
- y Chemical recovery was not within control limits (Radiochemistry only).



## **I. Sample Receipt and Technical Holding Times**

All samples were received in good condition and cooler temperatures upon receipt met validation criteria.

All technical holding time requirements were met.

## **II. GC/MS Instrument Performance Check**

A bromofluorobenzene (BFB) tune was performed at 12 hour intervals.

All ion abundance requirements were met.

## **III. Initial Calibration and Initial Calibration Verification**

An initial calibration was performed as required by the method.

The percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) were less than or equal to 15.0% for all analytes.

Average relative response factors (RRF) for all analytes were within validation criteria.

The percent differences (%D) of the initial calibration verification (ICV) standard were less than or equal to 20.0% for all analytes.

## **IV. Continuing Calibration**

Continuing calibration was performed at the required frequencies.

The percent differences (%D) were less than or equal to 20.0% for all analytes.

The percent differences (%D) of the ending continuing calibration verifications (CCVs) were less than or equal to 50.0% for all analytes.

All of the continuing calibration relative response factors (RRF) were within validation criteria.

## **V. Laboratory Blanks**

Laboratory blanks were analyzed as required by the method. No contaminants were found in the laboratory blanks.

## **VI. Field Blanks**

Sample ERH1906 was identified as a trip blank. No contaminants were found.

## **VII. Surrogates**

Surrogates were added to all samples as required by the method. All surrogate recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

## **VIII. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates**

The laboratory has indicated that there were no matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyses specified for the samples in this SDG, and therefore matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate analyses were not performed for this SDG.

## **IX. Laboratory Control Samples**

Laboratory control samples (LCS) and laboratory control samples duplicates (LCSD) were analyzed as required by the method. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits. Relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

## **X. Field Duplicates**

Samples ERH1907 and ERH1909 were identified as field duplicates. No results were detected in any of the samples.

## **XI. Internal Standards**

All internal standard areas and retention times were within QC limits.

## **XII. Target Analyte Quantitation**

Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

## **XIII. Target Analyte Identification**

Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

## **XIV. System Performance**

Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

## **XV. Overall Assessment of Data**

The analysis was conducted within all specifications of the method. No results were rejected or recommended for exclusion in this SDG.

**Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126  
Volatiles - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 98212**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

**Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126  
Volatiles - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 98212**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

**Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126  
Volatiles - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 98212**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

LDC #: 53484D1a

**VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET**

Date: 3/15/22

SDG #: 98212

Stage 2B

Page: 1 of 1

Laboratory: APPL, Inc., Clovis, CA

Reviewer: [Signature]  
2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

**METHOD:** GC/MS Volatiles (BTEX) (EPA SW-846 Method 8260B)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

|       | Validation Area                        |     | Comments            |
|-------|--|-----|---------------------|
| I.    | Sample receipt/Technical holding times | Δ/Δ |                     |
| II.   | GC/MS Instrument performance check     | A   |                     |
| III.  | Initial calibration/ICV                | Δ/Δ | % PSD ≤ 15 ICV ≤ 20 |
| IV.   | Continuing calibration <i>ending</i>   | Δ   | CCV ≤ 20/50         |
| V.    | Laboratory Blanks                      | A   |                     |
| VI.   | Field blanks                           | ND  | TB = 1              |
| VII.  | Surrogate spikes                       | Δ   |                     |
| VIII. | Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates   | N   | CS                  |
| IX.   | Laboratory control samples             | A   | LCS 1P              |
| X.    | Field duplicates                       | ND  | D = 2,3             |
| XI.   | Internal standards                     | Δ   |                     |
| XII.  | Target analyte quantitation            | N   |                     |
| XIII. | Target analyte identification          | N   |                     |
| XIV.  | System performance                     | N   |                     |
| XV.   | Overall assessment of data             | A   |                     |

Note: A = Acceptable  
N = Not provided/applicable  
SW = See worksheet

ND = No compounds detected  
R = Rinsate  
FB = Field blank

D = Duplicate  
TB = Trip blank  
EB = Equipment blank

SB=Source blank  
OTHER:

|   | Client ID  | Lab ID  | Matrix | Date     |
|---|------------|---------|--------|----------|
| 1 | ERH1906 TB | BA46114 | Water  | 11/10/21 |
| 2 | ERH1907    | BA46115 | Water  | 11/10/21 |
| 3 | ERH1909    | BA46116 | Water  | 11/10/21 |
| 4 |            |         |        |          |
| 5 |            |         |        |          |
| 6 |            |         |        |          |
| 7 |            |         |        |          |
| 8 |            |         |        |          |
| 9 |            |         |        |          |

Notes:

|   |             |  |  |  |
|---|-------------|--|--|--|
| 1 | AM21115-BIK |  |  |  |
| 2 | 21119BT-BIK |  |  |  |
|   |             |  |  |  |
|   |             |  |  |  |

## Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc. Data Validation Report

**Project/Site Name:** Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126

**LDC Report Date:** March 27, 2022

**Parameters:** Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons

**Validation Level:** Stage 2B

**Laboratory:** APPL, Inc., Clovis, CA

**Sample Delivery Group (SDG):** 98212

| <b>Sample Identification</b> | <b>Laboratory Sample Identification</b> | <b>Matrix</b> | <b>Collection Date</b> |
|------------------------------|---|---------------|------------------------|
| ERH1907                      | BA46115                                 | Water         | 11/10/21               |
| ERH1909                      | BA46116                                 | Water         | 11/10/21               |

## Introduction

This Data Validation Report (DVR) presents data validation findings and results for the associated samples listed on the cover page. Data validation was performed in accordance with the Work Plan/Scope of Work, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 02, January 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 01, April 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 01, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, September 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 03, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, June 2018), the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) Quality Systems Manual (QSM) for Environmental Laboratories, Version 5.3 (2019), the DoD General Validation Guidelines (November 2019), and the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) Data Validation Guidelines Module 1: Data Validation Procedure for Organic Analysis by GC/MS (May 2020). Where specific guidance was not available, the data has been evaluated in a conservative manner consistent with industry standards using professional experience.

The analyses were performed by the following method:

Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAHs) which are 1-Methylnaphthalene, 2-Methylnaphthalene, and Naphthalene by Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) SW 846 Method 8270D in Selected Ion Monitoring (SIM) mode

All sample results were subjected to Stage 2B data validation, which comprises an evaluation of quality control (QC) summary results. Samples appended with a double asterisk on the cover page were subjected to Stage 4 data validation, which is comprised of the QC summary forms as well as the raw data, to confirm sample quantitation and identification.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers utilized during data validation:

- J+ (Estimated, High Bias): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated, displaying high bias, due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- J- (Estimated, Low Bias): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated, displaying low bias, due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- J (Estimated, Bias Indeterminate): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated due to non-conformances discovered during data validation. Bias is indeterminate.
- U (Non-detected): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the analyte should be considered non-detected due to the presence of contaminants detected in the associated blank(s).
- UJ (Non-detected estimated): The analyte was not detected and the associated numerical value is approximate.
- X (Exclusion of data recommended): The sample results (including non-detects) were affected by serious deficiencies in the ability to analyze the sample and to meet published method and project quality control criteria. The presence or absence of the analyte cannot be substantiated by the data provided. Exclusion of the data is recommended.
- NA (Not Applicable): The non-conformance discovered during data validation demonstrates a high bias, while the affected analyte in the associated sample(s) was reported as not detected by the laboratory and did not warrant the qualification of the data.

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

## Qualification Code Reference

- a ICP Serial Dilution %D was not within control limits.
- b Presumed contamination from preparation (method blank).
- c Calibration %RSD, r, r<sup>2</sup>, %D or %R was noncompliant.
- d The analysis with this flag should not be used because another more technically sound analysis is available.
- e MS/MSD or Duplicate RPD was high.
- f Presumed contamination from FB or ER.
- g ICP ICS results were unsatisfactory.
- h Holding times were exceeded.
- i Internal standard performance was unsatisfactory.
- k Estimated Maximum Possible Concentration (HRGC/HRMS only)
- l LCS/LCSD %R was not within control limits.
- m Result exceeded the calibration range.
- o Cooler temperature or temperature blank was noncompliant and/or sample custody problems.
- p RPD between two columns was high (GC only).
- q MS/MSD recovery was not within control limits.
- s Surrogate recovery was not within control limits.
- t Presumed contamination from trip blank.
- v Unusual problems found with the data not defined elsewhere. Description of the problem can be found in the validation report.
- w LCS/LCSD RPD was high.
- y Chemical recovery was not within control limits (Radiochemistry only).



## **I. Sample Receipt and Technical Holding Times**

All samples were received in good condition and cooler temperatures upon receipt met validation criteria.

All technical holding time requirements were met.

## **II. GC/MS Instrument Performance Check**

A decafluorotriphenylphosphine (DFTPP) tune was performed at 12 hour intervals.

All ion abundance requirements were met.

## **III. Initial Calibration and Initial Calibration Verification**

An initial calibration was performed as required by the method.

The percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) were less than or equal to 15.0% for all analytes.

Average relative response factors (RRF) for all analytes were within validation criteria.

The percent differences (%D) of the initial calibration verification (ICV) standard were less than or equal to 20.0% for all analytes.

## **IV. Continuing Calibration**

Continuing calibration was performed at the required frequencies.

The percent differences (%D) were less than or equal to 20.0% for all analytes.

The percent differences (%D) of the ending continuing calibration verifications (CCVs) were less than or equal to 50.0% for all analytes.

All of the continuing calibration relative response factors (RRF) were within validation criteria.

## **V. Laboratory Blanks**

Laboratory blanks were analyzed as required by the method. No contaminants were found in the laboratory blanks.

## **VI. Field Blanks**

No field blanks were identified in this SDG.

## **VII. Surrogates**

Surrogates were added to all samples as required by the method. All surrogate recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

## **VIII. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates**

The laboratory has indicated that there were no matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyses specified for the samples in this SDG, and therefore matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate analyses were not performed for this SDG.

## **IX. Laboratory Control Samples**

Laboratory control samples (LCS) and laboratory control samples duplicates (LCSD) were analyzed as required by the method. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits. Relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

## **X. Field Duplicates**

Samples ERH1907 and ERH1909 were identified as field duplicates. No results were detected in any of the samples.

## **XI. Internal Standards**

All internal standard areas and retention times were within QC limits.

## **XII. Target Analyte Quantitation**

Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

## **XIII. Target Analyte Identification**

Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

## **XIV. System Performance**

Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

## **XV. Overall Assessment of Data**

The analysis was conducted within all specifications of the method. No results were rejected or recommended for exclusion in this SDG.

**Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126  
Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 98212**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

**Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126  
Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification  
Summary - SDG 98212**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

**Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126  
Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary -  
SDG 98212**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

LDC #: 53484D2b

**VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET**

SDG #: 98212

Stage 2B

Laboratory: APPL, Inc., Clovis, CA

Date: 3/15/22

Page: 1 of 1

Reviewer: [Signature]

2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

**METHOD:** GC/MS Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons (EPA SW-846 Method 8270D-SIM)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

|       | Validation Area                        |     | Comments                  |
|-------|--|-----|---------------------------|
| I.    | Sample receipt/Technical holding times | Δ/Δ |                           |
| II.   | GC/MS Instrument performance check     | Δ   |                           |
| III.  | Initial calibration/ICV                | Δ/Δ | % RSD ≤ 15        CV ≤ 20 |
| IV.   | Continuing calibration <i>fending</i>  | Δ   | CCV ≤ 20/50               |
| V.    | Laboratory Blanks                      | Δ   |                           |
| VI.   | Field blanks                           | N   |                           |
| VII.  | Surrogate spikes                       | Δ   |                           |
| VIII. | Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates   | N   | CS                        |
| IX.   | Laboratory control samples             | Δ   | CS IP                     |
| X.    | Field duplicates                       | ND  | D = 1,2                   |
| XI.   | Internal standards                     | Δ   |                           |
| XII.  | Target analyte quantitation            | N   |                           |
| XIII. | Target analyte identification          | N   |                           |
| XIV.  | System performance                     | N   |                           |
| XV.   | Overall assessment of data             | Δ   |                           |

Note: A = Acceptable  
 N = Not provided/applicable  
 SW = See worksheet

ND = No compounds detected  
 R = Rinsate  
 FB = Field blank

D = Duplicate  
 TB = Trip blank  
 EB = Equipment blank

SB=Source blank  
 OTHER:

|   | Client ID | Lab ID  | Matrix | Date     |
|---|-----------|---------|--------|----------|
| 1 | ERH1907   | BA46115 | Water  | 11/10/21 |
| 2 | ERH1909   | BA46116 | Water  | 11/10/21 |
| 3 |           |         |        |          |
| 4 |           |         |        |          |
| 5 |           |         |        |          |
| 6 |           |         |        |          |
| 7 |           |         |        |          |
| 8 |           |         |        |          |
| 9 |           |         |        |          |

Notes:

|               |  |  |  |  |
|---------------|--|--|--|--|
| 21115AK - B1K |  |  |  |  |
|               |  |  |  |  |
|               |  |  |  |  |

## Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc. Data Validation Report

**Project/Site Name:** Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126

**LDC Report Date:** March 28, 2022

**Parameters:** Total Organic Carbon

**Validation Level:** Stage 2B

**Laboratory:** APPL, Inc., Clovis, CA

**Sample Delivery Group (SDG):** 98212

| <b>Sample Identification</b> | <b>Laboratory Sample Identification</b> | <b>Matrix</b> | <b>Collection Date</b> |
|------------------------------|---|---------------|------------------------|
| ERH1907                      | BA46115                                 | Water         | 11/10/21               |

## Introduction

This Data Validation Report (DVR) presents data validation findings and results for the associated samples listed on the cover page. Data validation was performed in accordance with the Work Plan/Scope of Work, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 02, January 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 01, April 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 01, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, September 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 03, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, June 2018), the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) Quality Systems Manual (QSM) for Environmental Laboratories, Version 5.3 (2019), and the DoD General Validation Guidelines (November 2019). Where specific guidance was not available, the data has been evaluated in a conservative manner consistent with industry standards using professional experience.

The analyses were performed by the following method:

Total Organic Carbon by Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) SW 846 Method 9060A

All sample results were subjected to Stage 2B data validation, which comprises an evaluation of quality control (QC) summary results.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers utilized during data validation:

- J+ (Estimated, High Bias): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated, displaying high bias, due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- J- (Estimated, Low Bias): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated, displaying low bias, due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- J (Estimated, Bias Indeterminate): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated due to non-conformances discovered during data validation. Bias is indeterminate.
- U (Non-detected): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the analyte should be considered non-detected due to the presence of contaminants detected in the associated blank(s).
- UJ (Non-detected estimated): The analyte was not detected and the associated numerical value is approximate.
- X (Exclusion of data recommended): The sample results (including non-detects) were affected by serious deficiencies in the ability to analyze the sample and to meet published method and project quality control criteria. The presence or absence of the analyte cannot be substantiated by the data provided. Exclusion of the data is recommended.
- NA (Not Applicable): The non-conformance discovered during data validation demonstrates a high bias, while the affected analyte in the associated sample(s) was reported as not detected by the laboratory and did not warrant the qualification of the data.

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

## Qualification Code Reference

- a ICP Serial Dilution %D was not within control limits.
- b Presumed contamination from preparation (method blank).
- c Calibration %RSD, r, r<sup>2</sup>, %D or %R was noncompliant.
- d The analysis with this flag should not be used because another more technically sound analysis is available.
- e MS/MSD or Duplicate RPD was high.
- f Presumed contamination from FB or ER.
- g ICP ICS results were unsatisfactory.
- h Holding times were exceeded.
- i Internal standard performance was unsatisfactory.
- k Estimated Maximum Possible Concentration (HRGC/HRMS only)
- l LCS/LCSD %R was not within control limits.
- m Result exceeded the calibration range.
- o Cooler temperature or temperature blank was noncompliant and/or sample custody problems.
- p RPD between two columns was high (GC only).
- q MS/MSD recovery was not within control limits.
- s Surrogate recovery was not within control limits.
- t Presumed contamination from trip blank.
- v Unusual problems found with the data not defined elsewhere. Description of the problem can be found in the validation report.
- w LCS/LCSD RPD was high.
- y Chemical recovery was not within control limits (Radiochemistry only).



### **I. Sample Receipt and Technical Holding Times**

All samples were received in good condition.

All technical holding time requirements were met.

### **II. Initial Calibration**

All criteria for the initial calibration were met.

### **III. Continuing Calibration**

Continuing calibration frequency and analysis criteria were met with the following exceptions:

| <b>Date</b> | <b>Lab. Reference/ID</b> | <b>Analyte</b>       | <b>%R (Limits)</b> | <b>Associated Samples</b> | <b>Flag</b>     | <b>A or P</b> |
|-------------|--------------------------|----------------------|--------------------|---------------------------|-----------------|---------------|
| 11/19/21    | CCV (20:46)              | Total organic carbon | 85.6 (90-110)      | All samples in SDG 98212  | J (all detects) | P             |
| 11/20/21    | CCV (05:05)              | Total organic carbon | 84.1 (90-110)      | All samples in SDG 98212  | J (all detects) | P             |

### **IV. Laboratory Blanks**

Laboratory blanks were analyzed as required by the method. No contaminants were found in the laboratory blanks.

### **V. Field Blanks**

No field blanks were identified in this SDG.

### **VI. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates**

The laboratory has indicated that there were no matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyses specified for the samples in this SDG, and therefore matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate analyses were not performed for this SDG.

### **VII. Duplicate Sample Analysis**

The laboratory has indicated that there were no duplicate (DUP) analyses specified for the samples in this SDG, and therefore duplicate analyses were not performed for this SDG.

### **VIII. Laboratory Control Samples**

Laboratory control samples (LCS) and laboratory control samples duplicates (LCSD) were analyzed as required by the method. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits. Relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

### **IX. Field Duplicates**

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

### **X. Target Analyte Quantitation**

Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

### **XI. Overall Assessment of Data**

The analysis was conducted within all specifications of the method. No results were rejected or recommended for exclusion in this SDG.

Due to continuing calibration %R, data were qualified as estimated in one sample.

**Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126  
Total Organic Carbon - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 98212**

| Sample  | Analyte              | Flag            | A or P | Reason (Code)                      |
|---------|----------------------|-----------------|--------|------------------------------------|
| ERH1907 | Total organic carbon | J (all detects) | P      | Continuing calibration (%R)<br>(c) |

**Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126  
Total Organic Carbon - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 98212**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

**Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126  
Total Organic Carbon - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 98212**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

LDC #: 53484D6

### VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET

Date: 3/15/22

SDG #: 98212

Stage 2B

Page: 1 of 1

Laboratory: APPL, Inc., Clovis, CA

Reviewer: ATV

2nd Reviewer: PK

#### METHOD: (Analyte) TOC (EPA SW-846 Method 9060A)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

|       | Validation Area                        |     | Comments |
|-------|--|-----|----------|
| I.    | Sample receipt/Technical holding times | A/A |          |
| II    | Initial calibration                    | A   |          |
| III.  | Calibration verification               | SW  |          |
| IV    | Laboratory Blanks                      | A   |          |
| V     | Field blanks                           | N   |          |
| VI.   | Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates   | N   |          |
| VII.  | Duplicate sample analysis              | N   |          |
| VIII. | Laboratory control samples             | A   | LCS/LCSD |
| IX.   | Field duplicates                       | N   |          |
| X.    | Target Analyte Quantitation            | N   |          |
| XI.   | Overall assessment of data             | A   |          |

Note: A = Acceptable  
 N = Not provided/applicable  
 SW = See worksheet

ND = No compounds detected  
 R = Rinsate  
 FB = Field blank

D = Duplicate  
 TB = Trip blank  
 EB = Equipment blank

SB=Source blank  
 OTHER:

|    | Client ID | Lab ID  | Matrix | Date     |
|----|-----------|---------|--------|----------|
| 1  | ERH1907   | BA46115 | Water  | 11/10/21 |
| 2  |           |         |        |          |
| 3  |           |         |        |          |
| 4  |           |         |        |          |
| 5  |           |         |        |          |
| 6  |           |         |        |          |
| 7  |           |         |        |          |
| 8  |           |         |        |          |
| 9  |           |         |        |          |
| 10 |           |         |        |          |
| 11 |           |         |        |          |
| 12 |           |         |        |          |
| 13 |           |         |        |          |
| 14 |           |         |        |          |
| 15 |           |         |        |          |

Notes: \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_

## VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

### Calibration

**METHOD:** Inorganics, EPA Method See cover

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

- Y  N  N/A Were all instruments calibrated daily, each set-up time, and were the proper number of standards used?
- Y  N  N/A Were all initial and continuing calibration verification percent recoveries (%R) within the control limits of 90-110% ?
- Y  N  N/A Are all correlation coefficients  $\geq 0.995$  ?

**LEVEL IV/D ONLY:**

- Y  N  N/A Were recalculated results acceptable? See Level IV Initial and Continuing Calibration Recalculation Worksheet for recalculations.
- Y  N  N/A Was a balance check conducted prior to the TDS analysis.?
- Y  N  N/A Was the titrant normality checked?

| # | Date     | Calibration ID | Analyte | %R            | Associated Samples | Qualifications Code: c |
|---|----------|----------------|---------|---------------|--------------------|------------------------|
|   | 11/19/21 | CCV (20:46)    | TOC     | 85.6 (90-110) | all                | J/UJ/P (detect)        |
|   | 11/20/21 | CCV (05:05)    | TOC     | 84.1 (90-110) | all                | J/UJ/P (detect)        |
|   |          |                |         |               |                    |                        |
|   |          |                |         |               |                    |                        |
|   |          |                |         |               |                    |                        |
|   |          |                |         |               |                    |                        |
|   |          |                |         |               |                    |                        |
|   |          |                |         |               |                    |                        |
|   |          |                |         |               |                    |                        |
|   |          |                |         |               |                    |                        |
|   |          |                |         |               |                    |                        |
|   |          |                |         |               |                    |                        |
|   |          |                |         |               |                    |                        |
|   |          |                |         |               |                    |                        |
|   |          |                |         |               |                    |                        |
|   |          |                |         |               |                    |                        |
|   |          |                |         |               |                    |                        |
|   |          |                |         |               |                    |                        |
|   |          |                |         |               |                    |                        |
|   |          |                |         |               |                    |                        |
|   |          |                |         |               |                    |                        |

Comments: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

## Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc. Data Validation Report

**Project/Site Name:** Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126

**LDC Report Date:** March 28, 2022

**Parameters:** Gasoline Range Organics

**Validation Level:** Stage 2B

**Laboratory:** APPL, Inc., Clovis, CA

**Sample Delivery Group (SDG):** 98212

| <b>Sample Identification</b> | <b>Laboratory Sample Identification</b> | <b>Matrix</b> | <b>Collection Date</b> |
|------------------------------|---|---------------|------------------------|
| ERH1906                      | BA46114                                 | Water         | 11/10/21               |
| ERH1907                      | BA46115                                 | Water         | 11/10/21               |
| ERH1909                      | BA46116                                 | Water         | 11/10/21               |

## Introduction

This Data Validation Report (DVR) presents data validation findings and results for the associated samples listed on the cover page. Data validation was performed in accordance with the Work Plan/Scope of Work, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 02, January 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 01, April 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 01, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, September 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 03, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, June 2018), the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) Quality Systems Manual (QSM) for Environmental Laboratories, Version 5.3 (2019), the DoD General Validation Guidelines (November 2019), and the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) Data Validation Guidelines Module 1: Data Validation Procedure for Organic Analysis by GC/MS (May 2020). Where specific guidance was not available, the data has been evaluated in a conservative manner consistent with industry standards using professional experience.

The analyses were performed by the following method:

Gasoline Range Organics by Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) SW 846 Method 8260B

All sample results were subjected to Stage 2B data validation, which comprises an evaluation of quality control (QC) summary results.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers utilized during data validation:

- J+ (Estimated, High Bias): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated, displaying high bias, due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- J- (Estimated, Low Bias): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated, displaying low bias, due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- J (Estimated, Bias Indeterminate): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated due to non-conformances discovered during data validation. Bias is indeterminate.
- U (Non-detected): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the analyte should be considered non-detected due to the presence of contaminants detected in the associated blank(s).
- UJ (Non-detected estimated): The analyte was not detected and the associated numerical value is approximate.
- X (Exclusion of data recommended): The sample results (including non-detects) were affected by serious deficiencies in the ability to analyze the sample and to meet published method and project quality control criteria. The presence or absence of the analyte cannot be substantiated by the data provided. Exclusion of the data is recommended.
- NA (Not Applicable): The non-conformance discovered during data validation demonstrates a high bias, while the affected analyte in the associated sample(s) was reported as not detected by the laboratory and did not warrant the qualification of the data.

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.



## Qualification Code Reference

- a ICP Serial Dilution %D was not within control limits.
- b Presumed contamination from preparation (method blank).
- c Calibration %RSD, r, r<sup>2</sup>, %D or %R was noncompliant.
- d The analysis with this flag should not be used because another more technically sound analysis is available.
- e MS/MSD or Duplicate RPD was high.
- f Presumed contamination from FB or ER.
- g ICP ICS results were unsatisfactory.
- h Holding times were exceeded.
- i Internal standard performance was unsatisfactory.
- k Estimated Maximum Possible Concentration (HRGC/HRMS only)
- l LCS/LCSD %R was not within control limits.
- m Result exceeded the calibration range.
- o Cooler temperature or temperature blank was noncompliant and/or sample custody problems.
- p RPD between two columns was high (GC only).
- q MS/MSD recovery was not within control limits.
- s Surrogate recovery was not within control limits.
- t Presumed contamination from trip blank.
- v Unusual problems found with the data not defined elsewhere. Description of the problem can be found in the validation report.
- w LCS/LCSD RPD was high.
- y Chemical recovery was not within control limits (Radiochemistry only).

## **I. Sample Receipt and Technical Holding Times**

All samples were received in good condition and cooler temperatures upon receipt met validation criteria.

All technical holding time requirements were met.

## **II. GC/MS Instrument Performance Check**

Instrument performance check was performed at the required frequency.

All ion abundance requirements were met.

## **III. Initial Calibration and Initial Calibration Verification**

An initial calibration was performed as required by the method.

A curve fit, based on the initial calibration, was established for quantitation. The coefficient of determination ( $r^2$ ) was greater than or equal to 0.990.

The percent differences (%D) of the initial calibration verification (ICV) standard were less than or equal to 20.0% for all analytes.

## **IV. Continuing Calibration**

Continuing calibration was performed at the required frequencies.

The percent differences (%D) were less than or equal to 20.0% for all analytes.

The percent differences (%D) of the ending continuing calibration verifications (CCVs) were less than or equal to 20.0% for all analytes.

## **V. Laboratory Blanks**

Laboratory blanks were analyzed as required by the method. No contaminants were found in the laboratory blanks.

## **VI. Field Blanks**

Sample ERH1906 was identified as a trip blank. No contaminants were found.

## **VII. Surrogates**

Surrogates were added to all samples as required by the method. All surrogate recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

## **VIII. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates**

The laboratory has indicated that there were no matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyses specified for the samples in this SDG, and therefore matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate analyses were not performed for this SDG.

## **IX. Laboratory Control Samples**

Laboratory control samples (LCS) and laboratory control samples duplicates (LCSD) were analyzed as required by the method. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits. Relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

## **X. Field Duplicates**

Samples ERH1907 and ERH1909 were identified as field duplicates. No results were detected in any of the samples.

## **XI. Internal Standards**

All internal standard areas and retention times were within QC limits.

## **XII. Target Analyte Quantitation**

Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

## **XIII. Target Analyte Identification**

Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

## **XIV. System Performance**

Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

## **XV. Overall Assessment of Data**

The analysis was conducted within all specifications of the method. No results were rejected or recommended for exclusion in this SDG.

**Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126  
Gasoline Range Organics - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 98212**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

**Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126  
Gasoline Range Organics - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG  
98212**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

**Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126  
Gasoline Range Organics - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 98212**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

LDC #: 53484D7

# VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET

Date: 3/15/22

SDG #: 98212

Stage 2B

Page: 1 of 1

Laboratory: APPL, Inc., Clovis, CA

Reviewer: [Signature]  
2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

**METHOD:** GC/MS Gasoline Range Organics (EPA SW-846 Method 8260B)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

|       | Validation Area                        |       | Comments                |
|-------|--|-------|-------------------------|
| I.    | Sample receipt/Technical holding times | A / A |                         |
| II.   | GC/MS Instrument performance check     | A     |                         |
| III.  | Initial calibration/ICV                | Δ / A | r <sup>2</sup> 100 ≤ 20 |
| IV.   | Continuing calibration <i>ending</i>   | A     | CV ≤ 20 / 20            |
| V.    | Laboratory Blanks                      | Δ     |                         |
| VI.   | Field blanks                           | ND    | TB = 1                  |
| VII.  | Surrogate spikes                       | A     |                         |
| VIII. | Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates   | N     | CS                      |
| IX.   | Laboratory control samples             | Δ     | les ID                  |
| X.    | Field duplicates                       | ND    | D = 2, 3                |
| XI.   | Internal standards                     | Δ     |                         |
| XII.  | Target analyte quantitation            | N     |                         |
| XIII. | Target analyte identification          | N     |                         |
| XIV.  | System performance                     | N     |                         |
| XV.   | Overall assessment of data             | A     |                         |

Note: A = Acceptable  
N = Not provided/applicable  
SW = See worksheet

ND = No compounds detected  
R = Rinsate  
FB = Field blank

D = Duplicate  
TB = Trip blank  
EB = Equipment blank

SB=Source blank  
OTHER:

|   | Client ID         | Lab ID  | Matrix | Date     |
|---|-------------------|---------|--------|----------|
| 1 | ERH1906 <i>TB</i> | BA46114 | Water  | 11/10/21 |
| 2 | ERH1907           | BA46115 | Water  | 11/10/21 |
| 3 | ERH1909           | BA46116 | Water  | 11/10/21 |
| 4 |                   |         |        |          |
| 5 |                   |         |        |          |
| 6 |                   |         |        |          |
| 7 |                   |         |        |          |
| 8 |                   |         |        |          |
| 9 |                   |         |        |          |

Notes: 5

|               |  |  |  |  |
|---------------|--|--|--|--|
| 21119 AM- BIK |  |  |  |  |
| P7            |  |  |  |  |
|               |  |  |  |  |
|               |  |  |  |  |

**Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc.  
Data Validation Report**

**Project/Site Name:** Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126  
**LDC Report Date:** March 28, 2022  
**Parameters:** Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Extractables  
**Validation Level:** Stage 2B  
**Laboratory:** APPL, Inc., Clovis, CA  
**Sample Delivery Group (SDG):** 98212

| <b>Sample Identification</b> | <b>Laboratory Sample Identification</b> | <b>Matrix</b> | <b>Collection Date</b> |
|------------------------------|---|---------------|------------------------|
| ERH1907                      | BA46115                                 | Water         | 11/10/21               |
| ERH1909                      | BA46116                                 | Water         | 11/10/21               |
| ERH1907(SGCU)                | BA46115(SGCU)                           | Water         | 11/10/21               |
| ERH1909(SGCU)                | BA46116(SGCU)                           | Water         | 11/10/21               |

Samples appended with SGCU underwent "Silica Gel Clean Up"

## Introduction

This Data Validation Report (DVR) presents data validation findings and results for the associated samples listed on the cover page. Data validation was performed in accordance with the Work Plan/Scope of Work, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 02, January 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 01, April 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 01, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, September 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 03, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, June 2018), the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) Quality Systems Manual (QSM) for Environmental Laboratories, Version 5.3 (2019), the DoD General Validation Guidelines (November 2019), and the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) Data Validation Guidelines Module 4: Data Validation Procedure for Organic Analysis by GC (March 2021). Where specific guidance was not available, the data has been evaluated in a conservative manner consistent with industry standards using professional experience.

The analyses were performed by the following method:

Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (TPH) as Extractables by Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) SW 846 Method 8015B

All sample results were subjected to Stage 2B data validation, which comprises an evaluation of quality control (QC) summary results.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers utilized during data validation:

- J+ (Estimated, High Bias): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated, displaying high bias, due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- J- (Estimated, Low Bias): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated, displaying low bias, due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- J (Estimated, Bias Indeterminate): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated due to non-conformances discovered during data validation. Bias is indeterminate.
- U (Non-detected): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the analyte should be considered non-detected due to the presence of contaminants detected in the associated blank(s).
- UJ (Non-detected estimated): The analyte was not detected and the associated numerical value is approximate.
- X (Exclusion of data recommended): The sample results (including non-detects) were affected by serious deficiencies in the ability to analyze the sample and to meet published method and project quality control criteria. The presence or absence of the analyte cannot be substantiated by the data provided. Exclusion of the data is recommended.
- NA (Not Applicable): The non-conformance discovered during data validation demonstrates a high bias, while the affected analyte in the associated sample(s) was reported as not detected by the laboratory and did not warrant the qualification of the data.

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.



## Qualification Code Reference

- a ICP Serial Dilution %D was not within control limits.
- b Presumed contamination from preparation (method blank).
- c Calibration %RSD, r, r<sup>2</sup>, %D or %R was noncompliant.
- d The analysis with this flag should not be used because another more technically sound analysis is available.
- e MS/MSD or Duplicate RPD was high.
- f Presumed contamination from FB or ER.
- g ICP ICS results were unsatisfactory.
- h Holding times were exceeded.
- i Internal standard performance was unsatisfactory.
- k Estimated Maximum Possible Concentration (HRGC/HRMS only)
- l LCS/LCSD %R was not within control limits.
- m Result exceeded the calibration range.
- o Cooler temperature or temperature blank was noncompliant and/or sample custody problems.
- p RPD between two columns was high (GC only).
- q MS/MSD recovery was not within control limits.
- s Surrogate recovery was not within control limits.
- t Presumed contamination from trip blank.
- v Unusual problems found with the data not defined elsewhere. Description of the problem can be found in the validation report.
- w LCS/LCSD RPD was high.
- y Chemical recovery was not within control limits (Radiochemistry only).

## I. Sample Receipt and Technical Holding Times

All samples were received in good condition and cooler temperatures upon receipt met validation criteria.

All technical holding time requirements were met.

## II. Initial Calibration and Initial Calibration Verification

An initial calibration was performed as required by the method.

Where average calibration factors were utilized, percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) were less than or equal to 20.0%.

In the case where the laboratory used a calibration curve to evaluate the analytes, all coefficients of determination ( $r^2$ ) were greater than or equal to 0.990.

The percent differences (%D) of the initial calibration verification (ICV) standard were less than or equal to 20.0% for all analytes.

## III. Continuing Calibration

Continuing calibration was performed at the required frequencies.

The percent differences (%D) were less than or equal to 20.0% for all analytes.

The percent differences (%D) of the ending continuing calibration verifications (CCVs) were less than or equal to 20.0% for all analytes.

## IV. Laboratory Blanks

Laboratory blanks were analyzed as required by the method. No contaminants were found in the laboratory blanks with the following exceptions:

| Blank ID     | Extraction Date | Analyte       | Concentration | Associated Samples             |
|--------------|-----------------|---------------|---------------|--------------------------------|
| 211116A-BLK  | 11/16/21        | Oil (C24-C40) | 340 ug/L      | ERH1907<br>ERH1909             |
| 211116A1-BLK | 11/16/21        | Oil (C24-C40) | 260 ug/L      | ERH1907(SGCU)<br>ERH1909(SGCU) |

Sample concentrations were compared to concentrations detected in the laboratory blanks. The sample concentrations were either not detected or were significantly greater (>5X blank contaminants) than the concentrations found in the associated laboratory blanks with the following exceptions:

| Sample  | Analyte       | Reported Concentration | Modified Final Concentration |
|---------|---------------|------------------------|------------------------------|
| ERH1907 | Oil (C24-C40) | 300 ug/L               | 300U ug/L                    |
| ERH1909 | Oil (C24-C40) | 270 ug/L               | 300U ug/L                    |

**V. Field Blanks**

No field blanks were identified in this SDG.

**VI. Surrogates**

Surrogates were added to all samples as required by the method. All surrogate recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

**VII. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates**

The laboratory has indicated that there were no matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyses specified for the samples in this SDG, and therefore matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate analyses were not performed for this SDG.

**VIII. Laboratory Control Samples**

Laboratory control samples (LCS) and laboratory control samples duplicates (LCSD) were analyzed as required by the method. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits with the following exceptions:

| LCS ID<br>(Associated Samples)                        | Analyte       | LCS<br>%R (Limits) | LCSD<br>%R (Limits) | Flag | A or P |
|---|---------------|--------------------|---------------------|------|--------|
| 211116A1-LCS/LCSD<br>(ERH1907(SGCU)<br>ERH1909(SGCU)) | Oil (C24-C40) | 123 (41-113)       | -                   | NA   | -      |

Relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

**IX. Field Duplicates**

Samples ERH1907 and ERH1909 and samples ERH1907(SGCU) and ERH1909(SGCU) were identified as field duplicates. No results were detected in any of the samples with the following exceptions:

| Analyte       | Concentration (ug/L) |         | RPD (Limits) |
|---------------|----------------------|---------|--------------|
|               | ERH1907              | ERH1909 |              |
| Oil (C24-C40) | 300J                 | 270J    | 11 (≤50)     |

## **X. Target Analyte Quantitation**

Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

## **XI. Target Analyte Identification**

Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

## **XII. Overall Assessment of Data**

The analysis was conducted within all specifications of the method. No results were rejected or recommended for exclusion in this SDG.

Due to laboratory blank contamination, data were qualified as not detected in two samples.

**Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126  
Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Extractables - Data Qualification Summary -  
SDG 98212**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

**Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126  
Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Extractables - Laboratory Blank Data  
Qualification Summary - SDG 98212**

| <b>Sample</b> | <b>Analyte</b> | <b>Modified Final<br/>Concentration</b> | <b>A or P</b> | <b>Code</b> |
|---------------|----------------|---|---------------|-------------|
| ERH1907       | Oil (C24-C40)  | 300U ug/L                               | A             | b           |
| ERH1909       | Oil (C24-C40)  | 300U ug/L                               | A             | b           |

**Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126  
Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Extractables - Field Blank Data Qualification  
Summary - SDG 98212**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

LDC #: 53484D8  
 SDG #: 98212  
 Laboratory: APPL, Inc., Clovis, CA

**VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET**  
 Stage 2B

Date: 3/15/22  
 Page: 1 of 1  
 Reviewer: [Signature]  
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

**METHOD:** GC TPH as Extractables (EPA SW-846 Method 8015B)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

|       | Validation Area                        |        | Comments                |
|-------|--|--------|-------------------------|
| I.    | Sample receipt/Technical holding times | A/A    |                         |
| II.   | Initial calibration/ICV                | A/A    | % PSD ≤ 20, 12 ICV ≤ 20 |
| III.  | Continuing calibration                 | ending | CCV = 20/20             |
| IV.   | Laboratory Blanks                      | SW     |                         |
| V.    | Field blanks                           | N      |                         |
| VI.   | Surrogate spikes                       | A      |                         |
| VII.  | Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates   | N      | CS                      |
| VIII. | Laboratory control samples             | SW     | See ID                  |
| IX.   | Field duplicates                       | SW     | D = 1, 2 * 3, 4         |
| X.    | Target analyte quantitation            | N      |                         |
| XI.   | Target analyte identification          | N      |                         |
| XII.  | Overall assessment of data             | A      |                         |

Note: A = Acceptable ND = No compounds detected D = Duplicate SB=Source blank  
 N = Not provided/applicable R = Rinsate TB = Trip blank OTHER:  
 SW = See worksheet FB = Field blank EB = Equipment blank

|                | Client ID                    | Lab ID        | Matrix | Date     |
|----------------|------------------------------|---------------|--------|----------|
| 1 <sup>+</sup> | ERH1907 D                    | BA46115       | Water  | 11/10/21 |
| 2 <sup>+</sup> | ERH1909 D                    | BA46116       | Water  | 11/10/21 |
| 3 <sup>-</sup> | ERH1907(SGCU) D <sub>1</sub> | BA46115(SGCU) | Water  | 11/10/21 |
| 4 <sup>-</sup> | ERH1909(SGCU) D <sub>1</sub> | BA46116(SGCU) | Water  | 11/10/21 |
| 5              |                              |               |        |          |
| 6              |                              |               |        |          |
| 7              |                              |               |        |          |
| 8              |                              |               |        |          |
| 9              |                              |               |        |          |
| 10             |                              |               |        |          |
| 11             |                              |               |        |          |
| 12             |                              |               |        |          |
| 13             |                              |               |        |          |

Notes:

|   |                |  |  |  |  |
|---|----------------|--|--|--|--|
| + | 211116A - BIK  |  |  |  |  |
| + | 211116A1 - BIK |  |  |  |  |
|   |                |  |  |  |  |
|   |                |  |  |  |  |

LDC #: 5348408

**VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET**

Page: 1 of 1

Blanks

Reviewer: FT

METHOD: GC HPLC

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

X N N/A Were all samples associated with a given method blank?

Y N N/A Was a method blank performed for each matrix and whenever a sample extraction procedure was performed?

Y N N/A Was a method blank performed with each extraction batch?

Y N N/A Were any contaminants found in the method blanks? If yes, please see findings below.

Result  
LOD

(b)

**Level IY/D Only**

Y N N/A (Gasoline and aromatics only) Was a method blank analyzed with each 24 hour batch?

Y N N/A Was a method blank analyzed for each analytical / extraction batch of ≤20 samples?

Blank extraction date: 11/16/21 Blank analysis date: 11/18/21

Associated samples: 1, 2

Conc. units: ug/l

| Compound             | Blank ID           | Sample Identification |                           |  |  |
|----------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|--|--|
|                      | <u>211116A-BLK</u> | <u>1</u>              | <u>2</u>                  |  |  |
| <u>Oil (C24-C40)</u> | <u>340</u>         | <u>300 U</u>          | <u>270</u>   <u>300 U</u> |  |  |
|                      | <u>320</u>         | <u>320</u>            | <u>320</u>                |  |  |
|                      |                    |                       |                           |  |  |
|                      |                    |                       |                           |  |  |
|                      |                    |                       |                           |  |  |
|                      |                    |                       |                           |  |  |

Blank extraction date: 11/16/21 Blank analysis date: 11/19/21

Associated samples: 3, 4 (ND)

Conc. units: ug/l

| Compound             | Blank ID            | Sample Identification |  |  |  |
|----------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|--|--|--|
|                      | <u>211116A1-BLK</u> |                       |  |  |  |
| <u>Oil (C24-C40)</u> | <u>260</u>          |                       |  |  |  |
|                      | <u>320</u>          |                       |  |  |  |
|                      |                     |                       |  |  |  |
|                      |                     |                       |  |  |  |
|                      |                     |                       |  |  |  |
|                      |                     |                       |  |  |  |

ALL CIRCLED RESULTS WERE NOT QUALIFIED. ALL RESULTS NOT CIRCLED WERE QUALIFIED BY THE FOLLOWING STATEMENT:

All contaminants within five times the method blank concentration were qualified as not detected, "U".

LDC #: 53484D8

# VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

## Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)

Page: 1 of 1  
Reviewer: FT

METHOD:  GC  HPLC

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

Y  N  N/A Were a laboratory control samples (LCS) and laboratory control sample duplicate (LCSD) analyzed for each matrix in this SDG?

Y  N  N/A Were the LCS percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) within the QC limits?

Level I/II Only

Y  N  N/A Was an LCS analyzed every 20 samples for each matrix or whenever a sample extraction was performed?

(1)

| # | LCS/LCSD ID                      | Compound                                | LCS<br>%R (Limits) | LCSD<br>%R (Limits) | RPD (Limits) | Associated Samples   | Qualifications |
|---|----------------------------------|---|--------------------|---------------------|--------------|----------------------|----------------|
|   | 21116A1-<br><del>BLK</del> LCS/D | Oil (C <sub>24</sub> -C <sub>40</sub> ) | 123 (41-113)       | ( )                 | ( )          | 3, 4,<br>21116A1-BLK | Std / P N/A    |
|   |                                  |   | ( )                | ( )                 | ( )          |                      |                |
|   |                                  |   | ( )                | ( )                 | ( )          |                      |                |
|   |                                  |   | ( )                | ( )                 | ( )          |                      |                |
|   |                                  |   | ( )                | ( )                 | ( )          |                      |                |
|   |                                  |   | ( )                | ( )                 | ( )          |                      |                |
|   |                                  |   | ( )                | ( )                 | ( )          |                      |                |
|   |                                  |   | ( )                | ( )                 | ( )          |                      |                |
|   |                                  |   | ( )                | ( )                 | ( )          |                      |                |
|   |                                  |   | ( )                | ( )                 | ( )          |                      |                |
|   |                                  |   | ( )                | ( )                 | ( )          |                      |                |
|   |                                  |   | ( )                | ( )                 | ( )          |                      |                |
|   |                                  |   | ( )                | ( )                 | ( )          |                      |                |
|   |                                  |   | ( )                | ( )                 | ( )          |                      |                |
|   |                                  |   | ( )                | ( )                 | ( )          |                      |                |
|   |                                  |   | ( )                | ( )                 | ( )          |                      |                |
|   |                                  |   | ( )                | ( )                 | ( )          |                      |                |
|   |                                  |   | ( )                | ( )                 | ( )          |                      |                |



**VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET**  
**Field Duplicates**

**METHOD:** GC (EPA Method 8015B)

Y N N/A  
Y N N/A

Were field duplicate pairs identified in this SDG?  
 Were target compounds detected in the field duplicate pairs?

| Compound               | Concentration ( <u>ug/L</u> ) |              | RPD<br>( ≤ 50% ) | QUAL |
|------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------|------------------|------|
|                        | 1                             | 2            |                  |      |
| <u>DiI (C24 - C40)</u> | <u>300 J</u>                  | <u>270 J</u> | <u>11</u>        |      |
|                        |                               |              |                  |      |
|                        |                               |              |                  |      |
|                        |                               |              |                  |      |

| Compound | Concentration ( ) |  | RPD<br>( ≤ % ) | QUAL |
|----------|-------------------|--|----------------|------|
|          |                   |  |                |      |
|          |                   |  |                |      |
|          |                   |  |                |      |
|          |                   |  |                |      |
|          |                   |  |                |      |

| Compound | Concentration ( ) |  | RPD<br>( ≤ % ) | QUAL |
|----------|-------------------|--|----------------|------|
|          |                   |  |                |      |
|          |                   |  |                |      |
|          |                   |  |                |      |
|          |                   |  |                |      |
|          |                   |  |                |      |

| Compound | Concentration ( ) |  | RPD<br>( ≤ % ) | QUAL |
|----------|-------------------|--|----------------|------|
|          |                   |  |                |      |
|          |                   |  |                |      |
|          |                   |  |                |      |
|          |                   |  |                |      |
|          |                   |  |                |      |

## Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc. Data Validation Report

**Project/Site Name:** Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126

**LDC Report Date:** March 27, 2022

**Parameters:** Volatiles

**Validation Level:** Stage 2B

**Laboratory:** APPL, Inc., Clovis, CA

**Sample Delivery Group (SDG):** 98336

| <b>Sample Identification</b> | <b>Laboratory Sample Identification</b> | <b>Matrix</b> | <b>Collection Date</b> |
|------------------------------|---|---------------|------------------------|
| ERH1958                      | BA46970                                 | Water         | 11/24/21               |
| ERH1959                      | BA46971                                 | Water         | 11/24/21               |
| ERH1961                      | BA46972                                 | Water         | 11/24/21               |
| ERH1962                      | BA46973                                 | Water         | 11/24/21               |
| ERH1964                      | BA46974                                 | Water         | 11/24/21               |

## Introduction

This Data Validation Report (DVR) presents data validation findings and results for the associated samples listed on the cover page. Data validation was performed in accordance with the Work Plan/Scope of Work, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 02, January 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 01, April 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 01, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, September 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 03, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, June 2018), the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) Quality Systems Manual (QSM) for Environmental Laboratories, Version 5.3 (2019), the DoD General Validation Guidelines (November 2019), and the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) Data Validation Guidelines Module 1: Data Validation Procedure for Organic Analysis by GC/MS (May 2020). Where specific guidance was not available, the data has been evaluated in a conservative manner consistent with industry standards using professional experience.

The analyses were performed by the following method:

Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs) which are Benzene, Toluene, Ethylbenzene, and Xylenes (BTEX) by Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) SW 846 Method 8260B

All sample results were subjected to Stage 2B data validation, which comprises an evaluation of quality control (QC) summary results.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers utilized during data validation:

- J+ (Estimated, High Bias): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated, displaying high bias, due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- J- (Estimated, Low Bias): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated, displaying low bias, due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- J (Estimated, Bias Indeterminate): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated due to non-conformances discovered during data validation. Bias is indeterminate.
- U (Non-detected): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the analyte should be considered non-detected due to the presence of contaminants detected in the associated blank(s).
- UU (Non-detected estimated): The analyte was not detected and the associated numerical value is approximate.
- X (Exclusion of data recommended): The sample results (including non-detects) were affected by serious deficiencies in the ability to analyze the sample and to meet published method and project quality control criteria. The presence or absence of the analyte cannot be substantiated by the data provided. Exclusion of the data is recommended.
- NA (Not Applicable): The non-conformance discovered during data validation demonstrates a high bias, while the affected analyte in the associated sample(s) was reported as not detected by the laboratory and did not warrant the qualification of the data.

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

## Qualification Code Reference

- a ICP Serial Dilution %D was not within control limits.
- b Presumed contamination from preparation (method blank).
- c Calibration %RSD, r, r<sup>2</sup>, %D or %R was noncompliant.
- d The analysis with this flag should not be used because another more technically sound analysis is available.
- e MS/MSD or Duplicate RPD was high.
- f Presumed contamination from FB or ER.
- g ICP ICS results were unsatisfactory.
- h Holding times were exceeded.
- i Internal standard performance was unsatisfactory.
- k Estimated Maximum Possible Concentration (HRGC/HRMS only)
- l LCS/LCSD %R was not within control limits.
- m Result exceeded the calibration range.
- o Cooler temperature or temperature blank was noncompliant and/or sample custody problems.
- p RPD between two columns was high (GC only).
- q MS/MSD recovery was not within control limits.
- s Surrogate recovery was not within control limits.
- t Presumed contamination from trip blank.
- v Unusual problems found with the data not defined elsewhere. Description of the problem can be found in the validation report.
- w LCS/LCSD RPD was high.
- y Chemical recovery was not within control limits (Radiochemistry only).

## **I. Sample Receipt and Technical Holding Times**

All samples were received in good condition and cooler temperatures upon receipt met validation criteria.

All technical holding time requirements were met.

## **II. GC/MS Instrument Performance Check**

A bromofluorobenzene (BFB) tune was performed at 12 hour intervals.

All ion abundance requirements were met.

## **III. Initial Calibration and Initial Calibration Verification**

An initial calibration was performed as required by the method.

The percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) were less than or equal to 15.0% for all analytes.

Average relative response factors (RRF) for all analytes were within validation criteria.

The percent differences (%D) of the initial calibration verification (ICV) standard were less than or equal to 20.0% for all analytes.

## **IV. Continuing Calibration**

Continuing calibration was performed at the required frequencies.

The percent differences (%D) were less than or equal to 20.0% for all analytes.

The percent differences (%D) of the ending continuing calibration verifications (CCVs) were less than or equal to 50.0% for all analytes.

All of the continuing calibration relative response factors (RRF) were within validation criteria.

## **V. Laboratory Blanks**

Laboratory blanks were analyzed as required by the method. No contaminants were found in the laboratory blanks.

## **VI. Field Blanks**

Samples ERH1958 and ERH1961 were identified as trip blanks. No contaminants were found.

## **VII. Surrogates**

Surrogates were added to all samples as required by the method. All surrogate recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

## **VIII. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates**

The laboratory has indicated that there were no matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyses specified for the samples in this SDG, and therefore matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate analyses were not performed for this SDG.

## **IX. Laboratory Control Samples**

Laboratory control samples (LCS) and laboratory control samples duplicates (LCSD) were analyzed as required by the method. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits. Relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

## **X. Field Duplicates**

Samples ERH1962 and ERH1964 were identified as field duplicates. No results were detected in any of the samples.

## **XI. Internal Standards**

All internal standard areas and retention times were within QC limits.

## **XII. Target Analyte Quantitation**

Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

## **XIII. Target Analyte Identification**

Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

## **XIV. System Performance**

Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

## **XV. Overall Assessment of Data**

The analysis was conducted within all specifications of the method. No results were rejected or recommended for exclusion in this SDG.

**Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126  
Volatiles - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 98336**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

**Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126  
Volatiles - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 98336**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

**Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126  
Volatiles - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 98336**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG



LDC #: 53484E1a

**VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET**

Date: 3/15/22

SDG #: 98336

Stage 2B

Page: 1 of 1

Laboratory: APPL, Inc., Clovis, CA

Reviewer: [Signature]  
2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

**METHOD:** GC/MS Volatiles (BTEX) (EPA SW-846 Method 8260B)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

|       | Validation Area                        |          | Comments                 |
|-------|--|----------|--------------------------|
| I.    | Sample receipt/Technical holding times | A/A      |                          |
| II.   | GC/MS Instrument performance check     | Δ        |                          |
| III.  | Initial calibration/ICV                | A/A      | % PSD ≤ 15      ICV ≤ 20 |
| IV.   | Continuing calibration                 | ending Δ | CCV ≤ 20/50              |
| V.    | Laboratory Blanks                      | Δ        |                          |
| VI.   | Field blanks                           | ND       | TB = 1, 3                |
| VII.  | Surrogate spikes                       | Δ        |                          |
| VIII. | Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates   | N        | CS                       |
| IX.   | Laboratory control samples             | Δ        | les 10                   |
| X.    | Field duplicates                       | ND       | D = 4, 5                 |
| XI.   | Internal standards                     | Δ        |                          |
| XII.  | Target analyte quantitation            | N        |                          |
| XIII. | Target analyte identification          | N        |                          |
| XIV.  | System performance                     | N        |                          |
| XV.   | Overall assessment of data             | Δ        |                          |

Note: A = Acceptable      ND = No compounds detected      D = Duplicate      SB=Source blank  
 N = Not provided/applicable      R = Rinsate      TB = Trip blank      OTHER:  
 SW = See worksheet      FB = Field blank      EB = Equipment blank

|   | Client ID  | Lab ID  | Matrix | Date     |
|---|------------|---------|--------|----------|
| 1 | ERH1958 TB | BA46970 | Water  | 11/24/21 |
| 2 | ERH1959    | BA46971 | Water  | 11/24/21 |
| 3 | ERH1961 TB | BA46972 | Water  | 11/24/21 |
| 4 | ERH1962 D  | BA46973 | Water  | 11/24/21 |
| 5 | ERH1964 D  | BA46974 | Water  | 11/24/21 |
| 6 |            |         |        |          |
| 7 |            |         |        |          |
| 8 |            |         |        |          |
| 9 |            |         |        |          |

Notes:

|           |  |  |  |  |
|-----------|--|--|--|--|
| AZ 211207 |  |  |  |  |
|           |  |  |  |  |
|           |  |  |  |  |

## Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc. Data Validation Report

**Project/Site Name:** Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126

**LDC Report Date:** March 27, 2022

**Parameters:** Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons

**Validation Level:** Stage 2B

**Laboratory:** APPL, Inc., Clovis, CA

**Sample Delivery Group (SDG):** 98336

| <b>Sample Identification</b> | <b>Laboratory Sample Identification</b> | <b>Matrix</b> | <b>Collection Date</b> |
|------------------------------|---|---------------|------------------------|
| ERH1959                      | BA46971                                 | Water         | 11/24/21               |
| ERH1962                      | BA46973                                 | Water         | 11/24/21               |
| ERH1964                      | BA46974                                 | Water         | 11/24/21               |

## Introduction

This Data Validation Report (DVR) presents data validation findings and results for the associated samples listed on the cover page. Data validation was performed in accordance with the Work Plan/Scope of Work, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 02, January 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 01, April 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 01, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, September 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 03, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, June 2018), the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) Quality Systems Manual (QSM) for Environmental Laboratories, Version 5.3 (2019), the DoD General Validation Guidelines (November 2019), and the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) Data Validation Guidelines Module 1: Data Validation Procedure for Organic Analysis by GC/MS (May 2020). Where specific guidance was not available, the data has been evaluated in a conservative manner consistent with industry standards using professional experience.

The analyses were performed by the following method:

Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAHs) which are 1-Methylnaphthalene, 2-Methylnaphthalene, and Naphthalene by Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) SW 846 Method 8270D in Selected Ion Monitoring (SIM) mode

All sample results were subjected to Stage 2B data validation, which comprises an evaluation of quality control (QC) summary results. Samples appended with a double asterisk on the cover page were subjected to Stage 4 data validation, which is comprised of the QC summary forms as well as the raw data, to confirm sample quantitation and identification.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers utilized during data validation:

- J+ (Estimated, High Bias): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated, displaying high bias, due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- J- (Estimated, Low Bias): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated, displaying low bias, due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- J (Estimated, Bias Indeterminate): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated due to non-conformances discovered during data validation. Bias is indeterminate.
- U (Non-detected): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the analyte should be considered non-detected due to the presence of contaminants detected in the associated blank(s).
- UJ (Non-detected estimated): The analyte was not detected and the associated numerical value is approximate.
- X (Exclusion of data recommended): The sample results (including non-detects) were affected by serious deficiencies in the ability to analyze the sample and to meet published method and project quality control criteria. The presence or absence of the analyte cannot be substantiated by the data provided. Exclusion of the data is recommended.
- NA (Not Applicable): The non-conformance discovered during data validation demonstrates a high bias, while the affected analyte in the associated sample(s) was reported as not detected by the laboratory and did not warrant the qualification of the data.

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

## Qualification Code Reference

- a ICP Serial Dilution %D was not within control limits.
- b Presumed contamination from preparation (method blank).
- c Calibration %RSD, r, r<sup>2</sup>, %D or %R was noncompliant.
- d The analysis with this flag should not be used because another more technically sound analysis is available.
- e MS/MSD or Duplicate RPD was high.
- f Presumed contamination from FB or ER.
- g ICP ICS results were unsatisfactory.
- h Holding times were exceeded.
- i Internal standard performance was unsatisfactory.
- k Estimated Maximum Possible Concentration (HRGC/HRMS only)
- l LCS/LCSD %R was not within control limits.
- m Result exceeded the calibration range.
- o Cooler temperature or temperature blank was noncompliant and/or sample custody problems.
- p RPD between two columns was high (GC only).
- q MS/MSD recovery was not within control limits.
- s Surrogate recovery was not within control limits.
- t Presumed contamination from trip blank.
- v Unusual problems found with the data not defined elsewhere. Description of the problem can be found in the validation report.
- w LCS/LCSD RPD was high.
- y Chemical recovery was not within control limits (Radiochemistry only).

## **I. Sample Receipt and Technical Holding Times**

All samples were received in good condition and cooler temperatures upon receipt met validation criteria.

All technical holding time requirements were met.

## **II. GC/MS Instrument Performance Check**

A decafluorotriphenylphosphine (DFTPP) tune was performed at 12 hour intervals.

All ion abundance requirements were met.

## **III. Initial Calibration and Initial Calibration Verification**

An initial calibration was performed as required by the method.

The percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) were less than or equal to 15.0% for all analytes.

Average relative response factors (RRF) for all analytes were within validation criteria.

The percent differences (%D) of the initial calibration verification (ICV) standard were less than or equal to 20.0% for all analytes.

## **IV. Continuing Calibration**

Continuing calibration was performed at the required frequencies.

The percent differences (%D) were less than or equal to 20.0% for all analytes.

The percent differences (%D) of the ending continuing calibration verifications (CCVs) were less than or equal to 50.0% for all analytes.

All of the continuing calibration relative response factors (RRF) were within validation criteria.

## **V. Laboratory Blanks**

Laboratory blanks were analyzed as required by the method. No contaminants were found in the laboratory blanks.

## **VI. Field Blanks**

No field blanks were identified in this SDG.

## VII. Surrogates

Surrogates were added to all samples as required by the method. All surrogate recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

## VIII. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

The laboratory has indicated that there were no matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyses specified for the samples in this SDG, and therefore matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate analyses were not performed for this SDG.

## IX. Laboratory Control Samples

Laboratory control samples (LCS) and laboratory control samples duplicates (LCSD) were analyzed as required by the method. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

Relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits with the following exceptions:

| LCS ID<br>(Associated Samples)                     | Analyte                                    | RPD<br>(Limits)          | Flag                               | A or P |
|--|--|--------------------------|------------------------------------|--------|
| 211129AK-LCS/LCSD<br>(All samples in SDG<br>98336) | 1-Methylnaphthalene<br>2-Methylnaphthalene | 40.8 (≤20)<br>45.3 (≤20) | J (all detects)<br>J (all detects) | P      |
| 211129AK-LCS/LCSD<br>(ERH1959<br>ERH1964)          | Naphthalene                                | 31.0 (≤20)               | J (all detects)                    | P      |
| 211129AK-LCS/LCSD<br>(ERH1962)                     | Naphthalene                                | 31.0 (≤20)               | NA                                 | -      |

## X. Field Duplicates

Samples ERH1962 and ERH1964 were identified as field duplicates. No results were detected in any of the samples with the following exceptions:

| Analyte             | Concentration (ug/L) |         | RPD (Limits) |
|---------------------|----------------------|---------|--------------|
|                     | ERH1962              | ERH1964 |              |
| 1-Methylnaphthalene | 0.055J               | 0.063J  | 14 (≤50)     |
| 2-Methylnaphthalene | 0.061J               | 0.070J  | 14 (≤50)     |
| Naphthalene         | 0.10U                | 0.043J  | 200 (≤50)    |

## **XI. Internal Standards**

All internal standard areas and retention times were within QC limits.

## **XII. Target Analyte Quantitation**

Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

## **XIII. Target Analyte Identification**

Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

## **XIV. System Performance**

Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

## **XV. Overall Assessment of Data**

The analysis was conducted within all specifications of the method. No results were rejected or recommended for exclusion in this SDG.

Due to LCS/LCSD RPD, data were qualified as estimated in three samples.



**Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126  
 Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 98336**

| Sample                        | Analyte                                    | Flag                               | A or P | Reason                               |
|-------------------------------|--|------------------------------------|--------|--------------------------------------|
| ERH1959<br>ERH1962<br>ERH1964 | 1-Methylnaphthalene<br>2-Methylnaphthalene | J (all detects)<br>J (all detects) | P      | Laboratory control samples (RPD) (w) |
| ERH1959<br>ERH1964            | Naphthalene                                | J (all detects)                    | P      | Laboratory control samples (RPD) (w) |

**Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126  
 Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 98336**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

**Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126  
 Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 98336**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

LDC #: 53484E2b  
 SDG #: 98336  
 Laboratory: APPL, Inc., Clovis, CA

**VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET**  
 Stage 2B

Date: 3/15/22  
 Page: 1 of 1  
 Reviewer: [Signature]  
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

**METHOD:** GC/MS Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons (EPA SW-846 Method 8270D-SIM)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

|       | Validation Area                        |     | Comments                 |
|-------|--|-----|--------------------------|
| I.    | Sample receipt/Technical holding times | A/D |                          |
| II.   | GC/MS Instrument performance check     | Δ   |                          |
| III.  | Initial calibration/ICV                | A/D | % RSD ≤ 15      ICV ≤ 20 |
| IV.   | Continuing calibration <i>ending</i>   | Δ   | CW ≤ 20/SD               |
| V.    | Laboratory Blanks                      | Δ   |                          |
| VI.   | Field blanks                           | N   |                          |
| VII.  | Surrogate spikes                       | A   |                          |
| VIII. | Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates   | N   | CS                       |
| IX.   | Laboratory control samples             | SW  | LCSD                     |
| X.    | Field duplicates                       | SW  | D = 2, 3                 |
| XI.   | Internal standards                     | Δ   |                          |
| XII.  | Target analyte quantitation            | N   |                          |
| XIII. | Target analyte identification          | N   |                          |
| XIV.  | System performance                     | N   |                          |
| XV.   | Overall assessment of data             | A   |                          |

Note: A = Acceptable      ND = No compounds detected      D = Duplicate      SB=Source blank  
 N = Not provided/applicable      R = Rinsate      TB = Trip blank      OTHER:  
 SW = See worksheet      FB = Field blank      EB = Equipment blank

|     | Client ID        | Lab ID  | Matrix | Date     |
|-----|------------------|---------|--------|----------|
| 1 † | ERH1959          | BA46971 | Water  | 11/24/21 |
| 2   | ERH1962 <i>D</i> | BA46973 | Water  | 11/24/21 |
| 3   | ERH1964 <i>D</i> | BA46974 | Water  | 11/24/21 |
| 4   |                  |         |        |          |
| 5   |                  |         |        |          |
| 6   |                  |         |        |          |
| 7   |                  |         |        |          |
| 8   |                  |         |        |          |
| 9   |                  |         |        |          |

Notes:

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

## VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

### METHOD: GC/MS SVOA

|                                 |                                 |                                  |   |  |
|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|---|--|
| A. Phenol                       | CC. Dimethylphthalate           | EEE. Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate  | GGGG. C30-Hopane                          | I1. Methyl methanesulfonate            |
| B. Bis (2-chloroethyl) ether    | DD. Acenaphthylene              | FFF. Di-n-octylphthalate         | HHHH. 1-Methylphenanthrene                | J1. Ethyl methanesulfonate             |
| C. 2-Chlorophenol               | EE. 2,6-Dinitrotoluene          | GGG. Benzo(b)fluoranthene        | IIII. 1,4-Dioxane                         | K1. o,o',o''-Triethylphosphorothioate  |
| D. 1,3-Dichlorobenzene          | FF. 3-Nitroaniline              | HHH. Benzo(k)fluoranthene        | JJJJ. Acetophenone                        | L1. n-Phenylene diamine                |
| E. 1,4-Dichlorobenzene          | GG. Acenaphthene                | III. Benzo(a)pyrene              | KKKK. Atrazine                            | M1. 1,4-Naphthoquinone                 |
| F. 1,2-Dichlorobenzene          | HH. 2,4-Dinitrophenol           | JJJ. Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene      | LLLL. Benzaldehyde                        | N1. N-Nitro-o-toluidine                |
| G. 2-Methylphenol               | II. 4-Nitrophenol               | KKK. Dibenz(a,h)anthracene       | MMMM. Caprolactam                         | O1. 1,3,5-Trinitrobenzene              |
| H. 2,2'-Oxybis(1-chloropropane) | JJ. Dibenzofuran                | LLL. Benzo(g,h,i)perylene        | NNNN. 2,6-Dichlorophenol                  | P1. Pentachlorobenzene                 |
| I. 4-Methylphenol               | KK. 2,4-Dinitrotoluene          | MMM. Bis(2-Chloroisopropyl)ether | OOOO. 1,2-Diphenylhydrazine               | Q1. 4-Aminobiphenyl                    |
| J. N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine   | LL. Diethylphthalate            | NNN. Aniline                     | PPPP. 3-Methylphenol                      | R1. 2-Naphthylamine                    |
| K. Hexachloroethane             | MM. 4-Chlorophenyl-phenyl ether | OOO. N-Nitrosodimethylamine      | QQQQ. 3&4-Methylphenol                    | S1. Triphenylene                       |
| L. Nitrobenzene                 | NN. Fluorene                    | PPP. Benzoic Acid                | RRRR. 4-Dimethyldibenzothiophene (4MDT)   | T1. Octachlorostyrene                  |
| M. Isophorone                   | OO. 4-Nitroaniline              | QQQ. Benzyl alcohol              | SSSS. 2/3-Dimethyldibenzothiophene (4MDT) | U1. Famphur                            |
| N. 2-Nitrophenol                | PP. 4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol  | RRR. Pyridine                    | TTTT. 1-Methyldibenzothiophene (1MDT)     | V1. 1,4-phenylenediamine               |
| O. 2,4-Dimethylphenol           | QQ. N-Nitrosodiphenylamine      | SSS. Benzidine                   | UUUU.. 2,3,4,6-Tetrachlorophenol          | W1. Methapyrilene                      |
| P. Bis(2-chloroethoxy)methane   | RR. 4-Bromophenyl-phenylether   | TTT. 1-Methylnaphthalene         | VVVV. 1,2,4,5-Tetrachlorobenzene          | X1. Pentachloroethane                  |
| Q. 2,4-Dichlorophenol           | SS. Hexachlorobenzene           | UUU. Benzo(b)thiophene           | WWWWW.. 2-Picoline                        | Y1. 3,3'-Dimethylbenzidine             |
| R. 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene       | TT. Pentachlorophenol           | VVV. Benzonaphthothiophene       | XXXX. 3-Methylcholanthrene                | Z1. o-Toluidine                        |
| S. Naphthalene                  | UU. Phenanthrene                | WWW. Benzo(e)pyrene              | YYYY. a,a-Dimethylphenethylamine          | A2. 1-Naphthylamine                    |
| T. 4-Chloroaniline              | VV. Anthracene                  | XXX. 2,6-Dimethylnaphthalene     | ZZZZ. Hexachloropropene                   | B2. 4-Aminobiphenyl                    |
| U. Hexachlorobutadiene          | WW. Carbazole                   | YYY. 2,3,5-Trimethylnaphthalene  | A1. N-Nitrosodiethylamine                 | C2. 4-Nitroquinoline-1-oxide           |
| V. 4-Chloro-3-methylphenol      | XX. Di-n-butylphthalate         | ZZZ. Perylene                    | B1. N-Nitrosodi-n-butylamine              | D2. Hexachloropene                     |
| W. 2-Methylnaphthalene          | YY. Fluoranthene                | AAAA. Dibenzothiophene           | C1. N-Nitrosomethylethylamine             | E2. Bis (2-chloro-1-methylethyl) ether |
| X. Hexachlorocyclopentadiene    | ZZ. Pyrene                      | BBBB. Benzo(a)fluoranthene       | D1. N-Nitrosomorpholine                   | F2. Bifenthrin                         |
| Y. 2,4,6-Trichlorophenol        | AAA. Butylbenzylphthalate       | CCCC. Benzo(b)fluorene           | E1. N-Nitrosopyrrolidine                  | G2. Cyfluthrin                         |
| Z. 2,4,5-Trichlorophenol        | BBB. 3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine     | DDDD. cis/trans-Decalin          | F1. Phenacetin                            | H2. Cypermethrin                       |
| AA. 2-Chloronaphthalene         | CCC. Benzo(a)anthracene         | EEEE. Biphenyl                   | G1. 2-Acetylaminofluorene                 | I2. Permethrin (cis/trans)             |
| BB. 2-Nitroaniline              | DDD. Chrysene                   | FFFF. Retene                     | H1. Pronamide                             | J2. 5-Nitro-o-toluidine                |

LDC #: 53484 E2b

**VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET**  
**Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)**

Page: 1 of 1  
 Reviewer: FT

F7

METHOD: GC/MS ~~BNA~~ (Method 8270D SIM)  
PAH

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

N N/A

Was a LCS required?

N N/A

Were the LCS/LCSD percent recoveries (%R) and the relative percent differences (RPD) within the QC limits?

(w)

| # | LCS/LCSD ID     | Compound   | LCS %R (Limits) | LCSD %R (Limits) | RPD (Limits)       | Associated Samples | Qualifications       |
|---|-----------------|------------|-----------------|------------------|--------------------|--------------------|----------------------|
|   | <u>211129AK</u> | <u>TTT</u> | ( )             | ( )              | <u>40.8 ( 20 )</u> | <u>All</u>         | <u>Low/P All Det</u> |
|   | <u>-LCSD</u>    | <u>W</u>   | ( )             | ( )              | <u>45.3 ( ↓ )</u>  | <u>↓</u>           | <u>↓ ↓</u>           |
|   |                 | <u>S</u>   | ( )             | ( )              | <u>31.0 ( ↓ )</u>  | <u>↓</u>           | <u>#1, 3 Det</u>     |
|   |                 |            | ( )             | ( )              | ( )                |                    |                      |
|   |                 |            | ( )             | ( )              | ( )                |                    |                      |
|   |                 |            | ( )             | ( )              | ( )                |                    |                      |
|   |                 |            | ( )             | ( )              | ( )                |                    |                      |
|   |                 |            | ( )             | ( )              | ( )                |                    |                      |
|   |                 |            | ( )             | ( )              | ( )                |                    |                      |
|   |                 |            | ( )             | ( )              | ( )                |                    |                      |
|   |                 |            | ( )             | ( )              | ( )                |                    |                      |
|   |                 |            | ( )             | ( )              | ( )                |                    |                      |
|   |                 |            | ( )             | ( )              | ( )                |                    |                      |
|   |                 |            | ( )             | ( )              | ( )                |                    |                      |
|   |                 |            | ( )             | ( )              | ( )                |                    |                      |
|   |                 |            | ( )             | ( )              | ( )                |                    |                      |
|   |                 |            | ( )             | ( )              | ( )                |                    |                      |
|   |                 |            | ( )             | ( )              | ( )                |                    |                      |
|   |                 |            | ( )             | ( )              | ( )                |                    |                      |
|   |                 |            | ( )             | ( )              | ( )                |                    |                      |
|   |                 |            | ( )             | ( )              | ( )                |                    |                      |
|   |                 |            | ( )             | ( )              | ( )                |                    |                      |
|   |                 |            | ( )             | ( )              | ( )                |                    |                      |
|   |                 |            | ( )             | ( )              | ( )                |                    |                      |
|   |                 |            | ( )             | ( )              | ( )                |                    |                      |
|   |                 |            | ( )             | ( )              | ( )                |                    |                      |

**VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET**  
**Field Duplicates**

**METHOD:** GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270 D) - SIM

(Y) N N/A  
(Y) N N/A

Were field duplicate pairs identified in this SDG?  
Were target analytes identified in the field duplicate pairs?

| Compound       | Concentration (ug/L) |         | RPD<br>(≤ 50 %) | QUAL |
|----------------|----------------------|---------|-----------------|------|
|                | 2                    | 3       |                 |      |
| TTT            | 0.055 J              | 0.063 J | 14              | /    |
| <del>W</del> W | 0.061 J              | 0.070 J | 14              |      |
| S              | 0.104                | 0.043 J | 200             |      |
|                |                      |         |                 |      |
|                |                      |         |                 |      |
|                |                      |         |                 |      |
|                |                      |         |                 |      |
|                |                      |         |                 |      |

| Compound | Concentration ( ) |  | RPD<br>(≤ %) | QUAL |
|----------|-------------------|--|--------------|------|
|          |                   |  |              |      |
|          |                   |  |              |      |
|          |                   |  |              |      |
|          |                   |  |              |      |
|          |                   |  |              |      |
|          |                   |  |              |      |
|          |                   |  |              |      |
|          |                   |  |              |      |
|          |                   |  |              |      |

| Compound | Concentration ( ) |  | RPD<br>(≤ %) | QUAL |
|----------|-------------------|--|--------------|------|
|          |                   |  |              |      |
|          |                   |  |              |      |
|          |                   |  |              |      |
|          |                   |  |              |      |
|          |                   |  |              |      |
|          |                   |  |              |      |
|          |                   |  |              |      |
|          |                   |  |              |      |
|          |                   |  |              |      |

## Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc. Data Validation Report

**Project/Site Name:** Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126

**LDC Report Date:** March 28, 2022

**Parameters:** Total Organic Carbon

**Validation Level:** Stage 2B

**Laboratory:** APPL, Inc., Clovis, CA

**Sample Delivery Group (SDG):** 98336

| <b>Sample Identification</b> | <b>Laboratory Sample Identification</b> | <b>Matrix</b> | <b>Collection Date</b> |
|------------------------------|---|---------------|------------------------|
| ERH1959                      | BA46971                                 | Water         | 11/24/21               |
| ERH1962                      | BA46973                                 | Water         | 11/24/21               |

## Introduction

This Data Validation Report (DVR) presents data validation findings and results for the associated samples listed on the cover page. Data validation was performed in accordance with the Work Plan/Scope of Work, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 02, January 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 01, April 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 01, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, September 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 03, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, June 2018), the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) Quality Systems Manual (QSM) for Environmental Laboratories, Version 5.3 (2019), and the DoD General Validation Guidelines (November 2019). Where specific guidance was not available, the data has been evaluated in a conservative manner consistent with industry standards using professional experience.

The analyses were performed by the following method:

Total Organic Carbon by Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) SW 846 Method 9060A

All sample results were subjected to Stage 2B data validation, which comprises an evaluation of quality control (QC) summary results.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers utilized during data validation:

- J+ (Estimated, High Bias): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated, displaying high bias, due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- J- (Estimated, Low Bias): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated, displaying low bias, due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- J (Estimated, Bias Indeterminate): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated due to non-conformances discovered during data validation. Bias is indeterminate.
- U (Non-detected): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the analyte should be considered non-detected due to the presence of contaminants detected in the associated blank(s).
- UJ (Non-detected estimated): The analyte was not detected and the associated numerical value is approximate.
- X (Exclusion of data recommended): The sample results (including non-detects) were affected by serious deficiencies in the ability to analyze the sample and to meet published method and project quality control criteria. The presence or absence of the analyte cannot be substantiated by the data provided. Exclusion of the data is recommended.
- NA (Not Applicable): The non-conformance discovered during data validation demonstrates a high bias, while the affected analyte in the associated sample(s) was reported as not detected by the laboratory and did not warrant the qualification of the data.

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.



## Qualification Code Reference

- a ICP Serial Dilution %D was not within control limits.
- b Presumed contamination from preparation (method blank).
- c Calibration %RSD, r, r<sup>2</sup>, %D or %R was noncompliant.
- d The analysis with this flag should not be used because another more technically sound analysis is available.
- e MS/MSD or Duplicate RPD was high.
- f Presumed contamination from FB or ER.
- g ICP ICS results were unsatisfactory.
- h Holding times were exceeded.
- i Internal standard performance was unsatisfactory.
- k Estimated Maximum Possible Concentration (HRGC/HRMS only)
- l LCS/LCSD %R was not within control limits.
- m Result exceeded the calibration range.
- o Cooler temperature or temperature blank was noncompliant and/or sample custody problems.
- p RPD between two columns was high (GC only).
- q MS/MSD recovery was not within control limits.
- s Surrogate recovery was not within control limits.
- t Presumed contamination from trip blank.
- v Unusual problems found with the data not defined elsewhere. Description of the problem can be found in the validation report.
- w LCS/LCSD RPD was high.
- y Chemical recovery was not within control limits (Radiochemistry only).

## **I. Sample Receipt and Technical Holding Times**

All samples were received in good condition.

All technical holding time requirements were met.

## **II. Initial Calibration**

All criteria for the initial calibration were met.

## **III. Continuing Calibration**

Continuing calibration frequency and analysis criteria were met.

## **IV. Laboratory Blanks**

Laboratory blanks were analyzed as required by the method. No contaminants were found in the laboratory blanks.

## **V. Field Blanks**

No field blanks were identified in this SDG.

## **VI. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates**

The laboratory has indicated that there were no matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyses specified for the samples in this SDG, and therefore matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate analyses were not performed for this SDG.

## **VII. Duplicate Sample Analysis**

The laboratory has indicated that there were no duplicate (DUP) analyses specified for the samples in this SDG, and therefore duplicate analyses were not performed for this SDG.

## **VIII. Laboratory Control Samples**

Laboratory control samples (LCS) and laboratory control samples duplicates (LCSD) were analyzed as required by the method. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits. Relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

## **IX. Field Duplicates**

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

## **X. Target Analyte Quantitation**

Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

## **XI. Overall Assessment of Data**

The analysis was conducted within all specifications of the method. No results were rejected or recommended for exclusion in this SDG.

**Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126  
Total Organic Carbon - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 98336**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

**Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126  
Total Organic Carbon - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 98336**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

**Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126  
Total Organic Carbon - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 98336**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

LDC #: 53484E6

# VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET

Date: 3/15/22

SDG #: 98336

Stage 2B

Page: 1 of 1

Laboratory: APPL, Inc., Clovis, CA

Reviewer: ATV

2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

## METHOD: (Analyte) TOC (EPA SW-846 Method 9060A)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

|       | Validation Area                        |     | Comments |
|-------|--|-----|----------|
| I.    | Sample receipt/Technical holding times | A/A |          |
| II.   | Initial calibration                    | A   |          |
| III.  | Calibration verification               | A   |          |
| IV.   | Laboratory Blanks                      | A   |          |
| V.    | Field blanks                           | N   |          |
| VI.   | Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates   | N   | C.S      |
| VII.  | Duplicate sample analysis              | N   |          |
| VIII. | Laboratory control samples             | A   | LCS/LCSD |
| IX.   | Field duplicates                       | N   |          |
| X.    | Target Analyte Quantitation            | N   |          |
| XI.   | Overall assessment of data             | A   |          |

Note: A = Acceptable  
 N = Not provided/applicable  
 SW = See worksheet

ND = No compounds detected  
 R = Rinsate  
 FB = Field blank

D = Duplicate  
 TB = Trip blank  
 EB = Equipment blank

SB=Source blank  
 OTHER:

|    | Client ID | Lab ID  | Matrix | Date     |
|----|-----------|---------|--------|----------|
| 1  | ERH1959   | BA46971 | Water  | 11/24/21 |
| 2  | ERH1962   | BA46973 | Water  | 11/24/21 |
| 3  |           |         |        |          |
| 4  |           |         |        |          |
| 5  |           |         |        |          |
| 6  |           |         |        |          |
| 7  |           |         |        |          |
| 8  |           |         |        |          |
| 9  |           |         |        |          |
| 10 |           |         |        |          |
| 11 |           |         |        |          |
| 12 |           |         |        |          |
| 13 |           |         |        |          |
| 14 |           |         |        |          |
| 15 |           |         |        |          |

Notes: \_\_\_\_\_

## Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc. Data Validation Report

**Project/Site Name:** Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126

**LDC Report Date:** March 28, 2022

**Parameters:** Gasoline Range Organics

**Validation Level:** Stage 2B

**Laboratory:** APPL, Inc., Clovis, CA

**Sample Delivery Group (SDG):** 98336

| <b>Sample Identification</b> | <b>Laboratory Sample Identification</b> | <b>Matrix</b> | <b>Collection Date</b> |
|------------------------------|---|---------------|------------------------|
| ERH1958                      | BA46970                                 | Water         | 11/24/21               |
| ERH1959                      | BA46971                                 | Water         | 11/24/21               |
| ERH1961                      | BA46972                                 | Water         | 11/24/21               |
| ERH1962                      | BA46973                                 | Water         | 11/24/21               |
| ERH1964                      | BA46974                                 | Water         | 11/24/21               |

## Introduction

This Data Validation Report (DVR) presents data validation findings and results for the associated samples listed on the cover page. Data validation was performed in accordance with the Work Plan/Scope of Work, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 02, January 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 01, April 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 01, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, September 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 03, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, June 2018), the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) Quality Systems Manual (QSM) for Environmental Laboratories, Version 5.3 (2019), the DoD General Validation Guidelines (November 2019), and the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) Data Validation Guidelines Module 1: Data Validation Procedure for Organic Analysis by GC/MS (May 2020). Where specific guidance was not available, the data has been evaluated in a conservative manner consistent with industry standards using professional experience.

The analyses were performed by the following method:

Gasoline Range Organics by Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) SW 846 Method 8260B

All sample results were subjected to Stage 2B data validation, which comprises an evaluation of quality control (QC) summary results.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers utilized during data validation:

- J+ (Estimated, High Bias): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated, displaying high bias, due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- J- (Estimated, Low Bias): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated, displaying low bias, due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- J (Estimated, Bias Indeterminate): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated due to non-conformances discovered during data validation. Bias is indeterminate.
- U (Non-detected): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the analyte should be considered non-detected due to the presence of contaminants detected in the associated blank(s).
- UJ (Non-detected estimated): The analyte was not detected and the associated numerical value is approximate.
- X (Exclusion of data recommended): The sample results (including non-detects) were affected by serious deficiencies in the ability to analyze the sample and to meet published method and project quality control criteria. The presence or absence of the analyte cannot be substantiated by the data provided. Exclusion of the data is recommended.
- NA (Not Applicable): The non-conformance discovered during data validation demonstrates a high bias, while the affected analyte in the associated sample(s) was reported as not detected by the laboratory and did not warrant the qualification of the data.

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.



## Qualification Code Reference

- a ICP Serial Dilution %D was not within control limits.
- b Presumed contamination from preparation (method blank).
- c Calibration %RSD, r, r<sup>2</sup>, %D or %R was noncompliant.
- d The analysis with this flag should not be used because another more technically sound analysis is available.
- e MS/MSD or Duplicate RPD was high.
- f Presumed contamination from FB or ER.
- g ICP ICS results were unsatisfactory.
- h Holding times were exceeded.
- i Internal standard performance was unsatisfactory.
- k Estimated Maximum Possible Concentration (HRGC/HRMS only)
- l LCS/LCSD %R was not within control limits.
- m Result exceeded the calibration range.
- o Cooler temperature or temperature blank was noncompliant and/or sample custody problems.
- p RPD between two columns was high (GC only).
- q MS/MSD recovery was not within control limits.
- s Surrogate recovery was not within control limits.
- t Presumed contamination from trip blank.
- v Unusual problems found with the data not defined elsewhere. Description of the problem can be found in the validation report.
- w LCS/LCSD RPD was high.
- y Chemical recovery was not within control limits (Radiochemistry only).

## **I. Sample Receipt and Technical Holding Times**

All samples were received in good condition and cooler temperatures upon receipt met validation criteria.

All technical holding time requirements were met.

## **II. GC/MS Instrument Performance Check**

Instrument performance check was performed at the required frequency.

All ion abundance requirements were met.

## **III. Initial Calibration and Initial Calibration Verification**

An initial calibration was performed as required by the method.

A curve fit, based on the initial calibration, was established for quantitation. The coefficient of determination ( $r^2$ ) was greater than or equal to 0.990.

The percent differences (%D) of the initial calibration verification (ICV) standard were less than or equal to 20.0% for all analytes.

## **IV. Continuing Calibration**

Continuing calibration was performed at the required frequencies.

The percent differences (%D) were less than or equal to 20.0% for all analytes.

The percent differences (%D) of the ending continuing calibration verifications (CCVs) were less than or equal to 20.0% for all analytes.

## **V. Laboratory Blanks**

Laboratory blanks were analyzed as required by the method. No contaminants were found in the laboratory blanks.

## **VI. Field Blanks**

Samples ERH1958 and ERH1961 were identified as trip blanks. No contaminants were found.

## **VII. Surrogates**

Surrogates were added to all samples as required by the method. All surrogate recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

## **VIII. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates**

The laboratory has indicated that there were no matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyses specified for the samples in this SDG, and therefore matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate analyses were not performed for this SDG.

## **IX. Laboratory Control Samples**

Laboratory control samples (LCS) and laboratory control samples duplicates (LCSD) were analyzed as required by the method. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits. Relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

## **X. Field Duplicates**

Samples ERH1962 and ERH1964 were identified as field duplicates. No results were detected in any of the samples.

## **XI. Internal Standards**

All internal standard areas and retention times were within QC limits.

## **XII. Target Analyte Quantitation**

Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

## **XIII. Target Analyte Identification**

Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

## **XIV. System Performance**

Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

## **XV. Overall Assessment of Data**

The analysis was conducted within all specifications of the method. No results were rejected or recommended for exclusion in this SDG.

**Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126  
Gasoline Range Organics - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 98336**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

**Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126  
Gasoline Range Organics - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG  
98336**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

**Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126  
Gasoline Range Organics - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 98336**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

LDC #: 53484E7

### VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET

Date: 3/15/22

SDG #: 98336

Stage 2B

Page: 1 of 1

Laboratory: APPL, Inc., Clovis, CA

Reviewer: [Signature]  
2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

**METHOD:** GC/MS Gasoline Range Organics (EPA SW-846 Method 8260B)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

|       | Validation Area                        |     | Comments           |
|-------|--|-----|--------------------|
| I.    | Sample receipt/Technical holding times | A/A |                    |
| II.   | GC/MS Instrument performance check     | Δ   |                    |
| III.  | Initial calibration/ICV                | A/A | 12 ICV ≤ 20        |
| IV.   | Continuing calibration                 | Δ   | ending CCV ≤ 20/20 |
| V.    | Laboratory Blanks                      | Δ   |                    |
| VI.   | Field blanks                           | ND  | TB = 1,3           |
| VII.  | Surrogate spikes                       | Δ   |                    |
| VIII. | Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates   | N   | CS                 |
| IX.   | Laboratory control samples             | A   | WS 10              |
| X.    | Field duplicates                       | ND  | D = 4,5            |
| XI.   | Internal standards                     | Δ   |                    |
| XII.  | Target analyte quantitation            | N   |                    |
| XIII. | Target analyte identification          | N   |                    |
| XIV.  | System performance                     | N   |                    |
| XV.   | Overall assessment of data             | Δ   |                    |

Note: A = Acceptable  
N = Not provided/applicable  
SW = See worksheet

ND = No compounds detected  
R = Rinsate  
FB = Field blank

D = Duplicate  
TB = Trip blank  
EB = Equipment blank

SB=Source blank  
OTHER:

|   | Client ID  | Lab ID  | Matrix | Date     |
|---|------------|---------|--------|----------|
| 1 | ERH1958 TB | BA46970 | Water  | 11/24/21 |
| 2 | ERH1959    | BA46971 | Water  | 11/24/21 |
| 3 | ERH1961 TB | BA46972 | Water  | 11/24/21 |
| 4 | ERH1962 D  | BA46973 | Water  | 11/24/21 |
| 5 | ERH1964 P  | BA46974 | Water  | 11/24/21 |
| 6 |            |         |        |          |
| 7 |            |         |        |          |
| 8 |            |         |        |          |
| 9 |            |         |        |          |

Notes:

|           |  |  |  |  |
|-----------|--|--|--|--|
| 211207 AZ |  |  |  |  |
|           |  |  |  |  |
|           |  |  |  |  |
|           |  |  |  |  |

## Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc. Data Validation Report

**Project/Site Name:** Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126

**LDC Report Date:** March 28, 2022

**Parameters:** Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Extractables

**Validation Level:** Stage 2B

**Laboratory:** APPL, Inc., Clovis, CA

**Sample Delivery Group (SDG):** 98336

| <b>Sample Identification</b> | <b>Laboratory Sample Identification</b> | <b>Matrix</b> | <b>Collection Date</b> |
|------------------------------|---|---------------|------------------------|
| ERH1959                      | BA46971                                 | Water         | 11/24/21               |
| ERH1962                      | BA46973                                 | Water         | 11/24/21               |
| ERH1964                      | BA46974                                 | Water         | 11/24/21               |
| ERH1959(SGCU)                | BA46971(SGCU)                           | Water         | 11/24/21               |
| ERH1962(SGCU)                | BA46973(SGCU)                           | Water         | 11/24/21               |
| ERH1964(SGCU)                | BA46974(SGCU)                           | Water         | 11/24/21               |

Samples appended with SGCU underwent "Silica Gel Clean Up"

## Introduction

This Data Validation Report (DVR) presents data validation findings and results for the associated samples listed on the cover page. Data validation was performed in accordance with the Work Plan/Scope of Work, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 02, January 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 01, April 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 01, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, September 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 03, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, June 2018), the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) Quality Systems Manual (QSM) for Environmental Laboratories, Version 5.3 (2019), the DoD General Validation Guidelines (November 2019), and the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) Data Validation Guidelines Module 4: Data Validation Procedure for Organic Analysis by GC (March 2021). Where specific guidance was not available, the data has been evaluated in a conservative manner consistent with industry standards using professional experience.

The analyses were performed by the following method:

Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (TPH) as Extractables by Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) SW 846 Method 8015B

All sample results were subjected to Stage 2B data validation, which comprises an evaluation of quality control (QC) summary results.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers utilized during data validation:

- J+ (Estimated, High Bias): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated, displaying high bias, due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- J- (Estimated, Low Bias): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated, displaying low bias, due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- J (Estimated, Bias Indeterminate): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated due to non-conformances discovered during data validation. Bias is indeterminate.
- U (Non-detected): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the analyte should be considered non-detected due to the presence of contaminants detected in the associated blank(s).
- UJ (Non-detected estimated): The analyte was not detected and the associated numerical value is approximate.
- X (Exclusion of data recommended): The sample results (including non-detects) were affected by serious deficiencies in the ability to analyze the sample and to meet published method and project quality control criteria. The presence or absence of the analyte cannot be substantiated by the data provided. Exclusion of the data is recommended.
- NA (Not Applicable): The non-conformance discovered during data validation demonstrates a high bias, while the affected analyte in the associated sample(s) was reported as not detected by the laboratory and did not warrant the qualification of the data.

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.



## Qualification Code Reference

- a ICP Serial Dilution %D was not within control limits.
- b Presumed contamination from preparation (method blank).
- c Calibration %RSD, r, r<sup>2</sup>, %D or %R was noncompliant.
- d The analysis with this flag should not be used because another more technically sound analysis is available.
- e MS/MSD or Duplicate RPD was high.
- f Presumed contamination from FB or ER.
- g ICP ICS results were unsatisfactory.
- h Holding times were exceeded.
- i Internal standard performance was unsatisfactory.
- k Estimated Maximum Possible Concentration (HRGC/HRMS only)
- l LCS/LCSD %R was not within control limits.
- m Result exceeded the calibration range.
- o Cooler temperature or temperature blank was noncompliant and/or sample custody problems.
- p RPD between two columns was high (GC only).
- q MS/MSD recovery was not within control limits.
- s Surrogate recovery was not within control limits.
- t Presumed contamination from trip blank.
- v Unusual problems found with the data not defined elsewhere. Description of the problem can be found in the validation report.
- w LCS/LCSD RPD was high.
- y Chemical recovery was not within control limits (Radiochemistry only).

## I. Sample Receipt and Technical Holding Times

All samples were received in good condition and cooler temperatures upon receipt met validation criteria.

All technical holding time requirements were met.

## II. Initial Calibration and Initial Calibration Verification

An initial calibration was performed as required by the method.

Where average calibration factors were utilized, percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) were less than or equal to 20.0%.

In the case where the laboratory used a calibration curve to evaluate the analytes, all coefficients of determination ( $r^2$ ) were greater than or equal to 0.990.

The percent differences (%D) of the initial calibration verification (ICV) standard were less than or equal to 20.0% for all analytes.

## III. Continuing Calibration

Continuing calibration was performed at the required frequencies.

The percent differences (%D) were less than or equal to 20.0% for all analytes.

The percent differences (%D) of the ending continuing calibration verifications (CCVs) were less than or equal to 20.0% for all analytes.

## IV. Laboratory Blanks

Laboratory blanks were analyzed as required by the method. No contaminants were found in the laboratory blanks with the following exceptions:

| Blank ID     | Extraction Date | Analyte       | Concentration | Associated Samples            |
|--------------|-----------------|---------------|---------------|-------------------------------|
| 211201A1-BLK | 12/01/21        | Oil (C24-C40) | 170 ug/L      | ERH1959<br>ERH1962<br>ERH1964 |

Sample concentrations were compared to concentrations detected in the laboratory blanks. The sample concentrations were either not detected or were significantly greater (>5X blank contaminants) than the concentrations found in the associated laboratory blanks with the following exceptions:

| Sample  | Analyte       | Reported Concentration | Modified Final Concentration |
|---------|---------------|------------------------|------------------------------|
| ERH1959 | Oil (C24-C40) | 170 ug/L               | 300U ug/L                    |
| ERH1964 | Oil (C24-C40) | 160 ug/L               | 300U ug/L                    |

## V. Field Blanks

No field blanks were identified in this SDG.

## VI. Surrogates

Surrogates were added to all samples as required by the method. All surrogate recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

## VII. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

The laboratory has indicated that there were no matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyses specified for the samples in this SDG, and therefore matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate analyses were not performed for this SDG.

## VIII. Laboratory Control Samples

Laboratory control samples (LCS) and laboratory control samples duplicates (LCSD) were analyzed as required by the method. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits with the following exceptions:

| LCS ID<br>(Associated Samples)  | Analyte       | LCS<br>%R (Limits) | LCSD<br>%R (Limits) | Flag             | A or P |
|---|---------------|--------------------|---------------------|------------------|--------|
| 211201A-LCS/LCSD<br>(ERH1959(SGCU)<br>ERH1962(SGCU)<br>ERH1964(SGCU)) | Oil (C24-C40) | 117 (41-113)       | -                   | NA               | -      |
| 211201A1-LCS/LCSD<br>(ERH1959<br>ERH1964)                             | Oil (C24-C40) | 149 (41-113)       | 145 (41-113)        | J+ (all detects) | P      |
| 211201A1-LCS/LCSD<br>(ERH1962)  | Oil (C24-C40) | 149 (41-113)       | 145 (41-113)        | NA               | -      |

Relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

## IX. Field Duplicates

Samples ERH1962 and ERH1964 and samples ERH1962(SGCU) and ERH1964(SGCU) were identified as field duplicates. No results were detected in any of the samples with the following exceptions:

| Analyte       | Concentration (ug/L) |         | RPD (Limits) |
|---------------|----------------------|---------|--------------|
|               | ERH1962              | ERH1964 |              |
| Oil (C24-C40) | 300.0U               | 160J    | 200 (≤50)    |

**X. Target Analyte Quantitation**

Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

**XI. Target Analyte Identification**

Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

**XII. Overall Assessment of Data**

The analysis was conducted within all specifications of the method. No results were rejected or recommended for exclusion in this SDG.

Due to LCS/LCSD %R, data were qualified as estimated in two samples.

Due to laboratory blank contamination, data were qualified as not detected in two samples.

**Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126  
 Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Extractables - Data Qualification Summary -  
 SDG 98336**

| Sample             | Analyte       | Flag             | A or P | Reason (Code)                       |
|--------------------|---------------|------------------|--------|-------------------------------------|
| ERH1959<br>ERH1964 | Oil (C24-C40) | J+ (all detects) | P      | Laboratory control samples (%R) (I) |

**Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126  
 Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Extractables - Laboratory Blank Data  
 Qualification Summary - SDG 98336**

| Sample  | Analyte       | Modified Final Concentration | A or P | Code |
|---------|---------------|------------------------------|--------|------|
| ERH1959 | Oil (C24-C40) | 300U ug/L                    | A      | b    |
| ERH1964 | Oil (C24-C40) | 300U ug/L                    | A      | b    |

**Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126  
 Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Extractables - Field Blank Data Qualification  
 Summary - SDG 98336**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

LDC #: 53484E8

# VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET

Date: 3/15/22

SDG #: 98336

Stage 2B

Page: 1 of 1

Laboratory: APPL, Inc., Clovis, CA

Reviewer: [Signature]

2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC TPH as Extractables (EPA SW-846 Method 8015B)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

|       | Validation Area                        |          | Comments                              |
|-------|--|----------|---------------------------------------|
| I.    | Sample receipt/Technical holding times | A/A      |                                       |
| II.   | Initial calibration/ICV                | A/A      | % PSD $\leq 20$ , $r^2$ 100 $\leq 20$ |
| III.  | Continuing calibration /ending         | $\Delta$ | CCV $\leq 20/20$                      |
| IV.   | Laboratory Blanks                      | SW       |                                       |
| V.    | Field blanks                           | N        |                                       |
| VI.   | Surrogate spikes                       | $\Delta$ |                                       |
| VII.  | Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates   | N        | CS                                    |
| VIII. | Laboratory control samples             | SW       | LOS ID                                |
| IX.   | Field duplicates                       | SW       | D = 2, 3 * 5, 6                       |
| X.    | Target analyte quantitation            | N        |                                       |
| XI.   | Target analyte identification          | N        |                                       |
| XII.  | Overall assessment of data             | A        |                                       |

Note: A = Acceptable  
 N = Not provided/applicable  
 SW = See worksheet

\* ND = No compounds detected  
 R = Rinsate  
 FB = Field blank

D = Duplicate  
 TB = Trip blank  
 EB = Equipment blank

SB=Source blank  
 OTHER:

|    | Client ID     | Lab ID        | Matrix | Date     |
|----|---------------|---------------|--------|----------|
| 1  | ERH1959       | BA46971       | Water  | 11/24/21 |
| 2  | ERH1962 D     | BA46973       | Water  | 11/24/21 |
| 3  | ERH1964 D     | BA46974       | Water  | 11/24/21 |
| 4  | ERH1959(SGCU) | BA46971(SGCU) | Water  | 11/24/21 |
| 5  | ERH1962(SGCU) | BA46973(SGCU) | Water  | 11/24/21 |
| 6  | ERH1964(SGCU) | BA46974(SGCU) | Water  | 11/24/21 |
| 7  |               |               |        |          |
| 8  |               |               |        |          |
| 9  |               |               |        |          |
| 10 |               |               |        |          |
| 11 |               |               |        |          |
| 12 |               |               |        |          |
| 13 |               |               |        |          |

Notes:

|   |             |  |  |  |  |
|---|-------------|--|--|--|--|
| 2 | 211201A-BLK |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 211201A-BLK |  |  |  |  |
|   |             |  |  |  |  |
|   |             |  |  |  |  |

**VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET**

Blanks

METHOD: 1 GC     HPLC

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

Y N N/A Were all samples associated with a given method blank?

Y N N/A Was a method blank performed for each matrix and whenever a sample extraction procedure was performed?

Y N N/A Was a method blank performed with each extraction batch?

Y N N/A Were any contaminants found in the method blanks? If yes, please see findings below.

Result  
LOQ

(b)

Level IV/D Only

Y N N/A (Gasoline and aromatics only) Was a method blank analyzed with each 24 hour batch?

Y N N/A Was a method blank analyzed for each analytical / extraction batch of  $\leq 20$  samples?

Blank extraction date: 12/1/21 Blank analysis date: 12/15/21

Associated samples: 4-6 1-3

Conc. units: ug/l

| Compound             | Blank ID                 | Sample Identification         |                               |  |  |
|----------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|--|
|                      | <u>211201A1-B1K</u>      | <u>1</u>                      | <u>3</u>                      |  |  |
| <u>oil (c24-c40)</u> | <u>170</u><br><u>320</u> | <u>170/3004</u><br><u>320</u> | <u>160/3004</u><br><u>320</u> |  |  |
|                      |                          |                               |                               |  |  |
|                      |                          |                               |                               |  |  |
|                      |                          |                               |                               |  |  |
|                      |                          |                               |                               |  |  |
|                      |                          |                               |                               |  |  |

Blank extraction date: \_\_\_\_\_ Blank analysis date: \_\_\_\_\_

Associated samples: \_\_\_\_\_

Conc. units: \_\_\_\_\_

| Compound | Blank ID | Sample Identification |  |  |  |
|----------|----------|-----------------------|--|--|--|
|          |          |                       |  |  |  |
|          |          |                       |  |  |  |
|          |          |                       |  |  |  |
|          |          |                       |  |  |  |
|          |          |                       |  |  |  |
|          |          |                       |  |  |  |
|          |          |                       |  |  |  |

ALL CIRCLED RESULTS WERE NOT QUALIFIED. ALL RESULTS NOT CIRCLED WERE QUALIFIED BY THE FOLLOWING STATEMENT:  
All contaminants within five times the method blank concentration were qualified as not detected, "U".

LDC #: 53404E8

**VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET**  
**Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)**

Page: 1 of 1  
 Reviewer: FT

METHOD:  GC  HPLC

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

Y N N/A Were a laboratory control samples (LCS) and laboratory control sample duplicate (LCSD) analyzed for each matrix in this SDG?

Y N N/A Were the LCS percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) within the QC limits?

Level IV/D Only

Y N N/A Was an LCS analyzed every 20 samples for each matrix or whenever a sample extraction was performed?

(2)

| # | LCS/LCSD ID         | Compound                             | LCS %R (Limits) | LCSD %R (Limits) | RPD (Limits) | Associated Samples   | Qualifications     |
|---|---------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------|------------------|--------------|----------------------|--------------------|
|   | 211201A -<br>LCS/D  | Oil C <sub>24</sub> -C <sub>40</sub> | 117 (41-113)    | ( )              | ( )          | 4-6,<br>211201A-BLK  | Std / P<br>All ND  |
|   |                     |                                      | ( )             | ( )              | ( )          |                      |                    |
|   |                     |                                      | ( )             | ( )              | ( )          |                      |                    |
|   |                     |                                      | ( )             | ( )              | ( )          |                      |                    |
|   |                     |                                      | ( )             | ( )              | ( )          |                      |                    |
|   |                     |                                      | ( )             | ( )              | ( )          |                      |                    |
|   |                     |                                      | ( )             | ( )              | ( )          |                      |                    |
|   | 211201A1 -<br>LCS/D | Oil C <sub>24</sub> -C <sub>40</sub> | 149 (41-113)    | 145 (41-113)     | ( )          | 1-3,<br>211201A1-BLK | Std / P<br>1,3 Det |
|   |                     |                                      | ( )             | ( )              | ( )          |                      |                    |
|   |                     |                                      | ( )             | ( )              | ( )          |                      |                    |
|   |                     |                                      | ( )             | ( )              | ( )          |                      |                    |
|   |                     |                                      | ( )             | ( )              | ( )          |                      |                    |
|   |                     |                                      | ( )             | ( )              | ( )          |                      |                    |
|   |                     |                                      | ( )             | ( )              | ( )          |                      |                    |
|   |                     |                                      | ( )             | ( )              | ( )          |                      |                    |
|   |                     |                                      | ( )             | ( )              | ( )          |                      |                    |
|   |                     |                                      | ( )             | ( )              | ( )          |                      |                    |
|   |                     |                                      | ( )             | ( )              | ( )          |                      |                    |



**VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET**  
**Field Duplicates**

METHOD: GC (EPA Method 8215B)

Y  N  N/A  
 Y  N  N/A

Were field duplicate pairs identified in this SDG?

Were target compounds detected in the field duplicate pairs?

| Compound             | Concentration ( <u>ug/L</u> ) |              | RPD<br>( ≤ 50 % ) | QUAL                                |
|----------------------|-------------------------------|--------------|-------------------|-------------------------------------|
|                      | <u>2</u>                      | <u>3</u>     |                   |                                     |
| <u>oil (C24-C40)</u> | <u>300.04</u>                 | <u>160 J</u> | <u>200</u>        | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
|                      |                               |              |                   |                                     |
|                      |                               |              |                   |                                     |
|                      |                               |              |                   |                                     |

| Compound | Concentration ( <u>ug/L</u> ) |          | RPD<br>( ≤ % ) | QUAL |
|----------|-------------------------------|----------|----------------|------|
|          | <u>5</u>                      | <u>6</u> |                |      |
|          |                               |          |                |      |
|          |                               |          |                |      |
|          |                               |          |                |      |
|          |                               |          |                |      |

| Compound | Concentration (            ) |  | RPD<br>( ≤ % ) | QUAL |
|----------|------------------------------|--|----------------|------|
|          |                              |  |                |      |
|          |                              |  |                |      |
|          |                              |  |                |      |
|          |                              |  |                |      |
|          |                              |  |                |      |

| Compound | Concentration (            ) |  | RPD<br>( ≤ % ) | QUAL |
|----------|------------------------------|--|----------------|------|
|          |                              |  |                |      |
|          |                              |  |                |      |
|          |                              |  |                |      |
|          |                              |  |                |      |
|          |                              |  |                |      |

**Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc.  
Data Validation Report**

**Project/Site Name:** Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126

**LDC Report Date:** March 27, 2022

**Parameters:** Volatiles

**Validation Level:** Stage 2B

**Laboratory:** APPL, Inc., Clovis, CA

**Sample Delivery Group (SDG):** 98337

| <b>Sample Identification</b> | <b>Laboratory Sample Identification</b> | <b>Matrix</b> | <b>Collection Date</b> |
|------------------------------|---|---------------|------------------------|
| ERH1946                      | BA46978                                 | Water         | 11/24/21               |
| ERH1947                      | BA46979                                 | Water         | 11/24/21               |
| ERH1949                      | BA46980                                 | Water         | 11/24/21               |
| ERH1950                      | BA46981                                 | Water         | 11/24/21               |
| ERH1952                      | BA46982                                 | Water         | 11/24/21               |
| ERH1953                      | BA46983                                 | Water         | 11/24/21               |
| ERH1955                      | BA46984                                 | Water         | 11/24/21               |
| ERH1956                      | BA46985                                 | Water         | 11/24/21               |

## Introduction

This Data Validation Report (DVR) presents data validation findings and results for the associated samples listed on the cover page. Data validation was performed in accordance with the Work Plan/Scope of Work, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 02, January 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 01, April 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 01, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, September 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 03, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, June 2018), the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) Quality Systems Manual (QSM) for Environmental Laboratories, Version 5.3 (2019), the DoD General Validation Guidelines (November 2019), and the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) Data Validation Guidelines Module 1: Data Validation Procedure for Organic Analysis by GC/MS (May 2020). Where specific guidance was not available, the data has been evaluated in a conservative manner consistent with industry standards using professional experience.

The analyses were performed by the following method:

Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs) which are Benzene, Toluene, Ethylbenzene, and Xylenes (BTEX) by Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) SW 846 Method 8260B

All sample results were subjected to Stage 2B data validation, which comprises an evaluation of quality control (QC) summary results.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers utilized during data validation:

- J+ (Estimated, High Bias): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated, displaying high bias, due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- J- (Estimated, Low Bias): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated, displaying low bias, due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- J (Estimated, Bias Indeterminate): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated due to non-conformances discovered during data validation. Bias is indeterminate.
- U (Non-detected): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the analyte should be considered non-detected due to the presence of contaminants detected in the associated blank(s).
- UU (Non-detected estimated): The analyte was not detected and the associated numerical value is approximate.
- X (Exclusion of data recommended): The sample results (including non-detects) were affected by serious deficiencies in the ability to analyze the sample and to meet published method and project quality control criteria. The presence or absence of the analyte cannot be substantiated by the data provided. Exclusion of the data is recommended.
- NA (Not Applicable): The non-conformance discovered during data validation demonstrates a high bias, while the affected analyte in the associated sample(s) was reported as not detected by the laboratory and did not warrant the qualification of the data.

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

## Qualification Code Reference

- a ICP Serial Dilution %D was not within control limits.
- b Presumed contamination from preparation (method blank).
- c Calibration %RSD, r, r<sup>2</sup>, %D or %R was noncompliant.
- d The analysis with this flag should not be used because another more technically sound analysis is available.
- e MS/MSD or Duplicate RPD was high.
- f Presumed contamination from FB or ER.
- g ICP ICS results were unsatisfactory.
- h Holding times were exceeded.
- i Internal standard performance was unsatisfactory.
- k Estimated Maximum Possible Concentration (HRGC/HRMS only)
- l LCS/LCSD %R was not within control limits.
- m Result exceeded the calibration range.
- o Cooler temperature or temperature blank was noncompliant and/or sample custody problems.
- p RPD between two columns was high (GC only).
- q MS/MSD recovery was not within control limits.
- s Surrogate recovery was not within control limits.
- t Presumed contamination from trip blank.
- v Unusual problems found with the data not defined elsewhere. Description of the problem can be found in the validation report.
- w LCS/LCSD RPD was high.
- y Chemical recovery was not within control limits (Radiochemistry only).

## **I. Sample Receipt and Technical Holding Times**

All samples were received in good condition and cooler temperatures upon receipt met validation criteria.

All technical holding time requirements were met.

## **II. GC/MS Instrument Performance Check**

A bromofluorobenzene (BFB) tune was performed at 12 hour intervals.

All ion abundance requirements were met.

## **III. Initial Calibration and Initial Calibration Verification**

An initial calibration was performed as required by the method.

The percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) were less than or equal to 15.0% for all analytes.

Average relative response factors (RRF) for all analytes were within validation criteria.

The percent differences (%D) of the initial calibration verification (ICV) standard were less than or equal to 20.0% for all analytes.

## **IV. Continuing Calibration**

Continuing calibration was performed at the required frequencies.

The percent differences (%D) were less than or equal to 20.0% for all analytes.

The percent differences (%D) of the ending continuing calibration verifications (CCVs) were less than or equal to 50.0% for all analytes.

All of the continuing calibration relative response factors (RRF) were within validation criteria.

## **V. Laboratory Blanks**

Laboratory blanks were analyzed as required by the method. No contaminants were found in the laboratory blanks.

## **VI. Field Blanks**

Samples ERH1946, ERH1949, ERH1952, and ERH1955 were identified as trip blanks. No contaminants were found.

## **VII. Surrogates**

Surrogates were added to all samples as required by the method. All surrogate recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

## **VIII. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates**

The laboratory has indicated that there were no matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyses specified for the samples in this SDG, and therefore matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate analyses were not performed for this SDG.

## **IX. Laboratory Control Samples**

Laboratory control samples (LCS) and laboratory control samples duplicates (LCSD) were analyzed as required by the method. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits. Relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

## **X. Field Duplicates**

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

## **XI. Internal Standards**

All internal standard areas and retention times were within QC limits.

## **XII. Target Analyte Quantitation**

Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

## **XIII. Target Analyte Identification**

Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

## **XIV. System Performance**

Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

## **XV. Overall Assessment of Data**

The analysis was conducted within all specifications of the method. No results were rejected or recommended for exclusion in this SDG.

**Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126  
Volatiles - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 98337**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

**Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126  
Volatiles - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 98337**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

**Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126  
Volatiles - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 98337**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG



LDC #: 53484F1a  
 SDG #: 98337  
 Laboratory: APPL, Inc., Clovis, CA

**VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET**  
 Stage 2B

Date: 3/15/22  
 Page: 1 of 1  
 Reviewer: [Signature]  
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

**METHOD:** GC/MS Volatiles (BTEX) (EPA SW-846 Method 8260B)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

|       | Validation Area                        |       | Comments            |
|-------|--|-------|---------------------|
| I.    | Sample receipt/Technical holding times | Δ / Δ |                     |
| II.   | GC/MS Instrument performance check     | Δ     |                     |
| III.  | Initial calibration/ICV                | Δ / Δ | % PSD ≤ 15 ICV ≤ 20 |
| IV.   | Continuing calibration <i>tending</i>  | Δ     | CW ≤ 20/50          |
| V.    | Laboratory Blanks                      | Δ     |                     |
| VI.   | Field blanks                           | ND    | TB = 1, 3, 5, 7     |
| VII.  | Surrogate spikes                       | A     |                     |
| VIII. | Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates   | N     | CS                  |
| IX.   | Laboratory control samples             | A     | ICS ID              |
| X.    | Field duplicates                       | N     |                     |
| XI.   | Internal standards                     | Δ     |                     |
| XII.  | Target analyte quantitation            | N     |                     |
| XIII. | Target analyte identification          | N     |                     |
| XIV.  | System performance                     | N     |                     |
| XV.   | Overall assessment of data             | Δ     |                     |

Note: A = Acceptable ND = No compounds detected D = Duplicate SB=Source blank  
 N = Not provided/applicable R = Rinsate TB = Trip blank OTHER:  
 SW = See worksheet FB = Field blank EB = Equipment blank

|   | Client ID  | Lab ID  | Matrix | Date     |
|---|------------|---------|--------|----------|
| 1 | ERH1946 TB | BA46978 | Water  | 11/24/21 |
| 2 | ERH1947    | BA46979 | Water  | 11/24/21 |
| 3 | ERH1949 TB | BA46980 | Water  | 11/24/21 |
| 4 | ERH1950    | BA46981 | Water  | 11/24/21 |
| 5 | ERH1952 TB | BA46982 | Water  | 11/24/21 |
| 6 | ERH1953    | BA46983 | Water  | 11/24/21 |
| 7 | ERH1955 TB | BA46984 | Water  | 11/24/21 |
| 8 | ERH1956    | BA46985 | Water  | 11/24/21 |
| 9 |            |         |        |          |

Notes:

|               |  |  |  |  |
|---------------|--|--|--|--|
| AZ 211207-B1K |  |  |  |  |
|               |  |  |  |  |
|               |  |  |  |  |
|               |  |  |  |  |

**Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc.**  
**Data Validation Report**

**Project/Site Name:** Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126

**LDC Report Date:** March 27, 2022

**Parameters:** Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons

**Validation Level:** Stage 2B

**Laboratory:** APPL, Inc., Clovis, CA

**Sample Delivery Group (SDG):** 98337

| <b>Sample Identification</b> | <b>Laboratory Sample Identification</b> | <b>Matrix</b> | <b>Collection Date</b> |
|------------------------------|---|---------------|------------------------|
| ERH1947                      | BA46979                                 | Water         | 11/24/21               |
| ERH1950                      | BA46981                                 | Water         | 11/24/21               |
| ERH1953                      | BA46983                                 | Water         | 11/24/21               |
| ERH1956                      | BA46985                                 | Water         | 11/24/21               |

## Introduction

This Data Validation Report (DVR) presents data validation findings and results for the associated samples listed on the cover page. Data validation was performed in accordance with the Work Plan/Scope of Work, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 02, January 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 01, April 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 01, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, September 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 03, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, June 2018), the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) Quality Systems Manual (QSM) for Environmental Laboratories, Version 5.3 (2019), the DoD General Validation Guidelines (November 2019), and the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) Data Validation Guidelines Module 1: Data Validation Procedure for Organic Analysis by GC/MS (May 2020). Where specific guidance was not available, the data has been evaluated in a conservative manner consistent with industry standards using professional experience.

The analyses were performed by the following method:

Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAHs) which are 1-Methylnaphthalene, 2-Methylnaphthalene, and Naphthalene by Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) SW 846 Method 8270D in Selected Ion Monitoring (SIM) mode

All sample results were subjected to Stage 2B data validation, which comprises an evaluation of quality control (QC) summary results. Samples appended with a double asterisk on the cover page were subjected to Stage 4 data validation, which is comprised of the QC summary forms as well as the raw data, to confirm sample quantitation and identification.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers utilized during data validation:

- J+ (Estimated, High Bias): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated, displaying high bias, due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- J- (Estimated, Low Bias): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated, displaying low bias, due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- J (Estimated, Bias Indeterminate): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated due to non-conformances discovered during data validation. Bias is indeterminate.
- U (Non-detected): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the analyte should be considered non-detected due to the presence of contaminants detected in the associated blank(s).
- UU (Non-detected estimated): The analyte was not detected and the associated numerical value is approximate.
- X (Exclusion of data recommended): The sample results (including non-detects) were affected by serious deficiencies in the ability to analyze the sample and to meet published method and project quality control criteria. The presence or absence of the analyte cannot be substantiated by the data provided. Exclusion of the data is recommended.
- NA (Not Applicable): The non-conformance discovered during data validation demonstrates a high bias, while the affected analyte in the associated sample(s) was reported as not detected by the laboratory and did not warrant the qualification of the data.

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

## Qualification Code Reference

- a ICP Serial Dilution %D was not within control limits.
- b Presumed contamination from preparation (method blank).
- c Calibration %RSD, r, r<sup>2</sup>, %D or %R was noncompliant.
- d The analysis with this flag should not be used because another more technically sound analysis is available.
- e MS/MSD or Duplicate RPD was high.
- f Presumed contamination from FB or ER.
- g ICP ICS results were unsatisfactory.
- h Holding times were exceeded.
- i Internal standard performance was unsatisfactory.
- k Estimated Maximum Possible Concentration (HRGC/HRMS only)
- l LCS/LCSD %R was not within control limits.
- m Result exceeded the calibration range.
- o Cooler temperature or temperature blank was noncompliant and/or sample custody problems.
- p RPD between two columns was high (GC only).
- q MS/MSD recovery was not within control limits.
- s Surrogate recovery was not within control limits.
- t Presumed contamination from trip blank.
- v Unusual problems found with the data not defined elsewhere. Description of the problem can be found in the validation report.
- w LCS/LCSD RPD was high.
- y Chemical recovery was not within control limits (Radiochemistry only).

## **I. Sample Receipt and Technical Holding Times**

All samples were received in good condition and cooler temperatures upon receipt met validation criteria.

All technical holding time requirements were met.

## **II. GC/MS Instrument Performance Check**

A decafluorotriphenylphosphine (DFTPP) tune was performed at 12 hour intervals.

All ion abundance requirements were met.

## **III. Initial Calibration and Initial Calibration Verification**

An initial calibration was performed as required by the method.

The percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) were less than or equal to 15.0% for all analytes.

Average relative response factors (RRF) for all analytes were within validation criteria.

The percent differences (%D) of the initial calibration verification (ICV) standard were less than or equal to 20.0% for all analytes.

## **IV. Continuing Calibration**

Continuing calibration was performed at the required frequencies.

The percent differences (%D) were less than or equal to 20.0% for all analytes.

The percent differences (%D) of the ending continuing calibration verifications (CCVs) were less than or equal to 50.0% for all analytes.

All of the continuing calibration relative response factors (RRF) were within validation criteria.

## **V. Laboratory Blanks**

Laboratory blanks were analyzed as required by the method. No contaminants were found in the laboratory blanks.

## **VI. Field Blanks**

No field blanks were identified in this SDG.

## VII. Surrogates

Surrogates were added to all samples as required by the method. All surrogate recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

## VIII. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

The laboratory has indicated that there were no matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyses specified for the samples in this SDG, and therefore matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate analyses were not performed for this SDG.

## IX. Laboratory Control Samples

Laboratory control samples (LCS) and laboratory control samples duplicates (LCSD) were analyzed as required by the method. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

Relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits with the following exceptions:

| LCS ID<br>(Associated Samples)                       | Analyte                            | RPD<br>(Limits)                          | Flag                               | A or P |
|--|------------------------------------|--|------------------------------------|--------|
| 211129AK LCS/LCSD<br>(ERH1950<br>ERH1956)            | 1-Methylnaphthalene                | 40.8 ( $\leq 20$ )                       | J (all detects)                    | P      |
| 211129AK LCS/LCSD<br>(ERH1947<br>ERH1953)            | 1-Methylnaphthalene                | 40.8 ( $\leq 20$ )                       | NA                                 | -      |
| 211129AK LCS/LCSD<br>(ERH1950)                       | 2-Methylnaphthalene<br>Naphthalene | 45.3 ( $\leq 20$ )<br>31.0 ( $\leq 20$ ) | J (all detects)<br>J (all detects) | P      |
| 211129AK LCS/LCSD<br>(ERH1947<br>ERH1953<br>ERH1956) | 2-Methylnaphthalene<br>Naphthalene | 45.3 ( $\leq 20$ )<br>31.0 ( $\leq 20$ ) | NA                                 | -      |

## X. Field Duplicates

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

## XI. Internal Standards

All internal standard areas and retention times were within QC limits.

## XII. Target Analyte Quantitation

Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

### **XIII. Target Analyte Identification**

Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

### **XIV. System Performance**

Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

### **XV. Overall Assessment of Data**

The analysis was conducted within all specifications of the method. No results were rejected or recommended for exclusion in this SDG.

Due to LCS/LCSD RPD, data were qualified as estimated in two samples.



**Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126  
 Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 98337**

| Sample             | Analyte                            | Flag                               | A or P | Reason                               |
|--------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|--------|--------------------------------------|
| ERH1950<br>ERH1956 | 1-Methylnaphthalene                | J (all detects)                    | P      | Laboratory control samples (RPD) (w) |
| ERH1950            | 2-Methylnaphthalene<br>Naphthalene | J (all detects)<br>J (all detects) | P      | Laboratory control samples (RPD) (w) |

**Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126  
 Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 98337**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

**Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126  
 Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 98337**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

LDC #: 53484F2b

**VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET**

SDG #: 98337

Stage 2B

Laboratory: APPL, Inc., Clovis, CA

Date: 3/15/22

Page: 1 of 1

Reviewer: [Signature]

2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

**METHOD:** GC/MS Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons (EPA SW-846 Method 8270D-SIM)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

|       | Validation Area                        |     | Comments                         |
|-------|--|-----|----------------------------------|
| I.    | Sample receipt/Technical holding times | A/A |                                  |
| II.   | GC/MS Instrument performance check     | A   |                                  |
| III.  | Initial calibration/ICV                | A/A | % RSD ≤ 15 <del>✓</del> ICV ≤ 20 |
| IV.   | Continuing calibration <i>tendency</i> | A   | CV ≤ 20/50                       |
| V.    | Laboratory Blanks                      | A   |                                  |
| VI.   | Field blanks                           | N   |                                  |
| VII.  | Surrogate spikes                       | A   |                                  |
| VIII. | Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates   | N   | C>                               |
| IX.   | Laboratory control samples             | SW  | See ID                           |
| X.    | Field duplicates                       | N   |                                  |
| XI.   | Internal standards                     | A   |                                  |
| XII.  | Target analyte quantitation            | N   |                                  |
| XIII. | Target analyte identification          | N   |                                  |
| XIV.  | System performance                     | N   |                                  |
| XV.   | Overall assessment of data             | A   |                                  |

Note: A = Acceptable  
 N = Not provided/applicable  
 SW = See worksheet

ND = No compounds detected  
 R = Rinsate  
 FB = Field blank

D = Duplicate  
 TB = Trip blank  
 EB = Equipment blank

SB=Source blank  
 OTHER:

|   | Client ID | Lab ID  | Matrix | Date     |
|---|-----------|---------|--------|----------|
| 1 | ERH1947   | BA46979 | Water  | 11/24/21 |
| 2 | ERH1950   | BA46981 | Water  | 11/24/21 |
| 3 | ERH1953   | BA46983 | Water  | 11/24/21 |
| 4 | ERH1956   | BA46985 | Water  | 11/24/21 |
| 5 |           |         |        |          |
| 6 |           |         |        |          |
| 7 |           |         |        |          |
| 8 |           |         |        |          |
| 9 |           |         |        |          |

Notes:

|              |  |  |  |  |
|--------------|--|--|--|--|
| 211129AK BIK |  |  |  |  |
|              |  |  |  |  |
|              |  |  |  |  |
|              |  |  |  |  |

## VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

**METHOD: GC/MS SVOA**

|                                 |                                 |                                  |   |  |
|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|---|--|
| A. Phenol                       | CC. Dimethylphthalate           | EEE. Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate  | GGGG. C30-Hopane                          | I1. Methyl methanesulfonate            |
| B. Bis (2-chloroethyl) ether    | DD. Acenaphthylene              | FFF. Di-n-octylphthalate         | HHHH. 1-Methylphenanthrene                | J1. Ethyl methanesulfonate             |
| C. 2-Chlorophenol               | EE. 2,6-Dinitrotoluene          | GGG. Benzo(b)fluoranthene        | IIII. 1,4-Dioxane                         | K1. o,o',o''-Triethylphosphorothioate  |
| D. 1,3-Dichlorobenzene          | FF. 3-Nitroaniline              | HHH. Benzo(k)fluoranthene        | JJJJ. Acetophenone                        | L1. n-Phenylene diamine                |
| E. 1,4-Dichlorobenzene          | GG. Acenaphthene                | III. Benzo(a)pyrene              | KKKK. Atrazine                            | M1. 1,4-Naphthoquinone                 |
| F. 1,2-Dichlorobenzene          | HH. 2,4-Dinitrophenol           | JJJ. Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene      | LLLL. Benzaldehyde                        | N1. N-Nitro-o-toluidine                |
| G. 2-Methylphenol               | II. 4-Nitrophenol               | KKK. Dibenz(a,h)anthracene       | MMMM. Caprolactam                         | O1. 1,3,5-Trinitrobenzene              |
| H. 2,2'-Oxybis(1-chloropropane) | JJ. Dibenzofuran                | LLL. Benzo(g,h,i)perylene        | NNNN. 2,6-Dichlorophenol                  | P1. Pentachlorobenzene                 |
| I. 4-Methylphenol               | KK. 2,4-Dinitrotoluene          | MMM. Bis(2-Chloroisopropyl)ether | OOOO. 1,2-Diphenylhydrazine               | Q1. 4-Aminobiphenyl                    |
| J. N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine   | LL. Diethylphthalate            | NNN. Aniline                     | PPPP. 3-Methylphenol                      | R1. 2-Naphthylamine                    |
| K. Hexachloroethane             | MM. 4-Chlorophenyl-phenyl ether | OOO. N-Nitrosodimethylamine      | QQQQ. 3&4-Methylphenol                    | S1. Triphenylene                       |
| L. Nitrobenzene                 | NN. Fluorene                    | PPP. Benzoic Acid                | RRRR. 4-Dimethyldibenzothiophene (4MDT)   | T1. Octachlorostyrene                  |
| M. Isophorone                   | OO. 4-Nitroaniline              | QQQ. Benzyl alcohol              | SSSS. 2/3-Dimethyldibenzothiophene (4MDT) | U1. Famphur                            |
| N. 2-Nitrophenol                | PP. 4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol  | RRR. Pyridine                    | TTTT. 1-Methyldibenzothiophene (1MDT)     | V1. 1,4-phenylenediamine               |
| O. 2,4-Dimethylphenol           | QQ. N-Nitrosodiphenylamine      | SSS. Benzidine                   | UUUU. 2,3,4,6-Tetrachlorophenol           | W1. Methapyrilene                      |
| P. Bis(2-chloroethoxy)methane   | RR. 4-Bromophenyl-phenylether   | TTT. 1-Methylnaphthalene         | VVVV. 1,2,4,5-Tetrachlorobenzene          | X1. Pentachloroethane                  |
| Q. 2,4-Dichlorophenol           | SS. Hexachlorobenzene           | UUU. Benzo(b)thiophene           | WWWWW. 2-Picoline                         | Y1. 3,3'-Dimethylbenzidine             |
| R. 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene       | TT. Pentachlorophenol           | VVV. Benzonaphthothiophene       | XXXX. 3-Methylcholanthrene                | Z1. o-Toluidine                        |
| S. Naphthalene                  | UU. Phenanthrene                | WWW. Benzo(e)pyrene              | YYYY. a,a-Dimethylphenethylamine          | A2. 1-Naphthylamine                    |
| T. 4-Chloroaniline              | VV. Anthracene                  | XXX. 2,6-Dimethylnaphthalene     | ZZZZ. Hexachloropropene                   | B2. 4-Aminobiphenyl                    |
| U. Hexachlorobutadiene          | WW. Carbazole                   | YYY. 2,3,5-Trimethylnaphthalene  | A1. N-Nitrosodiethylamine                 | C2. 4-Nitroquinoline-1-oxide           |
| V. 4-Chloro-3-methylphenol      | XX. Di-n-butylphthalate         | ZZZ. Perylene                    | B1. N-Nitrosodi-n-butylamine              | D2. Hexachloropene                     |
| W. 2-Methylnaphthalene          | YY. Fluoranthene                | AAAA. Dibenzothiophene           | C1. N-Nitrosomethylethylamine             | E2. Bis (2-chloro-1-methylethyl) ether |
| X. Hexachlorocyclopentadiene    | ZZ. Pyrene                      | BBBB. Benzo(a)fluoranthene       | D1. N-Nitrosomorpholine                   | F2. Bifenthrin                         |
| Y. 2,4,6-Trichlorophenol        | AAA. Butylbenzylphthalate       | CCCC. Benzo(b)fluorene           | E1. N-Nitrosopyridine                     | G2. Cyfluthrin                         |
| Z. 2,4,5-Trichlorophenol        | BBB. 3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine     | DDDD. cis/trans-Decalin          | F1. Phenacetin                            | H2. Cypermethrin                       |
| AA. 2-Chloronaphthalene         | CCC. Benzo(a)anthracene         | EEEE. Biphenyl                   | G1. 2-Acetylaminofluorene                 | I2. Permethrin (cis/trans)             |
| BB. 2-Nitroaniline              | DDD. Chrysene                   | FFFF. Retene                     | H1. Pronamide                             | J2. 5-Nitro-o-toluidine                |



**Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc.  
Data Validation Report**

**Project/Site Name:** Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126

**LDC Report Date:** March 28, 2022

**Parameters:** Total Organic Carbon

**Validation Level:** Stage 2B

**Laboratory:** APPL, Inc., Clovis, CA

**Sample Delivery Group (SDG):** 98337

| <b>Sample Identification</b> | <b>Laboratory Sample Identification</b> | <b>Matrix</b> | <b>Collection Date</b> |
|------------------------------|---|---------------|------------------------|
| ERH1947                      | BA46979                                 | Water         | 11/24/21               |
| ERH1950                      | BA46981                                 | Water         | 11/24/21               |
| ERH1953                      | BA46983                                 | Water         | 11/24/21               |
| ERH1956                      | BA46985                                 | Water         | 11/24/21               |

## Introduction

This Data Validation Report (DVR) presents data validation findings and results for the associated samples listed on the cover page. Data validation was performed in accordance with the Work Plan/Scope of Work, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 02, January 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 01, April 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 01, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, September 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 03, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, June 2018), the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) Quality Systems Manual (QSM) for Environmental Laboratories, Version 5.3 (2019), and the DoD General Validation Guidelines (November 2019). Where specific guidance was not available, the data has been evaluated in a conservative manner consistent with industry standards using professional experience.

The analyses were performed by the following method:

Total Organic Carbon by Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) SW 846 Method 9060A

All sample results were subjected to Stage 2B data validation, which comprises an evaluation of quality control (QC) summary results.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers utilized during data validation:

- J+ (Estimated, High Bias): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated, displaying high bias, due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- J- (Estimated, Low Bias): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated, displaying low bias, due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- J (Estimated, Bias Indeterminate): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated due to non-conformances discovered during data validation. Bias is indeterminate.
- U (Non-detected): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the analyte should be considered non-detected due to the presence of contaminants detected in the associated blank(s).
- UJ (Non-detected estimated): The analyte was not detected and the associated numerical value is approximate.
- X (Exclusion of data recommended): The sample results (including non-detects) were affected by serious deficiencies in the ability to analyze the sample and to meet published method and project quality control criteria. The presence or absence of the analyte cannot be substantiated by the data provided. Exclusion of the data is recommended.
- NA (Not Applicable): The non-conformance discovered during data validation demonstrates a high bias, while the affected analyte in the associated sample(s) was reported as not detected by the laboratory and did not warrant the qualification of the data.

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

## Qualification Code Reference

- a ICP Serial Dilution %D was not within control limits.
- b Presumed contamination from preparation (method blank).
- c Calibration %RSD, r, r<sup>2</sup>, %D or %R was noncompliant.
- d The analysis with this flag should not be used because another more technically sound analysis is available.
- e MS/MSD or Duplicate RPD was high.
- f Presumed contamination from FB or ER.
- g ICP ICS results were unsatisfactory.
- h Holding times were exceeded.
- i Internal standard performance was unsatisfactory.
- k Estimated Maximum Possible Concentration (HRGC/HRMS only)
- l LCS/LCSD %R was not within control limits.
- m Result exceeded the calibration range.
- o Cooler temperature or temperature blank was noncompliant and/or sample custody problems.
- p RPD between two columns was high (GC only).
- q MS/MSD recovery was not within control limits.
- s Surrogate recovery was not within control limits.
- t Presumed contamination from trip blank.
- v Unusual problems found with the data not defined elsewhere. Description of the problem can be found in the validation report.
- w LCS/LCSD RPD was high.
- y Chemical recovery was not within control limits (Radiochemistry only).



## **I. Sample Receipt and Technical Holding Times**

All samples were received in good condition.

All technical holding time requirements were met.

## **II. Initial Calibration**

All criteria for the initial calibration were met.

## **III. Continuing Calibration**

Continuing calibration frequency and analysis criteria were met.

## **IV. Laboratory Blanks**

Laboratory blanks were analyzed as required by the method. No contaminants were found in the laboratory blanks.

## **V. Field Blanks**

No field blanks were identified in this SDG.

## **VI. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates**

The laboratory has indicated that there were no matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyses specified for the samples in this SDG, and therefore matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate analyses were not performed for this SDG.

## **VII. Duplicate Sample Analysis**

The laboratory has indicated that there were no duplicate (DUP) analyses specified for the samples in this SDG, and therefore duplicate analyses were not performed for this SDG.

## **VIII. Laboratory Control Samples**

Laboratory control samples (LCS) and laboratory control samples duplicates (LCSD) were analyzed as required by the method. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits. Relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

## **IX. Field Duplicates**

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

## **X. Target Analyte Quantitation**

Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

## **XI. Overall Assessment of Data**

The analysis was conducted within all specifications of the method. No results were rejected or recommended for exclusion in this SDG.

**Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126**  
**Total Organic Carbon - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 98337**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

**Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126**  
**Total Organic Carbon - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 98337**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

**Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126**  
**Total Organic Carbon - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 98337**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

LDC #: 53484F6  
 SDG #: 98337  
 Laboratory: APPL, Inc., Clovis, CA

**VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET**  
 Stage 2B

Date: 3/15/22  
 Page: 1 of 1  
 Reviewer: ATV  
 2nd Reviewer: ATV

**METHOD: (Analyte) TOC (EPA SW-846 Method 9060A)**

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

|       | Validation Area                        |     | Comments |
|-------|--|-----|----------|
| I.    | Sample receipt/Technical holding times | A/A |          |
| II    | Initial calibration                    | A   |          |
| III.  | Calibration verification               | A   |          |
| IV    | Laboratory Blanks                      | A   |          |
| V     | Field blanks                           | N   |          |
| VI.   | Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates   | N   | C.S      |
| VII.  | Duplicate sample analysis              | N   |          |
| VIII. | Laboratory control samples             | A   | LCS/LCSD |
| IX.   | Field duplicates                       | N   |          |
| X.    | Target Analyte Quantitation            | N   |          |
| XI.   | Overall assessment of data             | A   |          |

Note: A = Acceptable                      ND = No compounds detected                      D = Duplicate                      SB=Source blank  
 N = Not provided/applicable                      R = Rinsate                      TB = Trip blank                      OTHER:  
 SW = See worksheet                      FB = Field blank                      EB = Equipment blank

|    | Client ID | Lab ID  | Matrix | Date     |
|----|-----------|---------|--------|----------|
| 1  | ERH1947   | BA46979 | Water  | 11/24/21 |
| 2  | ERH1950   | BA46981 | Water  | 11/24/21 |
| 3  | ERH1953   | BA46983 | Water  | 11/24/21 |
| 4  | ERH1956   | BA46985 | Water  | 11/24/21 |
| 5  |           |         |        |          |
| 6  |           |         |        |          |
| 7  |           |         |        |          |
| 8  |           |         |        |          |
| 9  |           |         |        |          |
| 10 |           |         |        |          |
| 11 |           |         |        |          |
| 12 |           |         |        |          |
| 13 |           |         |        |          |
| 14 |           |         |        |          |
| 15 |           |         |        |          |

Notes: \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_

**Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc.  
Data Validation Report**

**Project/Site Name:** Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126

**LDC Report Date:** March 28, 2022

**Parameters:** Gasoline Range Organics

**Validation Level:** Stage 2B

**Laboratory:** APPL, Inc., Clovis, CA

**Sample Delivery Group (SDG):** 98337

| <b>Sample Identification</b> | <b>Laboratory Sample Identification</b> | <b>Matrix</b> | <b>Collection Date</b> |
|------------------------------|---|---------------|------------------------|
| ERH1946                      | BA46978                                 | Water         | 11/24/21               |
| ERH1947                      | BA46979                                 | Water         | 11/24/21               |
| ERH1949                      | BA46980                                 | Water         | 11/24/21               |
| ERH1950                      | BA46981                                 | Water         | 11/24/21               |
| ERH1952                      | BA46982                                 | Water         | 11/24/21               |
| ERH1953                      | BA46983                                 | Water         | 11/24/21               |
| ERH1955                      | BA46984                                 | Water         | 11/24/21               |
| ERH1956                      | BA46985                                 | Water         | 11/24/21               |

## Introduction

This Data Validation Report (DVR) presents data validation findings and results for the associated samples listed on the cover page. Data validation was performed in accordance with the Work Plan/Scope of Work, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 02, January 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 01, April 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 01, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, September 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 03, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, June 2018), the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) Quality Systems Manual (QSM) for Environmental Laboratories, Version 5.3 (2019), the DoD General Validation Guidelines (November 2019), and the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) Data Validation Guidelines Module 1: Data Validation Procedure for Organic Analysis by GC/MS (May 2020). Where specific guidance was not available, the data has been evaluated in a conservative manner consistent with industry standards using professional experience.

The analyses were performed by the following method:

Gasoline Range Organics by Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) SW 846 Method 8260B

All sample results were subjected to Stage 2B data validation, which comprises an evaluation of quality control (QC) summary results.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers utilized during data validation:

- J+ (Estimated, High Bias): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated, displaying high bias, due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- J- (Estimated, Low Bias): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated, displaying low bias, due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- J (Estimated, Bias Indeterminate): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated due to non-conformances discovered during data validation. Bias is indeterminate.
- U (Non-detected): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the analyte should be considered non-detected due to the presence of contaminants detected in the associated blank(s).
- UJ (Non-detected estimated): The analyte was not detected and the associated numerical value is approximate.
- X (Exclusion of data recommended): The sample results (including non-detects) were affected by serious deficiencies in the ability to analyze the sample and to meet published method and project quality control criteria. The presence or absence of the analyte cannot be substantiated by the data provided. Exclusion of the data is recommended.
- NA (Not Applicable): The non-conformance discovered during data validation demonstrates a high bias, while the affected analyte in the associated sample(s) was reported as not detected by the laboratory and did not warrant the qualification of the data.

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

## Qualification Code Reference

- a ICP Serial Dilution %D was not within control limits.
- b Presumed contamination from preparation (method blank).
- c Calibration %RSD, r, r<sup>2</sup>, %D or %R was noncompliant.
- d The analysis with this flag should not be used because another more technically sound analysis is available.
- e MS/MSD or Duplicate RPD was high.
- f Presumed contamination from FB or ER.
- g ICP ICS results were unsatisfactory.
- h Holding times were exceeded.
- i Internal standard performance was unsatisfactory.
- k Estimated Maximum Possible Concentration (HRGC/HRMS only)
- l LCS/LCSD %R was not within control limits.
- m Result exceeded the calibration range.
- o Cooler temperature or temperature blank was noncompliant and/or sample custody problems.
- p RPD between two columns was high (GC only).
- q MS/MSD recovery was not within control limits.
- s Surrogate recovery was not within control limits.
- t Presumed contamination from trip blank.
- v Unusual problems found with the data not defined elsewhere. Description of the problem can be found in the validation report.
- w LCS/LCSD RPD was high.
- y Chemical recovery was not within control limits (Radiochemistry only).



## **I. Sample Receipt and Technical Holding Times**

All samples were received in good condition and cooler temperatures upon receipt met validation criteria.

All technical holding time requirements were met.

## **II. GC/MS Instrument Performance Check**

Instrument performance check was performed at the required frequency.

All ion abundance requirements were met.

## **III. Initial Calibration and Initial Calibration Verification**

An initial calibration was performed as required by the method.

The percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) were less than or equal to 20.0% for all analytes.

The percent differences (%D) of the initial calibration verification (ICV) standard were less than or equal to 20.0% for all analytes.

## **IV. Continuing Calibration**

Continuing calibration was performed at the required frequencies.

The percent differences (%D) were less than or equal to 20.0% for all analytes.

The percent differences (%D) of the ending continuing calibration verifications (CCVs) were less than or equal to 20.0% for all analytes.

## **V. Laboratory Blanks**

Laboratory blanks were analyzed as required by the method. No contaminants were found in the laboratory blanks.

## **VI. Field Blanks**

Samples ERH1946, ERH1949, ERH1952, and ERH1955 were identified as trip blanks. No contaminants were found.

## **VII. Surrogates**

Surrogates were added to all samples as required by the method. All surrogate recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

### **VIII. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates**

The laboratory has indicated that there were no matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyses specified for the samples in this SDG, and therefore matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate analyses were not performed for this SDG.

### **IX. Laboratory Control Samples**

Laboratory control samples (LCS) and laboratory control samples duplicates (LCSD) were analyzed as required by the method. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits. Relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

### **X. Field Duplicates**

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

### **XI. Internal Standards**

All internal standard areas and retention times were within QC limits.

### **XII. Target Analyte Quantitation**

Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

### **XIII. Target Analyte Identification**

Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

### **XIV. System Performance**

Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

### **XV. Overall Assessment of Data**

The analysis was conducted within all specifications of the method. No results were rejected or recommended for exclusion in this SDG.

**Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126  
Gasoline Range Organics - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 98337**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

**Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126  
Gasoline Range Organics - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG  
98337**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

**Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126  
Gasoline Range Organics - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 98337**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

LDC #: 53484F7

**VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET**

Date: 3/15/22

SDG #: 98337

Stage 2B

Page: 1 of 1

Laboratory: APPL, Inc., Clovis, CA

Reviewer: [Signature]  
2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

**METHOD:** GC/MS Gasoline Range Organics (EPA SW-846 Method 8260B)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

|       | Validation Area                        |     | Comments            |
|-------|--|-----|---------------------|
| I.    | Sample receipt/Technical holding times | A/A |                     |
| II.   | GC/MS Instrument performance check     | A   |                     |
| III.  | Initial calibration/ICV                | A/A | % PSD = 20 ICV = 20 |
| IV.   | Continuing calibration <i>tending</i>  | A   | CW = 20/20          |
| V.    | Laboratory Blanks                      | A   |                     |
| VI.   | Field blanks                           | ND  | TB = 1, 3, 5, 7     |
| VII.  | Surrogate spikes                       | A   |                     |
| VIII. | Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates   | N   | CS                  |
| IX.   | Laboratory control samples             | A   | 100% ID             |
| X.    | Field duplicates                       | N   |                     |
| XI.   | Internal standards                     | A   |                     |
| XII.  | Target analyte quantitation            | N   |                     |
| XIII. | Target analyte identification          | N   |                     |
| XIV.  | System performance                     | N   |                     |
| XV.   | Overall assessment of data             | A   |                     |

Note: A = Acceptable  
N = Not provided/applicable  
SW = See worksheet

ND = No compounds detected  
R = Rinsate  
FB = Field blank

D = Duplicate  
TB = Trip blank  
EB = Equipment blank

SB=Source blank  
OTHER:

|   | Client ID  | Lab ID  | Matrix | Date     |
|---|------------|---------|--------|----------|
| 1 | ERH1946 TB | BA46978 | Water  | 11/24/21 |
| 2 | ERH1947    | BA46979 | Water  | 11/24/21 |
| 3 | ERH1949 TB | BA46980 | Water  | 11/24/21 |
| 4 | ERH1950    | BA46981 | Water  | 11/24/21 |
| 5 | ERH1952 TB | BA46982 | Water  | 11/24/21 |
| 6 | ERH1953    | BA46983 | Water  | 11/24/21 |
| 7 | ERH1955 TB | BA46984 | Water  | 11/24/21 |
| 8 | ERH1956    | BA46985 | Water  | 11/24/21 |
| 9 |            |         |        |          |

Notes:

|              |  |  |  |  |
|--------------|--|--|--|--|
| 211207A2-B1K |  |  |  |  |
|              |  |  |  |  |
|              |  |  |  |  |

**Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc.  
Data Validation Report**

**Project/Site Name:** Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126

**LDC Report Date:** March 28, 2022

**Parameters:** Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Extractables

**Validation Level:** Stage 2B

**Laboratory:** APPL, Inc., Clovis, CA

**Sample Delivery Group (SDG):** 98337

| <b>Sample Identification</b> | <b>Laboratory Sample Identification</b> | <b>Matrix</b> | <b>Collection Date</b> |
|------------------------------|---|---------------|------------------------|
| ERH1947                      | BA46979                                 | Water         | 11/24/21               |
| ERH1950                      | BA46981                                 | Water         | 11/24/21               |
| ERH1953                      | BA46983                                 | Water         | 11/24/21               |
| ERH1956                      | BA46985                                 | Water         | 11/24/21               |
| ERH1947(SGCU)                | BA46979(SGCU)                           | Water         | 11/24/21               |
| ERH1950(SGCU)                | BA46981(SGCU)                           | Water         | 11/24/21               |
| ERH1953(SGCU)                | BA46983(SGCU)                           | Water         | 11/24/21               |
| ERH1956(SGCU)                | BA46985(SGCU)                           | Water         | 11/24/21               |

Samples appended with SGCU underwent "Silica Gel Clean Up"

## Introduction

This Data Validation Report (DVR) presents data validation findings and results for the associated samples listed on the cover page. Data validation was performed in accordance with the Work Plan/Scope of Work, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 02, January 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 01, April 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 01, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, September 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 03, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, June 2018), the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) Quality Systems Manual (QSM) for Environmental Laboratories, Version 5.3 (2019), the DoD General Validation Guidelines (November 2019), and the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) Data Validation Guidelines Module 4: Data Validation Procedure for Organic Analysis by GC (March 2021). Where specific guidance was not available, the data has been evaluated in a conservative manner consistent with industry standards using professional experience.

The analyses were performed by the following method:

Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (TPH) as Extractables by Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) SW 846 Method 8015B

All sample results were subjected to Stage 2B data validation, which comprises an evaluation of quality control (QC) summary results.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers utilized during data validation:

- J+ (Estimated, High Bias): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated, displaying high bias, due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- J- (Estimated, Low Bias): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated, displaying low bias, due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- J (Estimated, Bias Indeterminate): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated due to non-conformances discovered during data validation. Bias is indeterminate.
- U (Non-detected): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the analyte should be considered non-detected due to the presence of contaminants detected in the associated blank(s).
- UJ (Non-detected estimated): The analyte was not detected and the associated numerical value is approximate.
- X (Exclusion of data recommended): The sample results (including non-detects) were affected by serious deficiencies in the ability to analyze the sample and to meet published method and project quality control criteria. The presence or absence of the analyte cannot be substantiated by the data provided. Exclusion of the data is recommended.
- NA (Not Applicable): The non-conformance discovered during data validation demonstrates a high bias, while the affected analyte in the associated sample(s) was reported as not detected by the laboratory and did not warrant the qualification of the data.

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

## Qualification Code Reference

- a ICP Serial Dilution %D was not within control limits.
- b Presumed contamination from preparation (method blank).
- c Calibration %RSD, r, r<sup>2</sup>, %D or %R was noncompliant.
- d The analysis with this flag should not be used because another more technically sound analysis is available.
- e MS/MSD or Duplicate RPD was high.
- f Presumed contamination from FB or ER.
- g ICP ICS results were unsatisfactory.
- h Holding times were exceeded.
- i Internal standard performance was unsatisfactory.
- k Estimated Maximum Possible Concentration (HRGC/HRMS only)
- l LCS/LCSD %R was not within control limits.
- m Result exceeded the calibration range.
- o Cooler temperature or temperature blank was noncompliant and/or sample custody problems.
- p RPD between two columns was high (GC only).
- q MS/MSD recovery was not within control limits.
- s Surrogate recovery was not within control limits.
- t Presumed contamination from trip blank.
- v Unusual problems found with the data not defined elsewhere. Description of the problem can be found in the validation report.
- w LCS/LCSD RPD was high.
- y Chemical recovery was not within control limits (Radiochemistry only).



## I. Sample Receipt and Technical Holding Times

All samples were received in good condition and cooler temperatures upon receipt met validation criteria.

All technical holding time requirements were met.

## II. Initial Calibration and Initial Calibration Verification

An initial calibration was performed as required by the method.

Where average calibration factors were utilized, percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) were less than or equal to 20.0%.

In the case where the laboratory used a calibration curve to evaluate the analytes, all coefficients of determination ( $r^2$ ) were greater than or equal to 0.990.

The percent differences (%D) of the initial calibration verification (ICV) standard were less than or equal to 20.0% for all analytes.

## III. Continuing Calibration

Continuing calibration was performed at the required frequencies.

The percent differences (%D) were less than or equal to 20.0% for all analytes.

The percent differences (%D) of the ending continuing calibration verifications (CCVs) were less than or equal to 20.0% for all analytes.

## IV. Laboratory Blanks

Laboratory blanks were analyzed as required by the method. No contaminants were found in the laboratory blanks with the following exceptions:

| Blank ID     | Extraction Date | Analyte       | Concentration | Associated Samples                       |
|--------------|-----------------|---------------|---------------|--|
| 211201A1-BLK | 12/01/21        | Oil (C24-C40) | 170 ug/L      | ERH1947<br>ERH1950<br>ERH1953<br>ERH1956 |

Sample concentrations were compared to concentrations detected in the laboratory blanks. The sample concentrations were either not detected or were significantly greater (>5X blank contaminants) than the concentrations found in the associated laboratory blanks with the following exceptions:

| Sample  | Analyte       | Reported Concentration | Modified Final Concentration |
|---------|---------------|------------------------|------------------------------|
| ERH1947 | Oil (C24-C40) | 200 ug/L               | 300U ug/L                    |
| ERH1950 | Oil (C24-C40) | 400 ug/L               | 400J+ ug/L                   |
| ERH1953 | Oil (C24-C40) | 330 ug/L               | 330J+ ug/L                   |
| ERH1956 | Oil (C24-C40) | 320 ug/L               | 320U ug/L                    |

## V. Field Blanks

No field blanks were identified in this SDG.

## VI. Surrogates

Surrogates were added to all samples as required by the method. All surrogate recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

## VII. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

The laboratory has indicated that there were no matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyses specified for the samples in this SDG, and therefore matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate analyses were not performed for this SDG.

## VIII. Laboratory Control Samples

Laboratory control samples (LCS) and laboratory control samples duplicates (LCSD) were analyzed as required by the method. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits with the following exceptions:

| LCS ID<br>(Associated Samples)   | Analyte       | LCS<br>%R (Limits) | LCSD<br>%R (Limits) | Flag             | A or P |
|--|---------------|--------------------|---------------------|------------------|--------|
| 211201A-LCS/LCSD<br>(ERH1947(SGCU)<br>ERH1950(SGCU)<br>ERH1953(SGCU)<br>ERH1956(SGCU)) | Oil (C24-C40) | 117 (41-113)       | -                   | NA               | -      |
| 211201A1-LCS/LCSD<br>(ERH1947<br>ERH1950<br>ERH1953<br>ERH1956)                        | Oil (C24-C40) | 149 (41-113)       | 145 (41-113)        | J+ (all detects) | P      |

Relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

## **IX. Field Duplicates**

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

## **X. Target Analyte Quantitation**

Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

## **XI. Target Analyte Identification**

Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

## **XII. Overall Assessment of Data**

The analysis was conducted within all specifications of the method. No results were rejected or recommended for exclusion in this SDG.

Due to LCS/LCSD %R, data were qualified as estimated in four samples.

Due to laboratory blank contamination, data were qualified as estimated or not detected in four samples.

**Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126  
 Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Extractables - Data Qualification Summary -  
 SDG 98337**

| Sample                                   | Analyte       | Flag             | A or P | Reason (Code)                       |
|--|---------------|------------------|--------|-------------------------------------|
| ERH1947<br>ERH1950<br>ERH1953<br>ERH1956 | Oil (C24-C40) | J+ (all detects) | P      | Laboratory control samples (%R) (I) |

**Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126  
 Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Extractables - Laboratory Blank Data  
 Qualification Summary - SDG 98337**

| Sample  | Analyte       | Modified Final Concentration | A or P | Code |
|---------|---------------|------------------------------|--------|------|
| ERH1947 | Oil (C24-C40) | 300U ug/L                    | A      | b    |
| ERH1950 | Oil (C24-C40) | 400J+ ug/L                   | A      | b    |
| ERH1953 | Oil (C24-C40) | 330J+ ug/L                   | A      | b    |
| ERH1956 | Oil (C24-C40) | 320U ug/L                    | A      | b    |

**Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126  
 Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Extractables - Field Blank Data Qualification  
 Summary - SDG 98337**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

LDC #: 53484F8  
 SDG #: 98337  
 Laboratory: APPL, Inc., Clovis, CA

**VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET**  
 Stage 2B

Date: 3/15/22  
 Page: 1 of 1  
 Reviewer: [Signature]  
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

**METHOD:** GC TPH as Extractables (EPA SW-846 Method 8015B)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

|       | Validation Area                        |       | Comments                                |
|-------|--|-------|---|
| I.    | Sample receipt/Technical holding times | Δ / Δ |   |
| II.   | Initial calibration/ICV                | Δ / Δ | % PSD ≤ 20, r <sup>2</sup> 1.0, CV ≤ 20 |
| III.  | Continuing calibration                 | Δ     | CW ≤ 20/20                              |
| IV.   | Laboratory Blanks                      | SW    |   |
| V.    | Field blanks                           | N     |   |
| VI.   | Surrogate spikes                       | Δ     |   |
| VII.  | Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates   | N     | CS                                      |
| VIII. | Laboratory control samples             | SW    | CS/P                                    |
| IX.   | Field duplicates                       | N     |   |
| X.    | Target analyte quantitation            | N     |   |
| XI.   | Target analyte identification          | N     |   |
| XII.  | Overall assessment of data             | Δ     |   |

Note: A = Acceptable      ND = No compounds detected      D = Duplicate      SB=Source blank  
 N = Not provided/applicable      R = Rinsate      TB = Trip blank      OTHER:  
 SW = See worksheet      FB = Field blank      EB = Equipment blank

|     | Client ID     | Lab ID        | Matrix | Date     |
|-----|---------------|---------------|--------|----------|
| 1 ✓ | ERH1947       | BA46979       | Water  | 11/24/21 |
| 2 ✓ | ERH1950       | BA46981       | Water  | 11/24/21 |
| 3 ✓ | ERH1953       | BA46983       | Water  | 11/24/21 |
| 4 ✓ | ERH1956       | BA46985       | Water  | 11/24/21 |
| 5   | ERH1947(SGCU) | BA46979(SGCU) | Water  | 11/24/21 |
| 6   | ERH1950(SGCU) | BA46981(SGCU) | Water  | 11/24/21 |
| 7   | ERH1953(SGCU) | BA46983(SGCU) | Water  | 11/24/21 |
| 8   | ERH1956(SGCU) | BA46985(SGCU) | Water  | 11/24/21 |
| 9   |               |               |        |          |
| 10  |               |               |        |          |
| 11  |               |               |        |          |
| 12  |               |               |        |          |
| 13  |               |               |        |          |

Notes:

|   |   |               |  |  |  |  |
|---|---|---------------|--|--|--|--|
| - | 1 | 211201 A-BIK  |  |  |  |  |
| + | 2 | 211201 A1-BIK |  |  |  |  |
|   |   |               |  |  |  |  |
|   |   |               |  |  |  |  |

**VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET**

**Blanks**

METHOD:  GC  HPLC

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

Y N N/A Were all samples associated with a given method blank?

Y N N/A Was a method blank performed for each matrix and whenever a sample extraction procedure was performed?

Y N N/A Was a method blank performed with each extraction batch?

Y N N/A Were any contaminants found in the method blanks? If yes, please see findings below.

Result  
LOQ

(b)

Level IV/D Only

Y N N/A (Gasoline and aromatics only) Was a method blank analyzed with each 24 hour batch?

Y N N/A Was a method blank analyzed for each analytical / extraction batch of ≤20 samples?

Blank extraction date: 12/1/21 Blank analysis date: 12/15/21

Associated samples: 1 → 4

Conc. units: ug/L

| Compound                                   | Blank ID            | Sample Identification      |                              |                              |             |  |  |
|--|---------------------|----------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------|--|--|
|  |                     | 1                          | 2                            | 3                            | 4           |  |  |
|  | <u>211201A1-BLK</u> |                            |                              |                              |             |  |  |
| <u>Oil (C<sub>24</sub>-C<sub>40</sub>)</u> | <u>170</u>          | <u>200</u> / <u>300.0M</u> | <u>400</u> <u>↓</u> <u>†</u> | <u>330</u> <u>↓</u> <u>†</u> | <u>320M</u> |  |  |
|  | <u>320</u>          | <u>320</u>                 | <u>320</u>                   | <u>320</u>                   | <u>320</u>  |  |  |
|  |                     |                            |                              |                              |             |  |  |
|  |                     |                            |                              |                              |             |  |  |
|  |                     |                            |                              |                              |             |  |  |
|  |                     |                            |                              |                              |             |  |  |

Blank extraction date: \_\_\_\_\_ Blank analysis date: \_\_\_\_\_ Associated samples: \_\_\_\_\_

Conc. units: \_\_\_\_\_

| Compound | Blank ID | Sample Identification |  |  |  |  |  |
|----------|----------|-----------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
|          |          |                       |  |  |  |  |  |
|          |          |                       |  |  |  |  |  |
|          |          |                       |  |  |  |  |  |
|          |          |                       |  |  |  |  |  |
|          |          |                       |  |  |  |  |  |
|          |          |                       |  |  |  |  |  |
|          |          |                       |  |  |  |  |  |

ALL CIRCLED RESULTS WERE NOT QUALIFIED. ALL RESULTS NOT CIRCLED WERE QUALIFIED BY THE FOLLOWING STATEMENT:

All contaminants within five times the method blank concentration were qualified as not detected, "U".



## Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc. Data Validation Report

**Project/Site Name:** Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126

**LDC Report Date:** March 27, 2022

**Parameters:** Volatiles

**Validation Level:** Stage 2B & 4

**Laboratory:** APPL, Inc., Clovis, CA

**Sample Delivery Group (SDG):** 98381

| Sample Identification | Laboratory Sample Identification | Matrix | Collection Date |
|-----------------------|----------------------------------|--------|-----------------|
| ERH2001               | BA47127                          | Water  | 12/01/21        |
| ERH2002**             | BA47128**                        | Water  | 12/01/21        |
| ERH2004               | BA47131                          | Water  | 12/01/21        |
| ERH2005**             | BA47132**                        | Water  | 12/01/21        |
| ERH2007               | BA47133                          | Water  | 12/01/21        |
| ERH2008**             | BA47134**                        | Water  | 12/01/21        |

\*\*Indicates sample underwent Stage 4 validation



## Introduction

This Data Validation Report (DVR) presents data validation findings and results for the associated samples listed on the cover page. Data validation was performed in accordance with the Work Plan/Scope of Work, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 02, January 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 01, April 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 01, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, September 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 03, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, June 2018), the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) Quality Systems Manual (QSM) for Environmental Laboratories, Version 5.3 (2019), the DoD General Validation Guidelines (November 2019), and the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) Data Validation Guidelines Module 1: Data Validation Procedure for Organic Analysis by GC/MS (May 2020). Where specific guidance was not available, the data has been evaluated in a conservative manner consistent with industry standards using professional experience.

The analyses were performed by the following method:

Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs) which are Benzene, Toluene, Ethylbenzene, and Xylenes (BTEX) by Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) SW 846 Method 8260B

All sample results were subjected to Stage 2B data validation, which comprises an evaluation of quality control (QC) summary results. Samples appended with a double asterisk on the cover page were subjected to Stage 4 data validation, which is comprised of the QC summary forms as well as the raw data, to confirm sample quantitation and identification.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers utilized during data validation:

- J+ (Estimated, High Bias): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated, displaying high bias, due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- J- (Estimated, Low Bias): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated, displaying low bias, due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- J (Estimated, Bias Indeterminate): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated due to non-conformances discovered during data validation. Bias is indeterminate.
- U (Non-detected): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the analyte should be considered non-detected due to the presence of contaminants detected in the associated blank(s).
- UJ (Non-detected estimated): The analyte was not detected and the associated numerical value is approximate.
- X (Exclusion of data recommended): The sample results (including non-detects) were affected by serious deficiencies in the ability to analyze the sample and to meet published method and project quality control criteria. The presence or absence of the analyte cannot be substantiated by the data provided. Exclusion of the data is recommended.
- NA (Not Applicable): The non-conformance discovered during data validation demonstrates a high bias, while the affected analyte in the associated sample(s) was reported as not detected by the laboratory and did not warrant the qualification of the data.

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

## Qualification Code Reference

- a ICP Serial Dilution %D was not within control limits.
- b Presumed contamination from preparation (method blank).
- c Calibration %RSD, r,  $r^2$ , %D or %R was noncompliant.
- d The analysis with this flag should not be used because another more technically sound analysis is available.
- e MS/MSD or Duplicate RPD was high.
- f Presumed contamination from FB or ER.
- g ICP ICS results were unsatisfactory.
- h Holding times were exceeded.
- i Internal standard performance was unsatisfactory.
- k Estimated Maximum Possible Concentration (HRGC/HRMS only)
- l LCS/LCSD %R was not within control limits.
- m Result exceeded the calibration range.
- o Cooler temperature or temperature blank was noncompliant and/or sample custody problems.
- p RPD between two columns was high (GC only).
- q MS/MSD recovery was not within control limits.
- s Surrogate recovery was not within control limits.
- t Presumed contamination from trip blank.
- v Unusual problems found with the data not defined elsewhere. Description of the problem can be found in the validation report.
- w LCS/LCSD RPD was high.
- y Chemical recovery was not within control limits (Radiochemistry only).

## **I. Sample Receipt and Technical Holding Times**

All samples were received in good condition and cooler temperatures upon receipt met validation criteria.

All technical holding time requirements were met.

## **II. GC/MS Instrument Performance Check**

A bromofluorobenzene (BFB) tune was performed at 12 hour intervals.

All ion abundance requirements were met.

## **III. Initial Calibration and Initial Calibration Verification**

An initial calibration was performed as required by the method.

The percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) were less than or equal to 15.0% for all analytes.

Average relative response factors (RRF) for all analytes were within validation criteria.

The percent differences (%D) of the initial calibration verification (ICV) standard were less than or equal to 20.0% for all analytes.

## **IV. Continuing Calibration**

Continuing calibration was performed at the required frequencies.

The percent differences (%D) were less than or equal to 20.0% for all analytes.

The percent differences (%D) of the ending continuing calibration verifications (CCVs) were less than or equal to 50.0% for all analytes.

All of the continuing calibration relative response factors (RRF) were within validation criteria.

## **V. Laboratory Blanks**

Laboratory blanks were analyzed as required by the method. No contaminants were found in the laboratory blanks.

## **VI. Field Blanks**

Samples ERH2001, ERH2004, and ERH2007 were identified as trip blanks. No contaminants were found.

## **VII. Surrogates**

Surrogates were added to all samples as required by the method. All surrogate recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

## **VIII. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates**

The laboratory has indicated that there were no matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyses specified for the samples in this SDG, and therefore matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate analyses were not performed for this SDG.

## **IX. Laboratory Control Samples**

Laboratory control samples (LCS) and laboratory control samples duplicates (LCSD) were analyzed as required by the method. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits. Relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

## **X. Field Duplicates**

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

## **XI. Internal Standards**

All internal standard areas and retention times were within QC limits.

## **XII. Target Analyte Quantitation**

All target analyte quantitations met validation criteria for samples which underwent Stage 4 validation. Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

## **XIII. Target Analyte Identification**

All target analyte identifications met validation criteria for samples which underwent Stage 4 validation. Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

## **XIV. System Performance**

The system performance was acceptable for samples which underwent Stage 4 validation. Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

## **XV. Overall Assessment of Data**

The analysis was conducted within all specifications of the method. No results were rejected or recommended for exclusion in this SDG.

**Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126  
Volatiles - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 98381**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

**Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126  
Volatiles - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 98381**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

**Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126  
Volatiles - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 98381**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

LDC #: 53484G1a

**VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET**

Date: 3/15/22

SDG #: 98381

Stage 2B / 4

Page: 1 of 1

Laboratory: APPL, Inc., Clovis, CA

Reviewer: [Signature]  
2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

**METHOD:** GC/MS Volatiles (BTEX) (EPA SW-846 Method 8260B)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

|       | Validation Area                        |     | Comments                              |
|-------|--|-----|---------------------------------------|
| I.    | Sample receipt/Technical holding times | Δ Δ |                                       |
| II.   | GC/MS Instrument performance check     | Δ   |                                       |
| III.  | Initial calibration/ICV                | Δ Δ | % PSD ≤ 15      CV ≤ 20               |
| IV.   | Continuing calibration / ending        | Δ   | CCV ≤ 20/50                           |
| V.    | Laboratory Blanks                      | Δ   |                                       |
| VI.   | Field blanks                           | ND  | TB = 1, 3, 5                          |
| VII.  | Surrogate spikes                       | Δ   |                                       |
| VIII. | Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates   | N   | CS                                    |
| IX.   | Laboratory control samples             | Δ   | LCs 10                                |
| X.    | Field duplicates                       | N   |                                       |
| XI.   | Internal standards                     | Δ   |                                       |
| XII.  | Target analyte quantitation            | Δ   | Not reviewed for Stage 2B validation. |
| XIII. | Target analyte identification          | Δ   | Not reviewed for Stage 2B validation. |
| XIV.  | System performance                     | Δ   | Not reviewed for Stage 2B validation. |
| XV.   | Overall assessment of data             | A   |                                       |

Note: A = Acceptable      ND = No compounds detected      D = Duplicate      SB=Source blank  
 N = Not provided/applicable      R = Rinsate      TB = Trip blank      OTHER:  
 SW = See worksheet      FB = Field blank      EB = Equipment blank

\*\* Indicates sample underwent Stage 4 validation

|   | Client ID  | Lab ID    | Matrix | Date     |
|---|------------|-----------|--------|----------|
| 1 | ERH2001 TB | BA47127   | Water  | 12/01/21 |
| 2 | ERH2002**  | BA47128** | Water  | 12/01/21 |
| 3 | ERH2004 TB | BA47131   | Water  | 12/01/21 |
| 4 | ERH2005**  | BA47132** | Water  | 12/01/21 |
| 5 | ERH2007 TB | BA47133   | Water  | 12/01/21 |
| 6 | ERH2008**  | BA47134** | Water  | 12/01/21 |
| 7 |            |           |        |          |
| 8 |            |           |        |          |
| 9 |            |           |        |          |

Notes:

|           |  |  |  |  |  |
|-----------|--|--|--|--|--|
| A7 211207 |  |  |  |  |  |
|           |  |  |  |  |  |
|           |  |  |  |  |  |
|           |  |  |  |  |  |

Method: Volatiles (EPA SW 846 Method 8260 b)

| Validation Area  | Yes | No | NA | Findings/Comments |
|--|-----|----|----|-------------------|
| <b>I. Technical holding times</b>  |     |    |    |                   |
| Were all technical holding times met?  | /   |    |    |                   |
| Was cooler temperature criteria met?   | /   |    |    |                   |
| <b>II. GC/MS Instrument performance check</b>  |     |    |    |                   |
| Were the BFB performance results reviewed and found to be within the specified criteria?   | /   |    |    |                   |
| Were all samples analyzed within the 12 hour clock criteria?   | /   |    |    |                   |
| <b>IIIa. Initial calibration</b>   |     |    |    |                   |
| Did the laboratory perform a 5 point calibration prior to sample analysis?   | /   |    |    |                   |
| Were all percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) ≤ 15% and relative response factors (RRF) within method criteria?   | ✓   |    |    |                   |
| Was a curve fit used for evaluation? If yes, did the initial calibration meet the curve fit acceptance criteria of ≥ 0.990?                                    |     |    | ✓  |                   |
| <b>IIIb. Initial Calibration Verification</b>  |     |    |    |                   |
| Was an initial calibration verification standard analyzed after each initial calibration for each instrument?  | ✓   |    |    |                   |
| Were all percent differences (%D) < 20% ?  | ✓   | ✓  |    |                   |
| <b>IV. Continuing calibration</b>  |     |    |    |                   |
| Was a continuing calibration standard analyzed at least once every 12 hours for each instrument?   | /   |    |    |                   |
| Were all percent differences (%D) ≤ 20% and relative response factors (RRF) within method criteria? Were all percent differences (%D) < 50% in the ending CCV? | /   |    |    |                   |
| <b>V. Laboratory Blanks</b>  |     |    |    |                   |
| Was a laboratory blank associated with every sample in this SDG?   | /   |    |    |                   |
| Was a laboratory blank analyzed at least once every 12 hours for each matrix and concentration?  | /   |    |    |                   |
| Was there contamination in the laboratory blanks? If yes, please see the Blanks validation findings worksheet.   |     | /  |    |                   |
| <b>VI. Field blanks</b>  |     |    |    |                   |
| Were field blanks were identified in this SDG?   | /   |    |    |                   |
| Were target analytes detected in the field blanks?   |     | /  |    |                   |
| <b>VII. Surrogate spikes</b>   |     |    |    |                   |
| Were all surrogate percent recovery (%R) within QC limits?   | /   |    |    |                   |
| If the percent recovery (%R) for one or more surrogates was out of QC limits, was a reanalysis performed to confirm samples with %R outside of criteria?       |     |    | /  |                   |
| <b>VIII. Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates</b>  |     |    |    |                   |
| Were matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyzed in this SDG?  |     |    | /  |                   |
| Were the MS/MSD percent recoveries (%R) and the relative percent differences (RPD) within the QC limits?   |     |    | /  |                   |



| Validation Area   | Yes | No | NA | Findings/Comments |
|---|-----|----|----|-------------------|
| <b>IX. Laboratory control samples</b>   |     |    |    |                   |
| Was an LCS analyzed for this SDG?   | /   |    |    |                   |
| Was an LCS analyzed per analytical batch?   | /   |    |    |                   |
| Were the LCS percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent difference (RPD) within the QC limits?  | /   |    |    |                   |
| <b>X. Field duplicates</b>  |     |    |    |                   |
| Were field duplicate pairs identified in this SDG?  |     | /  |    |                   |
| Were target analytes detected in the field duplicates?  |     |    | /  |                   |
| <b>XI. Internal standards</b>   |     |    |    |                   |
| Were internal standard area counts within -50% to +100% of the associated calibration standard?   | /   |    |    |                   |
| Were retention times within + 30 seconds of the associated calibration standard?  | /   |    |    |                   |
| <b>XII. Target analyte quantitation</b>   |     |    |    |                   |
| Did the laboratory LOQs/RLs meet the QAPP LOQs/RLs?   | /   |    |    |                   |
| Were the correct internal standard (IS), quantitation ion and relative response factor (RRF) used to quantitate the target analyte?         | /   |    |    |                   |
| Were target analyte quantitation and RLs adjusted to reflect all sample dilutions and dry weight factors applicable to level IV validation? | /   |    |    |                   |
| <b>XIII. Target analyte identification</b>  |     |    |    |                   |
| Were relative retention times (RRT's) within + 0.06 RRT units of the standard?  | /   |    |    |                   |
| Did analyte spectra meet specified EPA "Functional Guidelines" criteria?  | /   |    |    |                   |
| Were chromatogram peaks verified and accounted for?   | /   |    |    |                   |
| Were manual integrations reviewed and found acceptable?   | /   |    |    |                   |
| Did the laboratory provide before and after integration printouts?  | /   |    | /  |                   |
| <b>XIV. System performance</b>  |     |    |    |                   |
| System performance was found to be acceptable.  | /   |    |    |                   |
| <b>XV. Overall assessment of data</b>   |     |    |    |                   |
| Overall assessment of data was found to be acceptable.  | /   |    |    |                   |

## TARGET COMPOUND WORKSHEET

### METHOD: VOA

|                              |                                 |  |                                   |                            |
|------------------------------|---------------------------------|--|-----------------------------------|----------------------------|
| A. Chloromethane             | AA. Tetrachloroethene           | AAA. 1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene                | AAAA. Ethyl tert-butyl ether      | A1. 1,3-Butadiene          |
| B. Bromomethane              | BB. 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane   | BBB. 4-Chlorotoluene                       | BBBB. tert-Amyl methyl ether      | B1. Hexane                 |
| C. Vinyl chloride            | CC. Toluene                     | CCC. tert-Butylbenzene                     | CCCC. 1-Chlorohexane              | C1. Heptane                |
| D. Chloroethane              | DD. Chlorobenzene               | DDD. 1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene                | DDDD. Isopropyl alcohol           | D1. Propylene              |
| E. Methylene chloride        | EE. Ethylbenzene                | EEE. sec-Butylbenzene                      | EEEE. Acetonitrile                | E1. Freon 11               |
| F. Acetone                   | FF. Styrene                     | FFF. 1,3-Dichlorobenzene                   | FFFF. Acrolein                    | F1. Freon 12               |
| G. Carbon disulfide          | GG. Xylenes, total              | GGG. p-Isopropyltoluene                    | GGGG. Acrylonitrile               | G1. Freon 113              |
| H. 1,1-Dichloroethene        | HH. Vinyl acetate               | HHH. 1,4-Dichlorobenzene                   | HHHH. 1,4-Dioxane                 | H1. Freon 114              |
| I. 1,1-Dichloroethane        | II. 2-Chloroethylvinyl ether    | III. n-Butylbenzene                        | IIII. Isobutyl alcohol            | I1. 2-Nitropropane         |
| J. 1,2-Dichloroethene, total | JJ. Dichlorodifluoromethane     | JJJ. 1,2-Dichlorobenzene                   | JJJJ. Methacrylonitrile           | J1. Dimethyl disulfide     |
| K. Chloroform                | KK. Trichlorofluoromethane      | KKK. 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene                | KKKK. Propionitrile               | K1. 2,3-Dimethyl pentane   |
| L. 1,2-Dichloroethane        | LL. Methyl-tert-butyl ether     | LLL. Hexachlorobutadiene                   | LLLL. Ethyl ether                 | L1. 2,4-Dimethyl pentane   |
| M. 2-Butanone                | MM. 1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane | MMM. Naphthalene                           | MMMM. Benzyl chloride             | M1. 3,3-Dimethyl pentane   |
| N. 1,1,1-Trichloroethane     | NN. Methyl ethyl ketone         | NNN. 1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene                | NNNN. Iodomethane                 | N1. 2-Methylpentane        |
| O. Carbon tetrachloride      | OO. 2,2-Dichloropropane         | OOO. 1,3,5-Trichlorobenzene                | OOOO. 1,1-Difluoroethane          | O1. 3-Methylpentane        |
| P. Bromodichloromethane      | PP. Bromochloromethane          | PPP. trans-1,2-Dichloroethene              | PPPP. Tetrahydrofuran             | P1. 3-Ethylpentane         |
| Q. 1,2-Dichloropropane       | QQ. 1,1-Dichloropropene         | QQQ. cis-1,2-Dichloroethene                | QQQQ. Methyl acetate              | Q1. 2,2-Dimethylpentane    |
| R. cis-1,3-Dichloropropene   | RR. Dibromomethane              | RRR. m,p-Xylenes                           | RRRR. Ethyl acetate               | R1. 2,2,3-Trimethylbutane  |
| S. Trichloroethene           | SS. 1,3-Dichloropropane         | SSS. o-Xylene                              | SSSS. Cyclohexane                 | S1. 2,2,4-Trimethylpentane |
| T. Dibromochloromethane      | TT. 1,2-Dibromoethane           | TTT. 1,1,2-Trichloro-1,2,2-trifluoroethane | TTTT. Methyl cyclohexane          | T1. 2-Methylhexane         |
| U. 1,1,2-Trichloroethane     | UU. 1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane   | UUU. 1,2-Dichlorotetrafluoroethane         | UUUU. Allyl chloride              | U1. Nonanal                |
| V. Benzene                   | VV. Isopropylbenzene            | VVV. 4-Ethyltoluene                        | VVVV. Methyl methacrylate         | V1. 2-Methylnaphthalene    |
| W. trans-1,3-Dichloropropene | WW. Bromobenzene                | WWW. Ethanol                               | WWWW. Ethyl methacrylate          | W1. Methanol               |
| X. Bromoform                 | XX. 1,2,3-Trichloropropane      | XXX. Di-isopropyl ether                    | XXXX. cis-1,4-Dichloro-2-butene   | X1. 1,2,3-Trimethylbenzene |
| Y. 4-Methyl-2-pentanone      | YY. n-Propylbenzene             | YYY. tert-Butanol                          | YYYY. trans-1,4-Dichloro-2-butene | Y1. 2-Propanol             |
| Z. 2-Hexanone                | ZZ. 2-Chlorotoluene             | ZZZ. tert-Butyl alcohol                    | ZZZZ. Pentachloroethane           | Z1.                        |

LDC #: 53484 G/a

### VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET Initial Calibration Calculation Verification

Page: 1 of 1  
Reviewer: FT

**METHOD:** GC/MS VOA (EPA SW 846 Method 8260 B)

The Relative Response Factor (RRF), average RRF, and percent relative standard deviation (%RSD) were recalculated for the target analytes identified below using the following calculations:

$$RRF = (A_x)(C_{is}) / (A_{is})(C_x)$$

average RRF = sum of the RRFs/number of standards

$$\%RSD = 100 * (S/X)$$

$A_x$  = Area of target analyte

$C_x$  = Concentration of target analyte

S = Standard deviation of the RRFs

X = Mean of the RRFs 2

$A_{is}$  = Area of associated internal standard

$C_{is}$  = Concentration of internal standard

| # | Standard ID | Calibration Date | Target Analyte (Internal Standard) | Reported                 | Recalculated             | Reported                 | Recalculated             | Reported | Recalculated |
|---|-------------|------------------|------------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|----------|--------------|
|   |             |                  |                                    | RRF<br>( <u>5.0</u> std) | RRF<br>( <u>5.0</u> std) | Average RRF<br>(initial) | Average RRF<br>(initial) | %RSD     | %RSD         |
| 1 | 1CAL        | 12/6/21<br>zeus  | Y                                  | 0.5735                   | 0.5735                   | 0.5930                   | 0.5930                   | 6.2      | 6.2          |
|   |             |                  | EE                                 | 1.368                    | 1.368                    | 1.405                    | 1.405                    | 3.3      | 3.3          |
| 2 |             |                  |                                    |                          |                          |                          |                          |          |              |
|   |             |                  |                                    |                          |                          |                          |                          |          |              |
|   |             |                  |                                    |                          |                          |                          |                          |          |              |
| 3 |             |                  |                                    |                          |                          |                          |                          |          |              |
|   |             |                  |                                    |                          |                          |                          |                          |          |              |
|   |             |                  |                                    |                          |                          |                          |                          |          |              |
| 4 |             |                  |                                    |                          |                          |                          |                          |          |              |
|   |             |                  |                                    |                          |                          |                          |                          |          |              |
|   |             |                  |                                    |                          |                          |                          |                          |          |              |

Comments: \_\_\_\_\_

LDC #: 53484 G/a

## VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET Continuing Calibration Results Verification

Page: 1 of 1  
Reviewer: FT

**METHOD:** GC/MS VOA (EPA SW 846 Method 8260 B)

The percent difference (%D) of the initial calibration average Relative Response Factors (RRFs) and the continuing calibration RRFs were recalculated for the target analytes identified below using the following calculation:

$$\% \text{ Difference} = 100 * (\text{ave. RRF} - \text{RRF}) / \text{ave. RRF}$$

$$\text{RRF} = (A_x)(C_{is}) / (A_{is})(C_x)$$

Where:

ave. RRF = initial calibration average RRF

A<sub>x</sub> = Area of target analyte

C<sub>x</sub> = Concentration of target analyte

RRF = continuing calibration RRF

A<sub>is</sub> = Area of associated internal standard

C<sub>is</sub> = Concentration of internal standard

| # | Standard ID       | Calibration Date | Target Analyte (Internal Standard) | Average RRF (initial) | Reported RRF (CC) | Recalculated RRF (CC) | Reported %D | Recalculated %D |
|---|-------------------|------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|-------------|-----------------|
| 1 | ceV F7            | 12/7/21          | V                                  | 0.5930                | 0.5772            | 0.5772                | 2.7         | 2.7             |
|   | <del>120720</del> |                  | EE                                 | 1.405                 | 1.447             | 1.447                 | 3.0         | 3.0             |
|   | 1207202           |                  |                                    |                       |                   |                       |             |                 |
| 2 |                   |                  |                                    |                       |                   |                       |             |                 |
|   |                   |                  |                                    |                       |                   |                       |             |                 |
|   |                   |                  |                                    |                       |                   |                       |             |                 |
| 3 |                   |                  |                                    |                       |                   |                       |             |                 |
|   |                   |                  |                                    |                       |                   |                       |             |                 |
|   |                   |                  |                                    |                       |                   |                       |             |                 |
| 4 |                   |                  |                                    |                       |                   |                       |             |                 |
|   |                   |                  |                                    |                       |                   |                       |             |                 |
|   |                   |                  |                                    |                       |                   |                       |             |                 |

LDC #: 53484 G1a

**VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET**  
**Surrogate Results Verification**

Page: 1 of 1  
Reviewer: FT

**METHOD:** GC/MS VOA (EPA SW 846 Method 8260 B)

The percent recoveries (%R) of surrogates were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculation:

% Recovery:  $SF/SS * 100$

Where: SF = Surrogate Found  
SS = Surrogate Spiked

Sample ID: # 2

|                       | Surrogate Spiked | Surrogate Found | Percent Recovery Reported | Percent Recovery Recalculated | Percent Difference |
|-----------------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------|
| Dibromofluoromethane  | 25.0             | 27.97           | 112                       | 112                           | 0                  |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 | ↓                | 28.45           | 114                       | 114                           | ↓                  |
| Toluene-d8            | ↓                | 25.19           | 101                       | 101                           | ↓                  |
| Bromofluorobenzene    | ↓                | 25.40           | 102                       | 102                           | ↓                  |

Comments: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

LDC #: 53484G/a

**VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET**  
**Laboratory Control Sample Results Verification**

Page: 1 of 1  
 Reviewer: FT

**METHOD:** GC/MS VOA (EPA SW 846 Method 8260 B)

The percent recoveries (%R) and Relative Percent Difference (RPD) of the laboratory control sample and laboratory control sample duplicate (if applicable) were recalculated for the target analytes identified below using the following calculation:

% Recovery = 100 \* SSC/SA

Where: SSC = Spiked sample concentration

SA = Spike added

RPD = |LCSC - LCSDC| \* 2 / (LCSC + LCSDC)

LCSC = Laboratory control sample concentration

LCSDC = Laboratory control sample duplicate concentration

LCS ID: AZ21207 LCS ID

| Compound           | Spike Added<br>(ug/L) |      | Spiked Sample Concentration<br>(ug/L) |      | LCS              |         | LCSD             |         | LCS/LCSD |         |
|--------------------|-----------------------|------|---------------------------------------|------|------------------|---------|------------------|---------|----------|---------|
|                    | LCS                   | LCSD | LCS                                   | LCSD | Percent Recovery |         | Percent Recovery |         | RPD      |         |
|                    |                       |      |                                       |      | Reported         | Recalc. | Reported         | Recalc. | Reported | Recalc. |
| 1,1-Dichloroethene |                       |      |                                       |      |                  |         |                  |         |          |         |
| Trichloroethene    |                       |      |                                       |      |                  |         |                  |         |          |         |
| Benzene            | 10.0                  | 10.0 | 9.49                                  | 9.85 | 94.9             | 94.9    | 98.5             | 98.5    | 3.7      | 3.7     |
| Toluene            | 10.0                  | 10.0 | 9.36                                  | 9.69 | 93.6             | 93.6    | 96.9             | 96.9    | 3.5      | 3.5     |
| Chlorobenzene      |                       |      |                                       |      |                  |         |                  |         |          |         |

Comments: \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_

LDC #: 53404 G/a

**VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET**  
**Sample Calculation Verification**

Page: 1 of 1  
 Reviewer: FT

**METHOD:** GC/MS VOA (EPA SW 846 Method 8260 B)

The concentration of the sample was calculated for the target analytes identified below using the following calculation:

$$\text{Concentration} = \frac{(A_x)(I_s)(DF)}{(A_{is})(RRF)(V_o)(\%S)}$$

- $A_x$  = Area of the characteristic ion (EICP) for the target analyte to be measured
- $A_{is}$  = Area of the characteristic ion (EICP) for the specific internal standard
- $I_s$  = Amount of internal standard added in nanograms (ng)
- RRF = Relative response factor of the calibration standard.
- $V_o$  = Volume or weight of sample pruged in milliliters (ml) or grams (g).
- Df = Dilution factor.
- %S = Percent solids, applicable to soils and solid matrices only.

Example:

Sample I.D. A 7211207 L/S ✓

$$\text{Conc.} = \frac{(95557)(25.0)}{(424705)(0.593U)}$$

= 9.48 ug/L

| # | Sample ID    | Compound | Reported Concentration (ug/L) | Calculated Concentration (ug/L) | Qualification |
|---|--------------|----------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------|
|   | <u>✓ L/S</u> | <u>Y</u> | <u>9.49</u>                   | <u>9.48</u>                     |               |
|   |              |          |                               |                                 |               |
|   |              |          |                               |                                 |               |
|   |              |          |                               |                                 |               |
|   |              |          |                               |                                 |               |
|   |              |          |                               |                                 |               |
|   |              |          |                               |                                 |               |
|   |              |          |                               |                                 |               |

**Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc.  
Data Validation Report**

**Project/Site Name:** Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126

**LDC Report Date:** March 27, 2022

**Parameters:** Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons

**Validation Level:** Stage 2B & 4

**Laboratory:** APPL, Inc., Clovis, CA

**Sample Delivery Group (SDG):** 98381

| <b>Sample Identification</b> | <b>Laboratory Sample Identification</b> | <b>Matrix</b> | <b>Collection Date</b> |
|------------------------------|---|---------------|------------------------|
| ERH2002                      | BA47128                                 | Water         | 12/01/21               |
| ERH2005**                    | BA47132**                               | Water         | 12/01/21               |
| ERH2008**                    | BA47134**                               | Water         | 12/01/21               |

\*\*Indicates sample underwent Stage 4 validation



## Introduction

This Data Validation Report (DVR) presents data validation findings and results for the associated samples listed on the cover page. Data validation was performed in accordance with the Work Plan/Scope of Work, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 02, January 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 01, April 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 01, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, September 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 03, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, June 2018), the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) Quality Systems Manual (QSM) for Environmental Laboratories, Version 5.3 (2019), the DoD General Validation Guidelines (November 2019), and the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) Data Validation Guidelines Module 1: Data Validation Procedure for Organic Analysis by GC/MS (May 2020). Where specific guidance was not available, the data has been evaluated in a conservative manner consistent with industry standards using professional experience.

The analyses were performed by the following method:

Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAHs) which are 1-Methylnaphthalene, 2-Methylnaphthalene, and Naphthalene by Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) SW 846 Method 8270D in Selected Ion Monitoring (SIM) mode

All sample results were subjected to Stage 2B data validation, which comprises an evaluation of quality control (QC) summary results. Samples appended with a double asterisk on the cover page were subjected to Stage 4 data validation, which is comprised of the QC summary forms as well as the raw data, to confirm sample quantitation and identification.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers utilized during data validation:

- J+ (Estimated, High Bias): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated, displaying high bias, due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- J- (Estimated, Low Bias): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated, displaying low bias, due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- J (Estimated, Bias Indeterminate): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated due to non-conformances discovered during data validation. Bias is indeterminate.
- U (Non-detected): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the analyte should be considered non-detected due to the presence of contaminants detected in the associated blank(s).
- UJ (Non-detected estimated): The analyte was not detected and the associated numerical value is approximate.
- X (Exclusion of data recommended): The sample results (including non-detects) were affected by serious deficiencies in the ability to analyze the sample and to meet published method and project quality control criteria. The presence or absence of the analyte cannot be substantiated by the data provided. Exclusion of the data is recommended.
- NA (Not Applicable): The non-conformance discovered during data validation demonstrates a high bias, while the affected analyte in the associated sample(s) was reported as not detected by the laboratory and did not warrant the qualification of the data.

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

## Qualification Code Reference

- a ICP Serial Dilution %D was not within control limits.
- b Presumed contamination from preparation (method blank).
- c Calibration %RSD, r, r<sup>2</sup>, %D or %R was noncompliant.
- d The analysis with this flag should not be used because another more technically sound analysis is available.
- e MS/MSD or Duplicate RPD was high.
- f Presumed contamination from FB or ER.
- g ICP ICS results were unsatisfactory.
- h Holding times were exceeded.
- i Internal standard performance was unsatisfactory.
- k Estimated Maximum Possible Concentration (HRGC/HRMS only)
- l LCS/LCSD %R was not within control limits.
- m Result exceeded the calibration range.
- o Cooler temperature or temperature blank was noncompliant and/or sample custody problems.
- p RPD between two columns was high (GC only).
- q MS/MSD recovery was not within control limits.
- s Surrogate recovery was not within control limits.
- t Presumed contamination from trip blank.
- v Unusual problems found with the data not defined elsewhere. Description of the problem can be found in the validation report.
- w LCS/LCSD RPD was high.
- y Chemical recovery was not within control limits (Radiochemistry only).

## **I. Sample Receipt and Technical Holding Times**

All samples were received in good condition and cooler temperatures upon receipt met validation criteria.

All technical holding time requirements were met.

## **II. GC/MS Instrument Performance Check**

A decafluorotriphenylphosphine (DFTPP) tune was performed at 12 hour intervals.

All ion abundance requirements were met.

## **III. Initial Calibration and Initial Calibration Verification**

An initial calibration was performed as required by the method.

The percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) were less than or equal to 15.0% for all analytes.

Average relative response factors (RRF) for all analytes were within validation criteria.

The percent differences (%D) of the initial calibration verification (ICV) standard were less than or equal to 20.0% for all analytes.

## **IV. Continuing Calibration**

Continuing calibration was performed at the required frequencies.

The percent differences (%D) were less than or equal to 20.0% for all analytes.

The percent differences (%D) of the ending continuing calibration verifications (CCVs) were less than or equal to 50.0% for all analytes.

All of the continuing calibration relative response factors (RRF) were within validation criteria.

## **V. Laboratory Blanks**

Laboratory blanks were analyzed as required by the method. No contaminants were found in the laboratory blanks.

## **VI. Field Blanks**

No field blanks were identified in this SDG.

## **VII. Surrogates**

Surrogates were added to all samples as required by the method. All surrogate recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

## **VIII. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates**

The laboratory has indicated that there were no matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyses specified for the samples in this SDG, and therefore matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate analyses were not performed for this SDG.

## **IX. Laboratory Control Samples**

Laboratory control samples (LCS) and laboratory control samples duplicates (LCSD) were analyzed as required by the method. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits. Relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

## **X. Field Duplicates**

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

## **XI. Internal Standards**

All internal standard areas and retention times were within QC limits.

## **XII. Target Analyte Quantitation**

All target analyte quantitations met validation criteria for samples which underwent Stage 4 validation. Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

## **XIII. Target Analyte Identification**

All target analyte identifications met validation criteria for samples which underwent Stage 4 validation. Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

## **XIV. System Performance**

The system performance was acceptable for samples which underwent Stage 4 validation. Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

## **XV. Overall Assessment of Data**

The analysis was conducted within all specifications of the method. No results were rejected or recommended for exclusion in this SDG.

**Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126  
Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 98381**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

**Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126  
Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification  
Summary - SDG 98381**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

**Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126  
Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary -  
SDG 98381**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

LDC #: 53484G2b  
 SDG #: 98381  
 Laboratory: APPL, Inc., Clovis, CA

**VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET**  
 Stage 2B/4

Date: 3/15/22  
 Page: 1 of 1  
 Reviewer: [Signature]  
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

**METHOD:** GC/MS Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons (EPA SW-846 Method 8270D-SIM)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

|       | Validation Area                        |       | Comments                              |
|-------|--|-------|---------------------------------------|
| I.    | Sample receipt/Technical holding times | Δ / Δ |                                       |
| II.   | GC/MS Instrument performance check     | Δ     |                                       |
| III.  | Initial calibration/ICV                | A / A | % PSD ≤ 15      ICV ≤ 20              |
| IV.   | Continuing calibration / ending        | Δ     | CCV ≤ 20 / SD                         |
| V.    | Laboratory Blanks                      | Δ     |                                       |
| VI.   | Field blanks                           | N     |                                       |
| VII.  | Surrogate spikes                       | Δ     |                                       |
| VIII. | Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates   | N     |                                       |
| IX.   | Laboratory control samples             | A     | LCS ID                                |
| X.    | Field duplicates                       | N     |                                       |
| XI.   | Internal standards                     | Δ     |                                       |
| XII.  | Target analyte quantitation            | Δ     | Not reviewed for Stage 2B validation. |
| XIII. | Target analyte identification          | Δ     | Not reviewed for Stage 2B validation. |
| XIV.  | System performance                     | Δ     | Not reviewed for Stage 2B validation. |
| XV.   | Overall assessment of data             | Δ     |                                       |

Note: A = Acceptable      ND = No compounds detected      D = Duplicate      SB=Source blank  
 N = Not provided/applicable      R = Rinsate      TB = Trip blank      OTHER:  
 SW = See worksheet      FB = Field blank      EB = Equipment blank

\*\* Indicates sample underwent Stage 4 validation

|   | Client ID | Lab ID    | Matrix | Date     |
|---|-----------|-----------|--------|----------|
| 1 | ERH2002   | BA47128   | Water  | 12/01/21 |
| 2 | ERH2005** | BA47132** | Water  | 12/01/21 |
| 3 | ERH2008** | BA47134** | Water  | 12/01/21 |
| 4 |           |           |        |          |
| 5 |           |           |        |          |
| 6 |           |           |        |          |
| 7 |           |           |        |          |
| 8 |           |           |        |          |
| 9 |           |           |        |          |

Notes:

|          |  |  |  |  |  |
|----------|--|--|--|--|--|
| 211206AY |  |  |  |  |  |
|          |  |  |  |  |  |
|          |  |  |  |  |  |

Method: Semivolatiles (EPA SW 846 Method 8270 D)

| Validation Area   | Yes                                 | No                                  | NA                                  | Findings/Comments |
|---|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------|
| <b>I. Technical holding times</b>   |                                     |                                     |                                     |                   |
| Were all technical holding times met?   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input type="checkbox"/>            |                   |
| Was cooler temperature criteria met?  | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input type="checkbox"/>            |                   |
| <b>II. GC/MS Instrument performance check</b>   |                                     |                                     |                                     |                   |
| Were the DFTPP performance results reviewed and found to be within the specified criteria?  | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input type="checkbox"/>            |                   |
| Were all samples analyzed within the 12 hour clock criteria?  | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input type="checkbox"/>            |                   |
| <b>IIIa. Initial calibration</b>  |                                     |                                     |                                     |                   |
| Did the laboratory perform a 5 point calibration prior to sample analysis?  | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input type="checkbox"/>            |                   |
| Were all percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) $\leq$ 15% and relative response factors (RRF) within method criteria?   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input type="checkbox"/>            |                   |
| Was a curve fit used for evaluation? If yes, did the initial calibration meet the curve fit acceptance criteria of $> 0.990$ ?  | <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |                   |
| <b>IIIb. Initial Calibration Verification</b>   |                                     |                                     |                                     |                   |
| Was an initial calibration verification standard analyzed after each initial calibration for each instrument?   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input type="checkbox"/>            |                   |
| Were all percent differences (%D) $\leq$ 20%?   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input type="checkbox"/>            |                   |
| <b>IV. Continuing calibration</b>   |                                     |                                     |                                     |                   |
| Was a continuing calibration standard analyzed at least once every 12 hours for each instrument?  | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input type="checkbox"/>            |                   |
| Were all percent differences (%D) $\leq$ 20% and relative response factors (RRF) within method criteria? Were all percent differences (%D) $\leq$ 50% for closing calibration verification? | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input type="checkbox"/>            |                   |
| <b>V. Laboratory Blanks</b>   |                                     |                                     |                                     |                   |
| Was a laboratory blank associated with every sample in this SDG?  | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input type="checkbox"/>            |                   |
| Was a laboratory blank analyzed at least once every 12 hours for each matrix and concentration?   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input type="checkbox"/>            |                   |
| Was there contamination in the laboratory blanks? If yes, please see the blanks validation findings worksheet.  | <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/>            |                   |
| <b>VI. Field blanks</b>   |                                     |                                     |                                     |                   |
| Were field blanks were identified in this SDG?  | <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/>            |                   |
| Were target analytes detected in the field blanks?  | <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |                   |
| <b>VII. Surrogate spikes</b>  |                                     |                                     |                                     |                   |
| Were all surrogate percent recovery (%R) within QC limits?  | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input type="checkbox"/>            |                   |
| If 2 or more base neutral or acid surrogates were outside QC limits, was a reanalysis performed to confirm %R?  | <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |                   |
| If any percent recoveries (%R) was less than 10%, was a reanalysis performed to confirm %R ?  | <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |                   |
| <b>VIII. Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates</b>   |                                     |                                     |                                     |                   |
| Were matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyzed in this SDG?   | <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |                   |



| Validation Area   | Yes | No | NA | Findings/Comments |
|---|-----|----|----|-------------------|
| Were the MS/MSD percent recoveries (%R) and the relative percent differences (RPD) within the QC limits?                              |     |    | /  |                   |
| <b>IX. Laboratory control samples</b>   |     |    |    |                   |
| Was an LCS analyzed per extraction batch?   | /   |    |    |                   |
| Were the LCS percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent difference (RPD) within the QC limits?                                      | /   |    |    |                   |
| <b>X. Field duplicates</b>  |     |    |    |                   |
| Were field duplicate pairs identified in this SDG?  |     | /  |    |                   |
| Were target analytes detected in the field duplicates?  |     |    | /  |                   |
| <b>XI. Internal standards</b>   |     |    |    |                   |
| Were internal standard area counts within -50% to +100% of the associated calibration standard?                                       | /   |    |    |                   |
| Were retention times within + 30 seconds of the associated calibration standard?  | /   |    |    |                   |
| <b>XII. Target analyte quantitation</b>   |     |    |    |                   |
| Did the laboratory LOQs/RLs meet the QAPP LOQs/RLs?   | /   |    |    |                   |
| Were the correct internal standard (IS), quantitation ion and relative response factor (RRF) used to quantitate the target analyte?   | /   |    |    |                   |
| Were compound quantitation and RLs adjusted to reflect all sample dilutions and dry weight factors applicable to level IV validation? | /   |    |    |                   |
| <b>XIII. Target analyte identification</b>  |     |    |    |                   |
| Were relative retention times (RRT's) within + 0.06 RRT units of the standard?  | /   |    |    |                   |
| Did compound spectra meet specified EPA "Functional Guidelines" criteria?   | /   |    |    |                   |
| Were chromatogram peaks verified and accounted for?   | /   |    |    |                   |
| Were manual integrations reviewed and found acceptable?   | /   |    |    |                   |
| Did the laboratory provide before and after integration printouts?  |     |    | /  |                   |
| <b>XIV. System performance</b>  |     |    |    |                   |
| System performance was found to be acceptable.  | /   |    |    |                   |
| <b>XV. Overall assessment of data</b>   |     |    |    |                   |
| Overall assessment of data was found to be acceptable.  | /   |    |    |                   |

## VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

METHOD: GC/MS SVOA

|                                 |                                 |                                  |   |  |
|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|---|--|
| A. Phenol                       | CC. Dimethylphthalate           | EEE. Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate  | GGGG. C30-Hopane                          | I1. Methyl methanesulfonate            |
| B. Bis (2-chloroethyl) ether    | DD. Acenaphthylene              | FFF. Di-n-octylphthalate         | HHHH. 1-Methylphenanthrene                | J1. Ethyl methanesulfonate             |
| C. 2-Chlorophenol               | EE. 2,6-Dinitrotoluene          | GGG. Benzo(b)fluoranthene        | IIII. 1,4-Dioxane                         | K1. o,o',o"-Triethylphosphorothioate   |
| D. 1,3-Dichlorobenzene          | FF. 3-Nitroaniline              | HHH. Benzo(k)fluoranthene        | JJJJ. Acetophenone                        | L1. n-Phenylene diamine                |
| E. 1,4-Dichlorobenzene          | GG. Acenaphthene                | III. Benzo(a)pyrene              | KKKK. Atrazine                            | M1. 1,4-Naphthoquinone                 |
| F. 1,2-Dichlorobenzene          | HH. 2,4-Dinitrophenol           | JJJ. Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene      | LLLL. Benzaldehyde                        | N1. N-Nitro-o-toluidine                |
| G. 2-Methylphenol               | II. 4-Nitrophenol               | KKK. Dibenz(a,h)anthracene       | MMMM. Caprolactam                         | O1. 1,3,5-Trinitrobenzene              |
| H. 2,2'-Oxybis(1-chloropropane) | JJ. Dibenzofuran                | LLL. Benzo(g,h,i)perylene        | NNNN. 2,6-Dichlorophenol                  | P1. Pentachlorobenzene                 |
| I. 4-Methylphenol               | KK. 2,4-Dinitrotoluene          | MMM. Bis(2-Chloroisopropyl)ether | OOOO. 1,2-Diphenylhydrazine               | Q1. 4-Aminobiphenyl                    |
| J. N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine   | LL. Diethylphthalate            | NNN. Aniline                     | PPPP. 3-Methylphenol                      | R1. 2-Naphthylamine                    |
| K. Hexachloroethane             | MM. 4-Chlorophenyl-phenyl ether | OOO. N-Nitrosodimethylamine      | QQQQ. 3&4-Methylphenol                    | S1. Triphenylene                       |
| L. Nitrobenzene                 | NN. Fluorene                    | PPP. Benzoic Acid                | RRRR. 4-Dimethyldibenzothiophene (4MDT)   | T1. Octachlorostyrene                  |
| M. Isophorone                   | OO. 4-Nitroaniline              | QQQ. Benzyl alcohol              | SSSS. 2/3-Dimethyldibenzothiophene (4MDT) | U1. Famphur                            |
| N. 2-Nitrophenol                | PP. 4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol  | RRR. Pyridine                    | TTTT. 1-Methyldibenzothiophene (1MDT)     | V1. 1,4-phenylenediamine               |
| O. 2,4-Dimethylphenol           | QQ. N-Nitrosodiphenylamine      | SSS. Benzidine                   | UUUU.. 2,3,4,6-Tetrachlorophenol          | W1. Methapyrilene                      |
| P. Bis(2-chloroethoxy)methane   | RR. 4-Bromophenyl-phenylether   | TTT. 1-Methylnaphthalene         | VVVV. 1,2,4,5-Tetrachlorobenzene          | X1. Pentachloroethane                  |
| Q. 2,4-Dichlorophenol           | SS. Hexachlorobenzene           | UUU. Benzo(b)thiophene           | WWWW.. 2-Picoline                         | Y1. 3,3'-Dimethylbenzidine             |
| R. 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene       | TT. Pentachlorophenol           | VVV. Benzonaphthothiophene       | XXXX. 3-Methylcholanthrene                | Z1. o-Toluidine                        |
| S. Naphthalene                  | UU. Phenanthrene                | WWW. Benzo(e)pyrene              | YYYY. a,a-Dimethylphenethylamine          | A2. 1-Naphthylamine                    |
| T. 4-Chloroaniline              | VV. Anthracene                  | XXX. 2,6-Dimethylnaphthalene     | ZZZZ. Hexachloropropene                   | B2. 4-Aminobiphenyl                    |
| U. Hexachlorobutadiene          | WW. Carbazole                   | YYY. 2,3,5-Trimethylnaphthalene  | A1. N-Nitrosodiethylamine                 | C2. 4-Nitroquinoline-1-oxide           |
| V. 4-Chloro-3-methylphenol      | XX. Di-n-butylphthalate         | ZZZ. Perylene                    | B1. N-Nitrosodi-n-butylamine              | D2. Hexachloropene                     |
| W. 2-Methylnaphthalene          | YY. Fluoranthene                | AAAA. Dibenzothiophene           | C1. N-Nitrosomethylethylamine             | E2. Bis (2-chloro-1-methylethyl) ether |
| X. Hexachlorocyclopentadiene    | ZZ. Pyrene                      | BBBB. Benzo(a)fluoranthene       | D1. N-Nitrosomorpholine                   | F2. Bifenthrin                         |
| Y. 2,4,6-Trichlorophenol        | AAA. Butylbenzylphthalate       | CCCC. Benzo(b)fluorene           | E1. N-Nitrosopyrrolidine                  | G2. Cyfluthrin                         |
| Z. 2,4,5-Trichlorophenol        | BBB. 3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine     | DDDD. cis/trans-Decalin          | F1. Phenacetin                            | H2. Cypermethrin                       |
| AA. 2-Chloronaphthalene         | CCC. Benzo(a)anthracene         | EEEE. 1,1'-Biphenyl              | G1. 2-Acetylaminofluorene                 | I2. Permethrin (cis/trans)             |
| BB. 2-Nitroaniline              | DDD. Chrysene                   | FFFF. Retene                     | H1. Pronamide                             | J2. 5-Nitro-o-toluidine                |

LDC #: 5348492b

**VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET**  
**Initial Calibration Calculation Verification**

Page: 1 of 1  
 Reviewer: FT

**METHOD:** GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270 D)

The Relative Response Factor (RRF), average RRF, and percent relative standard deviation (%RSD) were recalculated for the target analytes identified below using the following calculations:

$RRF = (A_x)(C_{is}) / (A_{is})(C_x)$

average RRF = sum of the RRFs/number of standards

%RSD =  $100 * (S/X)$

$A_x$  = Area of target analyte

$C_x$  = Concentration of target analyte

S = Standard deviation of the RRFs,

$A_{is}$  = Area of associated internal standard

$C_{is}$  = Concentration of internal standard

X = Mean of the RRFs

| # | Standard ID | Calibration Date | Target Analyte<br>Internal Standard) | Reported         | Recalculated     | Reported                 | Recalculated             | Reported | Recalculated |
|---|-------------|------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------|------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|----------|--------------|
|   |             |                  |                                      | RRF<br>(1.0 std) | RRF<br>(1.0 std) | Average RRF<br>(initial) | Average RRF<br>(initial) | %RSD     | %RSD         |
| 1 | ICAL        | 10/19/21         | S (1st IS)                           | 1.336            | 1.299            | 1.299                    | 1.299                    | 8.6      | 8.6          |
|   |             |                  | (2nd IS)                             |                  |                  |                          |                          |          |              |
|   |             |                  | (3rd IS)                             |                  |                  |                          |                          |          |              |
|   |             |                  | (4th IS)                             |                  |                  |                          |                          |          |              |
|   |             |                  | (5th IS)                             |                  |                  |                          |                          |          |              |
|   |             |                  | (6th IS)                             |                  |                  |                          |                          |          |              |
| 2 |             |                  | (1st IS)                             |                  |                  |                          |                          |          |              |
|   |             |                  | (2nd IS)                             |                  |                  |                          |                          |          |              |
|   |             |                  | (3rd IS)                             |                  |                  |                          |                          |          |              |
|   |             |                  | (4th IS)                             |                  |                  |                          |                          |          |              |
|   |             |                  | (5th IS)                             |                  |                  |                          |                          |          |              |
|   |             |                  | (6th IS)                             |                  |                  |                          |                          |          |              |
| 3 |             |                  | (1st IS)                             |                  |                  |                          |                          |          |              |
|   |             |                  | (2nd IS)                             |                  |                  |                          |                          |          |              |
|   |             |                  | (3rd IS)                             |                  |                  |                          |                          |          |              |
|   |             |                  | (4th IS)                             |                  |                  |                          |                          |          |              |
|   |             |                  | (5th IS)                             |                  |                  |                          |                          |          |              |
|   |             |                  | (6th IS)                             |                  |                  |                          |                          |          |              |

Comments: Refer to Initial Calibration findings worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

**VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET**  
**Continuing Calibration Results Verification**

**METHOD:** GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270 D )

The percent difference (%D) of the initial calibration average Relative Response Factors (RRFs) and the continuing calibration RRFs were recalculated for the target analytes identified below using the following calculation:

% Difference =  $100 * (\text{ave. RRF} - \text{RRF}) / \text{ave. RRF}$   
 $\text{RRF} = (A_x)(C_{is}) / (A_{is})(C_x)$

Where: ave. RRF = initial calibration average RRF  
 $A_x$  = Area of target analyte  
 $C_x$  = Concentration of target analyte

RRF = continuing calibration RRF  
 $A_{is}$  = Area of associated internal standard  
 $C_{is}$  = Concentration of internal standard

| # | Standard ID | Calibration Date | Target Analyte (Internal Standard) | Average RRF (Initial) | Reported | Recalculated | Reported | Recalculated |
|---|-------------|------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------|----------|--------------|----------|--------------|
|   |             |                  |                                    |                       | RRF (CC) | RRF (CC)     | %D       | %D           |
| 1 | ccv         | 12/8/21          | S (1st IS)                         | 1.299                 | 1.304    | 1.304        | 0.39     | 0.39         |
|   |             |                  | (2nd IS)                           |                       |          |              |          |              |
|   |             |                  | (3rd IS)                           |                       |          |              |          |              |
|   |             |                  | (4th IS)                           |                       |          |              |          |              |
|   |             |                  | (5th IS)                           |                       |          |              |          |              |
|   |             |                  | (6th IS)                           |                       |          |              |          |              |
| 2 |             |                  | (1st IS)                           |                       |          |              |          |              |
|   |             |                  | (2nd IS)                           |                       |          |              |          |              |
|   |             |                  | (3rd IS)                           |                       |          |              |          |              |
|   |             |                  | (4th IS)                           |                       |          |              |          |              |
|   |             |                  | (5th IS)                           |                       |          |              |          |              |
|   |             |                  | (6th IS)                           |                       |          |              |          |              |
| 3 |             |                  | (1st IS)                           |                       |          |              |          |              |
|   |             |                  | (2nd IS)                           |                       |          |              |          |              |
|   |             |                  | (3rd IS)                           |                       |          |              |          |              |
|   |             |                  | (4th IS)                           |                       |          |              |          |              |
|   |             |                  | (5th IS)                           |                       |          |              |          |              |
|   |             |                  | (6th IS)                           |                       |          |              |          |              |

Comments: Refer to Continuing Calibration findings worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

LDC #: 5348492b

**VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET**  
**Surrogate Results Verification**

Page: 1 of 1  
Reviewer: FT

**METHOD:** GC/MS Semivolatiles (EPA SW 846 Method 8270 )

The percent recoveries (%R) of surrogates were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculation:

% Recovery: SF/SS \* 100

Where: SF = Surrogate Found  
SS = Surrogate Spiked

Sample ID: 3

|                      | Surrogate Spiked  | Surrogate Found | Percent Recovery Reported | Percent Recovery Recalculated | Percent Difference |
|----------------------|-------------------|-----------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------|
| Nitrobenzene-d5      | W - D10<br>5.263  | 4.94368         | 93.9                      | 93.9                          | 0                  |
| 2-Fluorobiphenyl     | YY - D10<br>5.263 | 4.62319         | 87.8                      | 87.8                          | 0                  |
| Terphenyl-d14        |                   |                 |                           |                               |                    |
| Phenol-d5            |                   |                 |                           |                               |                    |
| 2-Fluorophenol       |                   |                 |                           |                               |                    |
| 2,4,6-Tribromophenol |                   |                 |                           |                               |                    |

Sample ID:

|                      | Surrogate Spiked | Surrogate Found | Percent Recovery Reported | Percent Recovery Recalculated | Percent Difference |
|----------------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------|
| Nitrobenzene-d5      |                  |                 |                           |                               |                    |
| 2-Fluorobiphenyl     |                  |                 |                           |                               |                    |
| Terphenyl-d14        |                  |                 |                           |                               |                    |
| Phenol-d5            |                  |                 |                           |                               |                    |
| 2-Fluorophenol       |                  |                 |                           |                               |                    |
| 2,4,6-Tribromophenol |                  |                 |                           |                               |                    |

LDC #: 5348462b

**VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET**

Page: 1 of 1

**Laboratory Control Sample/Laboratory Control Sample Duplicates Results Verification**

Reviewer: FT

**METHOD:** GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

The percent recoveries (%R) and Relative Percent Difference (RPD) of the laboratory control sample and laboratory control sample duplicate were recalculated for the target analytes identified below using the following calculation:

$$SSC = \frac{(A_x)(C_{is})(F_v)(D_f)}{(A_{is})(RRF)(V_s \text{ or } W_s)(\%S/100)}$$

$$\% \text{Recovery} = (SSC/SA) * 100$$

$$RPD = \frac{((SSCLCS - SSCLCSD) * 2)}{(SSCLCS + SSCLCSD)} * 100$$

Where:  $A_x$  = Area of the target analyte  
 $A_{is}$  = Area for the specific internal standard  
 $C_{is}$  = Concentration of internal standard  
 $F_v$  = Final volume of extract  
 $D_f$  = Dilution factor  
 $RRF$  = Average relative response factor of the target analyte

$W_s$  = Initial weight of the sample  
 $\%S$  = Percent Solid  
 $SSC$  = Spiked sample concentration  
 $LCS$  = Laboratory control sample  
 $LCSD$  = Laboratory control sample duplicate  
 $V_s$  = Initial volume of the sample

LCS/LCSD samples: 211206AK LCS/D

| Compound                   | Spike Added (ug/L) |      | Spike Concentration (ug/L) |      | LCS              |        | LCSD             |        | LCS/LCSD |              |
|----------------------------|--------------------|------|----------------------------|------|------------------|--------|------------------|--------|----------|--------------|
|                            | LCS                | LCSD | LCS                        | LCSD | Percent Recovery |        | Percent Recovery |        | RPD      |              |
|                            |                    |      |                            |      | Reported         | Recalc | Reported         | Recalc | Reported | Recalculated |
| Phenol <u>S</u>            | 5.0                | 5.0  | 4.82                       | 4.41 | 96.4             | 96.4   | 88.2             | 88.2   | 8.9      | 8.9          |
| N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine |                    |      |                            |      |                  |        |                  |        |          |              |
| 4-Chloro-3-methylphenol    |                    |      |                            |      |                  |        |                  |        |          |              |
| Acenaphthene               |                    |      |                            |      |                  |        |                  |        |          |              |
| Pentachlorophenol          |                    |      |                            |      |                  |        |                  |        |          |              |
| Pyrene                     |                    |      |                            |      |                  |        |                  |        |          |              |
|                            |                    |      |                            |      |                  |        |                  |        |          |              |
|                            |                    |      |                            |      |                  |        |                  |        |          |              |
|                            |                    |      |                            |      |                  |        |                  |        |          |              |
|                            |                    |      |                            |      |                  |        |                  |        |          |              |

LDC #: 53484926

**VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET**  
**Sample Calculation Verification**

Page: 1 of 1  
 Reviewer: FT

**METHOD:** GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270) *D*

The concentration of the sample was calculated for the target analyte identified below using the following calculation:

$$\text{Concentration} = \frac{(A_x)(I_s)(V_i)(DF)(2.0)}{(A_{is})(RRF)(V_o)(V_i)(\%S)}$$

- A<sub>x</sub> = Area of the characteristic ion (EICP) for the target analyte to be measured
- A<sub>is</sub> = Area of the characteristic ion (EICP) for the specific internal standard
- I<sub>s</sub> = Amount of internal standard added in nanograms (ng)
- V<sub>o</sub> = Volume or weight of sample extract in milliliters (ml) or grams (g).
- V<sub>i</sub> = Volume of extract injected in microliters (ul)
- V<sub>t</sub> = Volume of the concentrated extract in microliters (ul)
- Df = Dilution Factor.
- %S = Percent solids, applicable to soil and solid matrices only.
- 2.0 = Factor of 2 to account for GPC cleanup

Example:

Sample I.D. #2, S

$$\text{Conc.} = \frac{(165293)(2.5)(1)(1000)}{(9657)(1.299)(950)}$$

= 34.67 ug/L

| # | Sample ID | Target Analyte | Reported Concentration<br>(ug/L) | Calculated Concentration<br>(ug/L) | Qualification |
|---|-----------|----------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------------|---------------|
|   | #2        | S              | 35                               | 35                                 |               |
|   |           |                |                                  |                                    |               |
|   |           |                |                                  |                                    |               |
|   |           |                |                                  |                                    |               |
|   |           |                |                                  |                                    |               |
|   |           |                |                                  |                                    |               |
|   |           |                |                                  |                                    |               |
|   |           |                |                                  |                                    |               |
|   |           |                |                                  |                                    |               |
|   |           |                |                                  |                                    |               |

## Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc. Data Validation Report

**Project/Site Name:** Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126

**LDC Report Date:** March 28, 2022

**Parameters:** Total Organic Carbon

**Validation Level:** Stage 2B & 4

**Laboratory:** APPL, Inc., Clovis, CA

**Sample Delivery Group (SDG):** 98381

| Sample Identification | Laboratory Sample Identification | Matrix | Collection Date |
|-----------------------|----------------------------------|--------|-----------------|
| ERH2002               | BA47128                          | Water  | 12/01/21        |
| ERH2011               | BA47129                          | Water  | 12/01/21        |
| ERH2013               | BA47130                          | Water  | 12/01/21        |
| ERH2005**             | BA47132**                        | Water  | 12/01/21        |
| ERH2008**             | BA47134**                        | Water  | 12/01/21        |
| ERH2018               | BA47135                          | Water  | 12/01/21        |
| ERH2020               | BA47136                          | Water  | 12/01/21        |

\*\*Indicates sample underwent Stage 4 validation



## Introduction

This Data Validation Report (DVR) presents data validation findings and results for the associated samples listed on the cover page. Data validation was performed in accordance with the Work Plan/Scope of Work, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 02, January 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 01, April 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 01, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, September 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 03, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, June 2018), the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) Quality Systems Manual (QSM) for Environmental Laboratories, Version 5.3 (2019), and the DoD General Validation Guidelines (November 2019). Where specific guidance was not available, the data has been evaluated in a conservative manner consistent with industry standards using professional experience.

The analyses were performed by the following method:

Total Organic Carbon by Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) SW 846 Method 9060A

All sample results were subjected to Stage 2B data validation, which comprises an evaluation of quality control (QC) summary results. Samples appended with a double asterisk on the cover page were subjected to Stage 4 data validation, which is comprised of the QC summary forms as well as the raw data, to confirm sample quantitation and identification.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers utilized during data validation:

- J+ (Estimated, High Bias): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated, displaying high bias, due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- J- (Estimated, Low Bias): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated, displaying low bias, due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- J (Estimated, Bias Indeterminate): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated due to non-conformances discovered during data validation. Bias is indeterminate.
- U (Non-detected): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the analyte should be considered non-detected due to the presence of contaminants detected in the associated blank(s).
- UJ (Non-detected estimated): The analyte was not detected and the associated numerical value is approximate.
- X (Exclusion of data recommended): The sample results (including non-detects) were affected by serious deficiencies in the ability to analyze the sample and to meet published method and project quality control criteria. The presence or absence of the analyte cannot be substantiated by the data provided. Exclusion of the data is recommended.
- NA (Not Applicable): The non-conformance discovered during data validation demonstrates a high bias, while the affected analyte in the associated sample(s) was reported as not detected by the laboratory and did not warrant the qualification of the data.

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

## Qualification Code Reference

- a ICP Serial Dilution %D was not within control limits.
- b Presumed contamination from preparation (method blank).
- c Calibration %RSD, r, r<sup>2</sup>, %D or %R was noncompliant.
- d The analysis with this flag should not be used because another more technically sound analysis is available.
- e MS/MSD or Duplicate RPD was high.
- f Presumed contamination from FB or ER.
- g ICP ICS results were unsatisfactory.
- h Holding times were exceeded.
- i Internal standard performance was unsatisfactory.
- k Estimated Maximum Possible Concentration (HRGC/HRMS only)
- l LCS/LCSD %R was not within control limits.
- m Result exceeded the calibration range.
- o Cooler temperature or temperature blank was noncompliant and/or sample custody problems.
- p RPD between two columns was high (GC only).
- q MS/MSD recovery was not within control limits.
- s Surrogate recovery was not within control limits.
- t Presumed contamination from trip blank.
- v Unusual problems found with the data not defined elsewhere. Description of the problem can be found in the validation report.
- w LCS/LCSD RPD was high.
- y Chemical recovery was not within control limits (Radiochemistry only).

## **I. Sample Receipt and Technical Holding Times**

All samples were received in good condition.

All technical holding time requirements were met.

## **II. Initial Calibration**

All criteria for the initial calibration were met.

## **III. Continuing Calibration**

Continuing calibration frequency and analysis criteria were met.

## **IV. Laboratory Blanks**

Laboratory blanks were analyzed as required by the method. No contaminants were found in the laboratory blanks.

## **V. Field Blanks**

No field blanks were identified in this SDG.

## **VI. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates**

The laboratory has indicated that there were no matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyses specified for the samples in this SDG, and therefore matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate analyses were not performed for this SDG.

## **VII. Duplicate Sample Analysis**

The laboratory has indicated that there were no duplicate (DUP) analyses specified for the samples in this SDG, and therefore duplicate analyses were not performed for this SDG.

## **VIII. Laboratory Control Samples**

Laboratory control samples (LCS) and laboratory control samples duplicates (LCSD) were analyzed as required by the method. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits. Relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

## **IX. Field Duplicates**

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

## **X. Target Analyte Quantitation**

All target analyte quantitation met validation criteria for samples which underwent Stage 4 validation. Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

## **XI. Overall Assessment of Data**

The analysis was conducted within all specifications of the method. No results were rejected or recommended for exclusion in this SDG.

**Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126  
Total Organic Carbon - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 98381**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

**Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126  
Total Organic Carbon - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 98381**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

**Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126  
Total Organic Carbon - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 98381**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

LDC #: 53484G6

### VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET

Date: 3/15/22

SDG #: 98381

Stage 2B/4

Page: 1 of 1

Laboratory: APPL, Inc., Clovis, CA

Reviewer: ATV  
2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

**METHOD: (Analyte) TOC (EPA SW-846 Method 9060A)**

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

|       | Validation Area                        |     | Comments                              |
|-------|--|-----|---------------------------------------|
| I.    | Sample receipt/Technical holding times | A/A |                                       |
| II    | Initial calibration                    | A   |                                       |
| III.  | Calibration verification               | A   |                                       |
| IV    | Laboratory Blanks                      | A   |                                       |
| V     | Field blanks                           | N   |                                       |
| VI.   | Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates   | N   | CS                                    |
| VII.  | Duplicate sample analysis              | N   |                                       |
| VIII. | Laboratory control samples             | A   | LCS/LCSD                              |
| IX.   | Field duplicates                       | N   |                                       |
| X.    | Target Analyte Quantitation            | A   | Not reviewed for Stage 2B validation. |
| XI.   | Overall assessment of data             | A   |                                       |

Note: A = Acceptable  
N = Not provided/applicable  
SW = See worksheet

ND = No compounds detected  
R = Rinsate  
FB = Field blank

D = Duplicate  
TB = Trip blank  
EB = Equipment blank

SB=Source blank  
OTHER:

\*\* Indicates sample underwent Stage 4 validation

|    | Client ID | Lab ID    | Matrix | Date     |
|----|-----------|-----------|--------|----------|
| 1  | ERH2002   | BA47128   | Water  | 12/01/21 |
| 2  | ERH2011   | BA47129   | Water  | 12/01/21 |
| 3  | ERH2013   | BA47130   | Water  | 12/01/21 |
| 4  | ERH2005** | BA47132** | Water  | 12/01/21 |
| 5  | ERH2008** | BA47134** | Water  | 12/01/21 |
| 6  | ERH2018   | BA47135   | Water  | 12/01/21 |
| 7  | ERH2020   | BA47136   | Water  | 12/01/21 |
| 8  |           |           |        |          |
| 9  |           |           |        |          |
| 10 |           |           |        |          |
| 11 |           |           |        |          |
| 12 |           |           |        |          |
| 13 |           |           |        |          |
| 14 |           |           |        |          |
| 15 |           |           |        |          |

Notes: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

| METHOD: Inorganics   |     |    |    |          |
|--|-----|----|----|----------|
| Validation Area  | Yes | No | NA | Comments |
| <b>I. Technical holding times</b>  |     |    |    |          |
| Were all technical holding times met?  | ✓   |    |    |          |
| <b>II. Calibration</b>   |     |    |    |          |
| Were all instruments calibrated at the required frequency?   | ✓   |    |    |          |
| Were the proper number of standards used?  | ✓   |    |    |          |
| Were all initial and continuing calibration verifications within the QC limits?  | ✓   |    |    |          |
| Were all initial calibration correlation coefficients within limits as specified by the method?  | ✓   |    |    |          |
| Were balance checks performed as required?   |     |    | ✓  |          |
| <b>III. Blanks</b>   |     |    |    |          |
| Was a method blank associated with every sample in this SDG?   | ✓   |    |    |          |
| Was there contamination in the method blanks?  |     | ✓  |    |          |
| Was there contamination in the initial and continuing calibration blanks?  |     | ✓  |    |          |
| <b>IV. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates/Laboratory Duplicates</b>  |     |    |    |          |
| Were MS/MSD recoveries within the QC limits? (If the sample concentration exceeded the spike concentration by a factor of 4, no action was taken.) |     |    | ✓  |          |
| Were the MS/MSD or laboratory duplicate relative percent differences (RPDs) within the QC limits?  |     |    | ✓  |          |
| <b>V. Laboratory Control Samples</b>   |     |    |    |          |
| Was a LCS analyzed for each batch in the SDG?  | ✓   |    |    |          |
| Were the LCS recoveries and RPDs (if applicable) within QC limits?   | ✓   |    |    |          |
| <b>X. Target Analyte Quantitation</b>  |     |    |    |          |
| Were all reporting limits adjusted to reflect sample dilutions?  | ✓   |    |    |          |
| Were all soil samples dry weight corrected?  |     |    | ✓  |          |
| <b>XI. Overall Assessment of Data</b>  |     |    |    |          |
| Was the overall assessment of the data found to be acceptable?   | ✓   |    |    |          |



| METHOD: Inorganics                                     |     |    |    |          |
|--|-----|----|----|----------|
| Validation Area  | Yes | No | NA | Comments |
| <b>XII. Field Duplicates</b>                           |     |    |    |          |
| Were field duplicates identified in this SDG?          |     | ✓  |    |          |
| Were target analytes detected in the field duplicates? |     |    | ✓  |          |
| <b>XIII. Field Blanks</b>                              |     |    |    |          |
| Were field blanks identified in this SDG?              |     | ✓  |    |          |
| Were target analytes detected in the field blanks?     |     |    | ✓  |          |

LDC #: 5348466

**Validation Findings Worksheet**  
**Initial and Continuing Calibration Calculation Verification**

Page: 1 of 1  
 Reviewer: ATL

Method: Inorganics, Method See Cover

The correlation coefficient (r) for the calibration of TDC was recalculated. Calibration date: 11/27/21

An initial or continuing calibration verification percent recovery (%R) was recalculated for each type of analysis using the following formula:

$$\%R = \frac{\text{Found} \times 100}{\text{True}}$$

Where, Found = concentration of each analyte measured in the analysis of the ICV or CCV solution  
 True = concentration of each analyte in the ICV or CCV source

| Type of analysis                                 | Analyte | FOUND Standard | TRUE Conc. (mg/L) | Area   | Recalculated        | Reported            | Acceptable (Y/N) |
|--|---------|----------------|-------------------|--------|---------------------|---------------------|------------------|
|  |         |                |                   |        | r or r <sup>2</sup> | r or r <sup>2</sup> |                  |
| Initial calibration                              | TDC     | s1             | 0.0               | 872    | 0.99999             | 0.99999             | Y                |
|  |         | s2             | 0.5               | 7728   |                     |                     |                  |
|  |         | s3             | 2                 | 26223  |                     |                     |                  |
|  |         | s4             | 5                 | 65575  |                     |                     |                  |
|  |         | s5             | 10                | 129337 |                     |                     |                  |
|  |         | s6             | 20                | 256854 |                     |                     |                  |
| ICV (11/27/21 9:41)<br>Calibration verification  | TDC     | 9.880          | 10.000            |        | 99                  | 99                  | Y                |
| CCV (12/06/21 18:24)<br>Calibration verification | TDC     | 5.360          | 5.000             |        | 107.2               | 106.2               | Y                |
| CCV (12/07/21 03:14)<br>Calibration verification | TDC     | 5.161          | 5.000             |        | 103.2               | 102.2               | Y                |

Comments: Refer to Calibration Verification findings worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

LDC #: 53484GG

**VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET**  
**Level IV Recalculation Worksheet**

Page: 1 of 1  
Reviewer: ATL

**METHOD:** Inorganics, Method see cover

Percent recoveries (%R) for a laboratory control sample and a matrix spike sample were recalculated using the following formula:

$\%R = \frac{\text{Found}}{\text{True}} \times 100$     Where,    Found = concentration of each analyte measured in the analysis of the sample. For the matrix spike calculation, Found = SSR (spiked sample result) - SR (sample result).  
True = concentration of each analyte in the source.

A sample and duplicate relative percent difference (RPD) was recalculated using the following formula:

$RPD = \frac{|S-D|}{(S+D)/2} \times 100$     Where,    S = Original sample concentration  
D = Duplicate sample concentration

| Sample ID | Type of Analysis          | Element | mg/L<br>Found / S<br>(units) | mg/L<br>True / D<br>(units) | Recalculated | Reported | Acceptable<br>(Y/N) |
|-----------|---------------------------|---------|------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------|----------|---------------------|
|           |                           |         |                              |                             | %R / RPD     | %R / RPD |                     |
| LCS       | Laboratory control sample | TOC     | 5.360                        | 5.000                       | 107          | 106      | Y                   |
|           | Matrix spike sample       |         | (SSR-SR)                     |                             |              |          |                     |
|           | Duplicate sample          |         |                              |                             |              |          |                     |

Comments: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_



**Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc.  
Data Validation Report**

**Project/Site Name:** Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126

**LDC Report Date:** March 28, 2022

**Parameters:** Gasoline Range Organics

**Validation Level:** Stage 2B & 4

**Laboratory:** APPL, Inc., Clovis, CA

**Sample Delivery Group (SDG):** 98381

| <b>Sample Identification</b> | <b>Laboratory Sample Identification</b> | <b>Matrix</b> | <b>Collection Date</b> |
|------------------------------|---|---------------|------------------------|
| ERH2001                      | BA47127                                 | Water         | 12/01/21               |
| ERH2002**                    | BA47128**                               | Water         | 12/01/21               |
| ERH2004                      | BA47131                                 | Water         | 12/01/21               |
| ERH2005**                    | BA47132**                               | Water         | 12/01/21               |
| ERH2007                      | BA47133                                 | Water         | 12/01/21               |
| ERH2008**                    | BA47134**                               | Water         | 12/01/21               |

\*\*Indicates sample underwent Stage 4 validation

## Introduction

This Data Validation Report (DVR) presents data validation findings and results for the associated samples listed on the cover page. Data validation was performed in accordance with the Work Plan/Scope of Work, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 02, January 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 01, April 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 01, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, September 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 03, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, June 2018), the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) Quality Systems Manual (QSM) for Environmental Laboratories, Version 5.3 (2019), the DoD General Validation Guidelines (November 2019), and the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) Data Validation Guidelines Module 1: Data Validation Procedure for Organic Analysis by GC/MS (May 2020). Where specific guidance was not available, the data has been evaluated in a conservative manner consistent with industry standards using professional experience.

The analyses were performed by the following method:

Gasoline Range Organics by Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) SW 846 Method 8260B

All sample results were subjected to Stage 2B data validation, which comprises an evaluation of quality control (QC) summary results. Samples appended with a double asterisk on the cover page were subjected to Stage 4 data validation, which is comprised of the QC summary forms as well as the raw data, to confirm sample quantitation and identification.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers utilized during data validation:

- J+ (Estimated, High Bias): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated, displaying high bias, due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- J- (Estimated, Low Bias): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated, displaying low bias, due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- J (Estimated, Bias Indeterminate): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated due to non-conformances discovered during data validation. Bias is indeterminate.
- U (Non-detected): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the analyte should be considered non-detected due to the presence of contaminants detected in the associated blank(s).
- UJ (Non-detected estimated): The analyte was not detected and the associated numerical value is approximate.
- X (Exclusion of data recommended): The sample results (including non-detects) were affected by serious deficiencies in the ability to analyze the sample and to meet published method and project quality control criteria. The presence or absence of the analyte cannot be substantiated by the data provided. Exclusion of the data is recommended.
- NA (Not Applicable): The non-conformance discovered during data validation demonstrates a high bias, while the affected analyte in the associated sample(s) was reported as not detected by the laboratory and did not warrant the qualification of the data.

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

## Qualification Code Reference

- a ICP Serial Dilution %D was not within control limits.
- b Presumed contamination from preparation (method blank).
- c Calibration %RSD,  $r$ ,  $r^2$ , %D or %R was noncompliant.
- d The analysis with this flag should not be used because another more technically sound analysis is available.
- e MS/MSD or Duplicate RPD was high.
- f Presumed contamination from FB or ER.
- g ICP ICS results were unsatisfactory.
- h Holding times were exceeded.
- i Internal standard performance was unsatisfactory.
- k Estimated Maximum Possible Concentration (HRGC/HRMS only)
- l LCS/LCSD %R was not within control limits.
- m Result exceeded the calibration range.
- o Cooler temperature or temperature blank was noncompliant and/or sample custody problems.
- p RPD between two columns was high (GC only).
- q MS/MSD recovery was not within control limits.
- s Surrogate recovery was not within control limits.
- t Presumed contamination from trip blank.
- v Unusual problems found with the data not defined elsewhere. Description of the problem can be found in the validation report.
- w LCS/LCSD RPD was high.
- y Chemical recovery was not within control limits (Radiochemistry only).



## **I. Sample Receipt and Technical Holding Times**

All samples were received in good condition and cooler temperatures upon receipt met validation criteria.

All technical holding time requirements were met.

## **II. GC/MS Instrument Performance Check**

Instrument performance check was performed at the required frequency.

All ion abundance requirements were met.

## **III. Initial Calibration and Initial Calibration Verification**

An initial calibration was performed as required by the method.

A curve fit, based on the initial calibration, was established for quantitation. The coefficient of determination ( $r^2$ ) was greater than or equal to 0.990.

The percent differences (%D) of the initial calibration verification (ICV) standard were less than or equal to 20.0% for all analytes.

## **IV. Continuing Calibration**

Continuing calibration was performed at the required frequencies.

The percent differences (%D) were less than or equal to 20.0% for all analytes.

The percent differences (%D) of the ending continuing calibration verifications (CCVs) were less than or equal to 20.0% for all analytes.

## **V. Laboratory Blanks**

Laboratory blanks were analyzed as required by the method. No contaminants were found in the laboratory blanks.

## **VI. Field Blanks**

Samples ERH2001, ERH2004, and ERH2007 were identified as trip blanks. No contaminants were found.

## **VII. Surrogates**

Surrogates were added to all samples as required by the method. All surrogate recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

## **VIII. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates**

The laboratory has indicated that there were no matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyses specified for the samples in this SDG, and therefore matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate analyses were not performed for this SDG.

## **IX. Laboratory Control Samples**

Laboratory control samples (LCS) and laboratory control samples duplicates (LCSD) were analyzed as required by the method. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits. Relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

## **X. Field Duplicates**

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

## **XI. Internal Standards**

All internal standard areas and retention times were within QC limits.

## **XII. Target Analyte Quantitation**

All target analyte quantitations met validation criteria for samples which underwent Stage 4 validation. Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

## **XIII. Target Analyte Identification**

All target analyte identifications met validation criteria for samples which underwent Stage 4 validation. Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

## **XIV. System Performance**

The system performance was acceptable for samples which underwent Stage 4 validation. Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

## **XV. Overall Assessment of Data**

The analysis was conducted within all specifications of the method. No results were rejected or recommended for exclusion in this SDG.

**Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126  
Gasoline Range Organics - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 98381**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

**Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126  
Gasoline Range Organics - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG  
98381**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

**Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126  
Gasoline Range Organics - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 98381**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

LDC #: 53484G7

**VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET**

SDG #: 98381

Stage 2B/4

Laboratory: APPL, Inc., Clovis, CA

Date: 3/15/22

Page: 1 of 1

Reviewer: [Signature]

2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

**METHOD:** GC/MS Gasoline Range Organics (EPA SW-846 Method 8260B)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

|       | Validation Area                        |     | Comments                              |
|-------|--|-----|---------------------------------------|
| I.    | Sample receipt/Technical holding times | Δ Δ |                                       |
| II.   | GC/MS Instrument performance check     | Δ   |                                       |
| III.  | Initial calibration/ICV                | Δ Δ | ICV ≤ 20                              |
| IV.   | Continuing calibration                 | Δ   | CW ≤ 20   20                          |
| V.    | Laboratory Blanks                      | A   |                                       |
| VI.   | Field blanks                           | ND  | TB = 1, 3, 5                          |
| VII.  | Surrogate spikes                       | A   |                                       |
| VIII. | Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates   | N   | CS                                    |
| IX.   | Laboratory control samples             | Δ   | 2CS 1D                                |
| X.    | Field duplicates                       | N   |                                       |
| XI.   | Internal standards                     | A   |                                       |
| XII.  | Target analyte quantitation            | Δ   | Not reviewed for Stage 2B validation. |
| XIII. | Target analyte identification          | A   | Not reviewed for Stage 2B validation. |
| XIV.  | System performance                     | Δ   | Not reviewed for Stage 2B validation. |
| XV.   | Overall assessment of data             | A   |                                       |

Note: A = Acceptable  
N = Not provided/applicable  
SW = See worksheet

ND = No compounds detected  
R = Rinsate  
FB = Field blank

D = Duplicate  
TB = Trip blank  
EB = Equipment blank

SB=Source blank  
OTHER:

\*\* Indicates sample underwent Stage 4 validation

|   | Client ID  | Lab ID    | Matrix | Date     |
|---|------------|-----------|--------|----------|
| 1 | ERH2001 TB | BA47127   | Water  | 12/01/21 |
| 2 | ERH2002**  | BA47128** | Water  | 12/01/21 |
| 3 | ERH2004 TB | BA47131   | Water  | 12/01/21 |
| 4 | ERH2005**  | BA47132** | Water  | 12/01/21 |
| 5 | ERH2007 TB | BA47133   | Water  | 12/01/21 |
| 6 | ERH2008**  | BA47134** | Water  | 12/01/21 |
| 7 |            |           |        |          |
| 8 |            |           |        |          |
| 9 |            |           |        |          |

Notes:

|          |  |  |  |  |
|----------|--|--|--|--|
| 211207AZ |  |  |  |  |
|          |  |  |  |  |
|          |  |  |  |  |
|          |  |  |  |  |

Method: Volatiles (EPA SW 846 Method 8260 B)

| Validation Area  | Yes                                 | No                                  | NA                                  | Findings/Comments |
|--|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------|
| <b>I. Technical holding times</b>  |                                     |                                     |                                     |                   |
| Were all technical holding times met?  | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input type="checkbox"/>            |                   |
| Was cooler temperature criteria met?   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input type="checkbox"/>            |                   |
| <b>II. GC/MS Instrument performance check</b>  |                                     |                                     |                                     |                   |
| Were the BFB performance results reviewed and found to be within the specified criteria?   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input type="checkbox"/>            |                   |
| Were all samples analyzed within the 12 hour clock criteria?   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input type="checkbox"/>            |                   |
| <b>IIIa. Initial calibration</b>   |                                     |                                     |                                     |                   |
| Did the laboratory perform a 5 point calibration prior to sample analysis?   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input type="checkbox"/>            |                   |
| Were all percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) $\leq$ 20%, 15/30% and relative response factors (RRF) within method criteria?                      | <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |                   |
| Was a curve fit used for evaluation? If yes, did the initial calibration meet the curve fit acceptance criteria of $\geq$ 0.990?                         | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input type="checkbox"/>            |                   |
| <b>IIIb. Initial Calibration Verification</b>  |                                     |                                     |                                     |                   |
| Was an initial calibration verification standard analyzed after each initial calibration for each instrument?  | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input type="checkbox"/>            |                   |
| Were all percent differences (%D) $<$ 20% 30%?   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input type="checkbox"/>            |                   |
| <b>IV. Continuing calibration</b>  |                                     |                                     |                                     |                   |
| Was a continuing calibration standard analyzed at least once every 12 hours for each instrument?   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input type="checkbox"/>            |                   |
| Were all percent differences (%D) $\leq$ 20% and relative response factors (RRF) within method criteria?   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input type="checkbox"/>            |                   |
| <b>V. Laboratory Blanks</b>  |                                     |                                     |                                     |                   |
| Was a laboratory blank associated with every sample in this SDG?   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input type="checkbox"/>            |                   |
| Was a laboratory blank analyzed at least once every 12 hours for each matrix and concentration?  | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input type="checkbox"/>            |                   |
| Was there contamination in the laboratory blanks? If yes, please see the Blanks validation findings worksheet.   | <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/>            |                   |
| <b>VI. Field blanks</b>  |                                     |                                     |                                     |                   |
| Were field blanks were identified in this SDG?   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input type="checkbox"/>            |                   |
| Were target analytes detected in the field blanks?   | <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/>            |                   |
| <b>VII. Surrogate spikes</b>   |                                     |                                     |                                     |                   |
| Were all surrogate percent recovery (%R) within QC limits?   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input type="checkbox"/>            |                   |
| If the percent recovery (%R) for one or more surrogates was out of QC limits, was a reanalysis performed to confirm samples with %R outside of criteria? | <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |                   |
| <b>VIII. Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates</b>  |                                     |                                     |                                     |                   |
| Were matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyzed in this SDG?  | <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |                   |
| Were the MS/MSD percent recoveries (%R) and the relative percent differences (RPD) within the QC limits?   | <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |                   |

| Validation Area   | Yes                                 | No                                  | NA                                  | Findings/Comments |
|---|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------|
| <b>IX. Laboratory control samples</b>   |                                     |                                     |                                     |                   |
| Was an LCS analyzed for this SDG?   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input type="checkbox"/>            |                   |
| Was an LCS analyzed per analytical batch?   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input type="checkbox"/>            |                   |
| Were the LCS percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent difference (RPD) within the QC limits?  | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input type="checkbox"/>            |                   |
| <b>X. Field duplicates</b>  |                                     |                                     |                                     |                   |
| Were field duplicate pairs identified in this SDG?  | <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/>            |                   |
| Were target analytes detected in the field duplicates?  | <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |                   |
| <b>XI. Internal standards</b>   |                                     |                                     |                                     |                   |
| Were internal standard area counts within -50% to +100% of the associated calibration standard?   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input type="checkbox"/>            |                   |
| Were retention times within + 30 seconds of the associated calibration standard?  | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input type="checkbox"/>            |                   |
| <b>XII. Target analyte quantitation</b>   |                                     |                                     |                                     |                   |
| Did the laboratory LOQs/RLs meet the QAPP LOQs/RLs?   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input type="checkbox"/>            |                   |
| Were the correct internal standard (IS), quantitation ion and relative response factor (RRF) used to quantitate the target analyte?         | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input type="checkbox"/>            |                   |
| Were target analyte quantitation and RLs adjusted to reflect all sample dilutions and dry weight factors applicable to level IV validation? | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input type="checkbox"/>            |                   |
| <b>XIII. Target analyte identification</b>  |                                     |                                     |                                     |                   |
| Were relative retention times (RRT's) within + 0.06 RRT units of the standard?  | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input type="checkbox"/>            |                   |
| Did analyte spectra meet specified EPA "Functional Guidelines" criteria?  | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input type="checkbox"/>            |                   |
| Were chromatogram peaks verified and accounted for?   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input type="checkbox"/>            |                   |
| Were manual integrations reviewed and found acceptable?   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input type="checkbox"/>            |                   |
| Did the laboratory provide before and after integration printouts?  | <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |                   |
| <b>XIV. System performance</b>  |                                     |                                     |                                     |                   |
| System performance was found to be acceptable.  | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input type="checkbox"/>            |                   |
| <b>XV. Overall assessment of data</b>   |                                     |                                     |                                     |                   |
| Overall assessment of data was found to be acceptable.  | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input type="checkbox"/>            |                   |

**VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET**  
**Initial Calibration Calculation Verification**

Method: Gasoline (EPA SW 846 Method 8260B)

| Calibration Date | System       | Compound        | Standard | (Y)<br>Response | (X)<br>Concentration |
|------------------|--------------|-----------------|----------|-----------------|----------------------|
| 12/6/2021        | GCMS<br>ZEUS | Gasoline C6-C10 | 1        | 13.28           | 0.8                  |
|                  |              |                 | 2        | 14.038          | 2.0                  |
|                  |              |                 | 3        | 15.692          | 4.0                  |
|                  |              |                 | 4        | 21.84           | 12.0                 |
|                  |              |                 | 5        | 29.832          | 24.0                 |
|                  |              |                 | 6        | 36.192          | 32.0                 |
|                  |              |                 | 7        | 41.64           | 40.0                 |

**Regression Output**

***Reported***

|  |           |          |
|--|-----------|----------|
| Constant                                       | 12.758859 | NR       |
| Std Err of Y Est                               |           |          |
| R Squared                                      | 0.999545  | 1.000000 |
| Degrees of Freedom                             |           |          |
| X Coefficient(s)                               | 0.724756  | NR       |
| Std Err of Coef.                               |           |          |
| Correlation Coefficient                        | 0.999773  |          |
| Coefficient of Determination (r <sup>2</sup> ) | 0.999545  | 1.000000 |

LDC #: 53484G7

**VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET**  
**Continuing Calibration Results Verification**

Page: 1 of 1  
 Reviewer: FT

**METHOD:** GC/MS VOA (EPA SW 846 Method 8260 B )

The percent difference (%D) of the initial calibration average Relative Response Factors (RRFs) and the continuing calibration RRFs were recalculated for the target analytes identified below using the following calculation:

% Difference = 100 \* (ave. RRF - RRF)/ave. RRF

Where:

ave. RRF = initial calibration average RRF

A<sub>x</sub> = Area of target analyte

C<sub>x</sub> = Concentration of target analyte

RRF = continuing calibration RRF

A<sub>is</sub> = Area of associated internal standard

C<sub>is</sub> = Concentration of internal standard

| # | Standard ID    | Calibration Date | Target Analyte (Internal Standard) | Average RRF (initial) | Reported RRF (CC) | Recalculated RRF (CC) | Reported %D | Recalculated %D |
|---|----------------|------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|-------------|-----------------|
| 1 | CCV<br>1207205 | 12/7/21          | GRU                                | 300                   | 296.68            | 296.68                | 1.1         | 1.1             |
| 2 |                |                  |                                    |                       |                   |                       |             |                 |
| 3 |                |                  |                                    |                       |                   |                       |             |                 |
| 4 |                |                  |                                    |                       |                   |                       |             |                 |



## Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc. Data Validation Report

**Project/Site Name:** Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126

**LDC Report Date:** March 28, 2022

**Parameters:** Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Extractables

**Validation Level:** Stage 2B & 4

**Laboratory:** APPL, Inc., Clovis, CA

**Sample Delivery Group (SDG):** 98381

| Sample Identification | Laboratory Sample Identification | Matrix | Collection Date |
|-----------------------|----------------------------------|--------|-----------------|
| ERH2002               | BA47128                          | Water  | 12/01/21        |
| ERH2005**             | BA47132**                        | Water  | 12/01/21        |
| ERH2008**             | BA47134**                        | Water  | 12/01/21        |
| ERH2002(SGCU)         | BA47128(SGCU)                    | Water  | 12/01/21        |
| ERH2005(SGCU)**       | BA47132(SGCU)**                  | Water  | 12/01/21        |
| ERH2008(SGCU)**       | BA47134(SGCU)**                  | Water  | 12/01/21        |

\*\*Indicates sample underwent Stage 4 validation  
 Samples appended with SGCU underwent "Silica Gel Clean Up"

## Introduction

This Data Validation Report (DVR) presents data validation findings and results for the associated samples listed on the cover page. Data validation was performed in accordance with the Work Plan/Scope of Work, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 02, January 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 01, April 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 01, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, September 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 03, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, June 2018), the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) Quality Systems Manual (QSM) for Environmental Laboratories, Version 5.3 (2019), the DoD General Validation Guidelines (November 2019), and the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) Data Validation Guidelines Module 4: Data Validation Procedure for Organic Analysis by GC (March 2021). Where specific guidance was not available, the data has been evaluated in a conservative manner consistent with industry standards using professional experience.

The analyses were performed by the following method:

Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (TPH) as Extractables by Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) SW 846 Method 8015B

All sample results were subjected to Stage 2B data validation, which comprises an evaluation of quality control (QC) summary results. Samples appended with a double asterisk on the cover page were subjected to Stage 4 data validation, which is comprised of the QC summary forms as well as the raw data, to confirm sample quantitation and identification.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers utilized during data validation:

- J+ (Estimated, High Bias): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated, displaying high bias, due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- J- (Estimated, Low Bias): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated, displaying low bias, due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- J (Estimated, Bias Indeterminate): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated due to non-conformances discovered during data validation. Bias is indeterminate.
- U (Non-detected): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the analyte should be considered non-detected due to the presence of contaminants detected in the associated blank(s).
- UU (Non-detected estimated): The analyte was not detected and the associated numerical value is approximate.
- X (Exclusion of data recommended): The sample results (including non-detects) were affected by serious deficiencies in the ability to analyze the sample and to meet published method and project quality control criteria. The presence or absence of the analyte cannot be substantiated by the data provided. Exclusion of the data is recommended.
- NA (Not Applicable): The non-conformance discovered during data validation demonstrates a high bias, while the affected analyte in the associated sample(s) was reported as not detected by the laboratory and did not warrant the qualification of the data.

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

## Qualification Code Reference

- a ICP Serial Dilution %D was not within control limits.
- b Presumed contamination from preparation (method blank).
- c Calibration %RSD, r, r<sup>2</sup>, %D or %R was noncompliant.
- d The analysis with this flag should not be used because another more technically sound analysis is available.
- e MS/MSD or Duplicate RPD was high.
- f Presumed contamination from FB or ER.
- g ICP ICS results were unsatisfactory.
- h Holding times were exceeded.
- i Internal standard performance was unsatisfactory.
- k Estimated Maximum Possible Concentration (HRGC/HRMS only)
- l LCS/LCSD %R was not within control limits.
- m Result exceeded the calibration range.
- o Cooler temperature or temperature blank was noncompliant and/or sample custody problems.
- p RPD between two columns was high (GC only).
- q MS/MSD recovery was not within control limits.
- s Surrogate recovery was not within control limits.
- t Presumed contamination from trip blank.
- v Unusual problems found with the data not defined elsewhere. Description of the problem can be found in the validation report.
- w LCS/LCSD RPD was high.
- y Chemical recovery was not within control limits (Radiochemistry only).

## **I. Sample Receipt and Technical Holding Times**

All samples were received in good condition and cooler temperatures upon receipt met validation criteria.

All technical holding time requirements were met.

## **II. Initial Calibration and Initial Calibration Verification**

An initial calibration was performed as required by the method.

A curve fit, based on the initial calibration, was established for quantitation. The coefficient of determination ( $r^2$ ) was greater than or equal to 0.990.

The percent differences (%D) of the initial calibration verification (ICV) standard were less than or equal to 20.0% for all analytes.

## **III. Continuing Calibration**

Continuing calibration was performed at the required frequencies.

The percent differences (%D) were less than or equal to 20.0% for all analytes.

The percent differences (%D) of the ending continuing calibration verifications (CCVs) were less than or equal to 20.0% for all analytes.

## **IV. Laboratory Blanks**

Laboratory blanks were analyzed as required by the method. No contaminants were found in the laboratory blanks.

## **V. Field Blanks**

No field blanks were identified in this SDG.

## **VI. Surrogates**

Surrogates were added to all samples as required by the method. All surrogate recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

## **VII. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates**

The laboratory has indicated that there were no matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyses specified for the samples in this SDG, and therefore matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate analyses were not performed for this SDG.

### **VIII. Laboratory Control Samples**

Laboratory control samples (LCS) and laboratory control samples duplicates (LCSD) were analyzed as required by the method. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits. Relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

### **IX. Field Duplicates**

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

### **X. Target Analyte Quantitation**

All target analyte quantitations met validation criteria for samples which underwent Stage 4 validation. Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

### **XI. Target Analyte Identification**

All target analyte identifications met validation criteria for samples which underwent Stage 4 validation. Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

### **XII. Overall Assessment of Data**

The analysis was conducted within all specifications of the method. No results were rejected or recommended for exclusion in this SDG.

**Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126  
Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Extractables - Data Qualification Summary -  
SDG 98381**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

**Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126  
Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Extractables - Laboratory Blank Data  
Qualification Summary - SDG 98381**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

**Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126  
Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Extractables - Field Blank Data Qualification  
Summary - SDG 98381**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

LDC #: 53484G8  
 SDG #: 98381  
 Laboratory: APPL, Inc., Clovis, CA

**VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET**  
 Stage 2B/4

Date: 3/15/22  
 Page: 1 of 1  
 Reviewer: [Signature]  
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

**METHOD:** GC TPH as Extractables (EPA SW-846 Method 8015B)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

|       | Validation Area                        |     | Comments                              |
|-------|--|-----|---------------------------------------|
| I.    | Sample receipt/Technical holding times | A/A |                                       |
| II.   | Initial calibration/ICV                | A/A | 1 <sup>2</sup> 1CV ≤ 20               |
| III.  | Continuing calibration                 | Δ   | cw ≤ 20   20                          |
| IV.   | Laboratory Blanks                      | Δ   |                                       |
| V.    | Field blanks                           | N   |                                       |
| VI.   | Surrogate spikes                       | A   |                                       |
| VII.  | Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates   | N   | CS                                    |
| VIII. | Laboratory control samples             | A   | 10 > 17                               |
| IX.   | Field duplicates                       | N   |                                       |
| X.    | Target analyte quantitation            | Δ   | Not reviewed for Stage 2B validation. |
| XI.   | Target analyte identification          | Δ   | Not reviewed for Stage 2B validation. |
| XII.  | Overall assessment of data             | Δ   |                                       |

Note: A = Acceptable      ND = No compounds detected      D = Duplicate      SB=Source blank  
 N = Not provided/applicable      R = Rinsate      TB = Trip blank      OTHER:  
 SW = See worksheet      FB = Field blank      EB = Equipment blank

\*\* Indicates sample underwent Stage 4 validation

|                | Client ID       | Lab ID          | Matrix | Date     |
|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|--------|----------|
| 1 <sup>+</sup> | ERH2002         | BA47128         | Water  | 12/01/21 |
| 2 <sup>+</sup> | ERH2005**       | BA47132**       | Water  | 12/01/21 |
| 3 <sup>+</sup> | ERH2008**       | BA47134**       | Water  | 12/01/21 |
| 4 <sup>+</sup> | ERH2002(SGCU)   | BA47128(SGCU)   | Water  | 12/01/21 |
| 5 <sup>+</sup> | ERH2005(SGCU)** | BA47132(SGCU)** | Water  | 12/01/21 |
| 6 <sup>+</sup> | ERH2008(SGCU)** | BA47134(SGCU)** | Water  | 12/01/21 |
| 7              |                 |                 |        |          |
| 8              |                 |                 |        |          |
| 9              |                 |                 |        |          |
| 10             |                 |                 |        |          |
| 11             |                 |                 |        |          |
| 12             |                 |                 |        |          |
| 13             |                 |                 |        |          |

Notes:

|   |                |  |  |  |
|---|----------------|--|--|--|
| 1 | 211207A1 - B1K |  |  |  |
| 2 | 211207A - B1K  |  |  |  |
|   |                |  |  |  |
|   |                |  |  |  |



Method: GC HPLC

| Validation Area  | Yes                                 | No                                  | NA                                  | Findings/Comments |
|--|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------|
| <b>I. Technical holding times</b>  |                                     |                                     |                                     |                   |
| Were all technical holding times met?  | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input type="checkbox"/>            |                   |
| Was cooler temperature criteria met?   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input type="checkbox"/>            |                   |
| <b>IIa. Initial calibration</b>  |                                     |                                     |                                     |                   |
| Did the laboratory perform a 5 point calibration prior to sample analysis?   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input type="checkbox"/>            |                   |
| Were all percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) $\leq$ 20%?   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |                   |
| Was a curve fit used for evaluation? If yes, did the initial calibration meet the curve fit acceptance criteria of $\geq$ 0.990? | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |                   |
| Were the RT windows properly established?  | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input type="checkbox"/>            |                   |
| <b>IIb. Initial calibration verification</b>   |                                     |                                     |                                     |                   |
| Was an initial calibration verification standard analyzed after each initial calibration for each instrument?                    | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input type="checkbox"/>            |                   |
| Were all percent differences (%D) $\leq$ 20%?  | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input type="checkbox"/>            |                   |
| <b>III. Continuing calibration</b>   |                                     |                                     |                                     |                   |
| Was a continuing calibration analyzed daily?   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input type="checkbox"/>            |                   |
| Were all percent differences (%D) $\leq$ 20%?  | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input type="checkbox"/>            |                   |
| Were all the retention times within the acceptance windows?  | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input type="checkbox"/>            |                   |
| <b>IV. Laboratory Blanks</b>   |                                     |                                     |                                     |                   |
| Was a laboratory blank associated with every sample in this SDG?   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input type="checkbox"/>            |                   |
| Was a laboratory blank analyzed for each matrix and concentration?   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input type="checkbox"/>            |                   |
| Was there contamination in the laboratory blanks?  | <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/>            |                   |
| <b>V. Field Blanks</b>   |                                     |                                     |                                     |                   |
| Were field blanks identified in this SDG?  | <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |                   |
| Were target analytes detected in the field blanks?   | <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |                   |
| <b>VI. Surrogate spikes</b>  |                                     |                                     |                                     |                   |
| Were all surrogate percent recovery (%R) within the QC limits?   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input type="checkbox"/>            |                   |
| If the percent recovery (%R) of one or more surrogates was outside QC limits, was a reanalysis performed to confirm %R?          | <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |                   |
| If any %R was less than 10 percent, was a reanalysis performed to confirm %R?  | <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |                   |
| <b>VII. Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates</b>   |                                     |                                     |                                     |                   |
| Were matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyzed in this SDG?  | <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |                   |
| Were the MS/MSD percent recoveries (%R) and the relative percent differences (RPD) within the QC limits?                         | <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |                   |
| <b>VIII. Laboratory control samples</b>  |                                     |                                     |                                     |                   |
| Was an LCS analyzed per analytical or extraction batch?  | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input type="checkbox"/>            |                   |
| Were the LCS percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent difference (RPD) within the QC limits?                                 | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input type="checkbox"/>            |                   |

| Validation Area  | Yes | No | NA | Findings/Comments |
|--|-----|----|----|-------------------|
| <b>IX. Field duplicates</b>  |     |    |    |                   |
| Were field duplicate pairs identified in this SDG?   |     | /  |    |                   |
| Were target analytes detected in the field duplicates?   |     |    | ✓  |                   |
| <b>X. Target analyte quantitation</b>  |     |    |    |                   |
| Did the laboratory LOQs/RLs meet the QAPP LOQs/RLs?  | /   |    |    |                   |
| Were analyte quantitation and RLs adjusted to reflect all sample dilutions and dry weight factors applicable to level IV validation? | /   |    |    |                   |
| <b>XI. Target analyte identification</b>   |     |    |    |                   |
| Were the retention times of reported detects within the RT windows?  | /   |    |    |                   |
| Were manual integrations reviewed and found acceptable?  | /   |    |    |                   |
| Did the laboratory provide before and after integration printouts?   | /   |    |    |                   |
| <b>XIII. Overall assessment of data</b>  |     |    |    |                   |
| Overall assessment of data was found to be acceptable.   | /   |    |    |                   |

**VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET**  
Initial Calibration Calculation Verification

Method: DRO 8015B

WEIGHTED

| Calibration Date | System    | Compound       | Standard | (Y)<br>Response | (X)<br>Concentration |
|------------------|-----------|----------------|----------|-----------------|----------------------|
| 12/12/2021       | GC-Apollo | Diesel C10-C24 | 1        | 84098995.000    | 5.0                  |
|                  |           |                | 2        | 38506565.000    | 10.0                 |
|                  |           |                | 3        | 206995836.000   | 50.0                 |
|                  |           |                | 4        | 1000844348.000  | 250.0                |
|                  |           |                | 5        | 4012472898.000  | 1000.0               |
|                  |           |                | 6        | 5888751722.000  | 1500.0               |
|                  |           |                | 7        | 8617221755.000  | 2000.0               |

**Regression Output**

***Reported***

|                                    |                  |             |
|------------------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| Constant                           | -32002550.188747 | -32000000.0 |
| Std Err of Y Est                   |                  |             |
| R Squared                          | 0.996648         | 0.998000    |
| Degrees of Freedom                 |                  |             |
| X Coefficient(s)                   | 4168828.654272   | 4170000.0   |
| Std Err of Coef.                   |                  |             |
| Correlation Coefficient            | 0.998322         |             |
| Coefficient of Determination (r^2) | 0.996648         | 0.998000    |

LDC #: 53484 G8

### VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET Continuing Calibration Results Verification

Page: 1 of 1  
Reviewer: FT

METHOD: GC        HPLC       

The percent difference (%D) of the initial calibration average Calibration Factors (CF) and the continuing calibration CF were recalculated for the target analytes identified below using the following calculation:

% Difference =  $100 * (\text{ave. CF} - \text{CF}) / \text{ave. CF}$

Where: ave. CF = initial calibration average CF  
 CF = continuing calibration CF  
 A = Area of target analyte  
 C = Concentration of target analyte

| # | Standard ID    | Calibration Date | Target Analyte  | Average CF(Ical)/ CCV Conc. | Reported      | Recalculated  | Reported | Recalculated |
|---|----------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------------------|---------------|---------------|----------|--------------|
|   |                |                  |                 |                             | CF/ Conc. CCV | CF/ Conc. CCV | %D       | %D           |
| 1 | ceV<br>1213003 | 12/13/21         | Diesel (cp-c24) | 1000                        | 1019.059      | 1019.059      | 1.9      | 1.9          |
| 2 |                |                  |                 |                             |               |               |          |              |
| 3 |                |                  |                 |                             |               |               |          |              |
| 4 |                |                  |                 |                             |               |               |          |              |

Comments: Refer to Continuing Calibration findings worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

LDC #: 5348498

## VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

### Surrogate Results Verification

Page: 1 of 1  
Reviewer: FTMETHOD:  GC  HPLC

The percent recoveries (%R) of surrogates were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculation:

% Recovery: SF/SS \* 100

Where: SF = Surrogate Found  
SS = Surrogate SpikedSample ID: # 3

| Surrogate | Column/Detector | Surrogate Spiked | Surrogate Found | Percent Recovery | Percent Recovery | Percent Difference |
|-----------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|------------------|------------------|--------------------|
|           |                 |                  |                 | Reported         | Recalculated     |                    |
| G         |                 | 147.059          | 159.187         | 108              | 108              | 0                  |
| H         |                 | ↓                | 126.75          | 86.2             | 86.2             | 0                  |
|           |                 |                  |                 |                  |                  |                    |
|           |                 |                  |                 |                  |                  |                    |

Sample ID: \_\_\_\_\_

| Surrogate | Column/Detector | Surrogate Spiked | Surrogate Found | Percent Recovery | Percent Recovery | Percent Difference |
|-----------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|------------------|------------------|--------------------|
|           |                 |                  |                 | Reported         | Recalculated     |                    |
|           |                 |                  |                 |                  |                  |                    |
|           |                 |                  |                 |                  |                  |                    |
|           |                 |                  |                 |                  |                  |                    |
|           |                 |                  |                 |                  |                  |                    |

|   | Surrogate Compound         |   | Surrogate Compound  |   | Surrogate Compound                |   | Surrogate Compound      |    | Surrogate Compound            |
|---|----------------------------|---|---------------------|---|-----------------------------------|---|-------------------------|----|-------------------------------|
| A | Chlorobenzene (CBZ)        | G | Octacosane          | M | Benzo(e)Pyrene                    | S | 1-Chloro-3-Nitrobenzene | Y  | Tetrachloro-m- xylene         |
| B | 4-Bromofluorobenzene (BFB) | H | Ortho-Terphenyl     | N | Terphenyl-D14                     | T | 3,4-Dinitrotoluene      | Z  | 2-Bromonaphthalene            |
| C | a,a,a-Trifluorotoluene     | I | Fluorobenzene (FBZ) | O | Decachlorobiphenyl (DCB)          | U | Triphenyltin            | AA | Chloro-octadecane             |
| D | Bromochlorobenzene         | J | n-Triacontane       | P | 1-methylnaphthalene               | V | Tri-n-propyltin         | BB | 2,4-Dichlorophenylacetic acid |
| E | 1,4-Dichlorobutane         | K | Hexacosane          | Q | Dichlorophenyl Acetic Acid (DCAA) | W | Tributyl Phosphate      | CC | 2,5-Dibromotoluene            |
| F | 1,4-Difluorobenzene (DFB)  | L | Bromobenzene        | R | 4-Nitrophenol                     | X | Triphenyl Phosphate     |    |                               |

LDC #: 53484 GP

### VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

### Laboratory Control Sample/Laboratory Control Sample Duplicates Results Verification

Reviewer: FT

METHOD:  GC  HPLC

The percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) of the laboratory control sample and laboratory control sample duplicate were recalculated for the target analytes identified below using the following calculation:

$$\% \text{Recovery} = 100 * (\text{SSC}/\text{SA})$$

$$\text{RPD} = ((\text{SSCLCS} - \text{SSCLCSD}) * 2) / (\text{SSCLCS} + \text{SSCLCSD}) * 100$$

Where SSC = Spiked sample concentration  
LCS = Laboratory Control Sample

SA = Spike added  
LCSD = Laboratory Control Sample duplicate

LCS/LCSD samples: 211207A1 - veslv

| Compound                                | Spike Added (ng/L) |      | Spike Sample Concentration (ng/L) |      | LCS              |         | LCSD             |         | LCS/LCSD |         |
|---|--------------------|------|-----------------------------------|------|------------------|---------|------------------|---------|----------|---------|
|   | LCS                | LCSD | LCS                               | LCSD | Percent Recovery |         | Percent Recovery |         | RPD      |         |
|   |                    |      |                                   |      | Reported         | Recalc. | Reported         | Recalc. | Reported | Recalc. |
| Diesel C <sub>10</sub> -C <sub>24</sub> | 2000               | 2000 | 1870                              | 1730 | 93.5             | 93.5    | 86.5             | 86.5    | 7.8      | 7.8     |
|   |                    |      |                                   |      |                  |         |                  |         |          |         |
|   |                    |      |                                   |      |                  |         |                  |         |          |         |
|   |                    |      |                                   |      |                  |         |                  |         |          |         |
|   |                    |      |                                   |      |                  |         |                  |         |          |         |
|   |                    |      |                                   |      |                  |         |                  |         |          |         |
|   |                    |      |                                   |      |                  |         |                  |         |          |         |
|   |                    |      |                                   |      |                  |         |                  |         |          |         |
|   |                    |      |                                   |      |                  |         |                  |         |          |         |
|   |                    |      |                                   |      |                  |         |                  |         |          |         |
|   |                    |      |                                   |      |                  |         |                  |         |          |         |
|   |                    |      |                                   |      |                  |         |                  |         |          |         |
|   |                    |      |                                   |      |                  |         |                  |         |          |         |
|   |                    |      |                                   |      |                  |         |                  |         |          |         |
|   |                    |      |                                   |      |                  |         |                  |         |          |         |
|   |                    |      |                                   |      |                  |         |                  |         |          |         |

Comments: \_\_\_\_\_

LDC #: 5348498

**VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET**  
**Sample Calculation Verification**

Page: 1 of 1  
Reviewer: FT

METHOD:  GC  HPLC

The concentration of the sample was calculated for the target analyte identified below using the following calculation:

$$\text{Concentration} = \frac{(A)(Fv)(Df)}{(RF)(Vs \text{ or } Ws)(\%S/100)}$$

Example:

Sample ID. # 2 : Diesel (c10-c24)

$$\text{Concentration} = \frac{(410468195 + 32002500 \cdot 1887)(5)(1000)}{(4168828.6542)(1030)} = 1679.68 \text{ ug/L}$$

- A= Area or height of the target analyte to be measured
- Fv= Final Volume of extract
- Df= Dilution Factor
- RF= Average response factor of the target analyte  
in the initial calibration
- Vs= Initial volume of the sample
- Ws= Initial weight of the sample
- %S= Percent Solid

| # | Sample ID | Target analyte   | Reported Concentrations<br>(ug/L) | Recalculated Results Concentrations<br>(ug/L) | Qualifications |
|---|-----------|------------------|-----------------------------------|---|----------------|
|   | # 2       | Diesel (c10-c24) | 1700                              | 1679.68                                       |                |
|   |           |                  |                                   |   |                |
|   |           |                  |                                   |   |                |
|   |           |                  |                                   |   |                |
|   |           |                  |                                   |   |                |
|   |           |                  |                                   |   |                |
|   |           |                  |                                   |   |                |
|   |           |                  |                                   |   |                |

Comments: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

**Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc.  
Data Validation Report**

**Project/Site Name:** Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126

**LDC Report Date:** March 27, 2022

**Parameters:** Volatiles

**Validation Level:** Stage 2B

**Laboratory:** APPL, Inc., Clovis, CA

**Sample Delivery Group (SDG):** 97924

| <b>Sample Identification</b> | <b>Laboratory Sample Identification</b> | <b>Matrix</b> | <b>Collection Date</b> |
|------------------------------|---|---------------|------------------------|
| ERH1838                      | BA43831                                 | Water         | 10/20/21               |
| ERH1839                      | BA43832                                 | Water         | 10/20/21               |
| ERH1841                      | BA43833                                 | Water         | 10/20/21               |



## Introduction

This Data Validation Report (DVR) presents data validation findings and results for the associated samples listed on the cover page. Data validation was performed in accordance with the Work Plan/Scope of Work, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 02, January 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 01, April 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 01, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, September 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 03, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, June 2018), the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) Quality Systems Manual (QSM) for Environmental Laboratories, Version 5.3 (2019), the DoD General Validation Guidelines (November 2019), and the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) Data Validation Guidelines Module 1: Data Validation Procedure for Organic Analysis by GC/MS (May 2020). Where specific guidance was not available, the data has been evaluated in a conservative manner consistent with industry standards using professional experience.

The analyses were performed by the following method:

Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs) which are Benzene, Toluene, Ethylbenzene, and Xylenes (BTEX) by Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) SW 846 Method 8260B

All sample results were subjected to Stage 2B data validation, which comprises an evaluation of quality control (QC) summary results.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers utilized during data validation:

- J+ (Estimated, High Bias): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated, displaying high bias, due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- J- (Estimated, Low Bias): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated, displaying low bias, due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- J (Estimated, Bias Indeterminate): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated due to non-conformances discovered during data validation. Bias is indeterminate.
- U (Non-detected): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the analyte should be considered non-detected due to the presence of contaminants detected in the associated blank(s).
- UJ (Non-detected estimated): The analyte was not detected and the associated numerical value is approximate.
- X (Exclusion of data recommended): The sample results (including non-detects) were affected by serious deficiencies in the ability to analyze the sample and to meet published method and project quality control criteria. The presence or absence of the analyte cannot be substantiated by the data provided. Exclusion of the data is recommended.
- NA (Not Applicable): The non-conformance discovered during data validation demonstrates a high bias, while the affected analyte in the associated sample(s) was reported as not detected by the laboratory and did not warrant the qualification of the data.

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

## Qualification Code Reference

- a ICP Serial Dilution %D was not within control limits.
- b Presumed contamination from preparation (method blank).
- c Calibration %RSD, r, r<sup>2</sup>, %D or %R was noncompliant.
- d The analysis with this flag should not be used because another more technically sound analysis is available.
- e MS/MSD or Duplicate RPD was high.
- f Presumed contamination from FB or ER.
- g ICP ICS results were unsatisfactory.
- h Holding times were exceeded.
- i Internal standard performance was unsatisfactory.
- k Estimated Maximum Possible Concentration (HRGC/HRMS only)
- l LCS/LCSD %R was not within control limits.
- m Result exceeded the calibration range.
- o Cooler temperature or temperature blank was noncompliant and/or sample custody problems.
- p RPD between two columns was high (GC only).
- q MS/MSD recovery was not within control limits.
- s Surrogate recovery was not within control limits.
- t Presumed contamination from trip blank.
- v Unusual problems found with the data not defined elsewhere. Description of the problem can be found in the validation report.
- w LCS/LCSD RPD was high.
- y Chemical recovery was not within control limits (Radiochemistry only).

## **I. Sample Receipt and Technical Holding Times**

All samples were received in good condition and cooler temperatures upon receipt met validation criteria.

All technical holding time requirements were met.

## **II. GC/MS Instrument Performance Check**

A bromofluorobenzene (BFB) tune was performed at 12 hour intervals.

All ion abundance requirements were met.

## **III. Initial Calibration and Initial Calibration Verification**

An initial calibration was performed as required by the method.

The percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) were less than or equal to 15.0% for all analytes.

Average relative response factors (RRF) for all analytes were within validation criteria.

The percent differences (%D) of the initial calibration verification (ICV) standard were less than or equal to 20.0% for all analytes.

## **IV. Continuing Calibration**

Continuing calibration was performed at the required frequencies.

The percent differences (%D) were less than or equal to 20.0% for all analytes.

The percent differences (%D) of the ending continuing calibration verifications (CCVs) were less than or equal to 50.0% for all analytes.

All of the continuing calibration relative response factors (RRF) were within validation criteria.

## **V. Laboratory Blanks**

Laboratory blanks were analyzed as required by the method. No contaminants were found in the laboratory blanks.

## **VI. Field Blanks**

Sample ERH1838 was identified as a trip blank. No contaminants were found.

## **VII. Surrogates**

Surrogates were added to all samples as required by the method. All surrogate recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

## **VIII. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates**

The laboratory has indicated that there were no matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyses specified for the samples in this SDG, and therefore matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate analyses were not performed for this SDG.

## **IX. Laboratory Control Samples**

Laboratory control samples (LCS) and laboratory control samples duplicates (LCSD) were analyzed as required by the method. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits. Relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

## **X. Field Duplicates**

Samples ERH1839 and ERH1841 were identified as field duplicates. No results were detected in any of the samples.

## **XI. Internal Standards**

All internal standard areas and retention times were within QC limits.

## **XII. Target Analyte Quantitation**

Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

## **XIII. Target Analyte Identification**

Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

## **XIV. System Performance**

Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

## **XV. Overall Assessment of Data**

The analysis was conducted within all specifications of the method. No results were rejected or recommended for exclusion in this SDG.

**Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126  
Volatiles - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 97924**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

**Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126  
Volatiles - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 97924**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

**Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126  
Volatiles - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 97924**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

LDC #: 53484H1a  
 SDG #: 97924  
 Laboratory: APPL, Inc., Clovis, CA

**VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET**  
 Stage 2B

Date: 3/21/22  
 Page: 1 of 1  
 Reviewer: [Signature]  
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

**METHOD:** GC/MS Volatiles (BTEX) (EPA SW-846 Method 8260B)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

|       | Validation Area                        |     | Comments            |
|-------|--|-----|---------------------|
| I.    | Sample receipt/Technical holding times | A Δ |                     |
| II.   | GC/MS Instrument performance check     | A   |                     |
| III.  | Initial calibration/ICV                | A A | % PSD ≤ 15 ICV ≤ 20 |
| IV.   | Continuing calibration <i>tending</i>  | Δ   | CCV ≤ 20/50         |
| V.    | Laboratory Blanks                      | Δ   |                     |
| VI.   | Field blanks                           | ND  | TB = 1              |
| VII.  | Surrogate spikes                       | Δ   |                     |
| VIII. | Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates   | N   | CS                  |
| IX.   | Laboratory control samples             | A   | LES 10              |
| X.    | Field duplicates                       | ND  | D = 2, 3            |
| XI.   | Internal standards                     | Δ   |                     |
| XII.  | Target analyte quantitation            | N   |                     |
| XIII. | Target analyte identification          | N   |                     |
| XIV.  | System performance                     | N   |                     |
| XV.   | Overall assessment of data             | Δ   |                     |

Note: A = Acceptable      ND = No compounds detected      D = Duplicate      SB = Source blank  
 N = Not provided/applicable      R = Rinsate      TB = Trip blank      OTHER:  
 SW = See worksheet      FB = Field blank      EB = Equipment blank

|   | Client ID  | Lab ID  | Matrix | Date     |
|---|------------|---------|--------|----------|
| 1 | ERH1838 TB | BA43831 | Water  | 10/20/21 |
| 2 | ERH1839 D  | BA43832 | Water  | 10/20/21 |
| 3 | ERH1841 D  | BA43833 | Water  | 10/20/21 |
| 4 |            |         |        |          |
| 5 |            |         |        |          |
| 6 |            |         |        |          |
| 7 |            |         |        |          |
| 8 |            |         |        |          |
| 9 |            |         |        |          |

Notes:

|          |  |  |  |  |
|----------|--|--|--|--|
| 211026BM |  |  |  |  |
|          |  |  |  |  |
|          |  |  |  |  |
|          |  |  |  |  |

## Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc. Data Validation Report

**Project/Site Name:** Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126

**LDC Report Date:** March 27, 2022

**Parameters:** Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons

**Validation Level:** Stage 2B

**Laboratory:** APPL, Inc., Clovis, CA

**Sample Delivery Group (SDG):** 97924

| <b>Sample Identification</b> | <b>Laboratory Sample Identification</b> | <b>Matrix</b> | <b>Collection Date</b> |
|------------------------------|---|---------------|------------------------|
| ERH1839                      | BA43832                                 | Water         | 10/20/21               |
| ERH1841                      | BA43833                                 | Water         | 10/20/21               |



## Introduction

This Data Validation Report (DVR) presents data validation findings and results for the associated samples listed on the cover page. Data validation was performed in accordance with the Work Plan/Scope of Work, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 02, January 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 01, April 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 01, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, September 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 03, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, June 2018), the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) Quality Systems Manual (QSM) for Environmental Laboratories, Version 5.3 (2019), the DoD General Validation Guidelines (November 2019), and the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) Data Validation Guidelines Module 1: Data Validation Procedure for Organic Analysis by GC/MS (May 2020). Where specific guidance was not available, the data has been evaluated in a conservative manner consistent with industry standards using professional experience.

The analyses were performed by the following method:

Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAHs) which are 1-Methylnaphthalene, 2-Methylnaphthalene, and Naphthalene by Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) SW 846 Method 8270D in Selected Ion Monitoring (SIM) mode

All sample results were subjected to Stage 2B data validation, which comprises an evaluation of quality control (QC) summary results. Samples appended with a double asterisk on the cover page were subjected to Stage 4 data validation, which is comprised of the QC summary forms as well as the raw data, to confirm sample quantitation and identification.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers utilized during data validation:

- J+ (Estimated, High Bias): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated, displaying high bias, due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- J- (Estimated, Low Bias): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated, displaying low bias, due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- J (Estimated, Bias Indeterminate): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated due to non-conformances discovered during data validation. Bias is indeterminate.
- U (Non-detected): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the analyte should be considered non-detected due to the presence of contaminants detected in the associated blank(s).
- UJ (Non-detected estimated): The analyte was not detected and the associated numerical value is approximate.
- X (Exclusion of data recommended): The sample results (including non-detects) were affected by serious deficiencies in the ability to analyze the sample and to meet published method and project quality control criteria. The presence or absence of the analyte cannot be substantiated by the data provided. Exclusion of the data is recommended.
- NA (Not Applicable): The non-conformance discovered during data validation demonstrates a high bias, while the affected analyte in the associated sample(s) was reported as not detected by the laboratory and did not warrant the qualification of the data.

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

## Qualification Code Reference

- a ICP Serial Dilution %D was not within control limits.
- b Presumed contamination from preparation (method blank).
- c Calibration %RSD, r, r<sup>2</sup>, %D or %R was noncompliant.
- d The analysis with this flag should not be used because another more technically sound analysis is available.
- e MS/MSD or Duplicate RPD was high.
- f Presumed contamination from FB or ER.
- g ICP ICS results were unsatisfactory.
- h Holding times were exceeded.
- i Internal standard performance was unsatisfactory.
- k Estimated Maximum Possible Concentration (HRGC/HRMS only)
- l LCS/LCSD %R was not within control limits.
- m Result exceeded the calibration range.
- o Cooler temperature or temperature blank was noncompliant and/or sample custody problems.
- p RPD between two columns was high (GC only).
- q MS/MSD recovery was not within control limits.
- s Surrogate recovery was not within control limits.
- t Presumed contamination from trip blank.
- v Unusual problems found with the data not defined elsewhere. Description of the problem can be found in the validation report.
- w LCS/LCSD RPD was high.
- y Chemical recovery was not within control limits (Radiochemistry only).

## **I. Sample Receipt and Technical Holding Times**

All samples were received in good condition and cooler temperatures upon receipt met validation criteria.

All technical holding time requirements were met.

## **II. GC/MS Instrument Performance Check**

A decafluorotriphenylphosphine (DFTPP) tune was performed at 12 hour intervals.

All ion abundance requirements were met.

## **III. Initial Calibration and Initial Calibration Verification**

An initial calibration was performed as required by the method.

The percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) were less than or equal to 15.0% for all analytes.

Average relative response factors (RRF) for all analytes were within validation criteria.

The percent differences (%D) of the initial calibration verification (ICV) standard were less than or equal to 20.0% for all analytes.

## **IV. Continuing Calibration**

Continuing calibration was performed at the required frequencies.

The percent differences (%D) were less than or equal to 20.0% for all analytes.

The percent differences (%D) of the ending continuing calibration verifications (CCVs) were less than or equal to 50.0% for all analytes.

All of the continuing calibration relative response factors (RRF) were within validation criteria.

## **V. Laboratory Blanks**

Laboratory blanks were analyzed as required by the method. No contaminants were found in the laboratory blanks.

## **VI. Field Blanks**

No field blanks were identified in this SDG.

## **VII. Surrogates**

Surrogates were added to all samples as required by the method. All surrogate recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

## **VIII. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates**

The laboratory has indicated that there were no matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyses specified for the samples in this SDG, and therefore matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate analyses were not performed for this SDG.

## **IX. Laboratory Control Samples**

Laboratory control samples (LCS) and laboratory control samples duplicates (LCSD) were analyzed as required by the method. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits. Relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

## **X. Field Duplicates**

Samples ERH1839 and ERH1841 were identified as field duplicates. No results were detected in any of the samples.

## **XI. Internal Standards**

All internal standard areas and retention times were within QC limits.

## **XII. Target Analyte Quantitation**

Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

## **XIII. Target Analyte Identification**

Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

## **XIV. System Performance**

Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

## **XV. Overall Assessment of Data**

The analysis was conducted within all specifications of the method. No results were rejected or recommended for exclusion in this SDG.

**Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126  
Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 97924**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

**Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126  
Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification  
Summary - SDG 97924**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

**Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126  
Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary -  
SDG 97924**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

LDC #: 53484H2b

**VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET**

SDG #: 97924

Stage 2B

Laboratory: APPL, Inc., Clovis, CA

Date: 3/21/22

Page: 1 of 1

Reviewer: [Signature]

2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

**METHOD:** GC/MS Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons (EPA SW-846 Method 8270D-SIM)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

|       | Validation Area                        |      | Comments              |
|-------|--|------|-----------------------|
| I.    | Sample receipt/Technical holding times | Δ, Δ |                       |
| II.   | GC/MS Instrument performance check     | Δ    |                       |
| III.  | Initial calibration/ICV                | Δ, A | % PSD ≤ 15    KW ≤ 20 |
| IV.   | Continuing calibration / ending        | A    | CCV ≤ 20/50           |
| V.    | Laboratory Blanks                      | Δ    |                       |
| VI.   | Field blanks                           | N    |                       |
| VII.  | Surrogate spikes                       | A    |                       |
| VIII. | Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates   | N    | CS                    |
| IX.   | Laboratory control samples             | Δ    | was 1D                |
| X.    | Field duplicates                       | ND   | D = 1, 2              |
| XI.   | Internal standards                     | Δ    |                       |
| XII.  | Target analyte quantitation            | N    |                       |
| XIII. | Target analyte identification          | N    |                       |
| XIV.  | System performance                     | N    |                       |
| XV.   | Overall assessment of data             | Δ    |                       |

Note: A = Acceptable  
 N = Not provided/applicable  
 SW = See worksheet

ND = No compounds detected  
 R = Rinsate  
 FB = Field blank

D = Duplicate  
 TB = Trip blank  
 EB = Equipment blank

SB=Source blank  
 OTHER:

|   | Client ID | Lab ID  | Matrix | Date     |
|---|-----------|---------|--------|----------|
| 1 | ERH1839 D | BA43832 | Water  | 10/20/21 |
| 2 | ERH1841 D | BA43833 | Water  | 10/20/21 |
| 3 |           |         |        |          |
| 4 |           |         |        |          |
| 5 |           |         |        |          |
| 6 |           |         |        |          |
| 7 |           |         |        |          |
| 8 |           |         |        |          |
| 9 |           |         |        |          |

Notes:

|              |  |  |  |  |
|--------------|--|--|--|--|
| 211022A-B1/L |  |  |  |  |
|              |  |  |  |  |
|              |  |  |  |  |
|              |  |  |  |  |

## Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc. Data Validation Report

**Project/Site Name:** Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126

**LDC Report Date:** March 28, 2022

**Parameters:** Total Organic Carbon

**Validation Level:** Stage 2B

**Laboratory:** APPL, Inc., Clovis, CA

**Sample Delivery Group (SDG):** 97924

| <b>Sample Identification</b> | <b>Laboratory Sample Identification</b> | <b>Matrix</b> | <b>Collection Date</b> |
|------------------------------|---|---------------|------------------------|
| ERH1839                      | BA43832                                 | Water         | 10/20/21               |



## Introduction

This Data Validation Report (DVR) presents data validation findings and results for the associated samples listed on the cover page. Data validation was performed in accordance with the Work Plan/Scope of Work, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 02, January 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 01, April 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 01, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, September 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 03, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, June 2018), the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) Quality Systems Manual (QSM) for Environmental Laboratories, Version 5.3 (2019), and the DoD General Validation Guidelines (November 2019). Where specific guidance was not available, the data has been evaluated in a conservative manner consistent with industry standards using professional experience.

The analyses were performed by the following method:

Total Organic Carbon by Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) SW 846 Method 9060A

All sample results were subjected to Stage 2B data validation, which comprises an evaluation of quality control (QC) summary results.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers utilized during data validation:

- J+ (Estimated, High Bias): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated, displaying high bias, due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- J- (Estimated, Low Bias): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated, displaying low bias, due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- J (Estimated, Bias Indeterminate): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated due to non-conformances discovered during data validation. Bias is indeterminate.
- U (Non-detected): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the analyte should be considered non-detected due to the presence of contaminants detected in the associated blank(s).
- UJ (Non-detected estimated): The analyte was not detected and the associated numerical value is approximate.
- X (Exclusion of data recommended): The sample results (including non-detects) were affected by serious deficiencies in the ability to analyze the sample and to meet published method and project quality control criteria. The presence or absence of the analyte cannot be substantiated by the data provided. Exclusion of the data is recommended.
- NA (Not Applicable): The non-conformance discovered during data validation demonstrates a high bias, while the affected analyte in the associated sample(s) was reported as not detected by the laboratory and did not warrant the qualification of the data.

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

## Qualification Code Reference

- a ICP Serial Dilution %D was not within control limits.
- b Presumed contamination from preparation (method blank).
- c Calibration %RSD, r, r<sup>2</sup>, %D or %R was noncompliant.
- d The analysis with this flag should not be used because another more technically sound analysis is available.
- e MS/MSD or Duplicate RPD was high.
- f Presumed contamination from FB or ER.
- g ICP ICS results were unsatisfactory.
- h Holding times were exceeded.
- i Internal standard performance was unsatisfactory.
- k Estimated Maximum Possible Concentration (HRGC/HRMS only)
- l LCS/LCSD %R was not within control limits.
- m Result exceeded the calibration range.
- o Cooler temperature or temperature blank was noncompliant and/or sample custody problems.
- p RPD between two columns was high (GC only).
- q MS/MSD recovery was not within control limits.
- s Surrogate recovery was not within control limits.
- t Presumed contamination from trip blank.
- v Unusual problems found with the data not defined elsewhere. Description of the problem can be found in the validation report.
- w LCS/LCSD RPD was high.
- y Chemical recovery was not within control limits (Radiochemistry only).

## **I. Sample Receipt and Technical Holding Times**

All samples were received in good condition.

All technical holding time requirements were met.

## **II. Initial Calibration**

All criteria for the initial calibration were met.

## **III. Continuing Calibration**

Continuing calibration frequency and analysis criteria were met.

## **IV. Laboratory Blanks**

Laboratory blanks were analyzed as required by the method. No contaminants were found in the laboratory blanks.

## **V. Field Blanks**

No field blanks were identified in this SDG.

## **VI. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates**

The laboratory has indicated that there were no matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyses specified for the samples in this SDG, and therefore matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate analyses were not performed for this SDG.

## **VII. Duplicate Sample Analysis**

The laboratory has indicated that there were no duplicate (DUP) analyses specified for the samples in this SDG, and therefore duplicate analyses were not performed for this SDG.

## **VIII. Laboratory Control Samples**

Laboratory control samples (LCS) and laboratory control samples duplicates (LCSD) were analyzed as required by the method. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits. Relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

## **IX. Field Duplicates**

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

## **X. Target Analyte Quantitation**

Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

## **XI. Overall Assessment of Data**

The analysis was conducted within all specifications of the method. No results were rejected or recommended for exclusion in this SDG.

**Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126**  
**Total Organic Carbon - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 97924**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

**Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126**  
**Total Organic Carbon - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 97924**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

**Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126**  
**Total Organic Carbon - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 97924**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

LDC #: 53484H6

### VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET

Date: 3/17/22

SDG #: 97924

Stage 2B

Page: 1 of 1

Laboratory: APPL, Inc., Clovis, CA

Reviewer: ATL

2nd Reviewer: T

**METHOD: (Analyte) TOC (EPA SW-846 Method 9060A)**

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

|       | Validation Area                        |     | Comments |
|-------|--|-----|----------|
| I.    | Sample receipt/Technical holding times | A/A |          |
| II    | Initial calibration                    | A   |          |
| III.  | Calibration verification               | A   |          |
| IV    | Laboratory Blanks                      | A   |          |
| V     | Field blanks                           | N   |          |
| VI.   | Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates   | N   | C.S      |
| VII.  | Duplicate sample analysis              | N   |          |
| VIII. | Laboratory control samples             | A   | LCS/LCSD |
| IX.   | Field duplicates                       | N   |          |
| X.    | Target Analyte Quantitation            | N   |          |
| XI.   | Overall assessment of data             | A   |          |

Note: A = Acceptable  
N = Not provided/applicable  
SW = See worksheet

ND = No compounds detected  
R = Rinsate  
FB = Field blank

D = Duplicate  
TB = Trip blank  
EB = Equipment blank

SB=Source blank  
OTHER:

|    | Client ID | Lab ID  | Matrix | Date     |
|----|-----------|---------|--------|----------|
| 1  | ERH1839   | BA43832 | Water  | 10/20/21 |
| 2  |           |         |        |          |
| 3  |           |         |        |          |
| 4  |           |         |        |          |
| 5  |           |         |        |          |
| 6  |           |         |        |          |
| 7  |           |         |        |          |
| 8  |           |         |        |          |
| 9  |           |         |        |          |
| 10 |           |         |        |          |
| 11 |           |         |        |          |
| 12 |           |         |        |          |
| 13 |           |         |        |          |
| 14 |           |         |        |          |
| 15 |           |         |        |          |

Notes: \_\_\_\_\_

## Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc. Data Validation Report

**Project/Site Name:** Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126

**LDC Report Date:** March 28, 2022

**Parameters:** Gasoline Range Organics

**Validation Level:** Stage 2B

**Laboratory:** APPL, Inc., Clovis, CA

**Sample Delivery Group (SDG):** 97924

| <b>Sample Identification</b> | <b>Laboratory Sample Identification</b> | <b>Matrix</b> | <b>Collection Date</b> |
|------------------------------|---|---------------|------------------------|
| ERH1838                      | BA43831                                 | Water         | 10/20/21               |
| ERH1839                      | BA43832                                 | Water         | 10/20/21               |
| ERH1841                      | BA43833                                 | Water         | 10/20/21               |



## Introduction

This Data Validation Report (DVR) presents data validation findings and results for the associated samples listed on the cover page. Data validation was performed in accordance with the Work Plan/Scope of Work, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 02, January 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 01, April 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 01, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, September 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 03, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, June 2018), the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) Quality Systems Manual (QSM) for Environmental Laboratories, Version 5.3 (2019), the DoD General Validation Guidelines (November 2019), and the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) Data Validation Guidelines Module 1: Data Validation Procedure for Organic Analysis by GC/MS (May 2020). Where specific guidance was not available, the data has been evaluated in a conservative manner consistent with industry standards using professional experience.

The analyses were performed by the following method:

Gasoline Range Organics by Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) SW 846 Method 8260B

All sample results were subjected to Stage 2B data validation, which comprises an evaluation of quality control (QC) summary results.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers utilized during data validation:

- J+ (Estimated, High Bias): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated, displaying high bias, due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- J- (Estimated, Low Bias): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated, displaying low bias, due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- J (Estimated, Bias Indeterminate): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated due to non-conformances discovered during data validation. Bias is indeterminate.
- U (Non-detected): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the analyte should be considered non-detected due to the presence of contaminants detected in the associated blank(s).
- UJ (Non-detected estimated): The analyte was not detected and the associated numerical value is approximate.
- X (Exclusion of data recommended): The sample results (including non-detects) were affected by serious deficiencies in the ability to analyze the sample and to meet published method and project quality control criteria. The presence or absence of the analyte cannot be substantiated by the data provided. Exclusion of the data is recommended.
- NA (Not Applicable): The non-conformance discovered during data validation demonstrates a high bias, while the affected analyte in the associated sample(s) was reported as not detected by the laboratory and did not warrant the qualification of the data.

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

## Qualification Code Reference

- a ICP Serial Dilution %D was not within control limits.
- b Presumed contamination from preparation (method blank).
- c Calibration %RSD, r, r<sup>2</sup>, %D or %R was noncompliant.
- d The analysis with this flag should not be used because another more technically sound analysis is available.
- e MS/MSD or Duplicate RPD was high.
- f Presumed contamination from FB or ER.
- g ICP ICS results were unsatisfactory.
- h Holding times were exceeded.
- i Internal standard performance was unsatisfactory.
- k Estimated Maximum Possible Concentration (HRGC/HRMS only)
- l LCS/LCSD %R was not within control limits.
- m Result exceeded the calibration range.
- o Cooler temperature or temperature blank was noncompliant and/or sample custody problems.
- p RPD between two columns was high (GC only).
- q MS/MSD recovery was not within control limits.
- s Surrogate recovery was not within control limits.
- t Presumed contamination from trip blank.
- v Unusual problems found with the data not defined elsewhere. Description of the problem can be found in the validation report.
- w LCS/LCSD RPD was high.
- y Chemical recovery was not within control limits (Radiochemistry only).

## **I. Sample Receipt and Technical Holding Times**

All samples were received in good condition and cooler temperatures upon receipt met validation criteria.

All technical holding time requirements were met.

## **II. GC/MS Instrument Performance Check**

Instrument performance check was performed at the required frequency.

All ion abundance requirements were met.

## **III. Initial Calibration and Initial Calibration Verification**

An initial calibration was performed as required by the method.

A curve fit, based on the initial calibration, was established for quantitation. The coefficient of determination ( $r^2$ ) was greater than or equal to 0.990.

The percent differences (%D) of the initial calibration verification (ICV) standard were less than or equal to 20.0% for all analytes.

## **IV. Continuing Calibration**

Continuing calibration was performed at the required frequencies.

The percent differences (%D) were less than or equal to 20.0% for all analytes.

The percent differences (%D) of the ending continuing calibration verifications (CCVs) were less than or equal to 20.0% for all analytes.

## **V. Laboratory Blanks**

Laboratory blanks were analyzed as required by the method. No contaminants were found in the laboratory blanks.

## **VI. Field Blanks**

Sample ERH1838 was identified as a trip blank. No contaminants were found.

## **VII. Surrogates**

Surrogates were added to all samples as required by the method. All surrogate recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

### **VIII. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates**

The laboratory has indicated that there were no matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyses specified for the samples in this SDG, and therefore matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate analyses were not performed for this SDG.

### **IX. Laboratory Control Samples**

Laboratory control samples (LCS) and laboratory control samples duplicates (LCSD) were analyzed as required by the method. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits. Relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

### **X. Field Duplicates**

Samples ERH1839 and ERH1841 were identified as field duplicates. No results were detected in any of the samples.

### **XI. Internal Standards**

All internal standard areas and retention times were within QC limits.

### **XII. Target Analyte Quantitation**

Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

### **XIII. Target Analyte Identification**

Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

### **XIV. System Performance**

Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

### **XV. Overall Assessment of Data**

The analysis was conducted within all specifications of the method. No results were rejected or recommended for exclusion in this SDG.

**Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126  
Gasoline Range Organics - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 97924**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

**Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126  
Gasoline Range Organics - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG  
97924**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

**Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126  
Gasoline Range Organics - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 97924**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

LDC #: 53484H7

**VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET**

Date: 3/21/22

SDG #: 97924

Stage 2B

Page: 1 of 1

Laboratory: APPL, Inc., Clovis, CA

Reviewer: [Signature]

2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

**METHOD:** GC/MS Gasoline Range Organics (EPA SW-846 Method 8260B)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

|       | Validation Area                        |       | Comments             |
|-------|--|-------|----------------------|
| I.    | Sample receipt/Technical holding times | A/A   |                      |
| II.   | GC/MS Instrument performance check     | A     |                      |
| III.  | Initial calibration/ICV                | A/A   | 12<br>ICV ≤ 20       |
| IV.   | Continuing calibration                 | A     | ending<br>CW ≤ 20/20 |
| V.    | Laboratory Blanks                      | A     |                      |
| VI.   | Field blanks                           | ND    | TB = 1               |
| VII.  | Surrogate spikes                       | A     |                      |
| VIII. | Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates   | N     | CS                   |
| IX.   | Laboratory control samples             | A     | 102 110              |
| X.    | Field duplicates                       | ND SW | D = 2, 3             |
| XI.   | Internal standards                     | A     |                      |
| XII.  | Target analyte quantitation            | N     |                      |
| XIII. | Target analyte identification          | N     |                      |
| XIV.  | System performance                     | N     |                      |
| XV.   | Overall assessment of data             | A     |                      |

Note: A = Acceptable  
N = Not provided/applicable  
SW = See worksheet

ND = No compounds detected  
R = Rinsate  
FB = Field blank

D = Duplicate  
TB = Trip blank  
EB = Equipment blank

SB=Source blank  
OTHER:

|   | Client ID  | Lab ID  | Matrix | Date     |
|---|------------|---------|--------|----------|
| 1 | ERH1838 TB | BA43831 | Water  | 10/20/21 |
| 2 | ERH1839 D  | BA43832 | Water  | 10/20/21 |
| 3 | ERH1841 D  | BA43833 | Water  | 10/20/21 |
| 4 |            |         |        |          |
| 5 |            |         |        |          |
| 6 |            |         |        |          |
| 7 |            |         |        |          |
| 8 |            |         |        |          |
| 9 |            |         |        |          |

Notes:

|           |  |  |  |  |
|-----------|--|--|--|--|
| 211026 BM |  |  |  |  |
|           |  |  |  |  |
|           |  |  |  |  |
|           |  |  |  |  |

## Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc. Data Validation Report

**Project/Site Name:** Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126  
**LDC Report Date:** March 28, 2022  
**Parameters:** Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Extractables  
**Validation Level:** Stage 2B  
**Laboratory:** APPL, Inc., Clovis, CA  
**Sample Delivery Group (SDG):** 97924

| <b>Sample Identification</b> | <b>Laboratory Sample Identification</b> | <b>Matrix</b> | <b>Collection Date</b> |
|------------------------------|---|---------------|------------------------|
| ERH1839                      | BA43832                                 | Water         | 10/20/21               |
| ERH1841                      | BA43833                                 | Water         | 10/20/21               |
| ERH1839(SGCU)                | BA43832(SGCU)                           | Water         | 10/20/21               |
| ERH1841(SGCU)                | BA43833(SGCU)                           | Water         | 10/20/21               |

Samples appended with SGCU underwent "Silica Gel Clean Up"



## Introduction

This Data Validation Report (DVR) presents data validation findings and results for the associated samples listed on the cover page. Data validation was performed in accordance with the Work Plan/Scope of Work, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 02, January 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 01, April 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 01, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, September 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 03, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, June 2018), the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) Quality Systems Manual (QSM) for Environmental Laboratories, Version 5.3 (2019), the DoD General Validation Guidelines (November 2019), and the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) Data Validation Guidelines Module 4: Data Validation Procedure for Organic Analysis by GC (March 2021). Where specific guidance was not available, the data has been evaluated in a conservative manner consistent with industry standards using professional experience.

The analyses were performed by the following method:

Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (TPH) as Extractables by Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) SW 846 Method 8015B

All sample results were subjected to Stage 2B data validation, which comprises an evaluation of quality control (QC) summary results.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers utilized during data validation:

- J+ (Estimated, High Bias): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated, displaying high bias, due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- J- (Estimated, Low Bias): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated, displaying low bias, due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- J (Estimated, Bias Indeterminate): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated due to non-conformances discovered during data validation. Bias is indeterminate.
- U (Non-detected): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the analyte should be considered non-detected due to the presence of contaminants detected in the associated blank(s).
- UJ (Non-detected estimated): The analyte was not detected and the associated numerical value is approximate.
- X (Exclusion of data recommended): The sample results (including non-detects) were affected by serious deficiencies in the ability to analyze the sample and to meet published method and project quality control criteria. The presence or absence of the analyte cannot be substantiated by the data provided. Exclusion of the data is recommended.
- NA (Not Applicable): The non-conformance discovered during data validation demonstrates a high bias, while the affected analyte in the associated sample(s) was reported as not detected by the laboratory and did not warrant the qualification of the data.

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

## Qualification Code Reference

- a ICP Serial Dilution %D was not within control limits.
- b Presumed contamination from preparation (method blank).
- c Calibration %RSD, r, r<sup>2</sup>, %D or %R was noncompliant.
- d The analysis with this flag should not be used because another more technically sound analysis is available.
- e MS/MSD or Duplicate RPD was high.
- f Presumed contamination from FB or ER.
- g ICP ICS results were unsatisfactory.
- h Holding times were exceeded.
- i Internal standard performance was unsatisfactory.
- k Estimated Maximum Possible Concentration (HRGC/HRMS only)
- l LCS/LCSD %R was not within control limits.
- m Result exceeded the calibration range.
- o Cooler temperature or temperature blank was noncompliant and/or sample custody problems.
- p RPD between two columns was high (GC only).
- q MS/MSD recovery was not within control limits.
- s Surrogate recovery was not within control limits.
- t Presumed contamination from trip blank.
- v Unusual problems found with the data not defined elsewhere. Description of the problem can be found in the validation report.
- w LCS/LCSD RPD was high.
- y Chemical recovery was not within control limits (Radiochemistry only).

## I. Sample Receipt and Technical Holding Times

All samples were received in good condition and cooler temperatures upon receipt met validation criteria.

All technical holding time requirements were met.

## II. Initial Calibration and Initial Calibration Verification

An initial calibration was performed as required by the method.

Where average calibration factors were utilized, percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) were less than or equal to 20.0%.

In the case where the laboratory used a calibration curve to evaluate the analytes, all coefficients of determination ( $r^2$ ) were greater than or equal to 0.990.

The percent differences (%D) of the initial calibration verification (ICV) standard were less than or equal to 20.0% for all analytes.

## III. Continuing Calibration

Continuing calibration was performed at the required frequencies.

The percent differences (%D) were less than or equal to 20.0% for all analytes.

The percent differences (%D) of the ending continuing calibration verifications (CCVs) were less than or equal to 20.0% for all analytes.

## IV. Laboratory Blanks

Laboratory blanks were analyzed as required by the method. No contaminants were found in the laboratory blanks with the following exceptions:

| Blank ID    | Extraction Date | Analyte       | Concentration | Associated Samples |
|-------------|-----------------|---------------|---------------|--------------------|
| 211022A-BLK | 10/22/21        | Oil (C24-C40) | 160 ug/L      | ERH1839<br>ERH1841 |

Sample concentrations were compared to concentrations detected in the laboratory blanks. The sample concentrations were either not detected or were significantly greater (>5X blank contaminants) than the concentrations found in the associated laboratory blanks.

## V. Field Blanks

No field blanks were identified in this SDG.

## VI. Surrogates

Surrogates were added to all samples as required by the method. All surrogate recoveries (%R) were within QC limits with the following exceptions:

| Sample        | Surrogate       | %R (Limits)   | Affected Analyte | Flag                 | A or P |
|---------------|-----------------|---------------|------------------|----------------------|--------|
| ERH1841(SGCU) | ortho-Terphenyl | 52.0 (56-125) | All analytes     | UJ (all non-detects) | P      |

## VII. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

The laboratory has indicated that there were no matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyses specified for the samples in this SDG, and therefore matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate analyses were not performed for this SDG.

## VIII. Laboratory Control Samples

Laboratory control samples (LCS) and laboratory control samples duplicates (LCSD) were analyzed as required by the method. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits. Relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

## IX. Field Duplicates

Samples ERH1839 and ERH1841 were identified as field duplicates. No results were detected in any of the samples.

## X. Target Analyte Quantitation

Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

## XI. Target Analyte Identification

Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

## XII. Overall Assessment of Data

The analysis was conducted within all specifications of the method. No results were rejected or recommended for exclusion in this SDG.

Due to surrogate %R, data were qualified as estimated in one sample.

**Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126  
 Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Extractables - Data Qualification Summary -  
 SDG 97924**

| Sample        | Analyte      | Flag                 | A or P | Reason (Code)       |
|---------------|--------------|----------------------|--------|---------------------|
| ERH1841(SGCU) | All analytes | UJ (all non-detects) | P      | Surrogates (%R) (s) |

**Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126  
 Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Extractables - Laboratory Blank Data  
 Qualification Summary - SDG 97924**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

**Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126  
 Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Extractables - Field Blank Data Qualification  
 Summary - SDG 97924**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

LDC #: 53484H8  
 SDG #: 97924  
 Laboratory: APPL, Inc., Clovis, CA

**VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET**

Stage 2B

Date: 3/21/22  
 Page: 1 of 1  
 Reviewer: E7  
 2nd Reviewer: E

**METHOD:** GC TPH as Extractables (EPA SW-846 Method 8015B)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

|       | Validation Area                        |     | Comments                           |
|-------|--|-----|------------------------------------|
| I.    | Sample receipt/Technical holding times | A/A |                                    |
| II.   | Initial calibration/ICV                | A/A | % PSD ≤ 20, √ <sup>2</sup> CV ≤ 20 |
| III.  | Continuing calibration                 | A   | CV ≤ 20 / 20                       |
| IV.   | Laboratory Blanks                      | SW  |                                    |
| V.    | Field blanks                           | N   |                                    |
| VI.   | Surrogate spikes                       | SW  |                                    |
| VII.  | Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates   | N   | CS                                 |
| VIII. | Laboratory control samples             | A   | LCB ID                             |
| IX.   | Field duplicates                       | D   | = 1, 2                             |
| X.    | Target analyte quantitation            | N   |                                    |
| XI.   | Target analyte identification          | N   |                                    |
| XII.  | Overall assessment of data             | A   |                                    |

Note: A = Acceptable      ND = No compounds detected      D = Duplicate      SB=Source blank  
 N = Not provided/applicable      R = Rinsate      TB = Trip blank      OTHER:  
 SW = See worksheet      FB = Field blank      EB = Equipment blank

|    | Client ID     | Lab ID        | Matrix | Date     |
|----|---------------|---------------|--------|----------|
| 1  | ERH1839 D     | BA43832       | Water  | 10/20/21 |
| 2  | ERH1841 D     | BA43833       | Water  | 10/20/21 |
| 3  | ERH1839(SGCU) | BA43832(SGCU) | Water  | 10/20/21 |
| 4  | ERH1841(SGCU) | BA43833(SGCU) | Water  | 10/20/21 |
| 5  |               |               |        |          |
| 6  |               |               |        |          |
| 7  |               |               |        |          |
| 8  |               |               |        |          |
| 9  |               |               |        |          |
| 10 |               |               |        |          |
| 11 |               |               |        |          |
| 12 |               |               |        |          |
| 13 |               |               |        |          |

Notes:

|   |              |  |  |  |  |
|---|--------------|--|--|--|--|
| + | 211022A-BIK  |  |  |  |  |
|   | 211022A1-BIK |  |  |  |  |
|   |              |  |  |  |  |
|   |              |  |  |  |  |

LDC #: 53484H8

### VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

Page: 1 of 1

#### Blanks

Reviewer: FT

METHOD:  GC  HPLC

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

- Y  N  N/A Were all samples associated with a given method blank?
- Y  N  N/A Was a method blank performed for each matrix and whenever a sample extraction procedure was performed?
- Y  N  N/A Was a method blank performed with each extraction batch?
- Y  N  N/A Were any contaminants found in the method blanks? If yes, please see findings below.

**Level IV/D Only**

Y  N  N/A (Gasoline and aromatics only) Was a method blank analyzed with each 24 hour batch?

Y  N  N/A Was a method blank analyzed for each analytical / extraction batch of ≤20 samples?

Blank extraction date: 10/22/21 Blank analysis date: 10/29/21

Associated samples: 1, 2 (ND)

Conc. units: ug/L

| Compound             | Blank ID           | Sample Identification |  |  |  |  |  |
|----------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
|                      | <u>211022A-BLK</u> |                       |  |  |  |  |  |
| <u>Oil (C24-C40)</u> | <u>160</u>         |                       |  |  |  |  |  |
|                      |                    |                       |  |  |  |  |  |
|                      |                    |                       |  |  |  |  |  |
|                      |                    |                       |  |  |  |  |  |
|                      |                    |                       |  |  |  |  |  |
|                      |                    |                       |  |  |  |  |  |

Blank extraction date: \_\_\_\_\_ Blank analysis date: \_\_\_\_\_ Associated samples: \_\_\_\_\_

Conc. units: \_\_\_\_\_

| Compound | Blank ID | Sample Identification |  |  |  |  |  |
|----------|----------|-----------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
|          |          |                       |  |  |  |  |  |
|          |          |                       |  |  |  |  |  |
|          |          |                       |  |  |  |  |  |
|          |          |                       |  |  |  |  |  |
|          |          |                       |  |  |  |  |  |
|          |          |                       |  |  |  |  |  |
|          |          |                       |  |  |  |  |  |

ALL CIRCLED RESULTS WERE NOT QUALIFIED. ALL RESULTS NOT CIRCLED WERE QUALIFIED BY THE FOLLOWING STATEMENT:  
All contaminants within five times the method blank concentration were qualified as not detected, "U".



