

LABORATORY DATA CONSULTANTS, INC.

2701 Loker Ave. West, Suite 220, Carlsbad, CA 92010 Bus: 760-827-1100 Fax: 760-827-1099

AECOM March 23, 2022

1001 Bishop Street Suite 1600 Honolulu, HI 96813 ATTN: Ms. Alethea Ramos alethea.ramos@aecom.com

SUBJECT: Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126 - Data Validation

Dear Ms. Ramos,

Enclosed are the final validation reports for the fractions listed below. This SDG was received on December 6, 2021. Attachment 1 is a summary of the samples that were reviewed for each analysis.

LDC Project #52835:

SDG # Fraction

B21110057 Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Extractables

The data validation was performed under Stage 2B validation guidelines. The analyses were validated using the following documents and variances, as applicable to each method:

- Work Plan/Scope of Work, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor - Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 02, January 2017)
- Sampling and Analysis Plan, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor - Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 01, April 2017)
- Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 01, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, September 2017)
- Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 03, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, June 2018)
- U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) Quality Systems Manual (QSM) for Environmental Laboratories, Version 5.3 (2019)
- DoD General Validation Guidelines (November 2019)
- U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) Data Validation Guidelines Module 4: Data Validation Procedure for Organic Analysis by GC (March 2021)
- EPA SW 846, Third Edition, Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Waste, update 1, July 1992; update IIA, August 1993; update II, September 1994; update IIB, January 1995; update III, December 1996; update IIIA, April 1998; IIIB, November 2004; update IV, February 2007; update V, July 2014; update VI, July 2018

Please feel free to contact us if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Stella Cuenco

Operations Manager/Senior Chemist

scuenco@lab-data.com

	60 pages-EM												At	tachr	nent	1																	
	90/10 2B/4	EDD	LD	C# 5	5283	35 (AEC	ON	1 - H	lone	olul	u, F		Red	Hil	l Bu	ılk S	Stor	age	Fa	cilit	y, C	то	18F	-012	26)							
LDC	SDG#	DATE REC'D	(2) DATE DUE	TP (801	H-E 15C)	SG TP (80	CU H-E I5C)																										
Matrix	: Water/Soil			W	S	W	S	W	S	W	S	W	S	W	S	W	S	W	S	W	S	W	S	W	S	W	S	W	S	W	S	W	S
Α	B21110057	12/06/21	12/20/21	8	0	5	0																										
																																igwdapprox	
																															$\vdash \vdash$	$\vdash \vdash \vdash$	
																															$\vdash \vdash$	$\vdash\vdash$	
																															$\vdash \vdash$		
																															\vdash		
																																Ш	
																															igsqcup		
																															$igwdapsilon^{\prime\prime}$	\square	
																															$\vdash \vdash$		
			<u> </u>																												$\vdash \vdash$	$\vdash\vdash\vdash$	
			<u> </u>																												\vdash		
																															$igwdapsilon^{\prime\prime}$	\square	
																															$\vdash \vdash$		
																															$\vdash \vdash$	\vdash	
																															\vdash	$\vdash \vdash$	$= \parallel$
																																\Box	=
Total	T/SC			8	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	13

Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc. **Data Validation Report**

Project/Site Name:

Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126

LDC Report Date:

January 14, 2022

Parameters:

Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Extractables

Validation Level:

Stage 2B

Laboratory:

Energy Laboratories, Billings, MT

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): B21110057

Sample Identification	Laboratory Sample Identification	Matrix	Collection Date
ERH1845(RHMW01R)	B21110057-001	Water	10/27/21
ERH1848(RHMW02)	B21110057-002	Water	10/27/21
ERH1848(RHMW02) RE	B21110057-002 RE	Water	10/27/21
ERH1851(RHMW03)	B21110057-003	Water	10/27/21
ERH1854(RHMW05)	B21110057-004	Water	10/27/21
ERH1857(RHMW2254-01)	B21110057-005	Water	10/26/21
ERH1860(RHSF)	B21110057-006	Water	10/26/21
ERH1862(RHSF)	B21110057-007	Water	10/26/21
ERH1845(RHMW01R)(SGCU)	B21110057-001(SGCU)	Water	10/27/21
ERH1848(RHMW02)(SGCU)	B21110057-002(SGCU)	Water	10/27/21
ERH1848(RHMW02)(SGCU) RE	B21110057-002(SGCU) RE	Water	10/27/21
ERH1851(RHMW03)(SGCU)	B21110057-003(SGCU)	Water	10/27/21
ERH1854(RHMW05)(SGCU)	B21110057-004(SGCU)	Water	10/27/21

Introduction

This Data Validation Report (DVR) presents data validation findings and results for the associated samples listed on the cover page. Data validation was performed in accordance with the Work Plan/Scope of Work, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 02, January 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 01, April 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 01, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, September 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 03, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, June 2018), the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) Quality Systems Manual (QSM) for Environmental Laboratories, Version 5.3 (2019), the DoD General Validation Guidelines (November 2019), and the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) Data Validation Guidelines Module 4: Data Validation Procedure for Organic Analysis by GC (March 2021). Where specific guidance was not available, the data has been evaluated in a conservative manner consistent with industry standards using professional experience.

The analyses were performed by the following method:

Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (TPH) as Extractables by Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) SW 846 Method 8015C

All sample results were subjected to Stage 2B data validation, which comprises an evaluation of quality control (QC) summary results.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers utilized during data validation:

- J+ (Estimated, High Bias): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated, displaying high bias, due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- J-(Estimated, Low Bias): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated, displaying low bias, due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- J (Estimated, Bias Indeterminate): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated due to non-conformances discovered during data validation. Bias is indeterminate.
- U (Non-detected): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the analyte should be considered non-detected due to the presence of contaminants detected in the associated blank(s).
- UJ (Non-detected estimated): The analyte was not detected and the associated numerical value is approximate.
- Χ (Exclusion of data recommended): The sample results (including non-detects) were affected by serious deficiencies in the ability to analyze the sample and to meet published method and project quality control criteria. The presence or absence of the analyte cannot be substantiated by the data provided. Exclusion of the data is recommended.
- (Not Applicable): The non-conformance discovered during data validation NA demonstrates a high bias, while the affected analyte in the associated sample(s) was reported as not detected by the laboratory and did not warrant the qualification of the data.

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Qualification Code Reference

- a ICP Serial Dilution %D was not within control limits.
- b Presumed contamination from preparation (method blank).
- c Calibration %RSD, r, r², %D or %R was noncompliant.
- d The analysis with this flag should not be used because another more technically sound analysis is available.
- e MS/MSD or Duplicate RPD was high.
- f Presumed contamination from FB or ER.
- g ICP ICS results were unsatisfactory.
- h Holding times were exceeded.
- i Internal standard performance was unsatisfactory.
- k Estimated Maximum Possible Concentration (HRGC/HRMS only)
- I LCS/LCSD %R was not within control limits.
- m Result exceeded the calibration range.
- o Cooler temperature or temperature blank was noncompliant and/or sample custody problems.
- p RPD between two columns was high (GC only).
- q MS/MSD recovery was not within control limits.
- s Surrogate recovery was not within control limits.
- t Presumed contamination from trip blank.
- v Unusual problems found with the data not defined elsewhere. Description of the problem can be found in the validation report.
- w LCS/LCSD RPD was high.
- y Chemical recovery was not within control limits (Radiochemistry only).

I. Sample Receipt and Technical Holding Times

All samples were received in good condition and cooler temperatures upon receipt met validation criteria.

All technical holding time requirements were met.

II. Initial Calibration and Initial Calibration Verification

An initial calibration was performed as required by the method.

The percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) were less than or equal to 20.0% for all analytes.

The percent differences (%D) of the initial calibration verification (ICV) standard were less than or equal to 20.0% for all analytes.

III. Continuing Calibration

Continuing calibration was performed at the required frequencies.

The percent differences (%D) were less than or equal to 20.0% for all analytes.

The percent differences (%D) of the ending continuing calibration verifications (CCVs) were less than or equal to 20.0% for all analytes.

IV. Laboratory Blanks

Laboratory blanks were analyzed as required by the methods. No contaminants were found in the laboratory blanks.

V. Field Blanks

No field blanks were identified in this SDG.

VI. Surrogates

Surrogates were added to all samples as required by the method. All surrogate recoveries (%R) were within QC limits with the following exceptions:

Sample	Surrogate	%R (Limits)	Affected Analyte	Flag	A or P
ERH1848(RHMW02)(SGCU)	Ortho-Terphenyl	45.0 (56-125)	TPH as extractables	J- (all detects)	А

VII. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

The laboratory has indicated that there were no matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyses specified for the samples in this SDG, and therefore matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate analyses were not performed for this SDG.

VIII. Laboratory Control Samples

Laboratory control samples (LCS) and laboratory control samples duplicates (LCSD) were analyzed as required by the method. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits. Relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

IX. Field Duplicates

Samples ERH1860(RHSF) and ERH1862(RHSF) were identified as field duplicates. No results were detected in any of the samples.

X. Target Analyte Quantitation

Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

XI. Target Analyte Identification

Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

XII. Overall Assessment of Data

The analysis was conducted within all specifications of the method. No results were rejected in this SDG.

In the case where more than one result was reported for an individual sample, the least technically acceptable results were deemed not reportable as follows:

Sample	Analyte	Reason	Flag	A or P
ERH1848(RHMW02) ERH1848(RHMW02)(SGCU)	TPH as extractables	Surrogate %R for SGCU analysis was below QC limits.	X	А

Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126 Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Extractables - Data Qualification Summary - SDG B21110057

Sample	Analyte	Flag	A or P	Reason (Code)
ERH1848(RHMW02) ERH1848(RHMW02)(SGCU)	TPH as extractables	×	Α	Overall assessment of data (d)

Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126

Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Extractables - Laboratory Blank Data

Qualification Summary - SDG B21110057

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126 Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Extractables - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG B21110057

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET

LDC #: 52835A8 SDG #: B21110057

Stage 2B

Laboratory: Energy Laboratories, Billings, MT

Page: 1 of Reviewer: 2nd Reviewer: 2

METHOD: GC TPH as Extractables (EPA SW-846 Method 8015C)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Sample receipt/Technical holding times	A /A	
II.	Initial calibration/ICV	AIA	% PSD = 7:0 ICV = 2U
111.	Continuing calibration ending	Δ	CW = 20 /20
IV.	Laboratory Blanks	Δ	
V.	Field blanks	N	
VI.	Surrogate spikes	SW	
VII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	1	65·
VIII.	Laboratory control samples	Δ	icoln
IX.	Field duplicates	NO	0=78
X.	Target analyte quantitation	N	''
XI.	Target analyte identification	N	
XII	Overall assessment of data	5/2/	

Note:

A = Acceptable

N = Not provided/applicable SW = See worksheet ND = No compounds detected

R = Rinsate FB = Field blank D = Duplicate TB = Trip blank

EB = Equipment blank

SB=Source blank OTHER:

	Client ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Date
1 1	ERH1845(RHMW01R)	B21110057-001	Water	10/27/21
2	ERH1848(RHMW02)	B21110057-002	Water	10/27/21
3 2	ERH1848(RHMW02) RE	B21110057-002 RE	Water	10/27/21
4 1	ERH1851(RHMW03)	B21110057-003	Water	10/27/21
5 1	ERH1854(RHMW05)	B21110057-004	Water	10/ 27 /21
<u>-</u> 1	ERH1857(RHMW2254-01)	B21110057-005	Water	フ <mark>ン</mark> 10/ 27 /21
71	ERH1860(RHSF)	B21110057-006	Water	2 し 10/ 27 /21
8 1	ERH1862(RHSF)	B21110057-007	Water	20 10/ 27 /21
9 I	ERH1845(RHMW01R)(SGCU)	B21110057-001(SGCU)	Water	10/27/21
101	ERH1848(RHMW02)(SGCU)	B21110057-002(SGCU)	Water	10/27/21
112	ERH1848(RHMW02)(SGCU) RE	B21110057-002(SGCU) RE	Water	10/27/21
₁₂ l	ERH1851(RHMW03)(SGCU)	B21110057-003(SGCU)	Water	10/27/21
13 1	ERH1854(RHMW05)(SGCU)	B21110057-004(SGCU)	Water	10/27/21
14				
15 l	160870			
16	161122			
17	1.			

Notes:

LDC #: 52 435 AB

VALIDATION FINDINDS WORKSHEET <u>Surrogate Recovery</u>

Page:_	
Reviewer:	FT

(5)

METHOD: VGC HPLC
Are surrogates required by the method? Yes or No
Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".
N N/A Were surrogates spiked into all samples and blanks?
Y N N/A Did all surrogate recoveries (%R) meet the QC limits?

#	Sample ID	Detector/ Column	Surrogate Compound	%R (Limit	s)	Qualifications				
	10		Н	45.0 (56-125)	1- /u1/A	All Det			
	(Analysis date			()	,				
<u></u>	115/2021)			<u> </u> ()					
				()					
				()					
)					
				()					
				()					
				()					
				()					
<u></u>)					
				()					
)					
				()					
<u></u>										
 				()	<u> </u>	·			
ļ										
<u> </u>)					
 				()					
 				(
[[()					

	Surrogate Compound		Surrogate Compound		Surrogate Compound		Surrogate Compound		
Α	Chlorobenzene (CBZ)	G	Octacosane	М	Benzo(e)Pyrene	s	1-Chloro-3-Nitrobenzene	Υ	Tetrachloro-m- xylene
В	4-Bromofluorobenzene (BFB)	Н	Ortho-Terphenyl	N	Terphenyl-D14	Т.	3,4-Dinitrotoluene	Z	2-Bromonaphthalene
C,	a,a,a-Trifluorotoluene	1_	Fluorobenzene (FBZ)	0	Decachlorobiphenyl (DCB)	U	Tripentyltin	AA	Chloro-octadecane
D	Bromochlorobenene	J	n-Triacontane	Р	1-methylnaphthalene	٧	Tri-n-propyltin	BB	2,4-Dichlorophenylacetic acid
Е	1,4-Dichlorobutane	Κ	Hexacosane	Q	Dichlorophenyl Acetic Acid (DCAA)	W	Tributyl Phosphate	СС	2,5-Dibromotoluene
L.E.	1.4-Difluorobenzene (DFB)	L	Bromobenzene	R	4-Nitrophenol	х	Triphenyl Phosphate		

LDC #: 52835 AB

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET <u>Overall Assessment of Data</u>

Page: _	1	of	1	
eviewer.	FT	-		

METHOD: __GC __HPLC

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

All available information pertaining to the data were reviewed using professional judgement to compliment the determination of the overall quality of the data.

YN N/A Was the overall quality and usability of the data acceptable?

(d)

#	Associated samples	Compounds	Findings	Qualifications	
	2, (Analysis date 1) 10 (Analysis date 1)			X/A	
	10 (Analysis date 1)	5 21)	analysis below		
			ac limit		
-					

Comments:				
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	 	 	