



LABORATORY DATA CONSULTANTS, INC.

2701 Loker Ave. West, Suite 220, Carlsbad, CA 92010 Bus: 760-827-1100 Fax: 760-827-1099

AECOM
1001 Bishop Street Suite 1600
Honolulu, HI 96813
ATTN: Ms. Alethea Ramos
alethea.ramos@aecom.com

January 5, 2022

SUBJECT: Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126 - Data Validation

Dear Ms. Ramos,

Enclosed is the final validation report for the fraction listed below. This SDG was received on December 10, 2021. Attachment 1 is a summary of the samples that were reviewed for the analysis.

LDC Project #52947:

<u>SDG #</u>	<u>Fraction</u>
98382	Total Organic Carbon (TOC)

The data validation was performed under Stage 2B validation guidelines. The analysis was validated using the following documents and variances, as applicable to the method:

- Work Plan/Scope of Work, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor - Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 02, January 2017)
- Sampling and Analysis Plan, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor - Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 01, April 2017)
- Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 01, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, September 2017)
- Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 03, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, June 2018)
- U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) Quality Systems Manual (QSM) for Environmental Laboratories, Version 5.3 (2019)
- DoD General Validation Guidelines (November 2019)
- EPA SW 846, Third Edition, Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Waste, update 1, July 1992; update IIA, August 1993; update II, September 1994; update IIB, January 1995; update III, December 1996; update IIIA, April 1998; IIIB, November 2004; update IV, February 2007; update V, July 2014; update VI, July 2018

Please feel free to contact us if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Stella Cuenco
Operations Manager/Senior Chemist
scuenco@lab-data.com

90/10 2B/4 EDD

LDC# 52947 (AECOM - Honolulu, HI / Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126)

LDC	SDG#	DATE REC'D	(2) DATE DUE	TOC (9060A)		W		S		W		S		W		S		W		S		W		S		W		S		W		S	
				W	S	W	S	W	S	W	S	W	S	W	S	W	S	W	S	W	S	W	S	W	S	W	S	W	S	W	S	W	S
A	98382	12/10/21	12/27/21	1	0																												
				1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	

**Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc.
Data Validation Report**

Project/Site Name: Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126

LDC Report Date: December 30, 2021

Parameters: Total Organic Carbon

Validation Level: Stage 2B

Laboratory: APPL, Inc., Clovis, CA

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 98382

Sample Identification	Laboratory Sample Identification	Matrix	Collection Date
ERH2016	BA47126	Water	12/02/21

Introduction

This Data Validation Report (DVR) presents data validation findings and results for the associated samples listed on the cover page. Data validation was performed in accordance with the Work Plan/Scope of Work, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 02, January 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 01, April 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 01, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, September 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 03, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, June 2018), the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) Quality Systems Manual (QSM) for Environmental Laboratories, Version 5.3 (2019), and the DoD General Validation Guidelines (November 2019). Where specific guidance was not available, the data has been evaluated in a conservative manner consistent with industry standards using professional experience.

The analyses were performed by the following method:

Total Organic Carbon by Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) SW 846 Method 9060A

All sample results were subjected to Stage 2B data validation, which comprises an evaluation of quality control (QC) summary results.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers utilized during data validation:

- J+ (Estimated, High Bias): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated, displaying high bias, due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- J- (Estimated, Low Bias): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated, displaying low bias, due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- J (Estimated, Bias Indeterminate): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated due to non-conformances discovered during data validation. Bias is indeterminate.
- U (Non-detected): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the analyte should be considered non-detected due to the presence of contaminants detected in the associated blank(s).
- UU (Non-detected estimated): The analyte was not detected and the associated numerical value is approximate.
- X (Exclusion of data recommended): The sample results (including non-detects) were affected by serious deficiencies in the ability to analyze the sample and to meet published method and project quality control criteria. The presence or absence of the analyte cannot be substantiated by the data provided. Exclusion of the data is recommended.
- NA (Not Applicable): The non-conformance discovered during data validation demonstrates a high bias, while the affected analyte in the associated sample(s) was reported as not detected by the laboratory and did not warrant the qualification of the data.

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Qualification Code Reference

- a ICP Serial Dilution %D was not within control limits.
- b Presumed contamination from preparation (method blank).
- c Calibration %RSD, r, r², %D or %R was noncompliant.
- d The analysis with this flag should not be used because another more technically sound analysis is available.
- e MS/MSD or Duplicate RPD was high.
- f Presumed contamination from FB or ER.
- g ICP ICS results were unsatisfactory.
- h Holding times were exceeded.
- i Internal standard performance was unsatisfactory.
- k Estimated Maximum Possible Concentration (HRGC/HRMS only)
- l LCS/LCSD %R was not within control limits.
- m Result exceeded the calibration range.
- o Cooler temperature or temperature blank was noncompliant and/or sample custody problems.
- p RPD between two columns was high (GC only).
- q MS/MSD recovery was not within control limits.
- s Surrogate recovery was not within control limits.
- t Presumed contamination from trip blank.
- v Unusual problems found with the data not defined elsewhere. Description of the problem can be found in the validation report.
- w LCS/LCSD RPD was high.
- y Chemical recovery was not within control limits (Radiochemistry only).

I. Sample Receipt and Technical Holding Times

All samples were received in good condition.

All technical holding time requirements were met.

II. Initial Calibration

All criteria for the initial calibration were met.

III. Continuing Calibration

Continuing calibration frequency and analysis criteria were met.

IV. Laboratory Blanks

Laboratory blanks were analyzed as required by the method. No contaminants were found in the laboratory blanks.

V. Field Blanks

No field blanks were identified in this SDG.

VI. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

The laboratory has indicated that there were no matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyses specified for the samples in this SDG, and therefore matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate analyses were not performed for this SDG.

VII. Duplicate Sample Analysis

The laboratory has indicated that there were no duplicate (DUP) analyses specified for the samples in this SDG, and therefore duplicate analyses were not performed for this SDG.

VIII. Laboratory Control Samples

Laboratory control samples (LCS) and laboratory control samples duplicates (LCSD) were analyzed as required by the method. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits. Relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

IX. Field Duplicates

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

X. Target Analyte Quantitation

Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

XI. Overall Assessment of Data

The analysis was conducted within all specifications of the method. No results were rejected or recommended for exclusion in this SDG.

**Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126
Total Organic Carbon - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 98382**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

**Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126
Total Organic Carbon - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 98382**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

**Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126
Total Organic Carbon - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 98382**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

LDC #: 52947A6

VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET

Date: 12/29/21

SDG #: 98382

Stage 2B

Page: 1 of 1

Laboratory: APPL, Inc., Clovis, CA

Reviewer: ATU
2nd Reviewer: DC

METHOD: (Analyte) TOC (EPA SW-846 Method 9060A)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Sample receipt/Technical holding times	A/A	
II	Initial calibration	A	
III.	Calibration verification	A	
IV	Laboratory Blanks	A	
V	Field blanks	N	
VI.	Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates	N	C.S
VII.	Duplicate sample analysis	N	
VIII.	Laboratory control samples	A	LCS/LCSD
IX.	Field duplicates	N	
X.	Target Analyte Quantitation	N	
XI.	Overall assessment of data	A	

Note: A = Acceptable
N = Not provided/applicable
SW = See worksheet

ND = No compounds detected
R = Rinsate
FB = Field blank

D = Duplicate
TB = Trip blank
EB = Equipment blank

SB=Source blank
OTHER:

	Client ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Date
1	ERH2016	BA47126	Water	12/02/21
2				
3				
4				
5				
6				
7				
8				
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				

Notes:

Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126 - SDG 98382
LDC 52947

AECOM

EPA_NO	LAB_ID	DF	ANALYTE	COLL_DATE	ANAL_DATE	QCLev	RESULT	UNITS	LAB_Q	LOQ	LOD	REV	Q_C
METHOD: 9060A													
ERH2016	BA47126	1	TOTAL ORGANIC CARBON	12/2/2021	12/6/2021 8:26:00 PM	3	4.5	MG_L		0.93	0.350		