

AECOM 1001 Bishop Street Suite 1600 Honolulu, HI 96813 ATTN: Ms. Alethea Ramos alethea.ramos@aecom.com

#### SUBJECT: Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126 - Data Validation

Dear Ms. Ramos,

Enclosed is the final validation report for the fraction listed below. This SDG was received on December 1, 2021. Attachment 1 is a summary of the samples that were reviewed for analysis.

Revision: Added X qualifiers to sample ERH1792(SGCU) due to contamination during the cleanup process.

#### LDC Project #52818\_RV1:

#### SDG #

#### **Fraction**

97781, 97782, 97783, 97923, 97985, 98005, 98096, 98097, 98098, 98213, 98214 Volatiles, Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons, Gasoline Range Organics, Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons As Extractables, Total Oraganic Carbon

The data validation was performed under Stage 2B & 4 validation guidelines. The analysis was validated using the following documents and variances, as applicable to method:

- Work Plan/Scope of Work, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 02, January 2017)
- Sampling and Analysis Plan, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor - Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 01, April 2017)
- Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 01, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, September 2017)
- Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 03, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, June 2018)
- U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) Quality Systems Manual (QSM) for Environmental Laboratories, Version 5.3 (2019)
- DoD General Validation Guidelines (November 2019)
- U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) Data Validation Guidelines Module 1: Data Validation Procedure for Organic Analysis by GC/MS (May 2020)
- U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) Data Validation Guidelines Module 4: Data Validation Procedure for Organic Analysis by GC (March 2021)
- EPA SW 846, Third Edition, Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Waste, update 1, July 1992; update IIA, August 1993; update II, September 1994; update IIB, January 1995; update III, December 1996; update IIIA, April 1998; IIIB, November 2004; update IV, February 2007; update V, July 2014; update VI, July 2018

Please feel free to contact us if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

file auno

Stella Cuenco Operations Manager/Senior Chemist scuenco@lab-data.com



March 14, 2022

AECOM 1001 Bishop Street Suite 1600 Honolulu, HI 96813 ATTN: Ms. Alethea Ramos <u>alethea.ramos@aecom.com</u>

#### SUBJECT: Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126 - Data Validation

Dear Ms. Ramos,

Enclosed is the final validation report for the fraction listed below. This SDG was received on December 1, 2021. Attachment 1 is a summary of the samples that were reviewed for analysis.

Revision: 52818A2b – updated the surrogate qualifier. 52818F8 - updated the LCS qualifier.

#### LDC Project #52818\_RV1:

#### SDG #

#### **Fraction**

97781, 97782, 97783, 97923, 97985, 98005, 98096, 98097, 98098, 98213, 98214 Volatiles, Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons, Gasoline Range Organics, Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons As Extractables, Total Oraganic Carbon

The data validation was performed under Stage 2B & 4 validation guidelines. The analysis was validated using the following documents and variances, as applicable to method:

- Work Plan/Scope of Work, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 02, January 2017)
- Sampling and Analysis Plan, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor - Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 01, April 2017)
- Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 01, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, September 2017)
- Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 03, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, June 2018)
- U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) Quality Systems Manual (QSM) for Environmental Laboratories, Version 5.3 (2019)
- DoD General Validation Guidelines (November 2019)
- U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) Data Validation Guidelines Module 1: Data Validation Procedure for Organic Analysis by GC/MS (May 2020)
- U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) Data Validation Guidelines Module 4: Data Validation Procedure for Organic Analysis by GC (March 2021)
- EPA SW 846, Third Edition, Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Waste, update 1, July 1992; update IIA, August 1993; update II, September 1994; update IIB, January 1995; update III, December 1996; update IIIA, April 1998; IIIB, November 2004; update IV, February 2007; update V, July 2014; update VI, July 2018

Please feel free to contact us if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

file auno

Stella Cuenco Operations Manager/Senior Chemist scuenco@lab-data.com



AECOM 1001 Bishop Street Suite 1600 Honolulu, HI 96813 ATTN: Ms. Alethea Ramos alethea.ramos@aecom.com

#### SUBJECT: Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126 - Data Validation

#### Dear Ms. Ramos,

Enclosed is the final validation report for the fraction listed below. This SDG was received on December 1, 2021. Attachment 1 is a summary of the samples that were reviewed for analysis.

#### LDC Project #52818:

#### SDG #

Fraction

97781, 97782, 97783, 97923, 97985, Volatiles, Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons, Gasoline Range Organics, Total 98005, 98096, 98097, 98098, 98213, Petroleum Hydrocarbons As Extractables, Total Oraganic Carbon 98214

The data validation was performed under Stage 2B & 4 validation guidelines. The analysis was validated using the following documents and variances, as applicable to method:

- Work Plan/Scope of Work, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 02, January 2017)
- Sampling and Analysis Plan, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor - Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 01, April 2017)
- Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 01, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, September 2017)
- Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 03, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, June 2018)
- U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) Quality Systems Manual (QSM) for Environmental Laboratories, Version 5.3 (2019)
- DoD General Validation Guidelines (November 2019)
- U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) Data Validation Guidelines Module 1: Data Validation Procedure for Organic Analysis by GC/MS (May 2020)
- U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) Data Validation Guidelines Module 4: Data Validation Procedure for Organic Analysis by GC (March 2021)
- EPA SW 846, Third Edition, Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Waste, update 1, July 1992; update IIA, August 1993; update II, September 1994; update IIB, January 1995; update III, December 1996; update IIIA, April 1998; IIIB, November 2004; update IV, February 2007; update V, July 2014; update VI, July 2018

Please feel free to contact us if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

file auno

Stella Cuenco Operations Manager/Senior Chemist scuenco@lab-data.com

	877 pages-DL												At	tachr	nent	1																	
	90/10 2B/4 E	EDD	LDC#	528	818	(AE	CO	М-	Hor	nolu	ılu,	HI /	Re	d Hi	II B	ulk	Sto	rag	e Fa	acil	ity,	NOI	l, C⊺	το ΄	18F	012	6)						
LDC	SDG#	DATE REC'D	(2) DATE DUE	ВТ (826	EX 50B)	(3)P (82 -SI	AHs 70D M)	GF (826	RO 60B)	TPI (801	H-E ∣5B)	SG TPI (801	CU H-E 15B)	тс (906	DC 50A)																		
Matrix	Water/Soil	-		W	s	W	s	W	S	W	S	W	s	W	S	W	S	W	s	W	s	W	s	W	s	W	s	W	s	W	s	W	S
А	97781	12/01/21	12/15/21	8	0	4	0	8	0	4	0	4	0	4	0																		
В	97782	12/01/21	12/15/21	2	0	1	0	2	0	1	0	1	0	1	0																		
С	97783	12/01/21	12/15/21	3	0	2	0	3	0	2	0	2	0	1	0																		
D	97923	12/01/21	12/15/21	2	0	1	0	2	0	-	-	-	-	1	0																		1
Е	97985	12/01/21	12/15/21	2	0	1	0	2	0	1	0	1	0	1	0																		
F	98005	12/01/21	12/15/21	4	0	1	0	4	0	1	0	1	0	1	0																		
F	98005	12/01/21	12/15/21	4	0	3	0	4	0	3	0	3	0	3	0																		
G	98096	12/01/21	12/15/21	8	0	4	0	8	0	4	0	4	0	4	0																		
Н	98097	12/01/21	12/15/21	2	0	1	0	2	0	1	0	1	0	1	0																	$\square$	
I	98098	12/01/21	12/15/21	3	0	2	0	3	0	2	0	2	0	1	0																	$\square$	
J	98213	12/01/21	12/15/21	2	0	1	0	2	0	1	0	1	0	1	0																	$\square$	
К	98214	12/01/21	12/15/21	8	0	4	0	8	0	4	0	4	0	4	0																	$\square$	
																															┝──┤		
Total	T/SC			48	0	25	0	48	0	24	0	24	0	23	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	192

# Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc. Data Validation Report

Project/Site Name:

Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126

LDC Report Date: January 10, 2022

Parameters: Volatiles

Validation Level: Stage 2B

Laboratory: APPL, Inc., Clovis, CA

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 97781

	Laboratory Sample		Collection
Sample Identification	Identification	Matrix	Date
ERH1782	BA42511	Water	10/06/21
ERH1783	BA42512	Water	10/06/21
ERH1785	BA42513	Water	10/06/21
ERH1786	BA42514	Water	10/06/21
ERH1788	BA42515	Water	10/06/21
ERH1789	BA42516	Water	10/06/21
ERH1791	BA42517	Water	10/06/21
ERH1792	BA42518	Water	10/06/21

#### Introduction

This Data Validation Report (DVR) presents data validation findings and results for the associated samples listed on the cover page. Data validation was performed in accordance with the Work Plan/Scope of Work, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 02, January 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 01, April 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 01, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, September 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 03, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, June 2018), the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) Quality Systems Manual (QSM) for Environmental Laboratories, Version 5.3 (2019), the DoD General Validation Guidelines (November 2019), and the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) Data Validation Guidelines Module 1: Data Validation Procedure for Organic Analysis by GC/MS (May 2020). Where specific guidance was not available, the data has been evaluated in a conservative manner consistent with industry standards using professional experience.

The analyses were performed by the following method:

Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs) which are Benzene, Toluene, Ethylbenzene, Xylenes (BTEX) and Naphthalene by Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) SW 846 Method 8260D

All sample results were subjected to Stage 2B data validation, which comprises an evaluation of quality control (QC) summary results.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers utilized during data validation:

- J+ (Estimated, High Bias): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated, displaying high bias, due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- J- (Estimated, Low Bias): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated, displaying low bias, due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- J (Estimated, Bias Indeterminate): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated due to non-conformances discovered during data validation. Bias is indeterminate.
- U (Non-detected): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the analyte should be considered non-detected due to the presence of contaminants detected in the associated blank(s).
- UJ (Non-detected estimated): The analyte was not detected and the associated numerical value is approximate.
- X (Exclusion of data recommended): The sample results (including non-detects) were affected by serious deficiencies in the ability to analyze the sample and to meet published method and project quality control criteria. The presence or absence of the analyte cannot be substantiated by the data provided. Exclusion of the data is recommended.
- NA (Not Applicable): The non-conformance discovered during data validation demonstrates a high bias, while the affected analyte in the associated sample(s) was reported as not detected by the laboratory and did not warrant the qualification of the data.

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

#### **Qualification Code Reference**

- a ICP Serial Dilution %D was not within control limits.
- b Presumed contamination from preparation (method blank).
- c Calibration %RSD, r, r<sup>2</sup>, %D or %R was noncompliant.
- d The analysis with this flag should not be used because another more technically sound analysis is available.
- e MS/MSD or Duplicate RPD was high.
- f Presumed contamination from FB or ER.
- g ICP ICS results were unsatisfactory.
- h Holding times were exceeded.
- i Internal standard performance was unsatisfactory.
- k Estimated Maximum Possible Concentration (HRGC/HRMS only)
- LCS/LCSD %R was not within control limits.
- m Result exceeded the calibration range.
- o Cooler temperature or temperature blank was noncompliant and/or sample custody problems.
- p RPD between two columns was high (GC only).
- q MS/MSD recovery was not within control limits.
- s Surrogate recovery was not within control limits.
- t Presumed contamination from trip blank.
- v Unusual problems found with the data not defined elsewhere. Description of the problem can be found in the validation report.
- w LCS/LCSD RPD was high.
- y Chemical recovery was not within control limits (Radiochemistry only).

# I. Sample Receipt and Technical Holding Times

All samples were received in good condition and cooler temperatures upon receipt met validation criteria.

All technical holding time requirements were met.

#### **II. GC/MS Instrument Performance Check**

A bromofluorobenzene (BFB) tune was performed at 12 hour intervals.

All ion abundance requirements were met.

### III. Initial Calibration and Initial Calibration Verification

An initial calibration was performed as required by the method.

The percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) were less than or equal to 15.0% for all analytes.

Average relative response factors (RRF) for all analytes were within validation criteria.

The percent differences (%D) of the initial calibration verification (ICV) standard were less than or equal to 20.0% for all analytes.

#### **IV.** Continuing Calibration

Continuing calibration was performed at the required frequencies.

The percent differences (%D) were less than or equal to 20.0% for all analytes.

The percent differences (%D) of the ending continuing calibration verifications (CCVs) were less than or equal to 50.0% for all analytes.

All of the continuing calibration relative response factors (RRF) were within validation criteria.

#### V. Laboratory Blanks

Laboratory blanks were analyzed as required by the method. No contaminants were found in the laboratory blanks.

#### VI. Field Blanks

Samples ERH1782, ERH1785, ERH1788, and ERH1791 were identified as trip blanks. No contaminants were found.

# VII. Surrogates

Surrogates were added to all samples as required by the method. All surrogate recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

### VIII. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

The laboratory has indicated that there were no matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyses specified for the samples in this SDG, and therefore matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate analyses were not performed for this SDG.

#### IX. Laboratory Control Samples

Laboratory control samples (LCS) and laboratory control samples duplicates (LCSD) were analyzed as required by the method. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits. Relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

#### X. Field Duplicates

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

### XI. Internal Standards

All internal standard areas and retention times were within QC limits.

### XII. Target Analyte Quantitation

Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

### XIII. Target Analyte Identification

Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

#### XIV. System Performance

Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

### XV. Overall Assessment of Data

The analysis was conducted within all specifications of the method. No results were rejected or recommended for exclusion in this SDG.

### Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126 Volatiles - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 97781

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126 Volatiles - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 97781

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126 Volatiles - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 97781

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

LDC #:_	52818A1a	VALIDATION COI
SDG #:_	97781	
Laborate	ory: <u>APPL, Inc.,</u>	<u>Clovis, CA</u>

#### ALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET Stage 2B



#### METHOD: GC/MS Volatiles (BTEX)(EPA SW 846 Method 8260B)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
١.	Sample receipt/Technical holding times	AIA	
١١.	GC/MS Instrument performance check		
	Initial calibration/ICV	AIA	0/0 psp = 15 101 = 20
IV.	Continuing calibration ending	Δ	CUV = 20/5D
V.	Laboratory Blanks	Δ	•
VI.	Field blanks	ND	TB = 1,3,5,7
VII.	Surrogate spikes	A	
VIII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	2	CS
IX.	Laboratory control samples	٨	ics 10
Х.	Field duplicates	N	
XI.	Internal standards	A	
XII.	Target analyte quantitation	N	
XIII.	Target analyte identification	N	
XIV.	XIV. System performance		
XV.	Overall assessment of data	$\wedge$	

Note:

A = Acceptable N = Not provided/applicable SW = See worksheet ND = No compounds detected R = Rinsate FB = Field blank

D = Duplicate
TB = Trip blank
EB = Equipment blank

SB=Source blank OTHER:

Client ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Date
171 ERH1782 TB	BA42511	Water	10/06/21
2 <sup>-1</sup> ERH1783	BA42512	Water	10/06/21
3 7 ERH1785 TB	BA42513	Water	10/06/21
4 7 ERH1786	BA42514	Water	10/06/21
57 ERH1788 TB	BA42515	Water	10/06/21
6 2 ERH1789	BA42516	Water	10/06/21
7~2 ERH1791 TB	BA42517	Water	10/06/21
8 2 ERH1792	BA42518	Water	10/06/21
9			
Notes:			
-1 211016AM -BLK			
221101BAM2-BIK			
3 211020AM-BIK			

# Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc. Data Validation Report

Project/Site Name: Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126

LDC Report Date: March 10, 2022

- Parameters: Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons
- Validation Level: Stage 2B
- Laboratory: APPL, Inc., Clovis, CA

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 97781

Sample Identification	Laboratory Sample Identification	Matrix	Collection Date
ERH1783	BA42512	Water	10/06/21
ERH1786	BA42514	Water	10/06/21
ERH1789	BA42516	Water	10/06/21
ERH1792	BA42518	Water	10/06/21

#### Introduction

This Data Validation Report (DVR) presents data validation findings and results for the associated samples listed on the cover page. Data validation was performed in accordance with the Work Plan/Scope of Work, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 02, January 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 01, April 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 01, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, September 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 03, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, June 2018), the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) Quality Systems Manual (QSM) for Environmental Laboratories, Version 5.3 (2019), the DoD General Validation Guidelines (November 2019), and the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) Data Validation Guidelines Module 1: Data Validation Procedure for Organic Analysis by GC/MS (May 2020). Where specific guidance was not available, the data has been evaluated in a conservative manner consistent with industry standards using professional experience.

The analyses were performed by the following method:

Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAHs) which are 1-Methylnaphthalene, 2-Methylnaphthalene, and Naphthalene by Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) SW 846 Method 8270D in Selected Ion Monitoring (SIM) mode

All sample results were subjected to Stage 2B data validation, which comprises an evaluation of quality control (QC) summary results.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers utilized during data validation:

- J+ (Estimated, High Bias): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated, displaying high bias, due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- J- (Estimated, Low Bias): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated, displaying low bias, due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- J (Estimated, Bias Indeterminate): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated due to non-conformances discovered during data validation. Bias is indeterminate.
- U (Non-detected): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the analyte should be considered non-detected due to the presence of contaminants detected in the associated blank(s).
- UJ (Non-detected estimated): The analyte was not detected and the associated numerical value is approximate.
- X (Exclusion of data recommended): The sample results (including non-detects) were affected by serious deficiencies in the ability to analyze the sample and to meet published method and project quality control criteria. The presence or absence of the analyte cannot be substantiated by the data provided. Exclusion of the data is recommended.
- NA (Not Applicable): The non-conformance discovered during data validation demonstrates a high bias, while the affected analyte in the associated sample(s) was reported as not detected by the laboratory and did not warrant the qualification of the data.

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

# **Qualification Code Reference**

- a ICP Serial Dilution %D was not within control limits.
- b Presumed contamination from preparation (method blank).
- c Calibration %RSD, r,  $r^2$ , %D or %R was noncompliant.
- d The analysis with this flag should not be used because another more technically sound analysis is available.
- e MS/MSD or Duplicate RPD was high.
- f Presumed contamination from FB or ER.
- g ICP ICS results were unsatisfactory.
- h Holding times were exceeded.
- i Internal standard performance was unsatisfactory.
- k Estimated Maximum Possible Concentration (HRGC/HRMS only)
- LCS/LCSD %R was not within control limits.
- m Result exceeded the calibration range.
- o Cooler temperature or temperature blank was noncompliant and/or sample custody problems.
- p RPD between two columns was high (GC only).
- q MS/MSD recovery was not within control limits.
- s Surrogate recovery was not within control limits.
- t Presumed contamination from trip blank.
- v Unusual problems found with the data not defined elsewhere. Description of the problem can be found in the validation report.
- w LCS/LCSD RPD was high.
- y Chemical recovery was not within control limits (Radiochemistry only).

# I. Sample Receipt and Technical Holding Times

All samples were received in good condition and cooler temperatures upon receipt met validation criteria.

All technical holding time requirements were met.

# II. GC/MS Instrument Performance Check

A decafluorotriphenylphosphine (DFTPP) tune was performed at 12 hour intervals.

All ion abundance requirements were met.

# III. Initial Calibration and Initial Calibration Verification

An initial calibration was performed as required by the method.

The percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) were less than or equal to 15.0% for all analytes.

Average relative response factors (RRF) for all analytes were within validation criteria.

The percent differences (%D) of the initial calibration verification (ICV) standard were less than or equal to 20.0% for all analytes.

### IV. Continuing Calibration

Continuing calibration was performed at the required frequencies.

The percent differences (%D) were less than or equal to 20.0% for all analytes.

The percent differences (%D) of the ending continuing calibration verifications (CCVs) were less than or equal to 50.0% for all analytes.

All of the continuing calibration relative response factors (RRF) were within validation criteria.

### V. Laboratory Blanks

Laboratory blanks were analyzed as required by the method. No contaminants were found in the laboratory blanks.

### VI. Field Blanks

No field blanks were identified in this SDG.

## VII. Surrogates

Surrogates were added to all samples as required by the method. All surrogate recoveries (%R) were within QC limits with the following exceptions:

Sample	Surrogate	%R (Limits)	Affected Analyte	Flag	A or P
ERH1783	Fluoranthene-d10	44.0 (58-120)	All analytes	UJ (all non-detects)	Р
ERH1786	Fluoranthene-d10	52.5 (58-120)	All analytes	J- (all detects)	Р
ERH1792	Fluoranthene-d10	56.2 (58-120)	All analytes	UJ (all non-detects)	Ρ

### VIII. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

The laboratory has indicated that there were no matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyses specified for the samples in this SDG, and therefore matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate analyses were not performed for this SDG.

### IX. Laboratory Control Samples

Laboratory control samples (LCS) and laboratory control samples duplicates (LCSD) were analyzed as required by the method. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits. Relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

### X. Field Duplicates

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

### XI. Internal Standards

All internal standard areas and retention times were within QC limits.

### XII. Target Analyte Quantitation

Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

### XIII. Target Analyte Identification

Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

#### XIV. System Performance

Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

# XV. Overall Assessment of Data

The analysis was conducted within all specifications of the method. No results were rejected or recommended for exclusion in this SDG.

Due to surrogate %R, data were qualified as estimated in three samples.

## Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126 Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 97781

Sample	Analyte	Flag	A or P	Reason (Code)
ERH1783 ERH1792	Ail analytes	UJ (all non-detects)	Ρ	Surrogates (%R) (s)
ERH1786	All analytes	J- (all detects)	P	Surrogates (%R) (s)

### Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126

Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 97781

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126 Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary -SDG 97781

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

LDC #: 52813A26

#### VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET Surrogate Recovery

- 24

Page:_		_/
Reviewer:	FT	

 $(s^{)}$ 

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270 D ) SIM

Please see qualification below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A". Y ( $\underline{W}$ N/A Were percent recoveries (%R) for surrogates within OC limits?

YN/A If 2 or more base neutral or acid surrogates were outside QC limits, was a reanalysis performed to confirm %R?

Y'N/N/A If any %R was less than 10 percent, was a reanalysis performed to confirm %R?

#	Sample ID	Surrogate	%R (Limits	s)	Quali	fications
		77 - 010	44.0	( 58-120)	J-/4J/P	N/)
<u>_</u>				()		
	2	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	52.5	( 58-120)	¥	
	<u> </u>	•		()		
		¥	502-	( 1 )	V	¥
			······································	()		
				<u>(                                    </u>		<u> </u>
		<u></u>		$\frac{1}{2}$		
			<u> </u>		<del>_</del>	
				$\frac{1}{2}$		
				( )		
		······································		()	······································	
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		()		
				()	· ·	
		-		( )		
				()		
				()		
				()		
				()		
				()		
<u> </u>				()		
			<del></del>	()		
				()		

(NBZ) = Nitrobenzene - d5 (FBP) = 2-Fluorobiphenyl (TPH) = Terphenyl - d14

(2FP) = 2-Fluorophenol (TBP) = 2,4,6 -Tribromophenol

(2CP) = 2-Chlorophenol - d4

# Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc. Data Validation Report

Project/Site Name:	Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126
--------------------	---

LDC Report Date: December 29, 2021

Parameters: Total Organic Carbon

Validation Level: Stage 2B

Laboratory: APPL, Inc., Clovis, CA

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 97781

Sample Identification	Laboratory Sample Identification	Matrix	Collection Date
ERH1783	BA42512	Water	10/06/21
ERH1786	BA42514	Water	10/06/21
ERH1789	BA42516	Water	10/06/21
ERH1792	BA42518	Water	10/06/21

#### Introduction

This Data Validation Report (DVR) presents data validation findings and results for the associated samples listed on the cover page. Data validation was performed in accordance with the Work Plan/Scope of Work, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 02, January 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 01, April 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 01, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, September 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 03, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, June 2018), the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) Quality Systems Manual (QSM) for Environmental Laboratories, Version 5.3 (2019), and the DoD General Validation Guidelines (November 2019). Where specific guidance was not available, the data has been evaluated in a conservative manner consistent with industry standards using professional experience.

The analyses were performed by the following method:

Total Organic Carbon by Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) SW 846 Method 9060A

All sample results were subjected to Stage 2B data validation, which comprises an evaluation of quality control (QC) summary results.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers utilized during data validation:

- J+ (Estimated, High Bias): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated, displaying high bias, due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- J- (Estimated, Low Bias): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated, displaying low bias, due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- J (Estimated, Bias Indeterminate): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated due to non-conformances discovered during data validation. Bias is indeterminate.
- U (Non-detected): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the analyte should be considered non-detected due to the presence of contaminants detected in the associated blank(s).
- UJ (Non-detected estimated): The analyte was not detected and the associated numerical value is approximate.
- X (Exclusion of data recommended): The sample results (including non-detects) were affected by serious deficiencies in the ability to analyze the sample and to meet published method and project quality control criteria. The presence or absence of the analyte cannot be substantiated by the data provided. Exclusion of the data is recommended.
- NA (Not Applicable): The non-conformance discovered during data validation demonstrates a high bias, while the affected analyte in the associated sample(s) was reported as not detected by the laboratory and did not warrant the qualification of the data.

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

### **Qualification Code Reference**

- a ICP Serial Dilution %D was not within control limits.
- b Presumed contamination from preparation (method blank).
- c Calibration %RSD, r, r<sup>2</sup>, %D or %R was noncompliant.
- d The analysis with this flag should not be used because another more technically sound analysis is available.
- e MS/MSD or Duplicate RPD was high.
- f Presumed contamination from FB or ER.
- g ICP ICS results were unsatisfactory.
- h Holding times were exceeded.
- i Internal standard performance was unsatisfactory.
- k Estimated Maximum Possible Concentration (HRGC/HRMS only)
- LCS/LCSD %R was not within control limits.
- m Result exceeded the calibration range.
- o Cooler temperature or temperature blank was noncompliant and/or sample custody problems.
- p RPD between two columns was high (GC only).
- q MS/MSD recovery was not within control limits.
- s Surrogate recovery was not within control limits.
- t Presumed contamination from trip blank.
- v Unusual problems found with the data not defined elsewhere. Description of the problem can be found in the validation report.
- w LCS/LCSD RPD was high.
- y Chemical recovery was not within control limits (Radiochemistry only).

# I. Sample Receipt and Technical Holding Times

All samples were received in good condition.

All technical holding time requirements were met.

# II. Initial Calibration

All criteria for the initial calibration were met.

# III. Continuing Calibration

Continuing calibration frequency and analysis criteria were met.

# IV. Laboratory Blanks

Laboratory blanks were analyzed as required by the method. No contaminants were found in the laboratory blanks.

# V. Field Blanks

No field blanks were identified in this SDG.

## VI. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

The laboratory has indicated that there were no matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyses specified for the samples in this SDG, and therefore matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate analyses were not performed for this SDG.

### VII. Duplicate Sample Analysis

The laboratory has indicated that there were no duplicate (DUP) analyses specified for the samples in this SDG, and therefore duplicate analyses were not performed for this SDG.

### VIII. Laboratory Control Samples

Laboratory control samples (LCS) and laboratory control samples duplicates (LCSD) were analyzed as required by the method. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits. Relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

### IX. Field Duplicates

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

# X. Target Analyte Quantitation

Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

## XI. Overall Assessment of Data

The analysis was conducted within all specifications of the method. No results were rejected or recommended for exclusion in this SDG.

# Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126 Total Organic Carbon - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 97781

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126 Total Organic Carbon - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 97781

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126 Total Organic Carbon - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 97781

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

ALIDATION	COMPL	<b>ETENESS</b>	WORKSHEET
-----------	-------	----------------	-----------

Stage 2B

LDC #: <u>52818A6</u> **V** SDG #: <u>97781</u> Laboratory: <u>APPL, Inc., Clovis, CA</u>

#### Date:<u>12|23|2</u> Page:<u>1</u>of<u>1</u> Reviewer:<u>41/</u> 2nd Reviewer:<u>4</u>

#### METHOD: (Analyte) TOC (EPA SW846 Method 9060A)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
1.	Sample receipt/Technical holding times	AA	
	Initial calibration	A	
-111.	Calibration verification	A	
IV	Laboratory Blanks	Â	
v	Field blanks	N	
VI.	Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates	N	C,S
VII.	Duplicate sample analysis	N	
VIII.	Laboratory control samples	A	LCS/LCSD
IX.	Field duplicates	N	
Х.	Target Analyte Quantitation	N	
XI.	Overall assessment of data	A	

Note:

A = Acceptable N = Not provided/applicable SW = See worksheet ND = No compounds detected R = RinsateFB = Field blank

D = Duplicate
TB = Trip blank
EB = Equipment blank

SB=Source blank OTHER:

	Client ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Date
1	ERH1783	BA42512	Water	10/06/21
2	ERH1786	BA42514	Water	10/06/21
3	ERH1789	BA42516	Water	10/06/21
4	ERH1792	BA42518	Water	10/06/21
5				
6				
7				
8				
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
Notes:				

# Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc. Data Validation Report

Project/Site Name:	Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126
--------------------	---

LDC Report Date: January 10, 2022

- Parameters: Gasoline Range Organics
- Validation Level: Stage 2B
- Laboratory: APPL, Inc., Clovis, CA

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 97781

O la lala	Laboratory Sample		Collection
Sample Identification	Identification	<b>INIATRIX</b>	Date
ERH1782	BA42511	Water	10/06/21
ERH1783	BA42512	Water	10/06/21
ERH1785	BA42513	Water	10/06/21
ERH1786	BA42514	Water	10/06/21
ERH1788	BA42515	Water	10/06/21
ERH1789	BA42516	Water	10/06/21
ERH1791	BA42517	Water	10/06/21
ERH1792	BA42518	Water	10/06/21

#### Introduction

This Data Validation Report (DVR) presents data validation findings and results for the associated samples listed on the cover page. Data validation was performed in accordance with the Work Plan/Scope of Work, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 02, January 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 01, April 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 01, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, September 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 03, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, June 2018), the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) Quality Systems Manual (QSM) for Environmental Laboratories, Version 5.3 (2019), the DoD General Validation Guidelines (November 2019), and the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) Data Validation Guidelines Module 4: Data Validation Procedure for Organic Analysis by GC (March 2021). Where specific guidance was not available, the data has been evaluated in a conservative manner consistent with industry standards using professional experience.

The analyses were performed by the following method:

Gasoline Range Organics by Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) SW 846 Method 8260B

All sample results were subjected to Stage 2B data validation, which comprises an evaluation of quality control (QC) summary results.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers utilized during data validation:

- J+ (Estimated, High Bias): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated, displaying high bias, due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- J- (Estimated, Low Bias): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated, displaying low bias, due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- J (Estimated, Bias Indeterminate): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated due to non-conformances discovered during data validation. Bias is indeterminate.
- U (Non-detected): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the analyte should be considered non-detected due to the presence of contaminants detected in the associated blank(s).
- UJ (Non-detected estimated): The analyte was not detected and the associated numerical value is approximate.
- X (Exclusion of data recommended): The sample results (including non-detects) were affected by serious deficiencies in the ability to analyze the sample and to meet published method and project quality control criteria. The presence or absence of the analyte cannot be substantiated by the data provided. Exclusion of the data is recommended.
- NA (Not Applicable): The non-conformance discovered during data validation demonstrates a high bias, while the affected analyte in the associated sample(s) was reported as not detected by the laboratory and did not warrant the qualification of the data.

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

### **Qualification Code Reference**

- a ICP Serial Dilution %D was not within control limits.
- b Presumed contamination from preparation (method blank).
- c Calibration %RSD, r,  $r^2$ , %D or %R was noncompliant.
- d The analysis with this flag should not be used because another more technically sound analysis is available.
- e MS/MSD or Duplicate RPD was high.
- f Presumed contamination from FB or ER.
- g ICP ICS results were unsatisfactory.
- h Holding times were exceeded.
- i Internal standard performance was unsatisfactory.
- k Estimated Maximum Possible Concentration (HRGC/HRMS only)
- LCS/LCSD %R was not within control limits.
- m Result exceeded the calibration range.
- o Cooler temperature or temperature blank was noncompliant and/or sample custody problems.
- p RPD between two columns was high (GC only).
- q MS/MSD recovery was not within control limits.
- s Surrogate recovery was not within control limits.
- t Presumed contamination from trip blank.
- v Unusual problems found with the data not defined elsewhere. Description of the problem can be found in the validation report.
- w LCS/LCSD RPD was high.
- y Chemical recovery was not within control limits (Radiochemistry only).

# I. Sample Receipt and Technical Holding Times

All samples were received in good condition and cooler temperatures upon receipt met validation criteria.

All technical holding time requirements were met.

#### II. Initial Calibration and Initial Calibration Verification

An initial calibration was performed as required by the method.

A curve fit, based on the initial calibration, was established for quantitation. The coefficient of determination  $(r^2)$  was greater than or equal to 0.990.

The percent differences (%D) of the initial calibration verification (ICV) standard were less than or equal to 20.0%.

#### III. Continuing Calibration

Continuing calibration was performed at the required frequencies.

The percent differences (%D) were less than or equal to 20.0%.

The percent differences (%D) of the ending continuing calibration verifications (CCVs) were less than or equal to 20.0% for all analytes.

#### **IV. Laboratory Blanks**

Laboratory blanks were analyzed as required by the method. No contaminants were found in the laboratory blanks.

### V. Field Blanks

Samples ERH1782, ERH1785, ERH1788, and ERH1791 were identified as trip blanks. No contaminants were found.

### VI. Surrogates

Surrogates were added to all samples as required by the method. All surrogate recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

### VII. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

The laboratory has indicated that there were no matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyses specified for the samples in this SDG, and therefore matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate analyses were not performed for this SDG.

### VIII. Laboratory Control Samples

Laboratory control samples (LCS) and laboratory control samples duplicates (LCSD) were analyzed as required by the method. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits. Relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

#### **IX. Field Duplicates**

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

### X. Target Analyte Quantitation

Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

#### XI. Target Analyte Identification

Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

#### XII. Overall Assessment of Data

The analysis was conducted within all specifications of the method. No results were rejected or recommended for exclusion in this SDG.

#### Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126 Gasoline Range Organics - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 97781

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126

Gasoline Range Organics - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 97781

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126 Gasoline Range Organics - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 97781

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG
LDC # <sup>.</sup> 52818A7	VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET	Date: 1 3 27
SDG #:	Stage 2B	Page:of
Laboratory: <u>APPL, Inc., Clovi</u>	s, CA	Reviewer:

2nd Reviewer:

#### METHOD: GC/MS Gasoline Range Organics (EPA SW 846 Method 8260B)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
<u> </u>	Sample receipt/Technical holding times	414	
П.	GC/MS Instrument performance check	4	
111.	Initial calibration/ICV	A1A	$1^{\nu}$ ley $\pm z \psi$
IV.	Continuing calibration ending	6	$civ \in z\bar{v}$
V.	Laboratory Blanks	Δ	
VI.	Field blanks	NY	TH= 1.3,5,7
VII.	Surrogate spikes	Δ	
VIII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	N	C/S
IX.	Laboratory control samples	A	LCOID
Х.	Field duplicates	N	
XI.	Internal standards	6	
XII.	Target analyte quantitation	N	
XIII.	Target analyte identification	N	
XIV.	System performance	N	
XV.	Overall assessment of data	4	

Note:

A = Acceptable N = Not provided/applicable SW = See worksheet

ND = No compounds detected R = Rinsate FB = Field blank

D = Duplicate
TB = Trip blank
EB = Equipment blank

SB=Source blank OTHER:

	Client ID		 Lab ID	Matrix	Date
1 -	ERH1782 TB	 	 BA42511	Water	10/06/21
2 +	ERH1783	 	 BA42512	Water	10/06/21
3	ERH1785 TB	 	 BA42513	Water	10/06/21
4 <b>+</b>	ERH1786		 BA42514	Water	10/06/21
5	ERH1788 TB	 	 BA42515	Water	10/06/21
6	ERH1789	 	 BA42516	Water	10/06/21
7	ERH1791 <b>TB</b>	 	 BA42517	Water	10/06/21
8	ERH1792	 	 BA42518	Water	10/06/21
9		 	 		
Votes					
	211016AM				
	211018AM2				
	211020AM)				

# Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc. Data Validation Report

Project/Site Name: Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CI
---

LDC Report Date: March 30, 2022

Parameters:Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Extractables

Validation Level: Stage 2B

Laboratory: APPL, Inc., Clovis, CA

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 97781

Sample Identification	Laboratory Sample Identification	Matrix	Collection Date
ERH1783	BA42512	Water	10/06/21
ERH1786	BA42514	Water	10/06/21
ERH1789	BA42516	Water	10/06/21
ERH1792	BA42518	Water	10/06/21
ERH1783(SGCU)	BA42512(SGCU)	Water	10/06/21
ERH1786(SGCU)	BA42514(SGCU)	Water	10/06/21
ERH1789(SGCU)	BA42516(SGCU)	Water	10/06/21
ERH1792(SGCU)	BA42518(SGCU)	Water	10/06/21

Samples ending in "SGCU" underwent Silica Gel cleanup

#### Introduction

This Data Validation Report (DVR) presents data validation findings and results for the associated samples listed on the cover page. Data validation was performed in accordance with the Work Plan/Scope of Work, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 02, January 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 01, April 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 01, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, September 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 03, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, June 2018), the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) Quality Systems Manual (QSM) for Environmental Laboratories, Version 5.3 (2019), the DoD General Validation Guidelines (November 2019), and the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) Data Validation Guidelines Module 4: Data Validation Procedure for Organic Analysis by GC (March 2021). Where specific guidance was not available, the data has been evaluated in a conservative manner consistent with industry standards using professional experience.

The analyses were performed by the following method:

Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (TPH) as Extractables by Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) SW 846 Method 8015B

All sample results were subjected to Stage 2B data validation, which comprises an evaluation of quality control (QC) summary results.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers utilized during data validation:

- J+ (Estimated, High Bias): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated, displaying high bias, due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- J- (Estimated, Low Bias): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated, displaying low bias, due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- J (Estimated, Bias Indeterminate): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated due to non-conformances discovered during data validation. Bias is indeterminate.
- U (Non-detected): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the analyte should be considered non-detected due to the presence of contaminants detected in the associated blank(s).
- UJ (Non-detected estimated): The analyte was not detected and the associated numerical value is approximate.
- X (Exclusion of data recommended): The sample results (including non-detects) were affected by serious deficiencies in the ability to analyze the sample and to meet published method and project quality control criteria. The presence or absence of the analyte cannot be substantiated by the data provided. Exclusion of the data is recommended.
- NA (Not Applicable): The non-conformance discovered during data validation demonstrates a high bias, while the affected analyte in the associated sample(s) was reported as not detected by the laboratory and did not warrant the qualification of the data.

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

## **Qualification Code Reference**

- a ICP Serial Dilution %D was not within control limits.
- b Presumed contamination from preparation (method blank).
- c Calibration %RSD, r, r<sup>2</sup>, %D or %R was noncompliant.
- d The analysis with this flag should not be used because another more technically sound analysis is available.
- e MS/MSD or Duplicate RPD was high.
- f Presumed contamination from FB or ER.
- g ICP ICS results were unsatisfactory.
- h Holding times were exceeded.
- i Internal standard performance was unsatisfactory.
- k Estimated Maximum Possible Concentration (HRGC/HRMS only)
- LCS/LCSD %R was not within control limits.
- m Result exceeded the calibration range.
- o Cooler temperature or temperature blank was noncompliant and/or sample custody problems.
- p RPD between two columns was high (GC only).
- q MS/MSD recovery was not within control limits.
- s Surrogate recovery was not within control limits.
- t Presumed contamination from trip blank.
- v Unusual problems found with the data not defined elsewhere. Description of the problem can be found in the validation report.
- w LCS/LCSD RPD was high.
- y Chemical recovery was not within control limits (Radiochemistry only).

# I. Sample Receipt and Technical Holding Times

All samples were received in good condition and cooler temperatures upon receipt met validation criteria.

All technical holding time requirements were met.

# II. Initial Calibration and Initial Calibration Verification

An initial calibration was performed as required by the method.

For analytes where average calibration factors were utilized, percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) were less than or equal to 20.0%.

In the case where the laboratory used a calibration curve to evaluate the analytes, all coefficients of determination ( $r^2$ ) were greater than or equal to 0.990.

The percent differences (%D) of the initial calibration verification (ICV) standard were less than or equal to 20.0% for all analytes.

# III. Continuing Calibration

Continuing calibration was performed at the required frequencies.

The percent differences (%D) were less than or equal to 20.0% for all analytes.

The percent differences (%D) of the ending continuing calibration verifications (CCVs) were less than or equal to 20.0% for all analytes.

## IV. Laboratory Blanks

Laboratory blanks were analyzed as required by the method. No contaminants were found in the laboratory blanks.

# V. Field Blanks

No field blanks were identified in this SDG.

# VI. Surrogates

Surrogates were added to all samples as required by the method. All surrogate recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

# VII. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

The laboratory has indicated that there were no matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyses specified for the samples in this SDG, and therefore matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate analyses were not performed for this SDG.

## VIII. Laboratory Control Samples

Laboratory control samples (LCS) and laboratory control samples duplicates (LCSD) were analyzed as required by the method. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits with the following exceptions:

LCS ID (Associated Samples)	Analyte	LCS %R (Limits)	LCSD %R (Limits)	Flag	A or P
211011A-LCS/LCSD (ERH1783 ERH1786 ERH1789 ERH1792)	Oil (C24-C40)	126 (41-113)	122 (41-113)	J+ (all detects)	Ρ
211011A1-LCS/LCSD (ERH1786(SGCU) ERH1789(SGCU) ERH1792(SGCU))	Oil (C24-C40)	134 (41-113)	135 (41-113)	J+ (all detects)	Ρ
211011A1-LCS/LCSD (ERH1783(SGCU))	Oil (C24-C40)	134 (41-113)	135 (41-113)	NA	-

Relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

# **IX. Field Duplicates**

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

# X. Target Analyte Quantitation

Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

# XI. Target Analyte Identification

Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

## XII. Overall Assessment of Data

The analysis was conducted within all specifications of the method.

In the case where more than one result was reported for an individual sample, the least technically acceptable results were recommended for exclusion as follows:

Sample	Analyte	Reason	Flag	A or P
ERH1792(SGCU)	All analytes	Diesel (C10-C24) was not detected in sample ERH1792, however, it was detected at 1400 ug/L in sample ERH1792(SGCU). The laboratory indicated that the silica gel portion was contaminated during the cleanup process.	X	A

Due to LCS/LCSD %R, data were qualified as estimated in six samples.

## Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126 Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Extractables - Data Qualification Summary -SDG 97781

Sample	Analyte	Flag	A or P	Reason (Code)
ERH1783 ERH1786 ERH1789 ERH1792 ERH1786(SGCU) ERH1789(SGCU)	Oil (C24-C40)	J+ (all detects)	Ρ	Laboratory control samples %R (I)
ERH1792(SGCU)	All analytes	x	А	Overall assessment of data (v)

Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126

Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Extractables - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 97781

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126 Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Extractables - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 97781

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

LDC #: <u>52818A8</u>	VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET
SDG #: 97781	Stage 2B
Laboratory: APPL, Inc., Clovis,	<u>CA</u>



#### METHOD: GC TPH as Extractables (EPA SW 846 Method 8015B)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments					
١.	Sample receipt/Technical holding times	4 / A						
11.	Initial calibration/ICV	$A/\Delta$	% PSO = 20, 12 101=20					
111.	Continuing calibration Conding	Δ	CLV £ 20/20					
IV.	Laboratory Blanks							
V.	Field blanks	N						
VI.	Surrogate spikes	A						
VII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	4	C >					
VIII.	Laboratory control samples	sul	ics/p					
IX.	Field duplicates	N						
Х.	Target analyte quantitation	N						
XI.	Target analyte identification	<u>N</u>						
XII	Overall assessment of data	Sh/						

Note:

A = Acceptable N = Not provided/applicable SW = See worksheet

ND = No compounds detected R = Rinsate FB = Field blank

D = Duplicate TB = Trip blank EB = Equipment blank SB=Source blank OTHER:

	Client ID					Lab ID	Matrix	Date
* 1	ERH1783					BA42512	Water	10/06/21
2+	ERH1786					BA42514	Water	10/06/21
3+	ERH1789					BA42516	Water	10/06/21
4 +	ERH1792					BA42518	Water	10/06/21
5	ERH1783(SGCU)					BA42512(SGCU)	Water	10/06/21
6 <sup>+</sup>	ERH1786(SGCU)					BA42514(SGCU)	Water	10/06/21
7+	ERH1789(SGCU)					BA42516(SGCU)	Water	10/06/21
ŧ	ERH1792(SGCU)					BA42518(SGCU)	Water	10/06/21
9								
10								
11								
12								
13								
Notes	:							
- [	211011A1-BIK		SAC					
-	211011A -BIK		Lia					
			þ					

LDC #: 52 818 AB

## VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)

( )

METHOD: \_\_GC \_\_ HPLC

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".  $N_{\Lambda}N/A$  Were a laboratory control samples (LCS) and laboratory control sample duplicate (LCSD) analy

 $\frac{1}{N_N/A}$  Were a laboratory control samples (LCS) and laboratory control sample duplicate (LCSD) analyzed for each matrix in this SDG? Were the LCS percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) within the QC limits?

Level JV/D Only

Y N (N/A) Was an LCS analyzed every 20 samples for each matrix or whenever a sample extraction was performed?

#	LCS/LCSD ID	Compound	LCS %R (Limits)	LCSD %R (Limits)	RPD (Limits)	Associated Samples	Qualifications
	211011A-	0i) (c24-c40)	126 (41-113)	122 (41-113)	()	1-74,	It dut /P all Put
	LesID		( )	( )	( )	211011A - BIK	
			( )	( )	( )		
			( )	( )	( )		
			( )	( )	( )		
			( )	( )	()		
			( )	( )	()		
			()	()	()		
	211011A1	$\mathbf{V}$	134 (+1-113)	135 (41-113)	( )	5-78,	It dut/P
	LOSID			<u>()</u>	()	211011A1-BIK	#67,8 De
			()	( )	()		, r
			()	()	()		
			( )	( )	( )		
			()	()	( )		
			( )	()	( )		
	······································		()_	( )	()	<u> </u>	
			( )	()	( )		
			()	( )	()		
			( )	( )	( )		
			()	( )	( )		
			( )	( )	()		
			( )	( )	( )		
			( )	()	( )		
			()	( )	()		



## VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET Overall Assessment of Data



#### METHOD: GC/HPLC

ш	Data	Samala ID	Compound	Finding	Ourlifications
#	Date	Sample ib	Compound	Finaling	Qualifications
		8	fel	The UB indiale	X/A (V)
			·	that the silica	
				gel portin was	
				confaminated	
				during the	
				clean up process.	

Comments:

# Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc. Data Validation Report

Project/Site Name: Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126

LDC Report Date: January 10, 2022

Parameters: Volatiles

Validation Level: Stage 2B

Laboratory: APPL, Inc., Clovis, CA

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 97782

Sample Identification	Laboratory Sample Identification	Matrix	Collection Date
ERH1794	BA42523	Water	10/06/21
ERH1795	BA42524	Water	10/06/21

#### Introduction

This Data Validation Report (DVR) presents data validation findings and results for the associated samples listed on the cover page. Data validation was performed in accordance with the Work Plan/Scope of Work, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 02, January 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 01, April 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 01, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, September 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 03, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, June 2018), the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) Quality Systems Manual (QSM) for Environmental Laboratories, Version 5.3 (2019), the DoD General Validation Guidelines (November 2019), and the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) Data Validation Guidelines Module 1: Data Validation Procedure for Organic Analysis by GC/MS (May 2020). Where specific guidance was not available, the data has been evaluated in a conservative manner consistent with industry standards using professional experience.

The analyses were performed by the following method:

Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs) which are Benzene, Toluene, Ethylbenzene, Xylenes (BTEX) and Naphthalene by Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) SW 846 Method 8260B

All sample results were subjected to Stage 2B data validation, which comprises an evaluation of quality control (QC) summary results.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers utilized during data validation:

- J+ (Estimated, High Bias): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated, displaying high bias, due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- J- (Estimated, Low Bias): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated, displaying low bias, due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- J (Estimated, Bias Indeterminate): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated due to non-conformances discovered during data validation. Bias is indeterminate.
- U (Non-detected): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the analyte should be considered non-detected due to the presence of contaminants detected in the associated blank(s).
- UJ (Non-detected estimated): The analyte was not detected and the associated numerical value is approximate.
- X (Exclusion of data recommended): The sample results (including non-detects) were affected by serious deficiencies in the ability to analyze the sample and to meet published method and project quality control criteria. The presence or absence of the analyte cannot be substantiated by the data provided. Exclusion of the data is recommended.
- NA (Not Applicable): The non-conformance discovered during data validation demonstrates a high bias, while the affected analyte in the associated sample(s) was reported as not detected by the laboratory and did not warrant the qualification of the data.

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

## **Qualification Code Reference**

- a ICP Serial Dilution %D was not within control limits.
- b Presumed contamination from preparation (method blank).
- c Calibration %RSD, r,  $r^2$ , %D or %R was noncompliant.
- d The analysis with this flag should not be used because another more technically sound analysis is available.
- e MS/MSD or Duplicate RPD was high.
- f Presumed contamination from FB or ER.
- g ICP ICS results were unsatisfactory.
- h Holding times were exceeded.
- i Internal standard performance was unsatisfactory.
- k Estimated Maximum Possible Concentration (HRGC/HRMS only)
- LCS/LCSD %R was not within control limits.
- m Result exceeded the calibration range.
- o Cooler temperature or temperature blank was noncompliant and/or sample custody problems.
- p RPD between two columns was high (GC only).
- q MS/MSD recovery was not within control limits.
- s Surrogate recovery was not within control limits.
- t Presumed contamination from trip blank.
- v Unusual problems found with the data not defined elsewhere. Description of the problem can be found in the validation report.
- w LCS/LCSD RPD was high.
- y Chemical recovery was not within control limits (Radiochemistry only).

# I. Sample Receipt and Technical Holding Times

All samples were received in good condition and cooler temperatures upon receipt met validation criteria.

All technical holding time requirements were met.

# **II. GC/MS Instrument Performance Check**

A bromofluorobenzene (BFB) tune was performed at 12 hour intervals.

All ion abundance requirements were met.

# III. Initial Calibration and Initial Calibration Verification

An initial calibration was performed as required by the method.

The percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) were less than or equal to 15.0% for all analytes.

Average relative response factors (RRF) for all analytes were within validation criteria.

The percent differences (%D) of the initial calibration verification (ICV) standard were less than or equal to 20.0% for all analytes.

# IV. Continuing Calibration

Continuing calibration was performed at the required frequencies.

The percent differences (%D) were less than or equal to 20.0% for all analytes.

The percent differences (%D) of the ending continuing calibration verifications (CCVs) were less than or equal to 50.0% for all analytes.

All of the continuing calibration relative response factors (RRF) were within validation criteria.

## V. Laboratory Blanks

Laboratory blanks were analyzed as required by the method. No contaminants were found in the laboratory blanks.

## VI. Field Blanks

Sample ERH1794 was identified as a trip blank. No contaminants were found.

# VII. Surrogates

Surrogates were added to all samples as required by the method. All surrogate recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

#### VIII. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

The laboratory has indicated that there were no matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyses specified for the samples in this SDG, and therefore matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate analyses were not performed for this SDG.

## IX. Laboratory Control Samples

Laboratory control samples (LCS) and laboratory control samples duplicates (LCSD) were analyzed as required by the method. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits. Relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

#### X. Field Duplicates

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

#### XI. Internal Standards

All internal standard areas and retention times were within QC limits.

## XII. Target Analyte Quantitation

Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

## XIII. Target Analyte Identification

Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

#### XIV. System Performance

Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

#### XV. Overall Assessment of Data

The analysis was conducted within all specifications of the method. No results were rejected or recommended for exclusion in this SDG.

Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126 Volatiles - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 97782

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126 Volatiles - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 97782

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126 Volatiles - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 97782

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

#### VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET

Stage 2B

LDC #: 52818B1a SDG #: 97782

Date: Page: **Reviewer:** 2nd Reviewer

Laboratory: APPL, Inc., Clovis, CA

#### METHOD: GC/MS Volatiles (BTEX)(EPA SW 846 Method 8260B)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
١.	Sample receipt/Technical holding times	A A	
П.	GC/MS Instrument performance check	A	
111.	Initial calibration/ICV	AIA	2/0 PSD ≤ 15 ICY ≤ 20
IV.	Continuing calibration ending	$\land$	CLV = 20 N
V.	Laboratory Blanks	A	
VI.	Field blanks	NN.	TB=1
VII.	Surrogate spikes	Δ	
VIII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	N	
IX.	Laboratory control samples	А	Los ID
X.	Field duplicates	N	
XI.	Internal standards	Δ	
XII.	Target analyte quantitation	N	
XIII.	Target analyte identification	N	
XIV.	System performance	N .	
XV.	Overall assessment of data	A	

Note:

A = Acceptable N = Not provided/applicable SW = See worksheet

ND = No compounds detected
R = Rinsate
FB = Field blank

D = Duplicate TB = Trip blank EB = Equipment blank SB=Source blank OTHER:

	Client ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Date
- 1	ERH1794 <b>T</b> B	BA42523	Water	10/06/21
2	ERH1795	BA42524	Water	10/06/21
3				
4				
5				
6				
7				
8				
9				
Notes:				

211014BM				

# Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc. Data Validation Report

Project/Site Name:	Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126
--------------------	---

LDC Report Date: January 10, 2022

- Parameters: Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons
- Validation Level: Stage 2B

Laboratory: APPL, Inc., Clovis, CA

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 97782

	Laboratory Sample		Collection
Sample Identification	Identification	Matrix	Date
ERH1795	BA42524	Water	10/06/21

#### Introduction

This Data Validation Report (DVR) presents data validation findings and results for the associated samples listed on the cover page. Data validation was performed in accordance with the Work Plan/Scope of Work, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 02, January 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 01, April 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 01, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, September 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 03, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, June 2018), the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) Quality Systems Manual (QSM) for Environmental Laboratories, Version 5.3 (2019), the DoD General Validation Guidelines (November 2019), and the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) Data Validation Guidelines Module 1: Data Validation Procedure for Organic Analysis by GC/MS (May 2020). Where specific guidance was not available, the data has been evaluated in a conservative manner consistent with industry standards using professional experience.

The analyses were performed by the following method:

Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAHs) which are 1-Methylnaphthalene, 2-Methylnaphthalene, and Naphthalene by Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) SW 846 Method 8270D in Selected Ion Monitoring (SIM) mode

All sample results were subjected to Stage 2B data validation, which comprises an evaluation of quality control (QC) summary results.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers utilized during data validation:

- J+ (Estimated, High Bias): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated, displaying high bias, due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- J- (Estimated, Low Bias): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated, displaying low bias, due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- J (Estimated, Bias Indeterminate): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated due to non-conformances discovered during data validation. Bias is indeterminate.
- U (Non-detected): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the analyte should be considered non-detected due to the presence of contaminants detected in the associated blank(s).
- UJ (Non-detected estimated): The analyte was not detected and the associated numerical value is approximate.
- X (Exclusion of data recommended): The sample results (including non-detects) were affected by serious deficiencies in the ability to analyze the sample and to meet published method and project quality control criteria. The presence or absence of the analyte cannot be substantiated by the data provided. Exclusion of the data is recommended.
- NA (Not Applicable): The non-conformance discovered during data validation demonstrates a high bias, while the affected analyte in the associated sample(s) was reported as not detected by the laboratory and did not warrant the qualification of the data.

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

## **Qualification Code Reference**

- a ICP Serial Dilution %D was not within control limits.
- b Presumed contamination from preparation (method blank).
- c Calibration %RSD, r, r<sup>2</sup>, %D or %R was noncompliant.
- d The analysis with this flag should not be used because another more technically sound analysis is available.
- e MS/MSD or Duplicate RPD was high.
- f Presumed contamination from FB or ER.
- g ICP ICS results were unsatisfactory.
- h Holding times were exceeded.
- i Internal standard performance was unsatisfactory.
- k Estimated Maximum Possible Concentration (HRGC/HRMS only)
- LCS/LCSD %R was not within control limits.
- m Result exceeded the calibration range.
- o Cooler temperature or temperature blank was noncompliant and/or sample custody problems.
- p RPD between two columns was high (GC only).
- q MS/MSD recovery was not within control limits.
- s Surrogate recovery was not within control limits.
- t Presumed contamination from trip blank.
- v Unusual problems found with the data not defined elsewhere. Description of the problem can be found in the validation report.
- w LCS/LCSD RPD was high.
- y Chemical recovery was not within control limits (Radiochemistry only).

# I. Sample Receipt and Technical Holding Times

All samples were received in good condition and cooler temperatures upon receipt met validation criteria.

All technical holding time requirements were met.

#### **II. GC/MS Instrument Performance Check**

A decafluorotriphenylphosphine (DFTPP) tune was performed at 12 hour intervals.

All ion abundance requirements were met.

#### III. Initial Calibration and Initial Calibration Verification

An initial calibration was performed as required by the method.

The percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) were less than or equal to 15.0% for all analytes.

Average relative response factors (RRF) for all analytes were within validation criteria.

The percent differences (%D) of the initial calibration verification (ICV) standard were less than or equal to 20.0% for all analytes.

## **IV. Continuing Calibration**

Continuing calibration was performed at the required frequencies.

The percent differences (%D) were less than or equal to 20.0% for all analytes.

The percent differences (%D) of the ending continuing calibration verifications (CCVs) were less than or equal to 50.0% for all analytes.

All of the continuing calibration relative response factors (RRF) were within validation criteria.

#### V. Laboratory Blanks

Laboratory blanks were analyzed as required by the method. No contaminants were found in the laboratory blanks.

#### VI. Field Blanks

No field blanks were identified in this SDG.

## VII. Surrogates

Surrogates were added to all samples as required by the method. All surrogate recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

#### VIII. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

The laboratory has indicated that there were no matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyses specified for the samples in this SDG, and therefore matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate analyses were not performed for this SDG.

#### IX. Laboratory Control Samples

Laboratory control samples (LCS) and laboratory control samples duplicates (LCSD) were analyzed as required by the method. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits. Relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

#### X. Field Duplicates

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

#### XI. Internal Standards

All internal standard areas and retention times were within QC limits.

## XII. Target Analyte Quantitation

Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

#### XIII. Target Analyte Identification

Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

#### XIV. System Performance

Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

#### XV. Overall Assessment of Data

The analysis was conducted within all specifications of the method. No results were rejected or recommended for exclusion in this SDG.

Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126 Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 97782

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126

Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 97782

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126 Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary -SDG 97782

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

#### VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET

Stage 2B

Date: <u>1/3</u>/22 Page: <u>1</u> of <u>1</u> Reviewer: <u>1</u> 2nd Reviewer: <u>1</u>

SDG #: <u>97782</u> Laboratory: <u>APPL, Inc., Clovis, CA</u>

LDC #: 52818B2b

#### METHOD: GC/MS Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons (EPA SW846 Method 8270D-SIM)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Sample receipt/Technical holding times	A <i>i</i> A	
11.	GC/MS Instrument performance check	Δ	
.	Initial calibration/ICV	$\Delta_{\Lambda}$	°/0 PSD ≤ 15 104 € 20
IV.	Continuing calibration ending	Δ	av 6 20 50
V.	Laboratory Blanks	A	
VI.	Field blanks	N	
VII.	Surrogate spikes	A	
VIII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	N	\$
IX.	Laboratory control samples		les 12
X.	Field duplicates	N	
XI.	Internal standards	Δ	
XII.	Target analyte quantitation	N	
XIII.	Target analyte identification	N	
XIV.	System performance	N	
XV.	Overall assessment of data	Δ	

Note:

A = Acceptable N = Not provided/applicable SW = See worksheet

ND = No compounds detected
R = Rinsate
FB = Field blank

D = Duplicate TB = Trip blank EB = Equipment blank SB=Source blank OTHER:

	Client ID			Lab ID	Matrix	x	Date
1	ERH1795	 	 	BA42524	Water	r	10/06/21
2		 					
3							
4		 					
5							
6							
7							
8							
9						······	
Notes	:						
	211012AK						

# **LDC Report#** 52818B6

# Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc. Data Validation Report

Project/Site Name:	Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126
--------------------	---

LDC Report Date: December 29, 2021

Parameters: Total Organic Carbon

Validation Level: Stage 2B

Laboratory: APPL, Inc., Clovis, CA

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 97782

Sample Identification	Laboratory Sample	Motrix	Collection
Sample Identification	Identification	watrix	Date
ERH1795	BA42524	Water	10/06/21

#### Introduction

This Data Validation Report (DVR) presents data validation findings and results for the associated samples listed on the cover page. Data validation was performed in accordance with the Work Plan/Scope of Work, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 02, January 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 01, April 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 01, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, September 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 03, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, June 2018), the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) Quality Systems Manual (QSM) for Environmental Laboratories, Version 5.3 (2019), and the DoD General Validation Guidelines (November 2019). Where specific guidance was not available, the data has been evaluated in a conservative manner consistent with industry standards using professional experience.

The analyses were performed by the following method:

Total Organic Carbon by Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) SW 846 Method 9060A

All sample results were subjected to Stage 2B data validation, which comprises an evaluation of quality control (QC) summary results.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers utilized during data validation:

- J+ (Estimated, High Bias): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated, displaying high bias, due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- J- (Estimated, Low Bias): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated, displaying low bias, due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- J (Estimated, Bias Indeterminate): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated due to non-conformances discovered during data validation. Bias is indeterminate.
- U (Non-detected): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the analyte should be considered non-detected due to the presence of contaminants detected in the associated blank(s).
- UJ (Non-detected estimated): The analyte was not detected and the associated numerical value is approximate.
- X (Exclusion of data recommended): The sample results (including non-detects) were affected by serious deficiencies in the ability to analyze the sample and to meet published method and project quality control criteria. The presence or absence of the analyte cannot be substantiated by the data provided. Exclusion of the data is recommended.
- NA (Not Applicable): The non-conformance discovered during data validation demonstrates a high bias, while the affected analyte in the associated sample(s) was reported as not detected by the laboratory and did not warrant the qualification of the data.

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

#### **Qualification Code Reference**

- a ICP Serial Dilution %D was not within control limits.
- b Presumed contamination from preparation (method blank).
- c Calibration %RSD, r, r<sup>2</sup>, %D or %R was noncompliant.
- d The analysis with this flag should not be used because another more technically sound analysis is available.
- e MS/MSD or Duplicate RPD was high.
- f Presumed contamination from FB or ER.
- g ICP ICS results were unsatisfactory.
- h Holding times were exceeded.
- i Internal standard performance was unsatisfactory.
- k Estimated Maximum Possible Concentration (HRGC/HRMS only)
- LCS/LCSD %R was not within control limits.
- m Result exceeded the calibration range.
- o Cooler temperature or temperature blank was noncompliant and/or sample custody problems.
- p RPD between two columns was high (GC only).
- q MS/MSD recovery was not within control limits.
- s Surrogate recovery was not within control limits.
- t Presumed contamination from trip blank.
- v Unusual problems found with the data not defined elsewhere. Description of the problem can be found in the validation report.
- w LCS/LCSD RPD was high.
- y Chemical recovery was not within control limits (Radiochemistry only).

# I. Sample Receipt and Technical Holding Times

All samples were received in good condition.

All technical holding time requirements were met.

# **II. Initial Calibration**

All criteria for the initial calibration were met.

# III. Continuing Calibration

Continuing calibration frequency and analysis criteria were met.

## **IV. Laboratory Blanks**

Laboratory blanks were analyzed as required by the method. No contaminants were found in the laboratory blanks.

# V. Field Blanks

No field blanks were identified in this SDG.

## VI. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

The laboratory has indicated that there were no matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyses specified for the samples in this SDG, and therefore matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate analyses were not performed for this SDG.

## VII. Duplicate Sample Analysis

The laboratory has indicated that there were no duplicate (DUP) analyses specified for the samples in this SDG, and therefore duplicate analyses were not performed for this SDG.

## **VIII. Laboratory Control Samples**

Laboratory control samples (LCS) and laboratory control samples duplicates (LCSD) were analyzed as required by the method. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits. Relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

# IX. Field Duplicates

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

# X. Target Analyte Quantitation

Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

# XI. Overall Assessment of Data

The analysis was conducted within all specifications of the method. No results were rejected or recommended for exclusion in this SDG.

## Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126 Total Organic Carbon - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 97782

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126 Total Organic Carbon - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 97782

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126 Total Organic Carbon - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 97782

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

LDC #:_	52818B6	V
SDG #:_	97782	
Laborato	ory: APPL, Inc	., Clovis, CA

# ALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET

Stage 2B



#### METHOD: (Analyte) TOC (EPA SW846 Method 9060A)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
١.	Sample receipt/Technical holding times	AIA	
- 11	Initial calibration	A	
- 111.	Calibration verification	A	
IV	Laboratory Blanks	A	
v	Field blanks	N	
VI.	Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates	N	Cis
VII.	Duplicate sample analysis	N	
VIII.	Laboratory control samples	A	LCS/LCSD
IX.	Field duplicates	N	
Х.	Target Analyte Quantitation	N	
XI.	Overall assessment of data	A	,

Note:

A = Acceptable N = Not provided/applicable

SW = See worksheet

ND = No compounds detected R = Rinsate FB = Field blank

D = Duplicate			
TB = Trip blank			
EB = Equipment blank			

SB=Source blank OTHER:

Date

10/06/21

**Client ID** Matrix Lab ID ERH1795 BA42524 Water 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13

Notes:

14
# Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc. Data Validation Report

Project/Site Name:	Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126
--------------------	---

LDC Report Date: January 10, 2022

- Parameters: Gasoline Range Organics
- Validation Level: Stage 2B

Laboratory: APPL, Inc., Clovis, CA

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 97782

Sample Identification	Laboratory Sample Identification	Matrix	Collection Date
ERH1794	BA42523	Water	10/06/21
ERH1795	BA42524	Water	10/06/21

## Introduction

This Data Validation Report (DVR) presents data validation findings and results for the associated samples listed on the cover page. Data validation was performed in accordance with the Work Plan/Scope of Work, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 02, January 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 01, April 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 01, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, September 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 03, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, June 2018), the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) Quality Systems Manual (QSM) for Environmental Laboratories, Version 5.3 (2019), the DoD General Validation Guidelines (November 2019), and the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) Data Validation Guidelines Module 4: Data Validation Procedure for Organic Analysis by GC (March 2021). Where specific guidance was not available, the data has been evaluated in a conservative manner consistent with industry standards using professional experience.

The analyses were performed by the following method:

Gasoline Range Organics by Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) SW 846 Method 8260B

All sample results were subjected to Stage 2B data validation, which comprises an evaluation of quality control (QC) summary results.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers utilized during data validation:

- J+ (Estimated, High Bias): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated, displaying high bias, due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- J- (Estimated, Low Bias): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated, displaying low bias, due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- J (Estimated, Bias Indeterminate): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated due to non-conformances discovered during data validation. Bias is indeterminate.
- U (Non-detected): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the analyte should be considered non-detected due to the presence of contaminants detected in the associated blank(s).
- UJ (Non-detected estimated): The analyte was not detected and the associated numerical value is approximate.
- X (Exclusion of data recommended): The sample results (including non-detects) were affected by serious deficiencies in the ability to analyze the sample and to meet published method and project quality control criteria. The presence or absence of the analyte cannot be substantiated by the data provided. Exclusion of the data is recommended.
- NA (Not Applicable): The non-conformance discovered during data validation demonstrates a high bias, while the affected analyte in the associated sample(s) was reported as not detected by the laboratory and did not warrant the qualification of the data.

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

## **Qualification Code Reference**

- a ICP Serial Dilution %D was not within control limits.
- b Presumed contamination from preparation (method blank).
- c Calibration %RSD, r,  $r^2$ , %D or %R was noncompliant.
- d The analysis with this flag should not be used because another more technically sound analysis is available.
- e MS/MSD or Duplicate RPD was high.
- f Presumed contamination from FB or ER.
- g ICP ICS results were unsatisfactory.
- h Holding times were exceeded.
- i Internal standard performance was unsatisfactory.
- k Estimated Maximum Possible Concentration (HRGC/HRMS only)
- LCS/LCSD %R was not within control limits.
- m Result exceeded the calibration range.
- o Cooler temperature or temperature blank was noncompliant and/or sample custody problems.
- p RPD between two columns was high (GC only).
- q MS/MSD recovery was not within control limits.
- s Surrogate recovery was not within control limits.
- t Presumed contamination from trip blank.
- v Unusual problems found with the data not defined elsewhere. Description of the problem can be found in the validation report.
- w LCS/LCSD RPD was high.
- y Chemical recovery was not within control limits (Radiochemistry only).

## I. Sample Receipt and Technical Holding Times

All samples were received in good condition and cooler temperatures upon receipt met validation criteria.

All technical holding time requirements were met.

## II. Initial Calibration and Initial Calibration Verification

An initial calibration was performed as required by the method.

A curve fit, based on the initial calibration, was established for quantitation. The coefficient of determination  $(r^2)$  was greater than or equal to 0.990.

The percent differences (%D) of the initial calibration verification (ICV) standard were less than or equal to 20.0%.

## III. Continuing Calibration

Continuing calibration was performed at the required frequencies.

The percent differences (%D) were less than or equal to 20.0%.

The percent differences (%D) of the ending continuing calibration verifications (CCVs) were less than or equal to 20.0% for all analytes.

## IV. Laboratory Blanks

Laboratory blanks were analyzed as required by the method. No contaminants were found in the laboratory blanks.

## V. Field Blanks

Sample ERH1794 was identified as a trip blank. No contaminants were found.

## VI. Surrogates

Surrogates were added to all samples as required by the method. All surrogate recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

## VII. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

The laboratory has indicated that there were no matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyses specified for the samples in this SDG, and therefore matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate analyses were not performed for this SDG.

## VIII. Laboratory Control Samples

Laboratory control samples (LCS) and laboratory control samples duplicates (LCSD) were analyzed as required by the method. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits. Relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

#### **IX. Field Duplicates**

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

## X. Target Analyte Quantitation

Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

## XI. Target Analyte Identification

Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

## XII. Overall Assessment of Data

The analysis was conducted within all specifications of the method. No results were rejected or recommended for exclusion in this SDG.

Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126 Gasoline Range Organics - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 97782

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126 Gasoline Range Organics - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 97782

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126 Gasoline Range Organics - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 97782

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

#### VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET

Stage 2B

Date: <u>1 /3 2</u> 2 Page: <u>/ of /</u> Reviewer: <u></u> 2nd Reviewer: <u>7</u>

SDG #: <u>97782</u> Laboratory: <u>APPL, Inc., Clovis, CA</u>

LDC #: 52818B7

#### METHOD: GC/MS Gasoline Range Organics (EPA SW 846 Method 8260B)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
١.	Sample receipt/Technical holding times	AA	
	GC/MS Instrument performance check	A	
ш.	Initial calibration/ICV	$\Delta A$	r 101 = 20
IV.	Continuing calibration ending	<u>∧</u>	CW = 20 20
V.	Laboratory Blanks	A	
VI.	Field blanks	NY	TB= 1
VII.	Surrogate spikes	Δ	
VIII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	N	45
IX.	Laboratory control samples	A	ICS LD
Х.	Field duplicates	N	
XI.	Internal standards	4	
XII.	Target analyte quantitation	N	
XIII.	Target analyte identification	N	
XIV.	System performance	N	
XV.	Overall assessment of data		

Note:

A = Acceptable N = Not provided/applicable

SW = See worksheet

ND = No compounds detected R = Rinsate FB = Field blank D = Duplicate TB = Trip blank EB = Equipment blank SB=Source blank OTHER:

	Client ID		 Lab ID	Matrix	Date
1	ERH1794 <b>TB</b>		 BA42523	Water	10/06/21
2	ERH1795	 	 BA42524	Water	10/06/21
3		 			
4	-	 			
5		 			
6		 	 		
7		 			
8					
9		 			
Votes:		 			
	211014BM-BIK				

# Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc. Data Validation Report

Project/Site Name: Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126

LDC Report Date: January 10, 2022

- Parameters:Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Extractables
- Validation Level: Stage 2B

Laboratory: APPL, Inc., Clovis, CA

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 97782

Sample Identification	Laboratory Sample Identification	Matrix	Collection Date
ERH1795	BA42524	Water	10/06/21
ERH1795(SGCU)	BA42524(SGCU)	Water	10/06/21

#### Introduction

This Data Validation Report (DVR) presents data validation findings and results for the associated samples listed on the cover page. Data validation was performed in accordance with the Work Plan/Scope of Work, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 02, January 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 01, April 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 01, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, September 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 03, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, June 2018), the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) Quality Systems Manual (QSM) for Environmental Laboratories, Version 5.3 (2019), the DoD General Validation Guidelines (November 2019), and the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) Data Validation Guidelines Module 4: Data Validation Procedure for Organic Analysis by GC (March 2021). Where specific guidance was not available, the data has been evaluated in a conservative manner consistent with industry standards using professional experience.

The analyses were performed by the following method:

Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (TPH) as Extractables by Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) SW 846 Method 8015B

All sample results were subjected to Stage 2B data validation, which comprises an evaluation of quality control (QC) summary results.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers utilized during data validation:

- J+ (Estimated, High Bias): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated, displaying high bias, due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- J- (Estimated, Low Bias): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated, displaying low bias, due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- J (Estimated, Bias Indeterminate): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated due to non-conformances discovered during data validation. Bias is indeterminate.
- U (Non-detected): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the analyte should be considered non-detected due to the presence of contaminants detected in the associated blank(s).
- UJ (Non-detected estimated): The analyte was not detected and the associated numerical value is approximate.
- X (Exclusion of data recommended): The sample results (including non-detects) were affected by serious deficiencies in the ability to analyze the sample and to meet published method and project quality control criteria. The presence or absence of the analyte cannot be substantiated by the data provided. Exclusion of the data is recommended.
- NA (Not Applicable): The non-conformance discovered during data validation demonstrates a high bias, while the affected analyte in the associated sample(s) was reported as not detected by the laboratory and did not warrant the qualification of the data.

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

## Qualification Code Reference

- a ICP Serial Dilution %D was not within control limits.
- b Presumed contamination from preparation (method blank).
- c Calibration %RSD, r,  $r^2$ , %D or %R was noncompliant.
- d The analysis with this flag should not be used because another more technically sound analysis is available.
- e MS/MSD or Duplicate RPD was high.
- f Presumed contamination from FB or ER.
- g ICP ICS results were unsatisfactory.
- h Holding times were exceeded.
- i Internal standard performance was unsatisfactory.
- k Estimated Maximum Possible Concentration (HRGC/HRMS only)
- LCS/LCSD %R was not within control limits.
- m Result exceeded the calibration range.
- o Cooler temperature or temperature blank was noncompliant and/or sample custody problems.
- p RPD between two columns was high (GC only).
- q MS/MSD recovery was not within control limits.
- s Surrogate recovery was not within control limits.
- t Presumed contamination from trip blank.
- v Unusual problems found with the data not defined elsewhere. Description of the problem can be found in the validation report.
- w LCS/LCSD RPD was high.
- y Chemical recovery was not within control limits (Radiochemistry only).

## I. Sample Receipt and Technical Holding Times

All samples were received in good condition and cooler temperatures upon receipt met validation criteria.

All technical holding time requirements were met.

## II. Initial Calibration and Initial Calibration Verification

An initial calibration was performed as required by the method.

For analytes where average calibration factors were utilized, percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) were less than or equal to 20.0%.

In the case where the laboratory used a calibration curve to evaluate the analytes, all coefficients of determination ( $r^2$ ) were greater than or equal to 0.990.

The percent differences (%D) of the initial calibration verification (ICV) standard were less than or equal to 20.0% for all analytes.

## III. Continuing Calibration

Continuing calibration was performed at the required frequencies.

The percent differences (%D) were less than or equal to 20.0% for all analytes.

The percent differences (%D) of the ending continuing calibration verifications (CCVs) were less than or equal to 20.0% for all analytes.

## IV. Laboratory Blanks

Laboratory blanks were analyzed as required by the method. No contaminants were found in the laboratory blanks.

## V. Field Blanks

No field blanks were identified in this SDG.

## VI. Surrogates

Surrogates were added to all samples as required by the method. All surrogate recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

## VII. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

The laboratory has indicated that there were no matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyses specified for the samples in this SDG, and therefore matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate analyses were not performed for this SDG.

#### VIII. Laboratory Control Samples

Laboratory control samples (LCS) and laboratory control samples duplicates (LCSD) were analyzed as required by the method. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits with the following exceptions:

LCS ID (Associated Samples)	Analyte	LCS %R (Limits)	LCSD %R (Limits)	Flag	A or P
211011A-LCS/LCSD (ERH1795)	Oil (C24-C40)	126 (41-113)	122 (41-113)	NA	-
211011A1-LCS/LCSD (ERH1795(SGCU))	Oil (C24-C40)	134 (41-113)	135 (41-113)	NA	-

Relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

#### IX. Field Duplicates

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

#### X. Target Analyte Quantitation

Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

#### XI. Target Analyte Identification

Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

#### XII. Overall Assessment of Data

The analysis was conducted within all specifications of the method. No results were rejected or recommended for exclusion in this SDG.

Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126 Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Extractables - Data Qualification Summary -SDG 97782

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126 Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Extractables - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 97782

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126 Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Extractables - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 97782

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

## VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET

Stage 2B

LDC #: <u>52818B8</u> **V/** SDG #: <u>97782</u> Laboratory: <u>APPL, Inc., Clovis, CA</u>

## Date: 1/3/27 Page: 1 of 1 Reviewer: 77 2nd Reviewer: 77

METHOD: GC TPH as Extractables (EPA SW 846 Method 8015B)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
١.	Sample receipt/Technical holding times	A1A	
١١.	Initial calibration/ICV	$\Delta/\Delta$	2/0 psD ≤ 20 12 1CY ≤ 20
111.	Continuing calibration Endine	Δ	CUV 520/20
IV.	Laboratory Blanks	A	
V.	Field blanks	N	
VI.	Surrogate spikes	4	
VII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	N	05
VIII.	Laboratory control samples	yyu	Les 112
IX.	Field duplicates	N	
Х.	Target analyte quantitation	N	
XI.	Target analyte identification N		
	Overall assessment of data		

Note:

A = Acceptable N = Not provided/applicable SW = See worksheet ND = No compounds detected R = Rinsate

FB = Field blank

D = Duplicate TB = Trip blank EB = Equipment blank SB=Source blank

OTHER:

	Client ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Date
1-1	ERH1795	BA42524	Water	10/06/21
2 2	ERH1795(SGCU)	BA42524(SGCU)	Water	10/06/21
3				
4				
5				
6				
7				
8				
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
Notes:				
-1	2 11 011 A			
12	211011A]			

LDC #: 52818BX

## VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)

(1)

METHOD: \_\_\_\_GC \_\_\_ HPLC

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A". <u>V N N/A</u> <u>Y N N/A</u> Were a laboratory control samples (LCS) and laboratory control sample duplicate (LCSD) analyzed for each matrix in this SDG? Were the LCS percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) within the QC limits?

## Level JXD Only

Y N N/A Was an LCS analyzed every 20 samples for each matrix or whenever a sample extraction was performed?

#	LCS/LCSD ID	Compound	LCS %R (Limits)	LCSD %R (Limits)	RPD (Limits)	Associated Samples	Qualifications
	211011A -	0il (c24-c40	126 (41-113)	122 (41-113)	( )	1. 211011A - BIK	Jtdut/P ND
	ics10		()	( )	()		
			()	( )	( )		
			( )	( )	()		
			( )	( )	()		
			( )	( )	()		
			( )	( )	( )		
			( )	()	()		
	21101141-	V	134 (41-113)	135 (41-113)	( )	2, 21101/AI-BIK	It du /p NO
	LOSID		()	()	()		
			( )	( )	( )		
			()_	()	()		
			( )	( )	()		
			( )	( )	( )		
			()	( )	()		
			L)				
			( )	( )	( )		
			( )	()	( )		
			( )	( )	( )		
			( )	( )	( )		
			()	( )	( )		
			( )	( )	()		
			( )	( )	()		

# Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc. Data Validation Report

Project/Site Name: Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126

LDC Report Date: January 10, 2022

Parameters: Volatiles

Validation Level: Stage 2B

Laboratory: APPL, Inc., Clovis, CA

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 97783

Sample Identification	Laboratory Sample Identification	Matrix	Collection Date
ERH1797	BA42526	Water	10/06/21
ERH1798	BA42527	Water	10/06/21
ERH1800	BA42528	Water	10/06/21

#### Introduction

This Data Validation Report (DVR) presents data validation findings and results for the associated samples listed on the cover page. Data validation was performed in accordance with the Work Plan/Scope of Work, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 02, January 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 01, April 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 01, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, September 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 03, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, June 2018), the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) Quality Systems Manual (QSM) for Environmental Laboratories, Version 5.3 (2019), the DoD General Validation Guidelines (November 2019), and the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) Data Validation Guidelines Module 1: Data Validation Procedure for Organic Analysis by GC/MS (May 2020). Where specific guidance was not available, the data has been evaluated in a conservative manner consistent with industry standards using professional experience.

The analyses were performed by the following method:

Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs) which are Benzene, Toluene, Ethylbenzene, Xylenes (BTEX) and Naphthalene by Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) SW 846 Method 8260B

All sample results were subjected to Stage 2B data validation, which comprises an evaluation of quality control (QC) summary results.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers utilized during data validation:

- J+ (Estimated, High Bias): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated, displaying high bias, due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- J- (Estimated, Low Bias): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated, displaying low bias, due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- J (Estimated, Bias Indeterminate): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated due to non-conformances discovered during data validation. Bias is indeterminate.
- U (Non-detected): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the analyte should be considered non-detected due to the presence of contaminants detected in the associated blank(s).
- UJ (Non-detected estimated): The analyte was not detected and the associated numerical value is approximate.
- X (Exclusion of data recommended): The sample results (including non-detects) were affected by serious deficiencies in the ability to analyze the sample and to meet published method and project quality control criteria. The presence or absence of the analyte cannot be substantiated by the data provided. Exclusion of the data is recommended.
- NA (Not Applicable): The non-conformance discovered during data validation demonstrates a high bias, while the affected analyte in the associated sample(s) was reported as not detected by the laboratory and did not warrant the qualification of the data.

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

## Qualification Code Reference

- a ICP Serial Dilution %D was not within control limits.
- b Presumed contamination from preparation (method blank).
- c Calibration %RSD, r,  $r^2$ , %D or %R was noncompliant.
- d The analysis with this flag should not be used because another more technically sound analysis is available.
- e MS/MSD or Duplicate RPD was high.
- f Presumed contamination from FB or ER.
- g ICP ICS results were unsatisfactory.
- h Holding times were exceeded.
- i Internal standard performance was unsatisfactory.
- k Estimated Maximum Possible Concentration (HRGC/HRMS only)
- LCS/LCSD %R was not within control limits.
- m Result exceeded the calibration range.
- o Cooler temperature or temperature blank was noncompliant and/or sample custody problems.
- p RPD between two columns was high (GC only).
- q MS/MSD recovery was not within control limits.
- s Surrogate recovery was not within control limits.
- t Presumed contamination from trip blank.
- v Unusual problems found with the data not defined elsewhere. Description of the problem can be found in the validation report.
- w LCS/LCSD RPD was high.
- y Chemical recovery was not within control limits (Radiochemistry only).

## I. Sample Receipt and Technical Holding Times

All samples were received in good condition and cooler temperatures upon receipt met validation criteria.

All technical holding time requirements were met.

## **II. GC/MS Instrument Performance Check**

A bromofluorobenzene (BFB) tune was performed at 12 hour intervals.

All ion abundance requirements were met.

## III. Initial Calibration and Initial Calibration Verification

An initial calibration was performed as required by the method.

The percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) were less than or equal to 15.0% for all analytes.

Average relative response factors (RRF) for all analytes were within validation criteria.

The percent differences (%D) of the initial calibration verification (ICV) standard were less than or equal to 20.0% for all analytes.

## IV. Continuing Calibration

Continuing calibration was performed at the required frequencies.

The percent differences (%D) were less than or equal to 20.0% for all analytes.

The percent differences (%D) of the ending continuing calibration verifications (CCVs) were less than or equal to 50.0% for all analytes.

All of the continuing calibration relative response factors (RRF) were within validation criteria.

## V. Laboratory Blanks

Laboratory blanks were analyzed as required by the method. No contaminants were found in the laboratory blanks.

## VI. Field Blanks

Sample ERH1797 was identified as a trip blank. No contaminants were found.

## VII. Surrogates

Surrogates were added to all samples as required by the method. All surrogate recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

## VIII. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

The laboratory has indicated that there were no matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyses specified for the samples in this SDG, and therefore matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate analyses were not performed for this SDG.

## IX. Laboratory Control Samples

Laboratory control samples (LCS) and laboratory control samples duplicates (LCSD) were analyzed as required by the method. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits. Relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

## X. Field Duplicates

Samples ERH1798 and ERH1800 were identified as field duplicates. No results were detected in any of the samples.

## XI. Internal Standards

All internal standard areas and retention times were within QC limits.

#### XII. Target Analyte Quantitation

Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

#### XIII. Target Analyte Identification

Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

#### XIV. System Performance

Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

## XV. Overall Assessment of Data

The analysis was conducted within all specifications of the method. No results were rejected or recommended for exclusion in this SDG.

## Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126 Volatiles - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 97783

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126 Volatiles - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 97783

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126 Volatiles - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 97783

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

ALIDATION	COMPL	<b>ETENESS</b>	WORKSHEET
-----------	-------	----------------	-----------

Stage 2B

LDC #:_	52818C1	a		V
SDG #:	97783			
Laborato	ory: APPL.	Inc.,	Clovis,	CA

Date: 1/3/22 Page: /of / Reviewer: 5 2nd Reviewer: 8

METHOD: GC/MS Volatiles (BTEX)(EPA SW 846 Method 8260B)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
Ι.	Sample receipt/Technical holding times	A /A	
١١.	GC/MS Instrument performance check	A	
	Initial calibration/ICV	A/A	$\%$ PSD $\leq 15$ ICY $\leq 20$
IV.	Continuing calibration evaluation	Δ	$c(V \leq 20)SD$
V.	Laboratory Blanks	A	
VI.	Field blanks	NN	TB= 1
VII.	Surrogate spikes	А	
VIII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	N	
IX.	Laboratory control samples	À	Kes ID
Х.	Field duplicates	NO	$D = \nu, \beta$
XI.	Internal standards	Δ	L.
XII.	Target analyte quantitation	N	
XIII.	Target analyte identification	N	
XIV.	System performance	N	
XV.	Overall assessment of data	5	

Note:

A = Acceptable N = Not provided/applicable SW = See worksheet ND = No compounds detected R = Rinsate FB = Field blank D = Duplicate TB = Trip blank EB = Equipment blank

SB=Source blank OTHER:

	Client ID			Lab ID	Matrix	Date
1 ~	ERH1797 TP		 	BA42526	Water	10/06/21
2 -	ERH1798	<u></u>	 	BA42527	Water	10/06/21
3	ERH1800		 	BA42528	Water	10/06/21
4			 			
5			 			
6	·		 <sup>111</sup>			
7						
8		, and the second se	 			
9			 			
Votes			 			
	211014BM-B114					

# Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc. Data Validation Report

Project/Site Name:	Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126
rejectente namer	

LDC Report Date: January 10, 2022

Parameters: Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons

Validation Level: Stage 2B

Laboratory: APPL, Inc., Clovis, CA

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 97783

Sample Identification	Laboratory Sample Identification	Matrix	Collection Date
ERH1798	BA42527	Water	10/06/21
ERH1800	BA42528	Water	10/06/21

#### Introduction

This Data Validation Report (DVR) presents data validation findings and results for the associated samples listed on the cover page. Data validation was performed in accordance with the Work Plan/Scope of Work, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 02, January 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 01, April 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 01, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, September 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 03, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, June 2018), the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) Quality Systems Manual (QSM) for Environmental Laboratories, Version 5.3 (2019), the DoD General Validation Guidelines (November 2019), and the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) Data Validation Guidelines Module 1: Data Validation Procedure for Organic Analysis by GC/MS (May 2020). Where specific guidance was not available, the data has been evaluated in a conservative manner consistent with industry standards using professional experience.

The analyses were performed by the following method:

Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAHs) which are 1-Methylnaphthalene, 2-Methylnaphthalene, and Naphthalene by Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) SW 846 Method 8270D in Selected Ion Monitoring (SIM) mode

All sample results were subjected to Stage 2B data validation, which comprises an evaluation of quality control (QC) summary results.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers utilized during data validation:

- J+ (Estimated, High Bias): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated, displaying high bias, due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- J- (Estimated, Low Bias): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated, displaying low bias, due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- J (Estimated, Bias Indeterminate): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated due to non-conformances discovered during data validation. Bias is indeterminate.
- U (Non-detected): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the analyte should be considered non-detected due to the presence of contaminants detected in the associated blank(s).
- UJ (Non-detected estimated): The analyte was not detected and the associated numerical value is approximate.
- X (Exclusion of data recommended): The sample results (including non-detects) were affected by serious deficiencies in the ability to analyze the sample and to meet published method and project quality control criteria. The presence or absence of the analyte cannot be substantiated by the data provided. Exclusion of the data is recommended.
- NA (Not Applicable): The non-conformance discovered during data validation demonstrates a high bias, while the affected analyte in the associated sample(s) was reported as not detected by the laboratory and did not warrant the qualification of the data.

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

## Qualification Code Reference

- a ICP Serial Dilution %D was not within control limits.
- b Presumed contamination from preparation (method blank).
- c Calibration %RSD, r,  $r^2$ , %D or %R was noncompliant.
- d The analysis with this flag should not be used because another more technically sound analysis is available.
- e MS/MSD or Duplicate RPD was high.
- f Presumed contamination from FB or ER.
- g ICP ICS results were unsatisfactory.
- h Holding times were exceeded.
- i Internal standard performance was unsatisfactory.
- k Estimated Maximum Possible Concentration (HRGC/HRMS only)
- LCS/LCSD %R was not within control limits.
- m Result exceeded the calibration range.
- o Cooler temperature or temperature blank was noncompliant and/or sample custody problems.
- p RPD between two columns was high (GC only).
- q MS/MSD recovery was not within control limits.
- s Surrogate recovery was not within control limits.
- t Presumed contamination from trip blank.
- v Unusual problems found with the data not defined elsewhere. Description of the problem can be found in the validation report.
- w LCS/LCSD RPD was high.
- y Chemical recovery was not within control limits (Radiochemistry only).

## I. Sample Receipt and Technical Holding Times

All samples were received in good condition and cooler temperatures upon receipt met validation criteria.

All technical holding time requirements were met.

## **II. GC/MS Instrument Performance Check**

A decafluorotriphenylphosphine (DFTPP) tune was performed at 12 hour intervals.

All ion abundance requirements were met.

## III. Initial Calibration and Initial Calibration Verification

An initial calibration was performed as required by the method.

The percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) were less than or equal to 15.0% for all analytes.

Average relative response factors (RRF) for all analytes were within validation criteria.

The percent differences (%D) of the initial calibration verification (ICV) standard were less than or equal to 20.0% for all analytes.

## IV. Continuing Calibration

Continuing calibration was performed at the required frequencies.

The percent differences (%D) were less than or equal to 20.0% for all analytes.

The percent differences (%D) of the ending continuing calibration verifications (CCVs) were less than or equal to 50.0% for all analytes.

All of the continuing calibration relative response factors (RRF) were within validation criteria.

## V. Laboratory Blanks

Laboratory blanks were analyzed as required by the method. No contaminants were found in the laboratory blanks.

## VI. Field Blanks

No field blanks were identified in this SDG.

## VII. Surrogates

Surrogates were added to all samples as required by the method. All surrogate recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

## VIII. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

The laboratory has indicated that there were no matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyses specified for the samples in this SDG, and therefore matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate analyses were not performed for this SDG.

## IX. Laboratory Control Samples

Laboratory control samples (LCS) and laboratory control samples duplicates (LCSD) were analyzed as required by the method. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits. Relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

## X. Field Duplicates

Samples ERH1798 and ERH1800 were identified as field duplicates. No results were detected in any of the samples.

## XI. Internal Standards

All internal standard areas and retention times were within QC limits.

## XII. Target Analyte Quantitation

Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

#### XIII. Target Analyte Identification

Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

#### XIV. System Performance

Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

## XV. Overall Assessment of Data

The analysis was conducted within all specifications of the method. No results were rejected or recommended for exclusion in this SDG.

Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126 Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 97783

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126 Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 97783

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126 Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary -SDG 97783

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

#### VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET

LDC #: 52818C2b SDG #: 97783 Laboratory: APPL, Inc., Clovis, CA

Stage 2B

Date: _Page:_ 	1/3/ 1_of_/ 1/	22
2nd Reviewer:	h	2

METHOD: GC/MS Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons (EPA SW846 Method 8270D-SIM)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
١.	Sample receipt/Technical holding times	AA	
11.	GC/MS Instrument performance check	4	
- 111.	Initial calibration/ICV	ALA	0/0 PSD = IT KY = 20
IV.	Continuing calibration	A	CW = 20/50
V.	Laboratory Blanks	A	
VI.	Field blanks	2	
VII.	Surrogate spikes	A	
VIII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	N	ሁን
IX.	Laboratory control samples	A	LOSID
Х.	Field duplicates	ND	D = 1, 2
XI.	Internal standards	$\wedge$	
XII.	Target analyte quantitation	N	
XIII.	Target analyte identification	N	
XIV.	System performance	N	
XV.	Overall assessment of data	A	

Note:

A = Acceptable N = Not provided/applicable SW = See worksheet

ND = No compounds detected
R = Rinsate
FB = Field blank

D = Duplicate TB = Trip blank EB = Equipment blank SB=Source blank OTHER:

	Client ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Date
17	ERH1798	BA42527	Water	10/06/21
2	ERH1800	BA42528	Water	10/06/21
3				
4				
5				
6				
7				
8				
9				
Notes	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
	211012AK - BK			

# Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc. Data Validation Report

Project/Site Name:	Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126
--------------------	---

LDC Report Date: December 29, 2021

Parameters: Total Organic Carbon

Validation Level: Stage 2B

Laboratory: APPL, Inc., Clovis, CA

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 97783

Sample Identification	Laboratory Sample	Matrix	Collection Date
ERH1798	BA42527	Water	10/06/21

#### Introduction

This Data Validation Report (DVR) presents data validation findings and results for the associated samples listed on the cover page. Data validation was performed in accordance with the Work Plan/Scope of Work, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 02, January 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 01, April 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 01, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, September 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 03, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, June 2018), the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) Quality Systems Manual (QSM) for Environmental Laboratories, Version 5.3 (2019), and the DoD General Validation Guidelines (November 2019). Where specific guidance was not available, the data has been evaluated in a conservative manner consistent with industry standards using professional experience.

The analyses were performed by the following method:

Total Organic Carbon by Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) SW 846 Method 9060A

All sample results were subjected to Stage 2B data validation, which comprises an evaluation of quality control (QC) summary results.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers utilized during data validation:

- J+ (Estimated, High Bias): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated, displaying high bias, due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- J- (Estimated, Low Bias): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated, displaying low bias, due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- J (Estimated, Bias Indeterminate): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated due to non-conformances discovered during data validation. Bias is indeterminate.
- U (Non-detected): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the analyte should be considered non-detected due to the presence of contaminants detected in the associated blank(s).
- UJ (Non-detected estimated): The analyte was not detected and the associated numerical value is approximate.
- X (Exclusion of data recommended): The sample results (including non-detects) were affected by serious deficiencies in the ability to analyze the sample and to meet published method and project quality control criteria. The presence or absence of the analyte cannot be substantiated by the data provided. Exclusion of the data is recommended.
- NA (Not Applicable): The non-conformance discovered during data validation demonstrates a high bias, while the affected analyte in the associated sample(s) was reported as not detected by the laboratory and did not warrant the qualification of the data.

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.
### **Qualification Code Reference**

- a ICP Serial Dilution %D was not within control limits.
- b Presumed contamination from preparation (method blank).
- c Calibration %RSD, r, r<sup>2</sup>, %D or %R was noncompliant.
- d The analysis with this flag should not be used because another more technically sound analysis is available.
- e MS/MSD or Duplicate RPD was high.
- f Presumed contamination from FB or ER.
- g ICP ICS results were unsatisfactory.
- h Holding times were exceeded.
- i Internal standard performance was unsatisfactory.
- k Estimated Maximum Possible Concentration (HRGC/HRMS only)
- I LCS/LCSD %R was not within control limits.
- m Result exceeded the calibration range.
- o Cooler temperature or temperature blank was noncompliant and/or sample custody problems.
- p RPD between two columns was high (GC only).
- q MS/MSD recovery was not within control limits.
- s Surrogate recovery was not within control limits.
- t Presumed contamination from trip blank.
- v Unusual problems found with the data not defined elsewhere. Description of the problem can be found in the validation report.
- w LCS/LCSD RPD was high.
- y Chemical recovery was not within control limits (Radiochemistry only).

# I. Sample Receipt and Technical Holding Times

All samples were received in good condition.

All technical holding time requirements were met.

### II. Initial Calibration

All criteria for the initial calibration were met.

### III. Continuing Calibration

Continuing calibration frequency and analysis criteria were met.

### IV. Laboratory Blanks

Laboratory blanks were analyzed as required by the method. No contaminants were found in the laboratory blanks.

### V. Field Blanks

No field blanks were identified in this SDG.

### VI. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

The laboratory has indicated that there were no matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyses specified for the samples in this SDG, and therefore matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate analyses were not performed for this SDG.

### VII. Duplicate Sample Analysis

The laboratory has indicated that there were no duplicate (DUP) analyses specified for the samples in this SDG, and therefore duplicate analyses were not performed for this SDG.

### VIII. Laboratory Control Samples

Laboratory control samples (LCS) and laboratory control samples duplicates (LCSD) were analyzed as required by the method. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits. Relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

# **IX. Field Duplicates**

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

# X. Target Analyte Quantitation

Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

# XI. Overall Assessment of Data

The analysis was conducted within all specifications of the method. No results were rejected or recommended for exclusion in this SDG.

### Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126 Total Organic Carbon - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 97783

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126 Total Organic Carbon - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 97783

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126 Total Organic Carbon - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 97783

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

 VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET
 Stage 2B

LDC #: <u>52818C6</u> **V/** SDG #: <u>97783</u> Laboratory: <u>APPL, Inc., Clovis, CA</u>

### Date: <u>12|23|</u>2| Page: <u>1 of 1</u> Reviewer: <u>411/</u> 2nd Reviewer: <u>^</u>

#### METHOD: (Analyte) TOC (EPA SW846 Method 9060A)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
Ι.	Sample receipt/Technical holding times	AIA	
Ш	Initial calibration	A	
111.	Calibration verification	A	
IV	Laboratory Blanks	A	
v	Field blanks	N	
VI.	Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates	N	C.S
VII.	Duplicate sample analysis	N	
VIII.	Laboratory control samples	A	LCSILCSD
IX.	Field duplicates	Ň	
Х.	Target Analyte Quantitation	N	
XI.	Overall assessment of data	A	

Note:

F

A = Acceptable N = Not provided/applicable SW = See worksheet ND = No compounds detected R = RinsateFB = Field blank

D = Duplicate
TB = Trip blank
EB = Equipment blank

SB=Source blank OTHER:

٦

	Client ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Date
1	ERH1798	BA42527	Water	10/06/21
2				
3				
4				
5				
6				
7				
8				
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
Note	S:			

# Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc. Data Validation Report

Project/Site Name:	Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126
--------------------	---

LDC Report Date: January 10, 2022

- Parameters: Gasoline Range Organics
- Validation Level: Stage 2B

Laboratory: APPL, Inc., Clovis, CA

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 97783

Sample Identification	Laboratory Sample Identification	Matrix	Collection Date
ERH1797	BA42526	Water	10/06/21
ERH1798	BA42527	Water	10/06/21
ERH1800	BA42528	Water	10/06/21

### Introduction

This Data Validation Report (DVR) presents data validation findings and results for the associated samples listed on the cover page. Data validation was performed in accordance with the Work Plan/Scope of Work, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 02, January 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 01, April 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 01, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, September 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 03, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, June 2018), the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) Quality Systems Manual (QSM) for Environmental Laboratories, Version 5.3 (2019), the DoD General Validation Guidelines (November 2019), and the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) Data Validation Guidelines Module 4: Data Validation Procedure for Organic Analysis by GC (March 2021). Where specific guidance was not available, the data has been evaluated in a conservative manner consistent with industry standards using professional experience.

The analyses were performed by the following method:

Gasoline Range Organics by Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) SW 846 Method 8260B

All sample results were subjected to Stage 2B data validation, which comprises an evaluation of quality control (QC) summary results.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers utilized during data validation:

- J+ (Estimated, High Bias): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated, displaying high bias, due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- J- (Estimated, Low Bias): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated, displaying low bias, due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- J (Estimated, Bias Indeterminate): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated due to non-conformances discovered during data validation. Bias is indeterminate.
- U (Non-detected): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the analyte should be considered non-detected due to the presence of contaminants detected in the associated blank(s).
- UJ (Non-detected estimated): The analyte was not detected and the associated numerical value is approximate.
- X (Exclusion of data recommended): The sample results (including non-detects) were affected by serious deficiencies in the ability to analyze the sample and to meet published method and project quality control criteria. The presence or absence of the analyte cannot be substantiated by the data provided. Exclusion of the data is recommended.
- NA (Not Applicable): The non-conformance discovered during data validation demonstrates a high bias, while the affected analyte in the associated sample(s) was reported as not detected by the laboratory and did not warrant the qualification of the data.

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

### **Qualification Code Reference**

- a ICP Serial Dilution %D was not within control limits.
- b Presumed contamination from preparation (method blank).
- c Calibration %RSD, r,  $r^2$ , %D or %R was noncompliant.
- d The analysis with this flag should not be used because another more technically sound analysis is available.
- e MS/MSD or Duplicate RPD was high.
- f Presumed contamination from FB or ER.
- g ICP ICS results were unsatisfactory.
- h Holding times were exceeded.
- i Internal standard performance was unsatisfactory.
- k Estimated Maximum Possible Concentration (HRGC/HRMS only)
- LCS/LCSD %R was not within control limits.
- m Result exceeded the calibration range.
- o Cooler temperature or temperature blank was noncompliant and/or sample custody problems.
- p RPD between two columns was high (GC only).
- q MS/MSD recovery was not within control limits.
- s Surrogate recovery was not within control limits.
- t Presumed contamination from trip blank.
- v Unusual problems found with the data not defined elsewhere. Description of the problem can be found in the validation report.
- w LCS/LCSD RPD was high.
- y Chemical recovery was not within control limits (Radiochemistry only).

# I. Sample Receipt and Technical Holding Times

All samples were received in good condition and cooler temperatures upon receipt met validation criteria.

All technical holding time requirements were met.

### II. Initial Calibration and Initial Calibration Verification

An initial calibration was performed as required by the method.

A curve fit, based on the initial calibration, was established for quantitation. The coefficient of determination  $(r^2)$  was greater than or equal to 0.990.

The percent differences (%D) of the initial calibration verification (ICV) standard were less than or equal to 20.0%.

### III. Continuing Calibration

Continuing calibration was performed at the required frequencies.

The percent differences (%D) were less than or equal to 20.0%.

The percent differences (%D) of the ending continuing calibration verifications (CCVs) were less than or equal to 20.0% for all analytes.

### IV. Laboratory Blanks

Laboratory blanks were analyzed as required by the method. No contaminants were found in the laboratory blanks.

# V. Field Blanks

Sample ERH1797 was identified as a trip blank. No contaminants were found.

# VI. Surrogates

Surrogates were added to all samples as required by the method. All surrogate recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

### VII. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

The laboratory has indicated that there were no matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyses specified for the samples in this SDG, and therefore matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate analyses were not performed for this SDG.

### VIII. Laboratory Control Samples

Laboratory control samples (LCS) and laboratory control samples duplicates (LCSD) were analyzed as required by the method. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits. Relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

### **IX. Field Duplicates**

Samples ERH1798 and ERH1800 were identified as field duplicates. No results were detected in any of the samples.

### X. Target Analyte Quantitation

Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

### XI. Target Analyte Identification

Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

### XII. Overall Assessment of Data

The analysis was conducted within all specifications of the method. No results were rejected or recommended for exclusion in this SDG.

Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126 Gasoline Range Organics - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 97783

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126 Gasoline Range Organics - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 97783

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126 Gasoline Range Organics - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 97783

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

LDC #: 52818C7	VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET	Date: 1/3/2 2
SDG #: 97783	Stage 2B	Page:_/_of/
Laboratory: APPL, Inc., Clovis	, CA	Reviewer:
		2nd Reviewer:

### METHOD: GC/MS Gasoline Range Organics (EPA SW 846 Method 8260B)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
١.	Sample receipt/Technical holding times		
11.	GC/MS Instrument performance check	Δ	
Ш.	Initial calibration/ICV	414	$V^{2}$ $ICV = 20$
IV.	Continuing calibration / ending	Δ	CW = 20/2U
V.	Laboratory Blanks	<u> </u>	, ,
VI.	Field blanks	NN	TB = 1
VII.	Surrogate spikes		
VIII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	N	es .
IX.	Laboratory control samples	A	Kes 1P
Х.	Field duplicates	ND	$D = \chi, \mathcal{F}$
XI.	Internal standards		۲
XII.	Target analyte quantitation	N	
XIII.	Target analyte identification	N	
XIV.	System performance	N	
XV.	Overall assessment of data		

Note:

Г

A = Acceptable N = Not provided/applicable SW = Sec workshoot ND = No compounds detected R = Rinsate FB = Field blank

D = Duplicate
TB = Trip blank
EB = Equipment blank

Τ

SB=Source blank OTHER:

T

Т

٦

in – not provided/applicable	
SW = See worksheet	

	Client ID	 Lab ID	Matrix	Date
1-	ERH1797 โØ	 BA42526	Water	10/06/21
2	ERH1798	 BA42527	Water	10/06/21
3	ERH1800	 BA42528	Water	10/06/21
4		 		
5				
6				
7				
8				
9				
lotes				
	211014BM-BLK			

# Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc. Data Validation Report

Project/Site Name: Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126

LDC Report Date: January 10, 2022

Parameters:Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Extractables

Validation Level: Stage 2B

Laboratory: APPL, Inc., Clovis, CA

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 97783

Sample Identification	Laboratory Sample Identification	Matrix	Collection Date
ERH1798	BA42527	Water	10/06/21
ERH1800	BA42528	Water	10/06/21
ERH1798(SGCU)	BA42527(SGCU)	Water	10/06/21
ERH1800(SGCU)	BA42528(SGCU)	Water	10/06/21

### Introduction

This Data Validation Report (DVR) presents data validation findings and results for the associated samples listed on the cover page. Data validation was performed in accordance with the Work Plan/Scope of Work, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 02, January 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 01, April 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 01, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, September 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 03, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, June 2018), the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) Quality Systems Manual (QSM) for Environmental Laboratories, Version 5.3 (2019), the DoD General Validation Guidelines (November 2019), and the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) Data Validation Guidelines Module 4: Data Validation Procedure for Organic Analysis by GC (March 2021). Where specific guidance was not available, the data has been evaluated in a conservative manner consistent with industry standards using professional experience.

The analyses were performed by the following method:

Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (TPH) as Extractables by Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) SW 846 Method 8015B

All sample results were subjected to Stage 2B data validation, which comprises an evaluation of quality control (QC) summary results.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers utilized during data validation:

- J+ (Estimated, High Bias): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated, displaying high bias, due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- J- (Estimated, Low Bias): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated, displaying low bias, due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- J (Estimated, Bias Indeterminate): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated due to non-conformances discovered during data validation. Bias is indeterminate.
- U (Non-detected): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the analyte should be considered non-detected due to the presence of contaminants detected in the associated blank(s).
- UJ (Non-detected estimated): The analyte was not detected and the associated numerical value is approximate.
- X (Exclusion of data recommended): The sample results (including non-detects) were affected by serious deficiencies in the ability to analyze the sample and to meet published method and project quality control criteria. The presence or absence of the analyte cannot be substantiated by the data provided. Exclusion of the data is recommended.
- NA (Not Applicable): The non-conformance discovered during data validation demonstrates a high bias, while the affected analyte in the associated sample(s) was reported as not detected by the laboratory and did not warrant the qualification of the data.

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

### **Qualification Code Reference**

- a ICP Serial Dilution %D was not within control limits.
- b Presumed contamination from preparation (method blank).
- c Calibration %RSD, r,  $r^2$ , %D or %R was noncompliant.
- d The analysis with this flag should not be used because another more technically sound analysis is available.
- e MS/MSD or Duplicate RPD was high.
- f Presumed contamination from FB or ER.
- g ICP ICS results were unsatisfactory.
- h Holding times were exceeded.
- i Internal standard performance was unsatisfactory.
- k Estimated Maximum Possible Concentration (HRGC/HRMS only)
- LCS/LCSD %R was not within control limits.
- m Result exceeded the calibration range.
- o Cooler temperature or temperature blank was noncompliant and/or sample custody problems.
- p RPD between two columns was high (GC only).
- q MS/MSD recovery was not within control limits.
- s Surrogate recovery was not within control limits.
- t Presumed contamination from trip blank.
- v Unusual problems found with the data not defined elsewhere. Description of the problem can be found in the validation report.
- w LCS/LCSD RPD was high.
- y Chemical recovery was not within control limits (Radiochemistry only).

# I. Sample Receipt and Technical Holding Times

All samples were received in good condition and cooler temperatures upon receipt met validation criteria.

All technical holding time requirements were met.

### II. Initial Calibration and Initial Calibration Verification

An initial calibration was performed as required by the method.

For analytes where average calibration factors were utilized, percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) were less than or equal to 20.0%.

In the case where the laboratory used a calibration curve to evaluate the analytes, all coefficients of determination ( $r^2$ ) were greater than or equal to 0.990.

The percent differences (%D) of the initial calibration verification (ICV) standard were less than or equal to 20.0% for all analytes.

### III. Continuing Calibration

Continuing calibration was performed at the required frequencies.

The percent differences (%D) were less than or equal to 20.0% for all analytes.

The percent differences (%D) of the ending continuing calibration verifications (CCVs) were less than or equal to 20.0% for all analytes.

### IV. Laboratory Blanks

Laboratory blanks were analyzed as required by the method. No contaminants were found in the laboratory blanks.

# V. Field Blanks

No field blanks were identified in this SDG.

# VI. Surrogates

Surrogates were added to all samples as required by the method. All surrogate recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

# VII. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

The laboratory has indicated that there were no matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyses specified for the samples in this SDG, and therefore matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate analyses were not performed for this SDG.

### VIII. Laboratory Control Samples

Laboratory control samples (LCS) and laboratory control samples duplicates (LCSD) were analyzed as required by the method. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits with the following exceptions:

LCS ID (Associated Samples)	Analyte	LCS %R (Limits)	LCSD %R (Limits)	Flag	A or P
211011A-LCS/LCSD (ERH1798 ERH1800)	Oil (C24-C40)	126 (41-113)	122 (41-113)	NA	-
211011A1-LCS/LCSD (ERH1798(SGCU) ERH1800(SGCU))	Oil (C24-C40)	134 (41-113)	135 (41-113)	NA	-

Relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

### IX. Field Duplicates

Samples ERH1798 and ERH1800 and samples ERH1798(SGCU) and ERH1800(SGCU) were identified as field duplicates. No results were detected in any of the samples.

### X. Target Analyte Quantitation

Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

### XI. Target Analyte Identification

Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

### XII. Overall Assessment of Data

The analysis was conducted within all specifications of the method. No results were rejected or recommended for exclusion in this SDG.

Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126 Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Extractables - Data Qualification Summary -SDG 97783

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126 Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Extractables - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 97783

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126 Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Extractables - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 97783

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

# VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET

Stage 2B

LDC #: 52818C8 SDG #: 97783 Laboratory: APPL, Inc., Clovis, CA

### Date Page Reviewer 2nd Reviewer

METHOD: GC TPH as Extractables (EPA SW 846 Method 8015B)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
Ι.	Sample receipt/Technical holding times	A/A	
١١.	Initial calibration/ICV	A, A	$\frac{9}{10}$ $\frac{100}{100} \pm 20, (2)$ $100 \pm 20$
111.		A	$c_{\rm CV} = 20/20$
IV.	Laboratory Blanks	4	V
V.	Field blanks	2	
VI.	Surrogate spikes	A	
VII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	Р	e7
VIII.	Laboratory control samples	$\zeta \omega$	Les 10
IX.	Field duplicates	ND	D = 1, 2 3, 4
Х.	Target analyte quantitation	N	
XI.	Target analyte identification	N	
	Overall assessment of data	A	

Note:

A = Acceptable N = Not provided/applicable SW = See worksheet

ND = No compounds detected R = Rinsate FB = Field blank

D = Duplicate
TB = Trip blank
EB = Equipment blank

SB=Source blank R:

	Client ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Date
1	ERH1798 <b>9</b>	BA42527	Water	10/06/21
2	ERH1800 \$\mathcal{V}\$	BA42528	Water	10/06/21
- 3	ERH1798(SGCU)	BA42527(SGCU)	Water	10/06/21
4	ERH1800(SGCU) P	BA42528(SGCU)	Water	10/06/21
5				
6				
7		······		
8				
9				
10	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
11				
12		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
13				
Notes:				
	2 11011A-BIK			

211011A-BIK					
211011A - BIK					

LDC #: 92818CX

### VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)



METHOD:

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A". Were a laboratory control samples (LCS) and laboratory control sample duplicate (LCSD) analyzed for each matrix in this SDG? Y N/N/A Y N/N/A Were the LCS percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) within the QC limits?

#### Level [V/D Only ΥN

Was an LCS analyzed every 20 samples for each matrix or whenever a sample extraction was performed? /N/A/

YIN										
#	LCS/LCSD ID	Compound	LCS %R (Limits)		LCSD %R (Limits)		RPD (Limits)	Associated Samples	Qualifications	
	211011A-10011	01 (024-040)	126 (41-11	3)	122 (4)-1	13	( )	1.2, 2 11011A-BK	( Jt dut /P NP	
		- 1	(	)	(	)	()	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-1.	
			(	)	(	)	( )			
			(	)	(	)	( )			
	211011A1-103/P	$\downarrow$	134 ( 🗸	)	135 (	)	( )	3,4,211011A)-BIK	Staril NO	
			(	)	(	)	( )			
			(	)	(	)	( )			
				)	(	)	()			
			(	)	(	)	()			
				)	(	)	()			
			(	)	(	)	( )			
			(	)	(	)	()			
	And any first first state of the second state of the second state of the second state of the second state of the		(	)	(	)	( )			
			(	)	(	)	( )			
			(	)	(	)	( )			
					<u> </u>		<u> </u>			
			(	)	(	)	( )			
			(	)	(	)	( )			
			(	)	(	)	()			
			(	)	(	)	( )			
			(	)	(	)	( )			
			(	)	(	)	( )			
			(		(	)	()			

# Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc. Data Validation Report

Project/Site Name: Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126

LDC Report Date: January 10, 2022

Parameters: Volatiles

Validation Level:Stage 2B

Laboratory: APPL, Inc., Clovis, CA

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 97923

Sample Identification	Laboratory Sample Identification	Matrix	Collection Date
ERH1835	BA43836	Water	10/20/21
ERH1836	BA43837	Water	10/20/21

### Introduction

This Data Validation Report (DVR) presents data validation findings and results for the associated samples listed on the cover page. Data validation was performed in accordance with the Work Plan/Scope of Work. Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 02, January 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 01, April 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 01, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, September 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 03, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, June 2018), the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) Quality Systems Manual (QSM) for Environmental Laboratories, Version 5.3 (2019), the DoD General Validation Guidelines (November 2019), and the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) Data Validation Guidelines Module 1: Data Validation Procedure for Organic Analysis by GC/MS (May 2020). Where specific guidance was not available, the data has been evaluated in a conservative manner consistent with industry standards using professional experience.

The analyses were performed by the following method:

Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs) which are Benzene, Toluene, Ethylbenzene, Xylenes (BTEX) and Naphthalene by Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) SW 846 Method 8260B

All sample results were subjected to Stage 2B data validation, which comprises an evaluation of quality control (QC) summary results.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers utilized during data validation:

- J+ (Estimated, High Bias): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated, displaying high bias, due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- J- (Estimated, Low Bias): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated, displaying low bias, due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- J (Estimated, Bias Indeterminate): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated due to non-conformances discovered during data validation. Bias is indeterminate.
- U (Non-detected): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the analyte should be considered non-detected due to the presence of contaminants detected in the associated blank(s).
- UJ (Non-detected estimated): The analyte was not detected and the associated numerical value is approximate.
- X (Exclusion of data recommended): The sample results (including non-detects) were affected by serious deficiencies in the ability to analyze the sample and to meet published method and project quality control criteria. The presence or absence of the analyte cannot be substantiated by the data provided. Exclusion of the data is recommended.
- NA (Not Applicable): The non-conformance discovered during data validation demonstrates a high bias, while the affected analyte in the associated sample(s) was reported as not detected by the laboratory and did not warrant the qualification of the data.

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

### Qualification Code Reference

- a ICP Serial Dilution %D was not within control limits.
- b Presumed contamination from preparation (method blank).
- c Calibration %RSD, r,  $r^2$ , %D or %R was noncompliant.
- d The analysis with this flag should not be used because another more technically sound analysis is available.
- e MS/MSD or Duplicate RPD was high.
- f Presumed contamination from FB or ER.
- g ICP ICS results were unsatisfactory.
- h Holding times were exceeded.
- i Internal standard performance was unsatisfactory.
- k Estimated Maximum Possible Concentration (HRGC/HRMS only)
- LCS/LCSD %R was not within control limits.
- m Result exceeded the calibration range.
- o Cooler temperature or temperature blank was noncompliant and/or sample custody problems.
- p RPD between two columns was high (GC only).
- q MS/MSD recovery was not within control limits.
- s Surrogate recovery was not within control limits.
- t Presumed contamination from trip blank.
- v Unusual problems found with the data not defined elsewhere. Description of the problem can be found in the validation report.
- w LCS/LCSD RPD was high.
- y Chemical recovery was not within control limits (Radiochemistry only).

# I. Sample Receipt and Technical Holding Times

All samples were received in good condition and cooler temperatures upon receipt met validation criteria.

All technical holding time requirements were met.

### II. GC/MS Instrument Performance Check

A bromofluorobenzene (BFB) tune was performed at 12 hour intervals.

All ion abundance requirements were met.

### III. Initial Calibration and Initial Calibration Verification

An initial calibration was performed as required by the method.

The percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) were less than or equal to 15.0% for all analytes.

Average relative response factors (RRF) for all analytes were within validation criteria.

The percent differences (%D) of the initial calibration verification (ICV) standard were less than or equal to 20.0% for all analytes.

# IV. Continuing Calibration

Continuing calibration was performed at the required frequencies.

The percent differences (%D) were less than or equal to 20.0% for all analytes.

The percent differences (%D) of the ending continuing calibration verifications (CCVs) were less than or equal to 50.0% for all analytes.

All of the continuing calibration relative response factors (RRF) were within validation criteria.

# V. Laboratory Blanks

Laboratory blanks were analyzed as required by the method. No contaminants were found in the laboratory blanks.

### VI. Field Blanks

Sample ERH1835 was identified as a trip blank. No contaminants were found.

### VII. Surrogates

Surrogates were added to all samples as required by the method. All surrogate recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

### VIII. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

The laboratory has indicated that there were no matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyses specified for the samples in this SDG, and therefore matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate analyses were not performed for this SDG.

### IX. Laboratory Control Samples

Laboratory control samples (LCS) and laboratory control samples duplicates (LCSD) were analyzed as required by the method. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits. Relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

### X. Field Duplicates

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

### XI. Internal Standards

All internal standard areas and retention times were within QC limits.

# XII. Target Analyte Quantitation

Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

### XIII. Target Analyte Identification

Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

### XIV. System Performance

Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

### XV. Overall Assessment of Data

The analysis was conducted within all specifications of the method. No results were rejected or recommended for exclusion in this SDG.

### Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126 Volatiles - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 97923

# No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126 Volatiles - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 97923

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126 Volatiles - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 97923

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

### VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET

Stage 2B

LDC #: 52818D1a SDG #: 97923

### Date: Page: / of Reviewer: 2nd Reviewer:

Laboratory: APPL, Inc., Clovis, CA

#### METHOD: GC/MS Volatiles (BTEX)(EPA SW 846 Method 8260B)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
<u> </u>	Sample receipt/Technical holding times	A /A	
П.	GC/MS Instrument performance check	Δ_	
Ш.	Initial calibration/ICV	AIA	°/O RSD ≤ IS ICV ≤ ZD
IV.	Continuing calibration	4	CUV = 20/50
V.	Laboratory Blanks	Δ	
VI.	Field blanks	ND	TB=1
VII.	Surrogate spikes	Δ	
VIII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	N	5
IX.	Laboratory control samples	Δ	ics ID
Х.	Field duplicates	N	
XI.	Internal standards	Λ	
XII.	Target analyte quantitation	N	
XIII.	Target analyte identification	N	
XIV.	System performance	N	
XV.	Overall assessment of data		

Note:

A = Acceptable N = Not provided/applicable SW = See worksheet

ND = No compounds detected
R = Rinsate
FB = Field blank

D = Duplicate TB = Trip blank EB = Equipment blank SB=Source blank OTHER:

	Client ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Date
1	ERH1835 TØ	BA43836	Water	10/20/21
2	ERH1836	BA43837	Water	10/20/21
3				
4				
5				
6				
7				
8				
9				

211026BM-BK			

# Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc. Data Validation Report

Project/Site Name: Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126

LDC Report Date: January 10, 2022

Parameters: Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons

Validation Level: Stage 2B

Laboratory: APPL, Inc., Clovis, CA

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 97923

Sample Identification	Laboratory Sample Identification	Matrix	Collection Date
ERH1836	BA43837	Water	10/20/21

### Introduction

This Data Validation Report (DVR) presents data validation findings and results for the associated samples listed on the cover page. Data validation was performed in accordance with the Work Plan/Scope of Work, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 02, January 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 01, April 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 01, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, September 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 03, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, June 2018), the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) Quality Systems Manual (QSM) for Environmental Laboratories, Version 5.3 (2019), the DoD General Validation Guidelines (November 2019), and the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) Data Validation Guidelines Module 1: Data Validation Procedure for Organic Analysis by GC/MS (May 2020). Where specific guidance was not available, the data has been evaluated in a conservative manner consistent with industry standards using professional experience.

The analyses were performed by the following method:

Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAHs) which are 1-Methylnaphthalene, 2-Methylnaphthalene, and Naphthalene by Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) SW 846 Method 8270D in Selected Ion Monitoring (SIM) mode

All sample results were subjected to Stage 2B data validation, which comprises an evaluation of quality control (QC) summary results.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers utilized during data validation:

- J+ (Estimated, High Bias): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated, displaying high bias, due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- J- (Estimated, Low Bias): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated, displaying low bias, due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- J (Estimated, Bias Indeterminate): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated due to non-conformances discovered during data validation. Bias is indeterminate.
- U (Non-detected): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the analyte should be considered non-detected due to the presence of contaminants detected in the associated blank(s).
- UJ (Non-detected estimated): The analyte was not detected and the associated numerical value is approximate.
- X (Exclusion of data recommended): The sample results (including non-detects) were affected by serious deficiencies in the ability to analyze the sample and to meet published method and project quality control criteria. The presence or absence of the analyte cannot be substantiated by the data provided. Exclusion of the data is recommended.
- NA (Not Applicable): The non-conformance discovered during data validation demonstrates a high bias, while the affected analyte in the associated sample(s) was reported as not detected by the laboratory and did not warrant the qualification of the data.

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

### Qualification Code Reference

- a ICP Serial Dilution %D was not within control limits.
- b Presumed contamination from preparation (method blank).
- c Calibration %RSD, r,  $r^2$ , %D or %R was noncompliant.
- d The analysis with this flag should not be used because another more technically sound analysis is available.
- e MS/MSD or Duplicate RPD was high.
- f Presumed contamination from FB or ER.
- g ICP ICS results were unsatisfactory.
- h Holding times were exceeded.
- i Internal standard performance was unsatisfactory.
- k Estimated Maximum Possible Concentration (HRGC/HRMS only)
- I LCS/LCSD %R was not within control limits.
- m Result exceeded the calibration range.
- o Cooler temperature or temperature blank was noncompliant and/or sample custody problems.
- p RPD between two columns was high (GC only).
- q MS/MSD recovery was not within control limits.
- s Surrogate recovery was not within control limits.
- t Presumed contamination from trip blank.
- v Unusual problems found with the data not defined elsewhere. Description of the problem can be found in the validation report.
- w LCS/LCSD RPD was high.
- y Chemical recovery was not within control limits (Radiochemistry only).

# I. Sample Receipt and Technical Holding Times

All samples were received in good condition and cooler temperatures upon receipt met validation criteria.

All technical holding time requirements were met.

### **II. GC/MS Instrument Performance Check**

A decafluorotriphenylphosphine (DFTPP) tune was performed at 12 hour intervals.

All ion abundance requirements were met.

### III. Initial Calibration and Initial Calibration Verification

An initial calibration was performed as required by the method.

The percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) were less than or equal to 15.0% for all analytes.

Average relative response factors (RRF) for all analytes were within validation criteria.

The percent differences (%D) of the initial calibration verification (ICV) standard were less than or equal to 20.0% for all analytes.

# IV. Continuing Calibration

Continuing calibration was performed at the required frequencies.

The percent differences (%D) were less than or equal to 20.0% for all analytes.

The percent differences (%D) of the ending continuing calibration verifications (CCVs) were less than or equal to 50.0% for all analytes.

All of the continuing calibration relative response factors (RRF) were within validation criteria.

### V. Laboratory Blanks

Laboratory blanks were analyzed as required by the method. No contaminants were found in the laboratory blanks.

# VI. Field Blanks

No field blanks were identified in this SDG.

### VII. Surrogates

Surrogates were added to all samples as required by the method. All surrogate recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

### VIII. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

The laboratory has indicated that there were no matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyses specified for the samples in this SDG, and therefore matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate analyses were not performed for this SDG.

### **IX. Laboratory Control Samples**

Laboratory control samples (LCS) and laboratory control samples duplicates (LCSD) were analyzed as required by the method. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits. Relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

### X. Field Duplicates

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

### XI. Internal Standards

All internal standard areas and retention times were within QC limits.

### XII. Target Analyte Quantitation

Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

### XIII. Target Analyte Identification

Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

### XIV. System Performance

Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

### XV. Overall Assessment of Data

The analysis was conducted within all specifications of the method. No results were rejected or recommended for exclusion in this SDG.
Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126 Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 97923

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126 Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 97923

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126 Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary -SDG 97923

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

#### VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET

Stage 2B

IEET Date:\_// Page:\_/of Reviewer:\_\_\_\_ 2nd Reviewer:\_\_\_/

r/3/22

SDG #: <u>97923</u> Laboratory: <u>APPL, Inc., Clovis, CA</u>

LDC #: 52818D2b

## METHOD: GC/MS Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons (EPA SW846 Method 8270D-SIM)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
Ι.	Sample receipt/Technical holding times	A / A	
П.	GC/MS Instrument performance check	4	
111.	Initial calibration/ICV	$\Delta_{/}\Delta$	% PSD=15 101 = 20
IV.	Continuing calibration	Δ	Cev = 20/50
V.	Laboratory Blanks	4	
VI.	Field blanks	N	
VII.	Surrogate spikes	۵	
VIII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	2	৫১
IX.	Laboratory control samples	A	10/12
Х.	Field duplicates	N	
XI.	Internal standards	A	
XII.	Target analyte quantitation	N	
XIII.	Target analyte identification	N	
XIV.	System performance	N	
XV.	Overall assessment of data	A	

Note:

A = Acceptable N = Not provided/applicable

SW = See worksheet

ND = No compounds detected R = Rinsate FB = Field blank D = Duplicate TB = Trip blank EB = Equipment blank SB=Source blank OTHER:

	Client ID	 		Lab ID	Matrix	Date
1	ERH1836	 		BA43837	Water	10/20/21
2		 				
3		 	-			
4		 				
5		 				
6		 	- <u></u>			
7		 				
8		 ·····				
9		 				
Notes						
	211022A - BIK					
		·				

# LDC Report# 52818D6

# Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc. Data Validation Report

Project/Site Name:	Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126
--------------------	---

LDC Report Date: December 29, 2021

Parameters: Total Organic Carbon

Validation Level: Stage 2B

Laboratory: APPL, Inc., Clovis, CA

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 97923

Sample Identification	Laboratory Sample Identification	Matrix	Collection Date
ERH1836	BA43837	Water	10/20/21

#### Introduction

This Data Validation Report (DVR) presents data validation findings and results for the associated samples listed on the cover page. Data validation was performed in accordance with the Work Plan/Scope of Work, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 02, January 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 01, April 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 01, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, September 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 03, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, June 2018), the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) Quality Systems Manual (QSM) for Environmental Laboratories, Version 5.3 (2019), and the DoD General Validation Guidelines (November 2019). Where specific guidance was not available, the data has been evaluated in a conservative manner consistent with industry standards using professional experience.

The analyses were performed by the following method:

Total Organic Carbon by Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) SW 846 Method 9060A

All sample results were subjected to Stage 2B data validation, which comprises an evaluation of quality control (QC) summary results.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers utilized during data validation:

- J+ (Estimated, High Bias): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated, displaying high bias, due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- J- (Estimated, Low Bias): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated, displaying low bias, due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- J (Estimated, Bias Indeterminate): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated due to non-conformances discovered during data validation. Bias is indeterminate.
- U (Non-detected): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the analyte should be considered non-detected due to the presence of contaminants detected in the associated blank(s).
- UJ (Non-detected estimated): The analyte was not detected and the associated numerical value is approximate.
- X (Exclusion of data recommended): The sample results (including non-detects) were affected by serious deficiencies in the ability to analyze the sample and to meet published method and project quality control criteria. The presence or absence of the analyte cannot be substantiated by the data provided. Exclusion of the data is recommended.
- NA (Not Applicable): The non-conformance discovered during data validation demonstrates a high bias, while the affected analyte in the associated sample(s) was reported as not detected by the laboratory and did not warrant the qualification of the data.

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

# **Qualification Code Reference**

- a ICP Serial Dilution %D was not within control limits.
- b Presumed contamination from preparation (method blank).
- c Calibration %RSD, r, r<sup>2</sup>, %D or %R was noncompliant.
- d The analysis with this flag should not be used because another more technically sound analysis is available.
- e MS/MSD or Duplicate RPD was high.
- f Presumed contamination from FB or ER.
- g ICP ICS results were unsatisfactory.
- h Holding times were exceeded.
- i Internal standard performance was unsatisfactory.
- k Estimated Maximum Possible Concentration (HRGC/HRMS only)
- LCS/LCSD %R was not within control limits.
- m Result exceeded the calibration range.
- o Cooler temperature or temperature blank was noncompliant and/or sample custody problems.
- p RPD between two columns was high (GC only).
- q MS/MSD recovery was not within control limits.
- s Surrogate recovery was not within control limits.
- t Presumed contamination from trip blank.
- v Unusual problems found with the data not defined elsewhere. Description of the problem can be found in the validation report.
- w LCS/LCSD RPD was high.
- y Chemical recovery was not within control limits (Radiochemistry only).

# I. Sample Receipt and Technical Holding Times

All samples were received in good condition.

All technical holding time requirements were met.

# **II. Initial Calibration**

All criteria for the initial calibration were met.

## III. Continuing Calibration

Continuing calibration frequency and analysis criteria were met.

## IV. Laboratory Blanks

Laboratory blanks were analyzed as required by the method. No contaminants were found in the laboratory blanks.

## V. Field Blanks

No field blanks were identified in this SDG.

# VI. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

The laboratory has indicated that there were no matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyses specified for the samples in this SDG, and therefore matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate analyses were not performed for this SDG.

#### VII. Duplicate Sample Analysis

The laboratory has indicated that there were no duplicate (DUP) analyses specified for the samples in this SDG, and therefore duplicate analyses were not performed for this SDG.

# **VIII. Laboratory Control Samples**

Laboratory control samples (LCS) and laboratory control samples duplicates (LCSD) were analyzed as required by the method. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits. Relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

# IX. Field Duplicates

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

# X. Target Analyte Quantitation

Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

# XI. Overall Assessment of Data

The analysis was conducted within all specifications of the method. No results were rejected or recommended for exclusion in this SDG.

# Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126 Total Organic Carbon - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 97923

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126 Total Organic Carbon - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 97923

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126 Total Organic Carbon - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 97923

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

LDC #:_	52818D6		V
SDG #:_	97923		
Laborato	ory: APPL, Inc	., Clovis, C	<u>A</u>

# VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET

Stage 2B

#### METHOD: (Analyte) TOC (EPA SW846 Method 9060A)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
١.	Sample receipt/Technical holding times	AIA	
11	Initial calibration	A	
Ш.	Calibration verification	A	
IV	Laboratory Blanks	A	
v	Field blanks	N	
VI.	Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates	N	CIS
VII.	Duplicate sample analysis	N	
VIII.	Laboratory control samples	A	LCS/LCSD
IX.	Field duplicates	N	
<b>X</b> .	Target Analyte Quantitation	N	
XI.	Overall assessment of data	A	

Note:

A = Acceptable

ND = No compounds detected R = Rinsate FB = Field blank

D = Duplicate
TB = Trip blank
EB = Equipment blank

SB=Source blank OTHER:

N = Not provided/applicable SW = See worksheet

	Client ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Date
1	ERH1836	BA43837	Water	10/20/21
2				
3				
4				
5				
6				
7				
8				
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
Note	S:			

# Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc. Data Validation Report

Project/Site Name: Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126

LDC Report Date: January 10, 2022

- Parameters: Gasoline Range Organics
- Validation Level: Stage 2B

Laboratory: APPL, Inc., Clovis, CA

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 97923

Sample Identification	Laboratory Sample Identification	Matrix	Collection Date
ERH1835	BA43836	Water	10/20/21
ERH1836	BA43837	Water	10/20/21

#### Introduction

This Data Validation Report (DVR) presents data validation findings and results for the associated samples listed on the cover page. Data validation was performed in accordance with the Work Plan/Scope of Work, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 02, January 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 01, April 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 01, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, September 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 03, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, June 2018), the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) Quality Systems Manual (QSM) for Environmental Laboratories, Version 5.3 (2019), the DoD General Validation Guidelines (November 2019), and the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) Data Validation Guidelines Module 4: Data Validation Procedure for Organic Analysis by GC (March 2021). Where specific guidance was not available, the data has been evaluated in a conservative manner consistent with industry standards using professional experience.

The analyses were performed by the following method:

Gasoline Range Organics by Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) SW 846 Method 8260B

All sample results were subjected to Stage 2B data validation, which comprises an evaluation of quality control (QC) summary results.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers utilized during data validation:

- J+ (Estimated, High Bias): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated, displaying high bias, due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- J- (Estimated, Low Bias): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated, displaying low bias, due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- J (Estimated, Bias Indeterminate): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated due to non-conformances discovered during data validation. Bias is indeterminate.
- U (Non-detected): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the analyte should be considered non-detected due to the presence of contaminants detected in the associated blank(s).
- UJ (Non-detected estimated): The analyte was not detected and the associated numerical value is approximate.
- X (Exclusion of data recommended): The sample results (including non-detects) were affected by serious deficiencies in the ability to analyze the sample and to meet published method and project quality control criteria. The presence or absence of the analyte cannot be substantiated by the data provided. Exclusion of the data is recommended.
- NA (Not Applicable): The non-conformance discovered during data validation demonstrates a high bias, while the affected analyte in the associated sample(s) was reported as not detected by the laboratory and did not warrant the qualification of the data.

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

# Qualification Code Reference

- a ICP Serial Dilution %D was not within control limits.
- b Presumed contamination from preparation (method blank).
- c Calibration %RSD, r,  $r^2$ , %D or %R was noncompliant.
- d The analysis with this flag should not be used because another more technically sound analysis is available.
- e MS/MSD or Duplicate RPD was high.
- f Presumed contamination from FB or ER.
- g ICP ICS results were unsatisfactory.
- h Holding times were exceeded.
- i Internal standard performance was unsatisfactory.
- k Estimated Maximum Possible Concentration (HRGC/HRMS only)
- LCS/LCSD %R was not within control limits.
- m Result exceeded the calibration range.
- o Cooler temperature or temperature blank was noncompliant and/or sample custody problems.
- p RPD between two columns was high (GC only).
- q MS/MSD recovery was not within control limits.
- s Surrogate recovery was not within control limits.
- t Presumed contamination from trip blank.
- v Unusual problems found with the data not defined elsewhere. Description of the problem can be found in the validation report.
- w LCS/LCSD RPD was high.
- y Chemical recovery was not within control limits (Radiochemistry only).

# I. Sample Receipt and Technical Holding Times

All samples were received in good condition and cooler temperatures upon receipt met validation criteria.

All technical holding time requirements were met.

# II. Initial Calibration and Initial Calibration Verification

An initial calibration was performed as required by the method.

A curve fit, based on the initial calibration, was established for quantitation. The coefficient of determination  $(r^2)$  was greater than or equal to 0.990.

The percent differences (%D) of the initial calibration verification (ICV) standard were less than or equal to 20.0%.

## III. Continuing Calibration

Continuing calibration was performed at the required frequencies.

The percent differences (%D) were less than or equal to 20.0%.

The percent differences (%D) of the ending continuing calibration verifications (CCVs) were less than or equal to 20.0% for all analytes.

#### IV. Laboratory Blanks

Laboratory blanks were analyzed as required by the method. No contaminants were found in the laboratory blanks.

#### V. Field Blanks

Sample ERH1835 was identified as a trip blank. No contaminants were found.

# VI. Surrogates

Surrogates were added to all samples as required by the method. All surrogate recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

#### VII. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

The laboratory has indicated that there were no matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyses specified for the samples in this SDG, and therefore matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate analyses were not performed for this SDG.

# VIII. Laboratory Control Samples

Laboratory control samples (LCS) and laboratory control samples duplicates (LCSD) were analyzed as required by the method. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits. Relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

# **IX. Field Duplicates**

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

# X. Target Analyte Quantitation

Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

# XI. Target Analyte Identification

Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

## XII. Overall Assessment of Data

The analysis was conducted within all specifications of the method. No results were rejected or recommended for exclusion in this SDG.

## Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126 Gasoline Range Organics - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 97923

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126 Gasoline Range Organics - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 97923

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126 Gasoline Range Organics - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 97923

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

#### VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET

Stage 2B

LDC #: <u>52818D7</u> **V** SDG #: <u>97923</u> Laboratory: <u>APPL, Inc., Clovis, CA</u>

# Date: 1/3/22 Page: \_\_of\_\_\_ Reviewer: \_\_\_\_\_ 2nd Reviewer: \_\_\_\_\_

METHOD: GC/MS Gasoline Range Organics (EPA SW 846 Method 8260B)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
١.	Sample receipt/Technical holding times	Δ Α	
١١.	GC/MS Instrument performance check	Δ	
111.	Initial calibration/ICV	A/A	$V^2$ $ cv \neq z0$
IV.	Continuing calibration ending	Δ	CUV = 20/20
<u>v.</u>	Laboratory Blanks	Δ	l l
VI.	Field blanks	ND	TB=1
VII.	Surrogate spikes	Δ	
VIII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	N	es l
IX.	Laboratory control samples	A	Les IP
Х.	Field duplicates	N	
XI.	Internal standards	Δ	
XII.	Target analyte quantitation	N	
XIII.	Target analyte identification	N	
XIV.	System performance	N	
XV.	Overall assessment of data		

Note:

A = Acceptable N = Not provided/applicable

SW = See worksheet

ND = No compounds detected R = Rinsate FB = Field blank D = Duplicate TB = Trip blank EB = Equipment blank SB=Source blank OTHER:

	Client ID			Lab ID	Matrix	Date
1	ERH1835 <b>†</b> ゆ		 	BA43836	Water	10/20/21
2	ERH1836			BA43837	Water	10/20/21
3			 			
4			 			
5			 			
6		<u> </u>	 			
7						
8			 			
9						
Votes	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		 			
	211026 BM - BIK					

# Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc. Data Validation Report

Project/Site Name:	Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility,	CTO 18F0126
--------------------	---------------------------------	-------------

LDC Report Date: January 10, 2022

Parameters: Volatiles

Validation Level: Stage 2B

Laboratory: APPL, Inc., Clovis, CA

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 97985

Sample Identification	Laboratory Sample Identification	Matrix	Collection Date	
ERH1855	BA44375	Water	10/26/21	
ERH1856	BA44376	Water	10/26/21	

#### Introduction

This Data Validation Report (DVR) presents data validation findings and results for the associated samples listed on the cover page. Data validation was performed in accordance with the Work Plan/Scope of Work, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 02, January 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 01, April 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 01, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, September 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 03, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, June 2018), the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) Quality Systems Manual (QSM) for Environmental Laboratories, Version 5.3 (2019), the DoD General Validation Guidelines (November 2019), and the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) Data Validation Guidelines Module 1: Data Validation Procedure for Organic Analysis by GC/MS (May 2020). Where specific guidance was not available, the data has been evaluated in a conservative manner consistent with industry standards using professional experience.

The analyses were performed by the following method:

Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs) which are Benzene, Toluene, Ethylbenzene, Xylenes (BTEX) and Naphthalene by Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) SW 846 Method 8260B

All sample results were subjected to Stage 2B data validation, which comprises an evaluation of quality control (QC) summary results.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers utilized during data validation:

- J+ (Estimated, High Bias): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated, displaying high bias, due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- J- (Estimated, Low Bias): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated, displaying low bias, due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- J (Estimated, Bias Indeterminate): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated due to non-conformances discovered during data validation. Bias is indeterminate.
- U (Non-detected): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the analyte should be considered non-detected due to the presence of contaminants detected in the associated blank(s).
- UJ (Non-detected estimated): The analyte was not detected and the associated numerical value is approximate.
- X (Exclusion of data recommended): The sample results (including non-detects) were affected by serious deficiencies in the ability to analyze the sample and to meet published method and project quality control criteria. The presence or absence of the analyte cannot be substantiated by the data provided. Exclusion of the data is recommended.
- NA (Not Applicable): The non-conformance discovered during data validation demonstrates a high bias, while the affected analyte in the associated sample(s) was reported as not detected by the laboratory and did not warrant the qualification of the data.

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

# Qualification Code Reference

- a ICP Serial Dilution %D was not within control limits.
- b Presumed contamination from preparation (method blank).
- c Calibration %RSD, r,  $r^2$ , %D or %R was noncompliant.
- d The analysis with this flag should not be used because another more technically sound analysis is available.
- e MS/MSD or Duplicate RPD was high.
- f Presumed contamination from FB or ER.
- g ICP ICS results were unsatisfactory.
- h Holding times were exceeded.
- i Internal standard performance was unsatisfactory.
- k Estimated Maximum Possible Concentration (HRGC/HRMS only)
- LCS/LCSD %R was not within control limits.
- m Result exceeded the calibration range.
- o Cooler temperature or temperature blank was noncompliant and/or sample custody problems.
- p RPD between two columns was high (GC only).
- q MS/MSD recovery was not within control limits.
- s Surrogate recovery was not within control limits.
- t Presumed contamination from trip blank.
- v Unusual problems found with the data not defined elsewhere. Description of the problem can be found in the validation report.
- w LCS/LCSD RPD was high.
- y Chemical recovery was not within control limits (Radiochemistry only).

# I. Sample Receipt and Technical Holding Times

All samples were received in good condition and cooler temperatures upon receipt met validation criteria.

All technical holding time requirements were met.

#### **II. GC/MS Instrument Performance Check**

A bromofluorobenzene (BFB) tune was performed at 12 hour intervals.

All ion abundance requirements were met.

#### III. Initial Calibration and Initial Calibration Verification

An initial calibration was performed as required by the method.

The percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) were less than or equal to 15.0% for all analytes.

Average relative response factors (RRF) for all analytes were within validation criteria.

The percent differences (%D) of the initial calibration verification (ICV) standard were less than or equal to 20.0% for all analytes.

#### **IV.** Continuing Calibration

Continuing calibration was performed at the required frequencies.

The percent differences (%D) were less than or equal to 20.0% for all analytes.

The percent differences (%D) of the ending continuing calibration verifications (CCVs) were less than or equal to 50.0% for all analytes.

All of the continuing calibration relative response factors (RRF) were within validation criteria.

#### V. Laboratory Blanks

Laboratory blanks were analyzed as required by the method. No contaminants were found in the laboratory blanks.

#### VI. Field Blanks

Sample ERH1855 was identified as a trip blank. No contaminants were found.

# VII. Surrogates

Surrogates were added to all samples as required by the method. All surrogate recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

# VIII. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

The laboratory has indicated that there were no matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyses specified for the samples in this SDG, and therefore matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate analyses were not performed for this SDG.

# IX. Laboratory Control Samples

Laboratory control samples (LCS) and laboratory control samples duplicates (LCSD) were analyzed as required by the method. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits. Relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

## X. Field Duplicates

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

## XI. Internal Standards

All internal standard areas and retention times were within QC limits.

# XII. Target Analyte Quantitation

Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

# XIII. Target Analyte Identification

Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

#### XIV. System Performance

Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

#### XV. Overall Assessment of Data

The analysis was conducted within all specifications of the method. No results were rejected or recommended for exclusion in this SDG.

# Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126 Volatiles - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 97985

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126 Volatiles - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 97985

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126 Volatiles - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 97985

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Stage 2B

LDC #: <u>52818E1a</u> **V** SDG #: <u>97985</u> Laboratory: <u>APPL, Inc., Clovis, CA</u> Date: <u>1/4/</u>23 Page: <u>1</u>of <u>/</u> Reviewer: <u></u> 2nd Reviewer: <u></u>

METHOD: GC/MS Volatiles (BTEX)(EPA SW 846 Method 8260B)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
1.	Sample receipt/Technical holding times	D 10	
	GC/MS Instrument performance check	6	
- 111.	Initial calibration/ICV	A /A	°/0 pSD ≤ 15 101 520
IV.	Continuing calibration Condima,	A	CW 4 20 50
<u>v</u> .	Laboratory Blanks	Δ	
VI.	Field blanks	ND	TB=
VII.	Surrogate spikes	Δ	
VIII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	N	CS
IX.	Laboratory control samples	A	vas pp
Х.	Field duplicates	N	
XI.	Internal standards	۵	
XII.	Target analyte quantitation	N	
XIII.	II. Target analyte identification		
XIV.	/. System performance		
XV.	Overall assessment of data	4	

Note:

A = Acceptable N = Not provided/applicable SW = See worksheet ND = No compounds detected R = Rinsate FB = Field blank D = Duplicate TB = Trip blank EB = Equipment blank SB=Source blank OTHER:

	Client ID				Lab ID	Matrix	Date
1	ERH1855	TB			BA44375	Water	10/26/21
2	ERH1856		 		BA44376	Water	10/26/21
3			 ·				
4			 				
5			 	 			
6			 				
7			 				
8			 				
9							
Notes	·		 	 			
	211102AM						

# Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc. Data Validation Report

Project/Site Name:	Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126
--------------------	---

LDC Report Date: January 10, 2022

Parameters: Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons

Validation Level: Stage 2B

Laboratory: APPL, Inc., Clovis, CA

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 97985

	Laboratory Sample		Collection
Sample Identification	Identification	Matrix	Date
ERH1856	BA44376	Water	10/26/21

#### Introduction

This Data Validation Report (DVR) presents data validation findings and results for the associated samples listed on the cover page. Data validation was performed in accordance with the Work Plan/Scope of Work, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 02, January 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 01, April 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 01, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, September 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 03, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, June 2018), the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) Quality Systems Manual (QSM) for Environmental Laboratories, Version 5.3 (2019), the DoD General Validation Guidelines (November 2019), and the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) Data Validation Guidelines Module 1: Data Validation Procedure for Organic Analysis by GC/MS (May 2020). Where specific guidance was not available, the data has been evaluated in a conservative manner consistent with industry standards using professional experience.

The analyses were performed by the following method:

Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAHs) which are 1-Methylnaphthalene, 2-Methylnaphthalene, and Naphthalene by Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) SW 846 Method 8270D in Selected Ion Monitoring (SIM) mode

All sample results were subjected to Stage 2B data validation, which comprises an evaluation of quality control (QC) summary results.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers utilized during data validation:

- J+ (Estimated, High Bias): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated, displaying high bias, due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- J- (Estimated, Low Bias): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated, displaying low bias, due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- J (Estimated, Bias Indeterminate): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated due to non-conformances discovered during data validation. Bias is indeterminate.
- U (Non-detected): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the analyte should be considered non-detected due to the presence of contaminants detected in the associated blank(s).
- UJ (Non-detected estimated): The analyte was not detected and the associated numerical value is approximate.
- X (Exclusion of data recommended): The sample results (including non-detects) were affected by serious deficiencies in the ability to analyze the sample and to meet published method and project quality control criteria. The presence or absence of the analyte cannot be substantiated by the data provided. Exclusion of the data is recommended.
- NA (Not Applicable): The non-conformance discovered during data validation demonstrates a high bias, while the affected analyte in the associated sample(s) was reported as not detected by the laboratory and did not warrant the qualification of the data.

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

# **Qualification Code Reference**

- a ICP Serial Dilution %D was not within control limits.
- b Presumed contamination from preparation (method blank).
- c Calibration %RSD, r,  $r^2$ , %D or %R was noncompliant.
- d The analysis with this flag should not be used because another more technically sound analysis is available.
- e MS/MSD or Duplicate RPD was high.
- f Presumed contamination from FB or ER.
- g ICP ICS results were unsatisfactory.
- h Holding times were exceeded.
- i Internal standard performance was unsatisfactory.
- k Estimated Maximum Possible Concentration (HRGC/HRMS only)
- I LCS/LCSD %R was not within control limits.
- m Result exceeded the calibration range.
- o Cooler temperature or temperature blank was noncompliant and/or sample custody problems.
- p RPD between two columns was high (GC only).
- q MS/MSD recovery was not within control limits.
- s Surrogate recovery was not within control limits.
- t Presumed contamination from trip blank.
- v Unusual problems found with the data not defined elsewhere. Description of the problem can be found in the validation report.
- w LCS/LCSD RPD was high.
- y Chemical recovery was not within control limits (Radiochemistry only).

# I. Sample Receipt and Technical Holding Times

All samples were received in good condition and cooler temperatures upon receipt met validation criteria.

All technical holding time requirements were met.

# **II. GC/MS Instrument Performance Check**

A decafluorotriphenylphosphine (DFTPP) tune was performed at 12 hour intervals.

All ion abundance requirements were met.

# III. Initial Calibration and Initial Calibration Verification

An initial calibration was performed as required by the method.

The percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) were less than or equal to 15.0% for all analytes.

Average relative response factors (RRF) for all analytes were within validation criteria.

The percent differences (%D) of the initial calibration verification (ICV) standard were less than or equal to 20.0% for all analytes.

# IV. Continuing Calibration

Continuing calibration was performed at the required frequencies.

The percent differences (%D) were less than or equal to 20.0% for all analytes.

The percent differences (%D) of the ending continuing calibration verifications (CCVs) were less than or equal to 50.0% for all analytes.

All of the continuing calibration relative response factors (RRF) were within validation criteria.

# V. Laboratory Blanks

Laboratory blanks were analyzed as required by the method. No contaminants were found in the laboratory blanks.

# VI. Field Blanks

No field blanks were identified in this SDG.

# VII. Surrogates

Surrogates were added to all samples as required by the method. All surrogate recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

# VIII. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

The laboratory has indicated that there were no matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyses specified for the samples in this SDG, and therefore matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate analyses were not performed for this SDG.

# IX. Laboratory Control Samples

Laboratory control samples (LCS) and laboratory control samples duplicates (LCSD) were analyzed as required by the method. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits. Relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

# X. Field Duplicates

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

# XI. Internal Standards

All internal standard areas and retention times were within QC limits.

# XII. Target Analyte Quantitation

Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

# XIII. Target Analyte Identification

Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

# XIV. System Performance

Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

# XV. Overall Assessment of Data

The analysis was conducted within all specifications of the method. No results were rejected or recommended for exclusion in this SDG.

Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126 Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 97985

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126

Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 97985

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126 Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary -SDG 97985

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

# VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET

Stage 2B

LDC #: <u>52818E2b</u> **V** SDG #: <u>97985</u> Laboratory: <u>APPL, Inc., Clovis, CA</u>

#### Date: 1/4/2 Page: 1 of 1 Reviewer: 1 2nd Reviewer: 1

METHOD: GC/MS Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons (EPA SW846 Method 8270D-SIM)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
<u> </u>	Sample receipt/Technical holding times	A A	
11.	GC/MS Instrument performance check	A	
.	Initial calibration/ICV	A /A	% PSD ± 15 1CV ± 20
IV.	Continuing calibration ending	A	$CW \leq 20 50$
V.	Laboratory Blanks	4	
VI.	Field blanks	N	
VII.	Surrogate spikes	300	
VIII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	N	
IX.	Laboratory control samples	A	ues 1D
Х.	Field duplicates	N	
XI.	Internal standards	A	
XII.	Target analyte quantitation	N	
XIII.	Target analyte identification	N	
XIV.	System performance	N	
XV.	Overall assessment of data		

Note:

A = Acceptable N = Not provided/applicable SW = See worksheet ND = No compounds detected R = Rinsate FB = Field blank D = Duplicate TB = Trip blank EB = Equipment blank SB=Source blank OTHER:

	Client ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Date
71	ERH1856	BA44376	Water	10/26/21
2				
3				
4				
5				
6				
7				
8				
9				
Notes				

2110280			
		·	

#### VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET Surrogate Recovery

Page Reviewer:

(s)

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270  ${\cal P}$  )

Please see qualification below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".  $\underline{Y}$   $\underline{W}$  N/A Were percent recoveries (%R) for surrogates within QC limits?

Y W N/A If 2 or more base neutral or acid surrogates were outside QC limits, was a reanalysis performed to confirm %R?

Y N N/A If any %R was less than 10 percent, was a reanalysis performed to confirm %R?

#	Sample ID	Surrogate	%R (Limits)	Qualifications
	211028A - BIK	W - D10	116 (39-114)	It due 1p
	-		( )	
			( )	
			( )	
	·		( )	
			( )	
			( )	
			( )	
			( )	
			( )	
			()	
			( )	
			()	
			()	
			( )	
			()	
			( )	
			()	
			( )	
			( )	
			( )	
			()	
			()	
			( )	

(NBZ) = Nitrobenzene - d5 (FBP) = 2-Fluorobiphenyl

(TPH) = Terphenyl - d14

(2FP) = 2-Fluorophenol

(TBP) = 2,4,6 -Tribromophenol (2CP) = 2-Chlorophenol - d4

# Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc. Data Validation Report

Project/Site Name: Red Hill Bulk Storage Fac	ility, CTO 18F0126
--	--------------------

LDC Report Date: December 29, 2021

Parameters: Total Organic Carbon

Validation Level: Stage 2B

Laboratory: APPL, Inc., Clovis, CA

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 97985

Sample Identification	Laboratory Sample	Matrix	Collection Date
oumpro ruorranoution	Idontanoudon	matrix	Dute
ERH1856	BA44376	Water	10/26/21
#### Introduction

This Data Validation Report (DVR) presents data validation findings and results for the associated samples listed on the cover page. Data validation was performed in accordance with the Work Plan/Scope of Work, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 02, January 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 01, April 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 01, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, September 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 03, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, June 2018), the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) Quality Systems Manual (QSM) for Environmental Laboratories, Version 5.3 (2019), and the DoD General Validation Guidelines (November 2019). Where specific guidance was not available, the data has been evaluated in a conservative manner consistent with industry standards using professional experience.

The analyses were performed by the following method:

Total Organic Carbon by Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) SW 846 Method 9060A

All sample results were subjected to Stage 2B data validation, which comprises an evaluation of quality control (QC) summary results.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers utilized during data validation:

- J+ (Estimated, High Bias): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated, displaying high bias, due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- J- (Estimated, Low Bias): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated, displaying low bias, due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- J (Estimated, Bias Indeterminate): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated due to non-conformances discovered during data validation. Bias is indeterminate.
- U (Non-detected): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the analyte should be considered non-detected due to the presence of contaminants detected in the associated blank(s).
- UJ (Non-detected estimated): The analyte was not detected and the associated numerical value is approximate.
- X (Exclusion of data recommended): The sample results (including non-detects) were affected by serious deficiencies in the ability to analyze the sample and to meet published method and project quality control criteria. The presence or absence of the analyte cannot be substantiated by the data provided. Exclusion of the data is recommended.
- NA (Not Applicable): The non-conformance discovered during data validation demonstrates a high bias, while the affected analyte in the associated sample(s) was reported as not detected by the laboratory and did not warrant the qualification of the data.

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

#### **Qualification Code Reference**

- a ICP Serial Dilution %D was not within control limits.
- b Presumed contamination from preparation (method blank).
- c Calibration %RSD, r,  $r^2$ , %D or %R was noncompliant.
- d The analysis with this flag should not be used because another more technically sound analysis is available.
- e MS/MSD or Duplicate RPD was high.
- f Presumed contamination from FB or ER.
- g ICP ICS results were unsatisfactory.
- h Holding times were exceeded.
- i Internal standard performance was unsatisfactory.
- k Estimated Maximum Possible Concentration (HRGC/HRMS only)
- I LCS/LCSD %R was not within control limits.
- m Result exceeded the calibration range.
- o Cooler temperature or temperature blank was noncompliant and/or sample custody problems.
- p RPD between two columns was high (GC only).
- q MS/MSD recovery was not within control limits.
- s Surrogate recovery was not within control limits.
- t Presumed contamination from trip blank.
- v Unusual problems found with the data not defined elsewhere. Description of the problem can be found in the validation report.
- w LCS/LCSD RPD was high.
- y Chemical recovery was not within control limits (Radiochemistry only).

# I. Sample Receipt and Technical Holding Times

All samples were received in good condition.

All technical holding time requirements were met.

# II. Initial Calibration

All criteria for the initial calibration were met.

# III. Continuing Calibration

Continuing calibration frequency and analysis criteria were met with the following exceptions:

Date	Lab. Reference/ID	Analyte	%R (Limits)	Associated Samples	Flag	A or P
11/13/21	CCV (2:24)	Total organic carbon	86.2 (90-110)	All samples in SDG 97985	J- (all detects)	Р
11/13/21	CCV (10:44)	Total organic carbon	84.2 (90-110)	All samples in SDG 97985	J- (all detects)	Ρ

# IV. Laboratory Blanks

Laboratory blanks were analyzed as required by the method. No contaminants were found in the laboratory blanks.

# V. Field Blanks

No field blanks were identified in this SDG.

### VI. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

The laboratory has indicated that there were no matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyses specified for the samples in this SDG, and therefore matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate analyses were not performed for this SDG.

# VII. Duplicate Sample Analysis

The laboratory has indicated that there were no duplicate (DUP) analyses specified for the samples in this SDG, and therefore duplicate analyses were not performed for this SDG.

### VIII. Laboratory Control Samples

Laboratory control samples (LCS) and laboratory control samples duplicates (LCSD) were analyzed as required by the method. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits. Relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

# **IX. Field Duplicates**

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

# X. Target Analyte Quantitation

Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

#### XI. Overall Assessment of Data

The analysis was conducted within all specifications of the method. No results were rejected or recommended for exclusion in this SDG.

Due to continuing calibration %R, data were qualified as estimated in one sample.

# Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126 Total Organic Carbon - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 97985

Sample	Analyte	Flag	A or P	Reason (Code)
ERH1856	Total organic carbon	J- (all detects)	Р	Continuing calibration (%R) (c)

Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126 Total Organic Carbon - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 97985

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126 Total Organic Carbon - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 97985

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

LDC #:_	52818E6		_ V#
SDG #:_	97985		
Laborat	orv: APPL.	Inc., Clo	vis. CA

# ALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET

Stage 2B

Date: 12/23/2	
Page: Lof L	
Reviewer: ATV	
2nd Reviewer:	

# METHOD: (Analyte) TOC (EPA SW846 Method 9060A)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
<u> </u>	Sample receipt/Technical holding times	A A	
	Initial calibration	A	
<u>III.</u>	Calibration verification	SW	
IV	Laboratory Blanks	A	
v	Field blanks	N	
VI.	Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates	N	C.S
VII.	Duplicate sample analysis	N	
VIII.	Laboratory control samples	A	LCS/LCSD
IX.	Field duplicates	N	
Х.	Target Analyte Quantitation	N	
XI.	Overall assessment of data	A	

Note:

F

ND = No compounds detected R = Rinsate

D = Duplicate
TB = Trip blank
EB = Equipment b

SB=Source blank

-

A = Acceptable N = Not provided/applicable SW = See worksheet

FB = Field blank

TB = Trip blank	
EB = Equipment	blank

OTHER:

	Client ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Date
1	ERH1856	BA44376	Water	10/26/21
2				
3				
4				
5				
6				
7				
8				
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
Note	S:			

# VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET Calibration

#### **METHOD**: Inorganics, EPA Method See cover

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

Y)N N/A Were all instruments calibrated daily, each set-up time, and were the proper number of standards used? Y(N)N/A

Were all initial and continuing calibration verification percent recoveries (%R) within the control limits of 90-110%?

(Y)N N/A Are all correlation coefficients >0.995 ?

#### LEVEL IV/D ONLY: Y N NA

Y N/NA

Y N N A

Were recalculated results acceptable? See Level IV Initial and Continuing Calibration Recaluculation Worksheet for recalulations.

Was a balance check conducted prior to the TDS analysis.?

Was the titrant normality checked?

#	Date	Calibration ID	Analyte	%R	Associated Samples	Qualifications Code: c
·	11/13/21	CCV (02:24)	тос	86.2 (90-110)	all	J-/UJ/P (detect)
		CCV (10:44)	тос	84.2 (90-110)	all	J-/UJ/P (detect)
				·		
ļ						
		1			L	

Comments:

52818E6.wpd

# Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc. Data Validation Report

Project/Site Name:	Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126
--------------------	---

LDC Report Date: January 10, 2022

- Parameters: Gasoline Range Organics
- Validation Level: Stage 2B
- Laboratory: APPL, Inc., Clovis, CA

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 97985

Sample Identification	Laboratory Sample Identification	Matrix	Collection Date
ERH1855	BA44375	Water	10/26/21
ERH1856	BA44376	Water	10/26/21

#### Introduction

This Data Validation Report (DVR) presents data validation findings and results for the associated samples listed on the cover page. Data validation was performed in accordance with the Work Plan/Scope of Work, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 02, January 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 01, April 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 01, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, September 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 03, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, June 2018), the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) Quality Systems Manual (QSM) for Environmental Laboratories, Version 5.3 (2019), the DoD General Validation Guidelines (November 2019), and the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) Data Validation Guidelines Module 4: Data Validation Procedure for Organic Analysis by GC (March 2021). Where specific guidance was not available, the data has been evaluated in a conservative manner consistent with industry standards using professional experience.

The analyses were performed by the following method:

Gasoline Range Organics by Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) SW 846 Method 8260B

All sample results were subjected to Stage 2B data validation, which comprises an evaluation of quality control (QC) summary results.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers utilized during data validation:

- J+ (Estimated, High Bias): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated, displaying high bias, due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- J- (Estimated, Low Bias): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated, displaying low bias, due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- J (Estimated, Bias Indeterminate): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated due to non-conformances discovered during data validation. Bias is indeterminate.
- U (Non-detected): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the analyte should be considered non-detected due to the presence of contaminants detected in the associated blank(s).
- UJ (Non-detected estimated): The analyte was not detected and the associated numerical value is approximate.
- X (Exclusion of data recommended): The sample results (including non-detects) were affected by serious deficiencies in the ability to analyze the sample and to meet published method and project quality control criteria. The presence or absence of the analyte cannot be substantiated by the data provided. Exclusion of the data is recommended.
- NA (Not Applicable): The non-conformance discovered during data validation demonstrates a high bias, while the affected analyte in the associated sample(s) was reported as not detected by the laboratory and did not warrant the qualification of the data.

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

# Qualification Code Reference

- a ICP Serial Dilution %D was not within control limits.
- b Presumed contamination from preparation (method blank).
- c Calibration %RSD, r,  $r^2$ , %D or %R was noncompliant.
- d The analysis with this flag should not be used because another more technically sound analysis is available.
- e MS/MSD or Duplicate RPD was high.
- f Presumed contamination from FB or ER.
- g ICP ICS results were unsatisfactory.
- h Holding times were exceeded.
- i Internal standard performance was unsatisfactory.
- k Estimated Maximum Possible Concentration (HRGC/HRMS only)
- LCS/LCSD %R was not within control limits.
- m Result exceeded the calibration range.
- o Cooler temperature or temperature blank was noncompliant and/or sample custody problems.
- p RPD between two columns was high (GC only).
- q MS/MSD recovery was not within control limits.
- s Surrogate recovery was not within control limits.
- t Presumed contamination from trip blank.
- v Unusual problems found with the data not defined elsewhere. Description of the problem can be found in the validation report.
- w LCS/LCSD RPD was high.
- y Chemical recovery was not within control limits (Radiochemistry only).

# I. Sample Receipt and Technical Holding Times

All samples were received in good condition and cooler temperatures upon receipt met validation criteria.

All technical holding time requirements were met.

# II. Initial Calibration and Initial Calibration Verification

An initial calibration was performed as required by the method.

A curve fit, based on the initial calibration, was established for quantitation. The coefficient of determination  $(r^2)$  was greater than or equal to 0.990.

The percent differences (%D) of the initial calibration verification (ICV) standard were less than or equal to 20.0%.

# III. Continuing Calibration

Continuing calibration was performed at the required frequencies.

The percent differences (%D) were less than or equal to 20.0%.

The percent differences (%D) of the ending continuing calibration verifications (CCVs) were less than or equal to 20.0% for all analytes.

### **IV. Laboratory Blanks**

Laboratory blanks were analyzed as required by the method. No contaminants were found in the laboratory blanks.

# V. Field Blanks

Sample ERH1855 was identified as a trip blank. No contaminants were found.

### VI. Surrogates

Surrogates were added to all samples as required by the method. All surrogate recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

### VII. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

The laboratory has indicated that there were no matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyses specified for the samples in this SDG, and therefore matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate analyses were not performed for this SDG.

# VIII. Laboratory Control Samples

Laboratory control samples (LCS) and laboratory control samples duplicates (LCSD) were analyzed as required by the method. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits. Relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

#### **IX. Field Duplicates**

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

### X. Target Analyte Quantitation

Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

#### XI. Target Analyte Identification

Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

#### XII. Overall Assessment of Data

The analysis was conducted within all specifications of the method. No results were rejected or recommended for exclusion in this SDG.

#### Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126 Gasoline Range Organics - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 97985

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126

Gasoline Range Organics - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 97985

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126 Gasoline Range Organics - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 97985

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Stage 2B

LDC #:	<u>52818E7</u>			V
SDG #:	97985			
Laborato	ory: APPL,	Inc.,	Clovis,	CA

# Date: 1/4/22 Page: / of Reviewer: 2nd Reviewer:

# METHOD: GC/MS Gasoline Range Organics (EPA SW 846 Method 8260B)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
١.	Sample receipt/Technical holding times	AA	
١١.	GC/MS Instrument performance check	4	FT
.	Initial calibration/ICV	4 14	$h_{PSD} \leq r^2$ $KV \leq 20$
IV.	Continuing calibration ending	5	$CW \neq 20/2U$
V.	Laboratory Blanks	A	·
VI.	Field blanks	NO	TB = 1
VII.	Surrogate spikes	A	
VIII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	2	es
IX.	Laboratory control samples	A	LOSIP
X.	Field duplicates	N	
XI.	Internal standards	Λ	
XII.	Target analyte quantitation	N	
XIII.	Target analyte identification	N	
XIV.	System performance	N	
XV.	Overall assessment of data	A	

Note:

A = Acceptable N = Not provided/applicable SW = See worksheet

ND = No compounds detected R = Rinsate FB = Field blank

D = Duplicate TB = Trip blank EB = Equipment blank SB=Source blank OTHER:

	Client ID			Lab ID	Matrix	Date
 1	ERH1855			BA44375	Water	10/26/21
2	ERH1856		 	BA44376	Water	10/26/21
3		;				
4			 			
5			 			
6						
7			 			
8						
9						
Notes:						
	211102AM					

# Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc. Data Validation Report

Project/Site Name:	Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126
--------------------	---

LDC Report Date: January 10, 2022

Parameters: Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Extractables

Validation Level: Stage 2B

Laboratory: APPL, Inc., Clovis, CA

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 97985

Sample Identification	Laboratory Sample Identification	Matrix	Collection Date
ERH1856	BA44376	Water	10/26/21
ERH1856(SGCU)	BA44376(SGCU)	Water	10/26/21

#### Introduction

This Data Validation Report (DVR) presents data validation findings and results for the associated samples listed on the cover page. Data validation was performed in accordance with the Work Plan/Scope of Work, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 02, January 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan. Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 01, April 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 01, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, September 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 03, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, June 2018), the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) Quality Systems Manual (QSM) for Environmental Laboratories, Version 5.3 (2019), the DoD General Validation Guidelines (November 2019), and the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) Data Validation Guidelines Module 4: Data Validation Procedure for Organic Analysis by GC (March 2021). Where specific guidance was not available, the data has been evaluated in a conservative manner consistent with industry standards using professional experience.

The analyses were performed by the following method:

Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (TPH) as Extractables by Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) SW 846 Method 8015B

All sample results were subjected to Stage 2B data validation, which comprises an evaluation of quality control (QC) summary results.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers utilized during data validation:

- J+ (Estimated, High Bias): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated, displaying high bias, due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- J- (Estimated, Low Bias): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated, displaying low bias, due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- J (Estimated, Bias Indeterminate): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated due to non-conformances discovered during data validation. Bias is indeterminate.
- U (Non-detected): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the analyte should be considered non-detected due to the presence of contaminants detected in the associated blank(s).
- UJ (Non-detected estimated): The analyte was not detected and the associated numerical value is approximate.
- X (Exclusion of data recommended): The sample results (including non-detects) were affected by serious deficiencies in the ability to analyze the sample and to meet published method and project quality control criteria. The presence or absence of the analyte cannot be substantiated by the data provided. Exclusion of the data is recommended.
- NA (Not Applicable): The non-conformance discovered during data validation demonstrates a high bias, while the affected analyte in the associated sample(s) was reported as not detected by the laboratory and did not warrant the qualification of the data.

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

# **Qualification Code Reference**

- a ICP Serial Dilution %D was not within control limits.
- b Presumed contamination from preparation (method blank).
- c Calibration %RSD, r,  $r^2$ , %D or %R was noncompliant.
- d The analysis with this flag should not be used because another more technically sound analysis is available.
- e MS/MSD or Duplicate RPD was high.
- f Presumed contamination from FB or ER.
- g ICP ICS results were unsatisfactory.
- h Holding times were exceeded.
- i Internal standard performance was unsatisfactory.
- k Estimated Maximum Possible Concentration (HRGC/HRMS only)
- I LCS/LCSD %R was not within control limits.
- m Result exceeded the calibration range.
- o Cooler temperature or temperature blank was noncompliant and/or sample custody problems.
- p RPD between two columns was high (GC only).
- q MS/MSD recovery was not within control limits.
- s Surrogate recovery was not within control limits.
- t Presumed contamination from trip blank.
- v Unusual problems found with the data not defined elsewhere. Description of the problem can be found in the validation report.
- w LCS/LCSD RPD was high.
- y Chemical recovery was not within control limits (Radiochemistry only).

# I. Sample Receipt and Technical Holding Times

All samples were received in good condition and cooler temperatures upon receipt met validation criteria.

All technical holding time requirements were met.

# II. Initial Calibration and Initial Calibration Verification

An initial calibration was performed as required by the method.

For analytes where average calibration factors were utilized, percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) were less than or equal to 20.0%.

In the case where the laboratory used a calibration curve to evaluate the analytes, all coefficients of determination ( $r^2$ ) were greater than or equal to 0.990.

The percent differences (%D) of the initial calibration verification (ICV) standard were less than or equal to 20.0% for all analytes.

# III. Continuing Calibration

Continuing calibration was performed at the required frequencies.

The percent differences (%D) were less than or equal to 20.0% for all analytes.

The percent differences (%D) of the ending continuing calibration verifications (CCVs) were less than or equal to 20.0% for all analytes.

### IV. Laboratory Blanks

Laboratory blanks were analyzed as required by the method. No contaminants were found in the laboratory blanks.

### V. Field Blanks

No field blanks were identified in this SDG.

### VI. Surrogates

Surrogates were added to all samples as required by the method. All surrogate recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

### VII. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

The laboratory has indicated that there were no matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyses specified for the samples in this SDG, and therefore matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate analyses were not performed for this SDG.

# VIII. Laboratory Control Samples

Laboratory control samples (LCS) and laboratory control samples duplicates (LCSD) were analyzed as required by the method. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits with the following exceptions:

LCS ID (Associated Samples)	Analyte	LCS %R (Limits)	LCSD %R (Limits)	Flag	A or P
211029A-LCS/LCSD (ERH1856)	Oil (C24-C40)	116 (41-113)	-	NA	-

Relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

# IX. Field Duplicates

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

# X. Target Analyte Quantitation

Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

# XI. Target Analyte Identification

Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

### XII. Overall Assessment of Data

The analysis was conducted within all specifications of the method. No results were rejected or recommended for exclusion in this SDG.

Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126 Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Extractables - Data Qualification Summary -SDG 97985

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126 Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Extractables - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 97985

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126 Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Extractables - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 97985

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

VALIDATION	COMPL	ETENESS	WORKSHEET
------------	-------	---------	-----------

LDC #: <u>52818E8</u> **V** SDG #: <u>97985</u> Laboratory: <u>APPL, Inc., Clovis, CA</u>

# Stage 2B



METHOD: GC TPH as Extractables (EPA SW 846 Method 8015B)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
1.	Sample receipt/Technical holding times	AIA	
11.	Initial calibration/ICV	A/A	°/0 p3 ≤ 20 12 10 ≤ 20
111.	Continuing calibration ending	0	$c_{LV} \neq 20/20$
IV.	Laboratory Blanks	Δ	
V.	Field blanks	N	
VI.	Surrogate spikes	A	
VII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	N	65
VIII.	Laboratory control samples	SW	1010
IX.	Field duplicates	N	
Х.	Target analyte quantitation	N	
XI.	Target analyte identification	N	
	Overall assessment of data		

Note:

A = Acceptable N = Not provided/applicable SW = See worksheet ND = No compounds detected R = Rinsate FB = Field blank D = Duplicate TB = Trip blank EB = Equipment blank SB=Source blank OTHER:

OTHER:

	Client ID			 	Lab ID	Matrix	Date	
1-1	ERH1856				BA44376	Water	10/26/21	
2 2	ERH1856(SGCU)	 			BA44376(SGCU)	Water	10/26/21	
3		 						
4								
5								
6			_					
7								
8								
9		 						
10								
11								
12								
13								
Notes				 				
1	211029A-BIK	·····						
2	211029A1-B1K							

LDC #: 52818EX

## VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)



Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A". <u>Y N/A</u> <u>Y N/A</u> Were a laboratory control samples (LCS) and laboratory control sample duplicate (LCSD) analyzed for each matrix in this SDG? Were the LCS percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) within the QC limits?  $\psi_{\sigma}R = (Q)$ 

# Level IV/D Only

Y\_N\_N(A) Was an LCS analyzed every 20 samples for each matrix or whenever a sample extraction was performed?

#	LCS/LCSD ID	Compound	LCS %R (Limits)	LCSD %R (Limits)	RPD (Limits)	Associated Samples	Qualifications
	211029A -	0il (cz4-c4	(+1-113)	( )	()	1. 211029A-BIK	Haut/P ND
	LOS 10		( )	( )	( )		
	-		( )	( )	( )		
			( )	( )	( )		
			( )	( )	( )		
			( )	()	( )		
			( )	( )	( )	······································	
<u> </u>				( )			
			( )	()	( )		
			( )	( )	( )	······································	
			( )	( )	( )		
	······································		()_	( )	()		
			()	( )	( )		
			( )	( )	()		
			( )	()	( )		
			()	()	( )		
			()	( )	()		
			( )	()	()		
			( )	( )	( )		
			( )	( )	( )		
			( )	( )	()		
			( )	()	( )		
			( )	( )	()		

# Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc. Data Validation Report

Project/Site Name: Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126

LDC Report Date: January 10, 2022

Parameters: Volatiles

Validation Level: Stage 2B & 4

Laboratory: APPL, Inc., Clovis, CA

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 98005

	Laboratory Sample		Collection
Sample Identification	Identification	Matrix	Date
ERH1843	BA44458	Water	10/27/21
ERH1844**	BA44459**	Water	10/27/21
ERH1846	BA44460	Water	10/27/21
ERH1847**	BA44461**	Water	10/27/21
ERH1849	BA44462	Water	10/27/21
ERH1850**	BA44463**	Water	10/27/21
ERH1852	BA44464	Water	10/27/21
ERH1853**	BA44465**	Water	10/27/21

\*\*Indicates sample underwent Stage 4 validation

#### Introduction

This Data Validation Report (DVR) presents data validation findings and results for the associated samples listed on the cover page. Data validation was performed in accordance with the Work Plan/Scope of Work, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 02, January 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 01, April 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 01, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, September 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 03, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, June 2018), the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) Quality Systems Manual (QSM) for Environmental Laboratories, Version 5.3 (2019), the DoD General Validation Guidelines (November 2019), and the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) Data Validation Guidelines Module 1: Data Validation Procedure for Organic Analysis by GC/MS (May 2020). Where specific guidance was not available, the data has been evaluated in a conservative manner consistent with industry standards using professional experience.

The analyses were performed by the following method:

Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs) which are Benzene, Toluene, Ethylbenzene, and Xylenes (BTEX) by Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) SW 846 Method 8260B

All sample results were subjected to Stage 2B data validation, which comprises an evaluation of quality control (QC) summary results. Samples appended with a double asterisk on the cover page were subjected to Stage 4 data validation, which is comprised of the QC summary forms as well as the raw data, to confirm sample quantitation and identification.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers utilized during data validation:

- J+ (Estimated, High Bias): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated, displaying high bias, due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- J- (Estimated, Low Bias): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated, displaying low bias, due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- J (Estimated, Bias Indeterminate): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated due to non-conformances discovered during data validation. Bias is indeterminate.
- U (Non-detected): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the analyte should be considered non-detected due to the presence of contaminants detected in the associated blank(s).
- UJ (Non-detected estimated): The analyte was not detected and the associated numerical value is approximate.
- X (Exclusion of data recommended): The sample results (including non-detects) were affected by serious deficiencies in the ability to analyze the sample and to meet published method and project quality control criteria. The presence or absence of the analyte cannot be substantiated by the data provided. Exclusion of the data is recommended.
- NA (Not Applicable): The non-conformance discovered during data validation demonstrates a high bias, while the affected analyte in the associated sample(s) was reported as not detected by the laboratory and did not warrant the qualification of the data.

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

#### **Qualification Code Reference**

- a ICP Serial Dilution %D was not within control limits.
- b Presumed contamination from preparation (method blank).
- c Calibration %RSD, r, r<sup>2</sup>, %D or %R was noncompliant.
- d The analysis with this flag should not be used because another more technically sound analysis is available.
- e MS/MSD or Duplicate RPD was high.
- f Presumed contamination from FB or ER.
- g ICP ICS results were unsatisfactory.
- h Holding times were exceeded.
- i Internal standard performance was unsatisfactory.
- k Estimated Maximum Possible Concentration (HRGC/HRMS only)
- LCS/LCSD %R was not within control limits.
- m Result exceeded the calibration range.
- o Cooler temperature or temperature blank was noncompliant and/or sample custody problems.
- p RPD between two columns was high (GC only).
- q MS/MSD recovery was not within control limits.
- s Surrogate recovery was not within control limits.
- t Presumed contamination from trip blank.
- v Unusual problems found with the data not defined elsewhere. Description of the problem can be found in the validation report.
- w LCS/LCSD RPD was high.
- y Chemical recovery was not within control limits (Radiochemistry only).

# I. Sample Receipt and Technical Holding Times

All samples were received in good condition and cooler temperatures upon receipt met validation criteria.

All technical holding time requirements were met.

# **II. GC/MS Instrument Performance Check**

A bromofluorobenzene (BFB) tune was performed at 12 hour intervals.

All ion abundance requirements were met.

# III. Initial Calibration and Initial Calibration Verification

An initial calibration was performed as required by the method.

The percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) were less than or equal to 15.0% for all analytes.

Average relative response factors (RRF) for all analytes were within validation criteria.

The percent differences (%D) of the initial calibration verification (ICV) standard were less than or equal to 20.0% for all analytes.

# IV. Continuing Calibration

Continuing calibration was performed at the required frequencies.

The percent differences (%D) were less than or equal to 20.0% for all analytes.

The percent differences (%D) of the ending continuing calibration verifications (CCVs) were less than or equal to 50.0% for all analytes.

All of the continuing calibration relative response factors (RRF) were within validation criteria.

# V. Laboratory Blanks

Laboratory blanks were analyzed as required by the method. No contaminants were found in the laboratory blanks.

# VI. Field Blanks

Samples ERH1843, ERH1846, ERH1849, and ERH1852 were identified as trip blanks. No contaminants were found.

# VII. Surrogates

Surrogates were added to all samples as required by the method. All surrogate recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

# VIII. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

The laboratory has indicated that there were no matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyses specified for the samples in this SDG, and therefore matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate analyses were not performed for this SDG.

# IX. Laboratory Control Samples

Laboratory control samples (LCS) and laboratory control samples duplicates (LCSD) were analyzed as required by the method. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits. Relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

# X. Field Duplicates

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

# XI. Internal Standards

All internal standard areas and retention times were within QC limits.

# XII. Target Analyte Quantitation

All target analyte quantitations met validation criteria for samples which underwent Stage 4 validation. Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

### XIII. Target Analyte Identification

All target analyte identifications met validation criteria for samples which underwent Stage 4 validation. Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

### XIV. System Performance

The system performance was acceptable for samples which underwent Stage 4 validation. Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

### XV. Overall Assessment of Data

The analysis was conducted within all specifications of the method. No results were rejected or recommended for exclusion in this SDG.

# Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126 Volatiles - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 98005

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126 Volatiles - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 98005

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126 Volatiles - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 98005

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

ALIDATION	COMPL	ETENESS	WORKSHEET
-----------	-------	---------	-----------

LDC #: <u>52818F1a</u> **V/** SDG #: <u>98005</u> Laboratory: APPL, Inc., Clovis, CA

# Stage 2B/4



Laboratory: <u>APPL, Inc., Clovis, CA</u>

#### METHOD: GC/MS Volatiles (BTEX)(EPA SW 846 Method 8260B)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments				
1.	Sample receipt/Technical holding times	AIA					
11.	GC/MS Instrument performance check	4					
111.	Initial calibration/ICV	$A/\Delta$	% PSD = 15 ICV = 20				
IV.	Continuing calibration ending	A	CUV = 20 50				
V.	Laboratory Blanks	Δ					
VI.	Field blanks	ND	TB=1,3,5,7				
VII.	Surrogate spikes	A					
VIII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	N	cs				
IX.	Laboratory control samples	A	ICS IP				
Х.	Field duplicates	N					
XI.	Internal standards	Δ					
XII.	Target analyte quantitation	Δ	Not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.				
XIII.	Target analyte identification	Δ	Not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.				
XIV.	System performance	4	Not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.				
XV.	Overall assessment of data						

Note: A = Acceptable N = Not provided/applicable

SW = See worksheet

ND = No compounds detected
R = Rinsate

FB = Field blank

D = Duplicate TB = Trip blank EB = Equipment blank SB=Source blank OTHER:

** Ind	licates sample underwent Stage 4 validation	 	·····	
	Client ID	 Lab ID	Matrix	Date
1	ERH1843 TB	 BA44458	Water	10/27/21
2	ERH1844**	 BA44459**	Water	10/27/21
3	ERH1846 ТВ	 BA44460	Water	10/27/21
4 <b>4</b>	ERH1847**	 BA44461**	Water	10/27/21
5	ERH1849 TO	BA44462	Water	10/27/21
6	ERH1850**	BA44463**	Water	10/27/21
7	ERH1852 TO	 BA44464	Water	10/27/21
8	ERH1853**	 BA44465**	Water	10/27/21
9				
lotes	S:			
	211102AM - BIK			
		<u></u>		

\_\_\_\_\_

# Method: Volatiles (EPA SW 846 Method 8260 3)

Validation Area	Yes	No	NA	Findings/Comments			
I. Technical holding times							
Were all technical holding times met?	/						
Was cooler temperature criteria met?							
II. GC/MS Instrument performance check							
Were the BFB performance results reviewed and found to be within the specified criteria?	/						
Were all samples analyzed within the 12 hour clock criteria?							
IIIa. Initial calibration							
Did the laboratory perform a 5 point calibration prior to sample analysis?	<						
Were all percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) $\leq$ 15% and relative response factors (RRF) within method criteria?	/						
Was a curve fit used for evaluation? If yes, did the initial calibration meet the curve fit acceptance criteria of $\geq$ 0.990?			/				
IIIb. Initial Calibration Verification							
Was an initial calibration verification standard analyzed after each initial calibration for each instrument?							
Were all percent differences (%D) <u>≤</u> 20% ?	/						
IV. Continuing calibration							
Was a continuing calibration standard analyzed at least once every 12 hours for each instrument?	/	Ĺ					
Were all percent differences (%D) $\leq$ 20% and relative response factors (RRF) within method criteria? Were all percent differences (%D) $\leq$ 50% in the ending CCV?	/	ſ					
V. Laboratory Blanks							
Was a laboratory blank associated with every sample in this SDG?							
Was a laboratory blank analyzed at least once every 12 hours for each matrix and concentration?	/						
Was there contamination in the laboratory blanks? If yes, please see the Blanks validation findings worksheet.		/					
VI. Field blanks							
Were field blanks were identified in this SDG?	-						
Were target analytes detected in the field blanks?		/					
VII. Surrogate spikes							
Were all surrogate percent recovery (%R) within QC limits?							
If the percent recovery (%R) for one or more surrogates was out of QC limits, was a reanalysis performed to confirm samples with %R outside of criteria?			/				
VIII. Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates							
Were matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyzed in this SDG?			/	*			
Were the MS/MSD percent recoveries (%R) and the relative percent differences (RPD) within the QC limits?							

# LDC #: 52818Fla VALIDATION FINDINGS CHECKLIST

	Vee	Na		Eindings/Commonto
validation Area	res	NO		rmungs/comments
IX. Laboratory control samples				
Was an LCS analyzed for this SDG?				
Were the LCS percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent difference (RPD) within				
X. Field duplicates	L		L	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Were field duplicate pairs identified in this SDG?		/	ł	
Were target analytes detected in the field duplicates?				t
XI. Internal standards				
Were internal standard area counts within -50% to +100% of the associated calibration standard?	/			
Were retention times within ± 30 seconds of the associated calibration standard?	/	Ĺ		
XII. Target analyte quantitation				······
Did the laboratory LOQs/RLs meet the QAPP LOQs/RLs?	/			
Were the correct internal standard (IS), quantitation ion and relative response factor (RRF) used to quantitate the target analyte?	/			
Were target analyte quantitation and RLs adjusted to reflect all sample dilutions and dry weight factors applicable to level IV validation?				
XIII. Target analyte identification				
Were relative retention times (RRT's) within ± 0.06 RRT units of the standard?				
Did analyte spectra meet specified EPA "Functional Guidelines" criteria?	/			
Were chromatogram peaks verified and accounted for?				
Were manual integrations reviewed and found acceptable?				<u>_</u>
Did the laboratory provide before and after integration printouts?			//	F
XIV. System performance				
System performance was found to be acceptable.	/			
XV. Overall assessment of data				
Overall assessment of data was found to be acceptable.	1			

.

# TARGET COMPOUND WORKSHEET

#### METHOD: VOA

A. Chloromethane	AA. Tetrachloroethene	AAA. 1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	AAAA. Ethyl tert-butyl ether	A1. 1,3-Butadiene
B. Bromomethane	BB. 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	BBB. 4-Chlorotoluene	BBBB. tert-Amyl methyl ether	B1. Hexane
C. Vinyl choride	CC. Toluene	CCC. tert-Butylbenzene	CCCC. 1-Chlorohexane	C1. Heptane
D. Chloroethane	DD. Chlorobenzene	DDD. 1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	DDDD. Isopropyl alcohol	D1. Propylene
E. Methylene chloride	EE. Ethylbenzene	EEE. sec-Butylbenzene	EEEE. Acetonitrile	E1. Freon 11
F. Acetone	FF. Styrene	FFF. 1,3-Dichlorobenzene	FFFF. Acrolein	F1. Freon 12
G. Carbon disulfide	GG. Xylenes, total	GGG. p-lsopropyltoluene	GGGG. Acrylonitrile	G1. Freon 113
H. 1,1-Dichloroethene	HH. Vinyl acetate	HHH. 1,4-Dichlorobenzene	HHHH. 1,4-Dioxane	H1. Freon 114
I. 1,1-Dichloroethane	II. 2-Chloroethylvinyl ether	III. n-Butylbenzene	IIII. Isobutyl alcohol	I1. 2-Nitropropane
J. 1,2-Dichloroethene, total	JJ. Dichlorodifluoromethane	JJJ. 1,2-Dichlorobenzene	JJJJ. Methacrylonitrile	J1. Dimethyl disulfide
K. Chloroform	KK. Trichlorofluoromethane	KKK. 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	KKKK. Propionitrile	K1. 2,3-Dimethyl pentane
L. 1,2-Dichloroethane	LL. Methyl-tert-butyl ether	LLL. Hexachlorobutadiene	LLLL. Ethyl ether	L1. 2,4-Dimethyl pentane
M. 2-Butanone	MM. 1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane	MMM. Naphthalene	MMMM. Benzyl chloride	M1. 3,3-Dimethyl pentane
N. 1,1,1-Trichloroethane	NN. Methyl ethyl ketone	NNN. 1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene	NNNN. lodomethane	N1. 2-Methylpentane
O. Carbon tetrachloride	OO. 2,2-Dichloropropane	OOO. 1,3,5-Trichlorobenzene	OOOO.1,1-Difluoroethane	O1. 3-Methylpentane
P. Bromodichloromethane	PP. Bromochloromethane	PPP. trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	PPPP. Tetrahydrofuran	P1. 3-Ethylpentane
Q. 1,2-Dichloropropane	QQ. 1,1-Dichloropropene	QQQ. cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	QQQQ. Methyl acetate	Q1. 2,2-Dimethylpentane
R. cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	RR. Dibromomethane	RRR. m,p-Xylenes	RRRR. Ethyl acetate	R1. 2,2,3- Trimethylbutane
S. Trichloroethene	SS. 1,3-Dichloropropane	SSS. o-Xylene	SSSS. Cyclohexane	S1. 2,2,4-Trimethylpentane
T. Dibromochloromethane	TT. 1,2-Dibromoethane	TTT. 1,1,2-Trichloro-1,2,2-trifluoroethane	TTTT. Methyl cyclohexane	T1. 2-Methylhexane
U. 1,1,2-Trichloroethane	UU. 1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	UUU. 1,2-Dichlorotetrafluoroethane	UUUU. Allyl chloride	U1. Nonanal
V. Benzene	VV. Isopropylbenzene	VVV. 4-Ethyltoluene	VVVV. Methyl methacrylate	V1. 2-Methylnaphthalene
W. trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	WW. Bromobenzene	WWW. Ethanol	WWWW. Ethyl methacrylate	W1. Methanol
X. Bromoform	XX. 1,2,3-Trichloropropane	XXX. Di-isopropyl ether	XXXX. cis-1,4-Dichloro-2-butene	X1. 1,2,3-Trimethylbenzene
Y. 4-Methyl-2-pentanone	YY. n-Propylbenzene	YYY. tert-Butanol	YYYY. trans-1,4-Dichloro-2-butene	Y1. 2-Propanol
Z. 2-Hexanone	ZZ. 2-Chlorotoluene	ZZZ. tert-Butyl alcohol	ZZZZ. Pentachloroethane	Z1.
# VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET Initial Calibration Calculation Verification

Page: \_1\_\_\_ of \_\_1\_\_ Reviewer: \_\_\_FT\_\_\_\_

METHOD: GCMS 8260B

The calibration factors (RRFF), average RRFF, and relative standard deviation (%RSD) were recalculated for compounds identified below using the following calculations:

RRF = (Ax)(Cis)/(Ais)(Cx) average RRF = sum of the RRFs/number of standards %RSD = 100 \* (S/X)

- Where:
- Ax = Area of compound Cx = Concentration of compound S = Standard deviation of the RRFs X = Mean of the RRFs Ais = Area of associated internal standard Cis = Concentration of internal Standard

				Reported	Recalculated	Reported	Recalculated	Reported	Recalculated
		Calibration				AverageRRF	Average RRF	%RSD	%RSD
#	Standard ID	Date	Compound	(RRF 5ug/L std)	(RRF 5 ug/L std)	(Initial)	(Initial)		
	ICAL	10/15/2021	V	0.4345	0.4345	0.4384	0.4384	4.3	4.3
	MAX		EE	0.7106	0.7106	0.6860	0.6860	8.1	8.1

LDC #: 52818Fla

# VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET Continuing Calibration Results Verification

Page: 1 of 1 Reviewer: FT

## METHOD: GC/MS VOA (EPA SW 846 Method 8260 3)

The percent difference (%D) of the initial calibration average Relative Response Factors (RRFs) and the continuing calibration RRFs were recalculated for the target analytes identified below using the following calculation:

% Difference = 100 \* (ave. RRF - RRF)/ave. RRF

Where:

 $\mathsf{RRF} = (\mathsf{A}_{\mathsf{x}})(\mathsf{C}_{\mathsf{is}})/(\mathsf{A}_{\mathsf{is}})(\mathsf{C}_{\mathsf{x}})$ 

ave. RRF = initial calibration average RRF  $A_x$  = Area of target analyte  $C_x$  = Concentration of target analyte RRF = continuing calibration RRF

A<sub>is</sub> = Area of associated internal standard

C<sub>is</sub> = Concentration of internal standard

#	Standard ID	Calibration Date	Target Analyte (Internal Standard)	Average RRF (initial)	Reported RRF (CC)	Recalculated RRF (CC)	Reported %D	Recalculated %D
1	eev	11/2/21	N	a 4384	0.4201	0.4201	4.2	4.2
	1102103		EE	0.686V	0.6948	0.6948	1.3	1.3
2								
					·			
3								
		j						
4								

LDC #: 52 818 = la

## VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET Surrogate Results Verification

Page: <u>1</u> of <u>1</u> Reviewer: <u>FT</u>

## METHOD: GC/MS VOA (EPA SW 846 Method 8260 B

The percent recoveries (%R) of surrogates were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculation:

% Recovery: SF/SS \* 100

Where: SF = Surrogate Found SS = Surrogate Spiked

# Sample ID: # 2

	Surrogate Spiked	Surrogate Found	Percent Recovery Reported	Percent Recovery Recalculated	Percent Difference
Dibromofluoromethane	25.0	26.00	ןטץ	104	υ
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4		26.40	106	106	4
Toluene-d8		24.97	99.9	99.9	
Bromofluorobenzene	4	23.82	95.3	95.3	1

Comments:

# VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET Laboratory Control Sample Results Verification

Page: 1\_of\_1\_ Reviewer: \_\_\_FT

#### METHOD: GC/MS VOA (EPA SW 846 Method 8260 B)

The percent recoveries (%R) and Relative Percent Difference (RPD) of the laboratory control sample and laboratory control sample duplicate (if applicable) were recalculated for the target analytes identified below using the following calculation:

% Recovery = 100 \* SSC/SA

Where: SSC = Spiked sample concentration SA = Spike added LCSC = Laboratory control sample concentration LCSDC = Laboratory control sample duplicate concentration

LCSID: 211102AM LOSID

RPD = I LCSC - LCSDC I \* 2/(LCSC + LCSDC)

	Spike		Spike Spiked Sample		LCS				LCS/LCSD		
Compound	Ad (vg	ded (LL)	Conce	Concentration		Percent Recovery		Percent Recovery		RPD	
	LCS	LCSD	LCS	LCSD	Reported	Recalc.	Reported	Recalc.	Reported	Recalc.	
1,1-Dichloroethene											
Trichloroethene											
Benzene	10.0	10.0	9.58	8.71	95.8	95.8	81.1	87.	9.5	9.5	
Toluene	t	$\downarrow$	10.5	9.33	105	105	93.3	93.3	11.8	11-8	
Chlorobenzene											

Comments:

LDC #: 52818F12

# VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET Sample Calculation Verification

Page:_	1	of_	1	
Reviewer:_		FT		

# METHOD: GC/MS VOA (EPA SW 846 Method 8260 B)

The concentration of the sample was calculated for the target analytes identified below using the following calculation:

Concentration = $(A_*)(I_*)(DF)$ $(A_{is})(RRF)(V_*)(\%S)$		on = $(A_*)(I_*)(DF)$ $(A_{is})(RRF)(V_*)(\%S)$	Example:
A <sub>x</sub>	=	Area of the characteristic ion (EICP) for the target analyte to be measured	Sample I.D. <u># 4</u> , <u>E E</u>
$A_{is}$	=	Area of the characteristic ion (EICP) for the specific internal standard	Conc. = $(2022)$ (25)
l <sub>s</sub>	=	Amount of internal standard added in nanograms (ng)	(327091)(0.6860)
RRF	=	Relative response factor of the calibration standard.	$\sim -1$
V <sub>o</sub>	=	Volume or weight of sample pruged in milliliters (ml) or grams (g).	= 0.22528 ug/V
Df	=	Dilution factor.	
%S	=	Percent solids, applicable to soils and solid matrices only.	

#	Sample ID	Compound	Reported Concentration	Calculated Concentration	Qualification
	# 4	EE	0.23	0. 22 52	-
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
L				L	

# Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc. **Data Validation Report**

**Project/Site Name:** Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126

January 10, 2022 LDC Report Date:

Parameters: Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons

Validation Level: Stage 2B & 4

APPL, Inc., Clovis, CA Laboratory:

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 98005

Sample Identification	Laboratory Sample Identification	Matrix	Collection Date
ERH1844**	BA44459**	Water	10/27/21
ERH1847**	BA44461**	Water	10/27/21
ERH1850**	BA44463**	Water	10/27/21
ERH1853	BA44465	Water	10/27/21

\*\*Indicates sample underwent Stage 4 validation

### Introduction

This Data Validation Report (DVR) presents data validation findings and results for the associated samples listed on the cover page. Data validation was performed in accordance with the Work Plan/Scope of Work, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 02, January 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 01, April 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 01, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, September 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 03, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, June 2018), the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) Quality Systems Manual (QSM) for Environmental Laboratories, Version 5.3 (2019), the DoD General Validation Guidelines (November 2019), and the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) Data Validation Guidelines Module 1: Data Validation Procedure for Organic Analysis by GC/MS (May 2020). Where specific guidance was not available, the data has been evaluated in a conservative manner consistent with industry standards using professional experience.

The analyses were performed by the following method:

Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAHs) which are 1-Methylnaphthalene, 2-Methylnaphthalene, and Naphthalene by Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) SW 846 Method 8270D in Selected Ion Monitoring (SIM) mode

All sample results were subjected to Stage 2B data validation, which comprises an evaluation of quality control (QC) summary results. Samples appended with a double asterisk on the cover page were subjected to Stage 4 data validation, which is comprised of the QC summary forms as well as the raw data, to confirm sample quantitation and identification.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers utilized during data validation:

- J+ (Estimated, High Bias): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated, displaying high bias, due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- J- (Estimated, Low Bias): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated, displaying low bias, due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- J (Estimated, Bias Indeterminate): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated due to non-conformances discovered during data validation. Bias is indeterminate.
- U (Non-detected): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the analyte should be considered non-detected due to the presence of contaminants detected in the associated blank(s).
- UJ (Non-detected estimated): The analyte was not detected and the associated numerical value is approximate.
- X (Exclusion of data recommended): The sample results (including non-detects) were affected by serious deficiencies in the ability to analyze the sample and to meet published method and project quality control criteria. The presence or absence of the analyte cannot be substantiated by the data provided. Exclusion of the data is recommended.
- NA (Not Applicable): The non-conformance discovered during data validation demonstrates a high bias, while the affected analyte in the associated sample(s) was reported as not detected by the laboratory and did not warrant the qualification of the data.

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

# **Qualification Code Reference**

- a ICP Serial Dilution %D was not within control limits.
- b Presumed contamination from preparation (method blank).
- c Calibration %RSD, r,  $r^2$ , %D or %R was noncompliant.
- d The analysis with this flag should not be used because another more technically sound analysis is available.
- e MS/MSD or Duplicate RPD was high.
- f Presumed contamination from FB or ER.
- g ICP ICS results were unsatisfactory.
- h Holding times were exceeded.
- i Internal standard performance was unsatisfactory.
- k Estimated Maximum Possible Concentration (HRGC/HRMS only)
- LCS/LCSD %R was not within control limits.
- m Result exceeded the calibration range.
- o Cooler temperature or temperature blank was noncompliant and/or sample custody problems.
- p RPD between two columns was high (GC only).
- q MS/MSD recovery was not within control limits.
- s Surrogate recovery was not within control limits.
- t Presumed contamination from trip blank.
- v Unusual problems found with the data not defined elsewhere. Description of the problem can be found in the validation report.
- w LCS/LCSD RPD was high.
- y Chemical recovery was not within control limits (Radiochemistry only).

# I. Sample Receipt and Technical Holding Times

All samples were received in good condition and cooler temperatures upon receipt met validation criteria.

All technical holding time requirements were met.

# **II. GC/MS Instrument Performance Check**

A decafluorotriphenylphosphine (DFTPP) tune was performed at 12 hour intervals.

All ion abundance requirements were met.

# III. Initial Calibration and Initial Calibration Verification

An initial calibration was performed as required by the method.

The percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) were less than or equal to 15.0% for all analytes.

Average relative response factors (RRF) for all analytes were within validation criteria.

The percent differences (%D) of the initial calibration verification (ICV) standard were less than or equal to 20.0% for all analytes.

# IV. Continuing Calibration

Continuing calibration was performed at the required frequencies.

The percent differences (%D) were less than or equal to 20.0% for all analytes.

The percent differences (%D) of the ending continuing calibration verifications (CCVs) were less than or equal to 50.0% for all analytes.

All of the continuing calibration relative response factors (RRF) were within validation criteria.

# V. Laboratory Blanks

Laboratory blanks were analyzed as required by the method. No contaminants were found in the laboratory blanks.

# VI. Field Blanks

No field blanks were identified in this SDG.

# VII. Surrogates

Surrogates were added to all samples as required by the method. All surrogate recoveries (%R) were within QC limits with the following exceptions:

Sample	Surrogate	%R (Limits)	Affected Analyte	Flag	A or P
ERH1850**	Fluoranthene-d10	55.9 (58-120)	All analytes	UJ (all non-detects)	Р

## VIII. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

The laboratory has indicated that there were no matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyses specified for the samples in this SDG, and therefore matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate analyses were not performed for this SDG.

## IX. Laboratory Control Samples

Laboratory control samples (LCS) and laboratory control samples duplicates (LCSD) were analyzed as required by the method. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits. Relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

## X. Field Duplicates

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

## XI. Internal Standards

All internal standard areas and retention times were within QC limits.

## XII. Target Analyte Quantitation

All target analyte quantitations met validation criteria for samples which underwent Stage 4 validation. Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

## XIII. Target Analyte Identification

All target analyte identifications met validation criteria for samples which underwent Stage 4 validation. Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

## XIV. System Performance

The system performance was acceptable for samples which underwent Stage 4 validation. Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

# XV. Overall Assessment of Data

The analysis was conducted within all specifications of the method. No results were rejected or recommended for exclusion in this SDG.

Due to surrogate %R, data were qualified as estimated in one sample.

# Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126 Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 98005

Sample	Analyte	Flag	A or P	Reason (Code)
ERH1850**	All analytes	UJ (all non-detects)	Р	Surrogates (%R) (s)

## Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126

Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 98005

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126 Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary -SDG 98005

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

## VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET

Stage 2B/4

LDC #:	52818F2b	V/
SDG #:_	98005	
Laborato	ory: APPL, Inc.	, Clovis, CA

	Date:	1	15	22
	Page:_	1	of	<u>7</u>
	Reviewer:		F	2
2nd	Reviewer:		R.	
	-			_

METHOD: GC/MS Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons (EPA SW846 Method 8270D-SIM)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
Ι.	Sample receipt/Technical holding times	A /A	
١١.	GC/MS Instrument performance check	$\Delta$	
111.	Initial calibration/ICV	A/A	0/0 PSO ±15 KY ±20
IV.	Continuing calibration lending	4	cv = 20   50
V.	Laboratory Blanks	$\Delta$	
VI.	Field blanks	N	
VII.	Surrogate spikes	الدو	
VIII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	N	es
IX.	Laboratory control samples	A	Les IV
Х.	Field duplicates	N	
XI.	Internal standards	A	
XII.	Target analyte quantitation	A	Not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.
XIII.	Target analyte identification	4	Not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.
XIV.	System performance	A	Not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.
xv.	Overall assessment of data	A	
Note:	A = Acceptable ND = N N = Not provided/applicable R = Rin SW = See worksheet FB = Fi	o compound sate eld blank	s detected D = Duplicate SB=Source blank TB = Trip blank OTHER: EB = Equipment blank

SW = See worksheet FB = Field blank \*\* Indicates sample underwent Stage 4 validation

	Client ID			Lab ID	м	atrix	Date
1	ERH1844**			BA44459**	N I	/ater	10/27/21
2*	ERH1847**	 		BA44461**	N	/ater	10/27/21
3	ERH1850**			BA44463**	N	/ater	10/27/21
- <b>1</b> 4	ERH1853			BA44465	N	/ater	10/27/21
5							
6							
7							
8							
9							
Notes	:						
						<u> </u>	

## Method: Semivolatiles (EPA SW 846 Method 8270 D) 51M

Validation Area	Yes	No	NA	Findings/Comments
I. Technical holding times				
Were all technical holding times met?	/			
Was cooler temperature criteria met?				
II. GC/MS Instrument performance check				
Were the DFTPP performance results reviewed and found to be within the specified criteria?				
Were all samples analyzed within the 12 hour clock criteria?	/			
Illa. Initial calibration				
Did the laboratory perform a 5 point calibration prior to sample analysis?	/			
Were all percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) $\leq$ 15% and relative response factors (RRF) within method criteria?	/			
Was a curve fit used for evaluation? If yes, did the initial calibration meet the curve fit acceptance criteria of $\geq$ 0.990?			/	
IIIb. Initial Calibration Verification	·			
Was an initial calibration verification standard analyzed after each initial calibration for each instrument?	/			
Were all percent differences (%D) <u>&lt;</u> 20%?	/			
IV. Continuing calibration				
Was a continuing calibration standard analyzed at least once every 12 hours for each instrument?	/			
Were all percent differences (%D) $\leq$ 20% and relative response factors (RRF) within method criteria? Were all percent differences (%D) $\leq$ 50% for closing calibration verification?	/			
V. Laboratory Blanks		_		
Was a laboratory blank associated with every sample in this SDG?				
Was a laboratory blank analyzed at least once every 12 hours for each matrix and concentration?	/			
Was there contamination in the laboratory blanks? If yes, please see the blanks validation findings worksheet.		/		
VI. Field blanks				
Were field blanks were identified in this SDG?		_	╞	
Were target analytes detected in the field blanks?			-	
VII. Surrogate spikes				
Were all surrogate percent recovery (%R) within QC limits?		/		
If 2 or more base neutral or acid surrogates were outside QC limits, was a reanalysis performed to confirm %R?	 	/		
If any percent recoveries (%R) was less than 10%, was a reanalysis performed to confirm %R ?			/	
VIII. Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates				<u> </u>
Were matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyzed in this SDG?				

# VALIDATION FINDINGS CHECKLIST

Validation Area	Yes	No	NA	Findings/Comments
Were the MS/MSD percent recoveries (%R) and the relative percent differences (RPD) within the QC limits?				
IX. Laboratory control samples				
Was an LCS analyzed per extraction batch?	/			
Were the LCS percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent difference (RPD) within the QC limits?	/			
X. Field duplicates				
Were field duplicate pairs identified in this SDG?		/	-	/
Were target analytes detected in the field duplicates?				
XI. Internal standards				
Were internal standard area counts within -50% to +100% of the associated calibration standard?	/			
Were retention times within ± 30 seconds of the associated calibration standard?				
XII. Target analyte quantitation			<b>.</b>	······································
Did the laboratory LOQs/RLs meet the QAPP LOQs/RLs?				
Were the correct internal standard (IS), quantitation ion and relative response factor (RRF) used to quantitate the target analyte?	/			
Were compound quantitation and RLs adjusted to reflect all sample dilutions and dry weight factors applicable to level IV validation?		ł		
XIII. Target analyte identification				
Were relative retention times (RRT's) within <u>+</u> 0.06 RRT units of the standard?	<			
Did compound spectra meet specified EPA "Functional Guidelines" criteria?	/			
Were chromatogram peaks verified and accounted for?	/	1		
Were manual integrations reviewed and found acceptable?	/			
Did the laboratory provide before and after integration printouts?			/	
XIV. System performance	<u> </u>			
System performance was found to be acceptable.		+		
XV. Overall assessment of data				
Overall assessment of data was found to be acceptable.	/	1		

# VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

## METHOD: GC/MS SVOA

A. Phenol	CC. Dimethylphthalate	EEE. Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	GGGG. C30-Hopane	I1. Methyl methanesulfonate
B. Bis (2-chloroethyl) ether	DD. Acenaphthylene	FFF. Di-n-octylphthalate	HHHH. 1-Methylphenanthrene	J1. Ethyl methanesulfonate
C. 2-Chlorophenol	EE. 2,6-Dinitrotoluene	GGG. Benzo(b)fluoranthene	IIII. 1,4-Dioxane	K1. o,o',o"-Triethylphosphorothioate
D. 1,3-Dichlorobenzene	FF. 3-Nitroaniline	HHH. Benzo(k)fluoranthene	JJJJ. Acetophenone	L1. n-Phenylene diamine
E. 1,4-Dichlorobenzene	GG. Acenaphthene	III. Benzo(a)pyrene	KKKK. Atrazine	M1. 1,4-Naphthoquinone
F. 1,2-Dichlorobenzene	HH. 2,4-Dinitrophenol	JJJ. Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	LLLL. Benzaldehyde	N1. N-Nitro-o-toluidine
G. 2-Methylphenol	II. 4-Nitrophenol	KKK. Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	MMMM. Caprolactam	O1. 1,3,5-Trinitrobenzene
H. 2,2'-Oxybis(1-chloropropane)	JJ. Dibenzofuran	LLL. Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	NNNN. 2,6-Dichlorophenol	P1. Pentachlorobenzene
I. 4-Methylphenol	KK. 2,4-Dinitrotoluene	MMM. Bis(2-Chloroisopropyl)ether	0000. 1,2-Diphenylhydrazine	Q1. 4-Aminobiphenyl
J. N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine	LL. Diethylphthalate	NNN. Aniline	PPPP. 3-Methylphenol	R1. 2-Naphthylamine
K. Hexachloroethane	MM. 4-Chlorophenyl-phenyl ether	OOO. N-Nitrosodimethylamine	QQQQ. 3&4-Methylphenol	S1. Triphenylene
L. Nitrobenzene	NN. Fluorene	PPP. Benzoic Acid	RRRR. 4-Dimethyldibenzothiophene (4MDT)	T1. Octachlorostyrene
M. Isophorone	OO. 4-Nitroaniline	QQQ. Benzyl alcohol	SSSS. 2/3-Dimethyldibenzothiophene (4MDT)	U1. Famphur
N. 2-Nitrophenol	PP. 4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol	RRR. Pyridine	TTTT. 1-Methyldibenzothiophene (1MDT)	V1. 1,4-phenylenediamine
O. 2,4-Dimethylphenol	QQ. N-Nitrosodiphenylamine	SSS. Benzidine	UUUU 2,3,4,6-Tetrachlorophenol	W1. Methapyrilene
P. Bis(2-chloroethoxy)methane	RR. 4-Bromophenyl-phenylether	TTT. 1-Methylnaphthalene	VVVV. 1,2,4,5-Tetrachlorobenzene	X1. Pentachloroethane
Q. 2,4-Dichlorophenol	SS. Hexachlorobenzene	UUU.Benzo(b)thiophene	WWWW 2-Picoline	Y1. 3,3'-Dimethylbenzidine
R. 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	TT. Pentachlorophenol	VVV.Benzonaphthothiophene	XXXX. 3-Methylcholanthrene	Z1. o-Toluidine
S. Naphthalene	UU. Phenanthrene	WWW.Benzo(e)pyrene	YYYY. a,a-Dimethylphenethylamine	A2. 1-Naphthylamine
T. 4-Chloroaniline	VV. Anthracene	XXX. 2,6-Dimethylnaphthalene	ZZZZ. Hexachloropropene	B2. 4-Aminobiphenyl
U. Hexachlorobutadiene	WW. Carbazole	YYY. 2,3,5-Trimethylnaphthalene	A1. N-Nitrosodiethylamine	C2. 4-Nitroquinoline-1-oxide
V. 4-Chloro-3-methylphenol	XX. Di-n-butylphthalate	ZZZ. Perylene	B1. N-Nitrosodi-n-butylamine	D2. Hexachloropene
W. 2-Methylnaphthalene	YY. Fluoranthene	AAAA. Dibenzothiophene	C1. N-Nitrosomethylethylamine	E2. Bis (2-chloro-1-methylethyl) ether
X. Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	ZZ. Pyrene	BBBB. Benzo(a)fluoranthene	D1. N-Nitrosomorpholine	F2. Bifenthrin
Y. 2,4,6-Trichlorophenol	AAA. Butylbenzylphthalate	CCCC. Benzo(b)fluorene	E1. N-Nitrosopyrrolidine	G2. Cyfluthrin
Z. 2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	BBB. 3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	DDDD. cis/trans-Decalin	F1. Phenacetin	H2. Cypermethrin
AA. 2-Chloronaphthalene	CCC. Benzo(a)anthracene	EEEE. 1,1'-Biphenyl	G1. 2-Acetylaminofluorene	l2. Permethrin (cis/trans)
BB. 2-Nitroaniline	DDD. Chrysene	FFFF. Retene	H1. Pronamide	J2. 5-Nitro-o-toluidine

LDC #: 52 8/8/F2b

#### VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET Surrogate Recovery

Page: Reviewer: FT

(5)

# METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270 ${\cal P}$ )

Please see qualification below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

Y WN/A Were percent recoveries (%R) for surrogates within QC limits? Y WN/A

If 2 or more base neutral or acid surrogates were outside QC limits, was a reanalysis performed to confirm %R?

YNNA If any %R was less than 10 percent, was a reanalysis performed to confirm %R?

#	Sample ID	Surrogate	%R (Limit	s)	Qu	alifications
	3	010-44	55.9	(58-120)	JUJP	ND
				( )		
				( )		
				( )		
				( )		
				()		
	211028A - BIK	W - 010	116	(39-114)	Statt IP	NO
				()	L	
				()		
				()		
				()		
				()	······	
				()		
				()		
				()		
				()		
				()		
				()		
				()		
				()		
				()		
				()		
				()		
			·	()		
			: 	()		
				()		

(NBZ) = Nitrobenzene - d5

(FBP) = 2-Fluorobiphenyl

(2FP) = 2-Fluorophenol (TBP) = 2,4,6 -Tribromophenol

(TPH) = Terphenyl - d14

(2CP) = 2-Chlorophenol - d4

# VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET Initial Calibration Calculation Verification

Page: \_\_1\_\_ of \_1\_\_\_ Reviewer: \_\_\_\_ FT\_\_\_

#### METHOD: GCMS 8270D SIM

The calibration factors (RRFF), average RRFF, and relative standard deviation (%RSD) were recalculated for compounds identified below using the following calculations:

RRF = (Ax)(Cis)/(Ais)(Cx) average RRF = sum of the RRFs/number of standards %RSD = 100 \* (S/X) Where:

Ax = Area of compound Cx = Concentration of compound S = Standard deviation of the RRFs X = Mean of the RRFs Ais = Area of associated internal standard Cis = Concentration of internal Standard

				Reported	Recalculated	Reported	Recalculated	Reported	Recalculated
		Calibration		· · · · ·		AverageRRF	Average RRF	%RSD	%RSD
#	Standard ID	Date	Compound	(RRF1.0 std)	(RRF1.0 std)	(Initial)	(Initial)		
	ICAL	10/19/2021	s	1.336	1.336	1.299	1.299	8.6	8.6
	KYLO								

# VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET **Continuing Calibration Results Verification**

#### METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270 ())

The percent difference (%D) of the initial calibration average Relative Response Factors (RRFs) and the continuing calibration RRFs were recalculated for the target analytes identified below using the following calculation:

% Difference = 100 \* (ave. RRF - RRF)/ave. RRF  $RRF = (A_x)(C_{is})/(A_{is})(C_x)$ 

Where: ave. RRF = initial calibration average RRF  $A_x$  = Area of target analyte  $C_x$  = Concentration of target analyte

RRF = continuing calibration RRF

A<sub>is</sub> = Area of associated internal standard

C<sub>is</sub> = Concentration of internal standard

					Reported	Recalculated	Reported	Recalculated
#	Standard ID	Calibration Date	Target Analyte (Internal Standard)	Average RRF (Initial)	RRF (CC)	RRF (CC)	%D	%D
1	conte	11321	<b>S</b> (1st IS)	1,299	1.319	1.319	1.6	1.6
	- 236		(2 <sup>nd</sup> IS)					
	270		(3 <sup>rd</sup> IS)					
	- 275		(4 <sup>th</sup> IS)					
			(5 <sup>th</sup> IS)					
			(6 <sup>th</sup> IS)					
2			(1st IS)					
			(2 <sup>nd</sup> IS)					
			(3 <sup>rd</sup> IS)					
			(4 <sup>th</sup> IS)					
			(5 <sup>th</sup> IS)					
			(6 <sup>th</sup> IS)				:	
3			(1st IS)					
			(2 <sup>nd</sup> IS)					
			(3 <sup>rd</sup> IS)					
			(4 <sup>th</sup> IS)					
			(5 <sup>th</sup> IS)					
			(6 <sup>th</sup> IS)					

Comments: Refer to Continuing Calibration findings worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

LDC #: 52818 F26

# VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET Surrogate Results Verification

Page: 1 of 1 Reviewer: FT

METHOD: GC/MS Semivolatiles (EPA SW 846 Method 8270 1/2)

The percent recoveries (%R) of surrogates were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculation:

% Recovery: SF/SS \* 100

Where: SF = Surrogate Found SS = Surrogate Spiked

Sample ID: # )

		Surrogate Spiked	Surrogate Found	Percent Recovery Reported	Percent Recovery Recalculated	Percent Difference
Nitrobenzene-d5	w - 010	5.263	4.71	49.5	89.5	0
2-Fluorobiphenyl	11-010	L V	4.05	76.9	76.9	U
Terphenyi d14						
Phenol d5						
2-Flyorophenol						
24.6-Tribromophenol						

#### Sample ID:\_\_\_\_\_

	Surrogate Spiked	Surrogate Found	Percent Recovery Reported	Percent Recovery Recalculated	Percent Difference
Nitrobenzene-d5					
2-Fluorobiphenyl					
Terphenyl-d14					
Phenol-d5					
2-Fluorophenol				· · ·	
2,4,6-Tribromophenol					

LDC #: 52 818F2b

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET Laboratory Control Sample/Laboratory Control Sample Duplicates Results Verification Reviewer: FT

Page: 1 of 1

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

The percent recoveries (%R) and Relative Percent Difference (RPD) of the laboratory control sample and laboratory control sample duplicate were recalculated for the target analytes identified below using the following calculation:

Fv = Final volume of extract

SSC =	(Ax)(Cis)(Fv)(Df)
	(A <sub>is</sub> )(RRF)(Vs or Ws)(%S/100)

%Recovery = (SSC/SA)\*100

Where: A<sub>x</sub>= Area of the target analyte

Df= Dilution factor

A<sub>is</sub>= Area for the specific internal standard  $C_{is}$  = Concentration of internal standard

%S= Percent Solid SSC = Spiked sample concentration

LCS = Laboratory control sample

Ws= Initial weight of the sample

LCSD = Laboratory control sample duplicate

RRF= Average relative response factor of the target analyte Vs= Initial volume of the sample

RPD =(({SSCLCS - SSCLCSD} \* 2) / (SSCLCS + SSCLCSD))\*100

LCS/LCSD samples: 211020A LCS/D

	Spike		Spike				LCSD			
Compound	Ad (vg	ded	Concentration ( ଏକୁ ) Percent Recove		ent Recovery Percent F		Recovery	RPD		
			LICS		Reported	Recalc	Reported	Recalc	Reported	Recalculated
Phenol										
N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine										
4-Chloro-3-methylphenol										
Acenaphthene										
Pentachlorophenol										
Pyrene										
5	5.0	S.U	4.74	5.23	94.8	94.8	295	105	9.8	9.8
			L							

# LDC #: 52 818 F26

only.

2.0

=

## VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET Sample Calculation Verification

Page: <u>1</u> of <u>1</u> Reviewer: FT

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270 P)

Factor of 2 to account for GPC cleanup

The concentration of the sample was calculated for the target analyte identified below using the following calculation:

Concen	tration	$ = \frac{(A_{v})(I_{s})(V_{t})(DF)(2.0)}{(A_{ts})(RRF)(V_{o})(V_{t})(\%S)} $	
A <sub>x</sub>	=	Area of the characteristic ion (EICP) for the target analyte to be measured	
$A_{is}$	=	Area of the characteristic ion (EICP) for the specific internal standard	
l <sub>s</sub>	=	Amount of internal standard added in nanograms (ng)	(
V <sub>o</sub>	=	Volume or weight of sample extract in milliliters (ml) or grams (g).	
V	=	Volume of extract injected in microliters (ul)	
Vt	=	Volume of the concentrated extract in microliters (ul)	
Df	=	Dilution Factor.	
%S	=	Percent solids, applicable to soil and solid matrices	

Example: Sample I.D. #2, S Conc. = (443210)(2.50)(1)(1000) (11586)(1.299)(950)=

77.497 ugl

#	Sample ID	Target Analyte	Reported Concentration ( ug レ)	Calculated Concentration (ug(L)	Qualification
	# 2	5	78	77.497	
				10	

## LDC Report# 52818F6

# Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc. Data Validation Report

Project/Site Name:	Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126
r rojectone Name.	

LDC Report Date:	December 29, 2021
------------------	-------------------

- Parameters: Total Organic Carbon
- Validation Level: Stage 2B & 4
- Laboratory: APPL, Inc., Clovis, CA

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 98005

	Laboratory Sample		Collection
Sample Identification	Identification	Matrix	Date
ERH1844**	BA44459**	Water	10/27/21
ERH1847**	BA44461**	Water	10/27/21
ERH1850**	BA44463**	Water	10/27/21
ERH1853	BA44465	Water	10/27/21

\*\*Indicates sample underwent Stage 4 validation

## Introduction

This Data Validation Report (DVR) presents data validation findings and results for the associated samples listed on the cover page. Data validation was performed in accordance with the Work Plan/Scope of Work, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 02, January 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 01, April 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 01, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, September 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 03, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, June 2018), the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) Quality Systems Manual (QSM) for Environmental Laboratories, Version 5.3 (2019), and the DoD General Validation Guidelines (November 2019). Where specific guidance was not available, the data has been evaluated in a conservative manner consistent with industry standards using professional experience.

The analyses were performed by the following method:

Total Organic Carbon by EPA SW 846 Method 9060A

All sample results were subjected to Stage 2B data validation, which comprises an evaluation of quality control (QC) summary results. Samples appended with a double asterisk on the cover page were subjected to Stage 4 data validation, which is comprised of the QC summary forms as well as the raw data, to confirm sample quantitation and identification.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers utilized during data validation:

- J+ (Estimated, High Bias): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated, displaying high bias, due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- J- (Estimated, Low Bias): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated, displaying low bias, due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- J (Estimated, Bias Indeterminate): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated due to non-conformances discovered during data validation. Bias is indeterminate.
- U (Non-detected): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the analyte should be considered non-detected due to the presence of contaminants detected in the associated blank(s).
- UJ (Non-detected estimated): The analyte was not detected and the associated numerical value is approximate.
- X (Exclusion of data recommended): The sample results (including non-detects) were affected by serious deficiencies in the ability to analyze the sample and to meet published method and project quality control criteria. The presence or absence of the analyte cannot be substantiated by the data provided. Exclusion of the data is recommended.
- NA (Not Applicable): The non-conformance discovered during data validation demonstrates a high bias, while the affected analyte in the associated sample(s) was reported as not detected by the laboratory and did not warrant the qualification of the data.

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

## **Qualification Code Reference**

- a ICP Serial Dilution %D was not within control limits.
- b Presumed contamination from preparation (method blank).
- c Calibration %RSD, r, r<sup>2</sup>, %D or %R was noncompliant.
- d The analysis with this flag should not be used because another more technically sound analysis is available.
- e MS/MSD or Duplicate RPD was high.
- f Presumed contamination from FB or ER.
- g ICP ICS results were unsatisfactory.
- h Holding times were exceeded.
- i Internal standard performance was unsatisfactory.
- k Estimated Maximum Possible Concentration (HRGC/HRMS only)
- LCS/LCSD %R was not within control limits.
- m Result exceeded the calibration range.
- o Cooler temperature or temperature blank was noncompliant and/or sample custody problems.
- p RPD between two columns was high (GC only).
- q MS/MSD recovery was not within control limits.
- s Surrogate recovery was not within control limits.
- t Presumed contamination from trip blank.
- v Unusual problems found with the data not defined elsewhere. Description of the problem can be found in the validation report.
- w LCS/LCSD RPD was high.
- y Chemical recovery was not within control limits (Radiochemistry only).

# I. Sample Receipt and Technical Holding Times

All samples were received in good condition.

All technical holding time requirements were met.

# II. Initial Calibration

All criteria for the initial calibration were met.

# III. Continuing Calibration

Continuing calibration frequency and analysis criteria were met with the following exceptions:

Date	Lab. Reference/ID	Analyte	%R (Limits)	Associated Samples	Flag	A or P
11/13/21	CCV (2:24)	Total organic carbon	86.2 (90-110)	All samples in SDG 98005	J- (all detects)	Ρ
11/13/21	CCV (10:44)	Total organic carbon	84.2 (90-110)	All samples in SDG 98005	J- (all detects)	Ρ

# IV. Laboratory Blanks

Laboratory blanks were analyzed as required by the method. No contaminants were found in the laboratory blanks.

# V. Field Blanks

No field blanks were identified in this SDG.

# VI. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

The laboratory has indicated that there were no matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyses specified for the samples in this SDG, and therefore matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate analyses were not performed for this SDG.

# **VII. Duplicate Sample Analysis**

The laboratory has indicated that there were no duplicate (DUP) analyses specified for the samples in this SDG, and therefore duplicate analyses were not performed for this SDG.

# VIII. Laboratory Control Samples

Laboratory control samples (LCS) and laboratory control samples duplicates (LCSD) were analyzed as required by the methods. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits. Relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

# **IX. Field Duplicates**

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

# X. Target Analyte Quantitation

All target analyte quantitation met validation criteria for samples which underwent Stage 4 validation. Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

# XI. Overall Assessment of Data

The analysis was conducted within all specifications of the method. No results were rejected or recommended for exclusion in this SDG.

Due to continuing calibration %R, data were qualified as estimated in four samples.

# Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126 Total Organic Carbon - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 98005

Sample	Analyte	Flag	A or P	Reason (Code)
ERH1844** ERH1847** ERH1850** ERH1853	Total organic carbon	J- (all detects)	Ρ	Continuing calibration (%R) (c)

# Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126 Total Organic Carbon - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 98005

# No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126 Total Organic Carbon - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 98005

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET

LDC #: <u>52818F6</u> **V** SDG #: <u>98005</u> Laboratory: <u>APPL, Inc., Clovis, CA</u>

# Stage 2B/4

Date: <u>12 23 </u> 2
Page: Lof
Reviewer: <u>AT</u>
2nd Reviewer:

#### METHOD: (Analyte) TOC (EPA SW846 Method 9060A)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

validation Area		Comments
ample receipt/Technical holding times	AIA	
itial calibration	A	
alibration verification	SW	
aboratory Blanks	A	
ield blanks	N	
latrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates	-N	CS
uplicate sample analysis	N	
aboratory control samples	A	LCS/LCSD
ield duplicates	N	
arget Analyte Quantitation	A	Not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.
verall assessment of data	A	
	ample receipt/Technical holding times itial calibration alibration verification aboratory Blanks eld blanks atrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates uplicate sample analysis aboratory control samples eld duplicates arget Analyte Quantitation verall assessment of data	ample receipt/Technical holding times       A/A         itial calibration       A         alibration verification       SW         aboratory Blanks       A         eld blanks       N         atrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates       N         uplicate sample analysis       N         aboratory control samples       A         eld duplicates       N         arget Analyte Quantitation       A         verall assessment of data       A

Note: A = Acceptable N = Not provided/applicable ND = No compounds detected R = Rinsate FB = Field blank D = Duplicate TB = Trip blank EB = Equipment blank SB=Source blank OTHER:

SW = See worksheet \*\* Indicates sample underwent Stage 4 validation

	Client ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Date
1	ERH1844**	BA44459**	Water	10/27/21
2	ERH1847**	BA44461**	Water	10/27/21
3	ERH1850**	BA44463**	Water	10/27/21
4	ERH1853	BA44465	Water	10/27/21
5				
6				
7				
8				
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
Note				

METHOD: Inorganics							
Validation Area	Yes	No	NA	Comments			
I. Technical holding times							
Were all technical holding times met?	$\checkmark$						
II. Calibration							
Were all instruments calibrated at the	1						
required frequency?	v						
Were the proper number of standards							
used?	v						
Were all initial and continuing calibration							
verifications within the QC limits?							
Were all initial calibration correlation							
coefficients within limits as specifed by the	$\bigvee$						
method?							
Were balance checks performed as							
required?							
III. Blanks							
Was a method blank associated with every							
sample in this SDG?							
Was there contamination in the method		1					
blanks?		V					
Was there contamination in the initial and		1					
continuing calibration blanks?							
IV. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates/L	aborat	ory Du	olicates	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Were MS/MSD recoveries within the QC							
limits? (If the sample concentration			$\bigvee$	not run			
exceeded the spike concentration by a							
factor of 4, no action was taken.)							
Were the MS/MSD or laboratory duplicate			./				
relative percent differences (RPDs) within							
the QC limits?							
V. Laboratory Control Samples							
Was a LCS analyzed for each batch in the	./						
SDG?		ļ					
Were the LCS recoveries and RPDs (if							
applicable) within QC limits?	V .						
X. Target Analyte Quantitation							
Were all reporting limits adjusted to reflect							
sample dilutions?							
Were all soil samples dry weight corrected?							
XI. Overall Assessment of Data							
Was the overall assessment of the data							
found to be acceptable?							

METHOD: Inorganics							
Validation Area	Yes	No	NA	Comments			
XII. Field Duplicates							
Were field duplicates identifed in this SDG?		$\checkmark$					
Were target analytes detected in the field duplicates?			$\checkmark$				
XIII. Field Blanks	r						
Were target analytes detected in the field blanks?			$\checkmark$				

# VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET Calibration

#### METHOD: Inorganics, EPA Method See cover

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

 $(\tilde{Y})$ N N/A Were all instruments calibrated daily, each set-up time, and were the proper number of standards used? Y(N)N/A

Were all initial and continuing calibration verification percent recoveries (%R) within the control limits of 90-110%?

YN N/A Are all correlation coefficients >0.995 ?

#### LEVEL IV/D ONLY: ŶN N/A

Y N NA)

Y N(N/A)

Were recalculated results acceptable? See Level IV Initial and Continuing Calibration Recaluculation Worksheet for recalulations.

Was a balance check conducted prior to the TDS analysis.?

Was the titrant normality checked?

#	Date	Calibration ID	Analyte	%R	Associated Samples	Qualifications Code: c
	11/13/21	CCV (02:24)	тос	86.2 (90-110)	all	J-/UJ/P (detect)
		CCV (10:44)	тос	84.2 (90-110)	all	J-/UJ/P (detect)
		·				
			l 			
	<u> </u>		L		l	

Comments:

LDC #: 52818F6

## Validation Findings Worksheet Initial and Continuing Calibration Calculation Verification

Method: Inorganics, Method <u>See Cover</u>

The correlation coefficient (r) for the calibration of \_TOC\_\_\_\_ was recalculated.Calibration date:\_\_10/25/21\_\_\_\_\_

An initial or continuing calibration verification percent recovery (%R) was recalculated for each type of analysis using the following formula:

%R = Found X 100

True

Where,

Found = concentration of each analyte <u>measured</u> in the analysis of the ICV or CCV solution True = concentration of each analyte in the ICV or CCV source

		FOUND	TRUE		Recalculated	Reported	Acceptable
Type of analysis	Analyte	Standard	Conc. (ug/L)	Area	r or r <sup>2</sup>	r or r <sup>2</sup>	(Y/N)
Initial calibration		s1	0.0	4558		······	
		s2	0.5	9475	0.99987	0.99987	
	тос	s3	2	29763			Y
		s4	5	69278			
		s5	10	139847			
		s6	20	273227			
Calibration verification	тос	10.54	10		105.4	105.5	Y
Calibration verification	тос	4.34	5		86.8	86.2	Y
Calibration verification	тос	4.245	5		84.9	84.2	Y

Comments: Refer to Calibration Verification findings worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

# VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET Level IV Recalculation Worksheet

Page: 1\_of 1\_ Reviewer: AT

METHOD: Inorganics, Method \_\_\_\_\_\_

Percent recoveries (%R) for a laboratory control sample and a matrix spike sample were recalculated using the following formula:

 %R = Found
 x 100
 Where,
 Found =
 concentration of each analyte measured in the analysis of the sample. For the matrix spike calculation,

 True
 Found =
 SSR (spiked sample result) - SR (sample result).

 True = concentration of each analyte in the source.

A sample and duplicate relative percent difference (RPD) was recalculated using the following formula:

 $\begin{array}{cccc} \text{RPD} = \underline{|S-D|} & x \ 100 & \text{Where,} & S = & & \text{Original sample concentration} \\ & (S+D)/2 & & D = & & \text{Duplicate sample concentration} \end{array}$ 

			mglL	mglL	Recalculated	Reported	Accontable
Sample ID	Type of Analysis	Element	Found / S (units)	(units)	%R / RPD	%R / RPD	(Y/N)
LCS	Laboratory control sample	TOC	4.325	5,000	86,5	85,8	Y
	Matrix spike sample		(SSR-SR)				
	Duplicate sample						

Comments:
# VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

Page: 1 of 1 Reviewer: ATL

Sample Calculation Verification

## METHOD: Inorganics, Method See Cover

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".  $\bigvee$  N N/A Have results been reported and calculated correctly?

<u>VNN/A</u> NN/A VNN/A

Are results within the calibrated range of the instruments?

Are all detection limits below the CRQL?

Concentration =

Recalculation:

 $36147 \times (7.273 \times 10^5) = 2.629$ 

#	Sample ID	Analyte	Reported Concentration (M0) L)	Calculated Concentration (MGLL)	Acceptable (Y/N)
	1	TOC	1.2	1.377	V
	2	TOC	2,5	2.629	Ý
	3 .	TOC	17	1.873	ý
					/
					+
					<b>_</b>
				1	

Note:

# Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc. Data Validation Report

Project/Site Name: Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126

LDC Report Date: January 10, 2022

Parameters: Gasoline Range Organics

Validation Level: Stage 2B & 4

Laboratory: APPL, Inc., Clovis, CA

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 98005

	Laboratory Sample		Collection
Sample Identification	Identification	Matrix	Date
ERH1843	BA44458	Water	10/27/21
ERH1844**	BA44459**	Water	10/27/21
ERH1846	BA44460	Water	10/27/21
ERH1847**	BA44461**	Water	10/27/21
ERH1849	BA44462	Water	10/27/21
ERH1850**	BA44463**	Water	10/27/21
ERH1852	BA44464	Water	10/27/21
ERH1853**	BA44465**	Water	10/27/21

#### Introduction

This Data Validation Report (DVR) presents data validation findings and results for the associated samples listed on the cover page. Data validation was performed in accordance with the Work Plan/Scope of Work, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 02, January 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 01, April 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 01, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, September 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 03, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, June 2018), the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) Quality Systems Manual (QSM) for Environmental Laboratories, Version 5.3 (2019), the DoD General Validation Guidelines (November 2019), and the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) Data Validation Guidelines Module 1: Data Validation Procedure for Organic Analysis by GC/MS (May 2020). Where specific guidance was not available, the data has been evaluated in a conservative manner consistent with industry standards using professional experience.

The analyses were performed by the following method:

Gasoline Range Organics by Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) SW 846 Method 8260B

All sample results were subjected to Stage 2B data validation, which comprises an evaluation of quality control (QC) summary results. Samples appended with a double asterisk on the cover page were subjected to Stage 4 data validation, which is comprised of the QC summary forms as well as the raw data, to confirm sample quantitation and identification.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers utilized during data validation:

- J+ (Estimated, High Bias): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated, displaying high bias, due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- J- (Estimated, Low Bias): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated, displaying low bias, due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- J (Estimated, Bias Indeterminate): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated due to non-conformances discovered during data validation. Bias is indeterminate.
- U (Non-detected): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the analyte should be considered non-detected due to the presence of contaminants detected in the associated blank(s).
- UJ (Non-detected estimated): The analyte was not detected and the associated numerical value is approximate.
- X (Exclusion of data recommended): The sample results (including non-detects) were affected by serious deficiencies in the ability to analyze the sample and to meet published method and project quality control criteria. The presence or absence of the analyte cannot be substantiated by the data provided. Exclusion of the data is recommended.
- NA (Not Applicable): The non-conformance discovered during data validation demonstrates a high bias, while the affected analyte in the associated sample(s) was reported as not detected by the laboratory and did not warrant the qualification of the data.

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

## Qualification Code Reference

- a ICP Serial Dilution %D was not within control limits.
- b Presumed contamination from preparation (method blank).
- c Calibration %RSD, r,  $r^2$ , %D or %R was noncompliant.
- d The analysis with this flag should not be used because another more technically sound analysis is available.
- e MS/MSD or Duplicate RPD was high.
- f Presumed contamination from FB or ER.
- g ICP ICS results were unsatisfactory.
- h Holding times were exceeded.
- i Internal standard performance was unsatisfactory.
- k Estimated Maximum Possible Concentration (HRGC/HRMS only)
- LCS/LCSD %R was not within control limits.
- m Result exceeded the calibration range.
- o Cooler temperature or temperature blank was noncompliant and/or sample custody problems.
- p RPD between two columns was high (GC only).
- q MS/MSD recovery was not within control limits.
- s Surrogate recovery was not within control limits.
- t Presumed contamination from trip blank.
- v Unusual problems found with the data not defined elsewhere. Description of the problem can be found in the validation report.
- w LCS/LCSD RPD was high.
- y Chemical recovery was not within control limits (Radiochemistry only).

## I. Sample Receipt and Technical Holding Times

All samples were received in good condition and cooler temperatures upon receipt met validation criteria.

All technical holding time requirements were met.

#### II. Initial Calibration and Initial Calibration Verification

An initial calibration was performed as required by the method.

A curve fit, based on the initial calibration, was established for quantitation. The coefficient of determination  $(r^2)$  was greater than or equal to 0.990.

The percent differences (%D) of the initial calibration verification (ICV) standard were less than or equal to 20.0%.

#### III. Continuing Calibration

Continuing calibration was performed at the required frequencies.

The percent differences (%D) were less than or equal to 20.0%.

The percent differences (%D) of the ending continuing calibration verifications (CCVs) were less than or equal to 20.0% for all analytes.

## IV. Laboratory Blanks

Laboratory blanks were analyzed as required by the method. No contaminants were found in the laboratory blanks.

## V. Field Blanks

Samples ERH1843, ERH1846, ERH1849, and ERH1852 were identified as trip blanks. No contaminants were found.

## VI. Surrogates

Surrogates were added to all samples as required by the method. All surrogate recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

## VII. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

The laboratory has indicated that there were no matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyses specified for the samples in this SDG, and therefore matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate analyses were not performed for this SDG.

## VIII. Laboratory Control Samples

Laboratory control samples (LCS) and laboratory control samples duplicates (LCSD) were analyzed as required by the method. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits. Relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

#### **IX. Field Duplicates**

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

#### X. Target Analyte Quantitation

All target analyte quantitations met validation criteria for samples which underwent Stage 4 validation. Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

#### XI. Target Analyte Identification

All target analyte identifications met validation criteria for samples which underwent Stage 4 validation. Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

#### XII. Overall Assessment of Data

The analysis was conducted within all specifications of the method. No results were rejected or recommended for exclusion in this SDG.

## Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126 Gasoline Range Organics - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 98005

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126 Gasoline Range Organics - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 98005

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126 Gasoline Range Organics - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 98005

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

VALIDATION	COMPL	<b>ETENESS</b>	WORKSHEE
------------	-------	----------------	----------

Stage 2B/4

Т	Date:
	Page:_
	Reviewer:
	2nd Reviewer

SDG #: <u>98005</u> Laboratory: <u>APPL, Inc., Clovis, CA</u>

LDC #: 52818F7

#### METHOD: GC/MS Gasoline Range Organics (EPA SW 846 Method 8260B)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
١.	Sample receipt/Technical holding times	AIA	
11.	GC/MS Instrument performance check	Δ	
- 111.	Initial calibration/ICV	4/A	$(2)$ $ CY \leq 20$
IV.	Continuing calibration	A	CN = 20
V.	Laboratory Blanks	A	
VI.	Field blanks	ND	TB = 1, 3, 5, 7
VII.	Surrogate spikes	Δ	
VIII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	7	د>
IX.	Laboratory control samples	A	KSIP
Х.	Field duplicates	N	
XI.	Internal standards	A	
XII.	Target analyte quantitation	Δ	Not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.
XIII.	Target analyte identification	A	Not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.
XIV.	System performance	4	Not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.
XV.	Overall assessment of data	A	

Note:	A = Acceptable	ND = No compounds detected	D = Duplicate
	N = Not provided/applicable	R = Rinsate	TB = Trip blank
	SW = See worksheet	FB = Field blank	EB = Equipment blank
** Indica	tes sample underwent Stage 4 validation		

SB=Source blank OTHER:

	Client ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Date
1	ERH1843 TB	BA44458	Water	10/27/21
2-	ERH1844**	BA44459**	Water	10/27/21
3 -	ERH1846 TB	BA44460	Water	10/27/21
4+	ERH1847**	BA44461**	Water	10/27/21
5	ERH1849 TB	BA44462	Water	10/27/21
6	ERH1850**	BA44463**	Water	10/27/21
7-	ERH1852 <b>JB</b>	BA44464	Water	10/27/21
8-	ERH1853**	BA44465**	Water	10/27/21
9				
Notes:				
	211102AM - BIK			
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		· · · · · · · · ·

## Method: Volatiles (EPA SW 846 Method 8260 B)

Validation Area	Yes	No	NA	Findings/Comments
I. Technical holding times				
Were all technical holding times met?	/			
Was cooler temperature criteria met?				
II. GC/MS Instrument performance check	_	_		
Were the BFB performance results reviewed and found to be within the specified criteria?	/	•		
Were all samples analyzed within the 12 hour clock criteria?	/	[		
IIIa. Initial calibration				
Did the laboratory perform a 5 point calibration prior to sample analysis?	/			
Were all percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) $\leq$ 15% and relative response factors (RRF) within method criteria?			/	-
Was a curve fit used for evaluation? If yes, did the initial calibration meet the curve fit acceptance criteria of $\geq$ 0.990?	-			
IIIb. Initial Calibration Verification				
Was an initial calibration verification standard analyzed after each initial calibration for each instrument?	/			
Were all percent differences (%D) < 20% ?				
IV. Continuing calibration				
Was a continuing calibration standard analyzed at least once every 12 hours for each instrument?	/			
Were all percent differences (%D) $\leq$ 20% and relative response factors (RRF) within method criteria? Were all percent differences (%D) $\leq$ 50% in the ending CCV?	/			
V. Laboratory Blanks				
Was a laboratory blank associated with every sample in this SDG?				
Was a laboratory blank analyzed at least once every 12 hours for each matrix and concentration?				
Was there contamination in the laboratory blanks? If yes, please see the Blanks validation findings worksheet.		/		
VI. Field blanks				
Were field blanks were identified in this SDG?	-	ł		
Were target analytes detected in the field blanks?		/		
VII. Surrogate spikes				
Were all surrogate percent recovery (%R) within QC limits?	/	ł		
If the percent recovery (%R) for one or more surrogates was out of QC limits, was a reanalysis performed to confirm samples with %R outside of criteria?			-	
VIII. Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates				
Were matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyzed in this SDG?			/	-
Were the MS/MSD percent recoveries (%R) and the relative percent differences (RPD) within the QC limits?			-	

#### VALIDATION FINDINGS CHECKLIST

Validation Area	Yes	No	NA	Findings/Comments
IX. Laboratory control samples				
Was an LCS analyzed for this SDG?	/			······································
Was an LCS analyzed per analytical batch?	$\setminus$			
Were the LCS percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent difference (RPD) within the QC limits?	/			
X. Field duplicates				
Were field duplicate pairs identified in this SDG?		/	F	
Were target analytes detected in the field duplicates?			/	
XI. Internal standards				
Were internal standard area counts within -50% to +100% of the associated calibration standard?	/			
Were retention times within ± 30 seconds of the associated calibration standard?		ſ		
XII. Target analyte quantitation			<b>.</b>	<b>-</b>
Did the laboratory LOQs/RLs meet the QAPP LOQs/RLs?	/	ł		
Were the correct internal standard (IS), quantitation ion and relative response factor (RRF) used to quantitate the target analyte?	/			
Were target analyte quantitation and RLs adjusted to reflect all sample dilutions and dry weight factors applicable to level IV validation?	/	ſ		
XIII. Target analyte identification				
Were relative retention times (RRT's) within <u>+</u> 0.06 RRT units of the standard?	/			
Did analyte spectra meet specified EPA "Functional Guidelines" criteria?	/			
Were chromatogram peaks verified and accounted for?	<			
Were manual integrations reviewed and found acceptable?	/	1		
Did the laboratory provide before and after integration printouts?			/	
XIV. System performance				
System performance was found to be acceptable.				
XV. Overall assessment of data				
Overall assessment of data was found to be acceptable.				

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET Initial Calibration Calculation Verification Page:\_\_\_1\_\_of\_\_\_1\_\_ Reviewer:\_\_\_FT\_\_\_\_

#### Method: Gasoline (EPA SW 846 Method 8260B)

Calibration				(Y)	(X)
Date	System	Compound	Standard	Response	Concentration
8/25/2021	GCMS	Gasoline C6-C10	1	11.040	0.8
	Max		2	11.378	2.0
			3	12.076	4.0
			4	15.480	12.0
			5	19.694	24.0
			6	22.774	32.0
			7	25.396	40.0

Regression Output	t	Reported
Constant	10.743188	10.700000
Std Err of Y Est		
R Squared	0.999132	0.999000
Degrees of Freedom		
X Coefficient(s)	0.371398	0.372000
Std Err of Coef.		
Correlation Coefficient	0.999566	·····
Coefficient of Determination (r^2)	0.999132	0.999000

CONCLCrev.wpd

## VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET **Continuing Calibration Results Verification**

Page: 1\_of 1\_ Reviewer: FT

#### METHOD: GC/MS VOA (EPA SW 846 Method 8260 P)

The percent difference (%D) of the initial calibration average Relative Response Factors (RRFs) and the continuing calibration RRFs were recalculated for the target analytes identified below using the following calculation:

% Difference = 100 \* (ave. RRF - RRF)/ave. RRF

 $\mathsf{RRF} = (\mathsf{A}_{\mathsf{x}})(\mathsf{C}_{\mathsf{is}})/(\mathsf{A}_{\mathsf{is}})(\mathsf{C}_{\mathsf{x}})$ 

Where:

ave. RRF = initial calibration average RRF  $A_x$  = Area of target analyte  $\hat{C_x}$  = Concentration of target analyte

RRF = continuing calibration RRF

Ais = Area of associated internal standard

C<sub>is</sub> = Concentration of internal standard

#	Standard ID	Calibration Date	Target Analyte (Internal Standard)	Average RRF (initial)	Reported RRF (CC)	Recalculated RRF (CC)	Reported %D	Recalculated %D
1	CC1 1102M07	11/2/21	gasdine Cf-C10	300	282.11	282.11	6.0	5.963
	M 25		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
2								
3								
	-		· · ·					
			<u> </u>					
4								

LDC #: 58-818F7

LDC #: 52818F7

#### VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET Surrogate Results Verification

Page: <u>1</u> of <u>1</u> Reviewer: <u>FT</u>

#### METHOD: GC/MS VOA (EPA SW 846 Method 8260 3

The percent recoveries (%R) of surrogates were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculation:

% Recovery: SF/SS \* 100

Where: SF = Surrogate Found SS = Surrogate Spiked

#### Sample ID: #2

	Surrogate Spiked	Surrogate Found	Percent Recovery Reported	Percent Recovery Recalculated	Percent Difference
Dibromofluoromethane					
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4					
Toluene-d8					
Bromofluorobenzene	25.0	24.17	96.7	96.7	U

Comments: \_\_\_\_\_

LDC #: 52 818F7

## VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET Laboratory Control Sample Results Verification

Page: 1 of 1 Reviewer: FT

#### METHOD: GC/MS VOA (EPA SW 846 Method 8260 う)

The percent recoveries (%R) and Relative Percent Difference (RPD) of the laboratory control sample and laboratory control sample duplicate (if applicable) were recalculated for the target analytes identified below using the following calculation:

% Recovery = 100 \* SSC/SA

Where: SSC = Spiked sample concentration SA = Spike added RPD = I LCSC - LCSDC I \* 2/(LCSC + LCSDC) LCSC = Laboratory control sample concentration LCSDC = Laboratory control sample duplicate concentration

LCS ID: \_\_\_\_\_ 211102 AM LOSID

	S	oike	Spiked	Spiked Sample		piked Sample LCS		LCSD			
Compound	Compound ( ug )		Concentration		Percent Recovery		Percent Recovery		RPD		
	LCS	LCSD	LCS	LCSD	Reported	Reported Recalc.		Recalc.	Reported	Recalc.	
GRO 1 <del>,1-Dichloroethep</del> e	300	300	358	305	119	119	102	102	16.0	16.0	
Trichloroethene											
Benzene											
Toluene											
C <del>hlorobenzene</del>											

Comments:

N N/A

Conce A= Ar Fv= Fi Df= Di RF= Av In Vs= Ini Ws= Ini %S= Pe	ntration= (A)(Fv)(Df) (RF)(Vs or Ws)(%S/100 rea or height of the compound to be inal Volume of extract ilution Factor rerage response factor of the compor the initial calibration the initial calibration itial volume of the sample itial weight of the sample ercent Solid	Example: )) Sample ID measured und Concentratio	$= \frac{44}{5165580}$ on = $\left(\frac{5165580}{430137}\right)$	ipound Name <u>GRU</u> ) - 10.743188) (25) 0.371398 <u>= 85.22 ug L</u>	)=
#	Sample ID	Compound	Reported Concentrations ( いみし )	Recalculated Results Concentrations	Qualifications
	#니	GRO	80	85.22	
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		

METHOD: \_\_\_\_GC \_\_\_ HPLC

\_\_\_\_

Were all reported results recalculated and verified for all level IV samples? Were all recalculated results for detected target compounds within 10% of the reported results?

Comments:

LDC #: <u>52 81</u>8F7

## VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET Sample Calculation Verification

SAMPCLC\_r1.wpd

# Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc. Data Validation Report

Project/Site Name:	Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126
--------------------	---

LDC Report Date: March 10, 2022

Parameters: Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Extractables

Validation Level: Stage 2B & 4

Laboratory: APPL, Inc., Clovis, CA

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 98005

Sample Identification	Laboratory Sample Identification	Matrix	Collection Date
ERH1844**	BA44459**	Water	10/27/21
ERH1847**	BA44461**	Water	10/27/21
ERH1850**	BA44463**	Water	10/27/21
ERH1853	BA44465	Water	10/27/21
ERH1844(SGCU)**	BA44459(SGCU)**	Water	10/27/21
ERH1847(SGCU)**	BA44461(SGCU)**	Water	10/27/21
ERH1850(SGCU)**	BA44463(SGCU)**	Water	10/27/21
ERH1853(SGCU)	BA44465(SGCU)	Water	10/27/21

Samples appended with "SGCU" underwent Silica Gel cleanup \*\*Indicates sample underwent Stage 4 validation

#### Introduction

This Data Validation Report (DVR) presents data validation findings and results for the associated samples listed on the cover page. Data validation was performed in accordance with the Work Plan/Scope of Work, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 02, January 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 01, April 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 01, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, September 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 03, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, June 2018), the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) Quality Systems Manual (QSM) for Environmental Laboratories, Version 5.3 (2019), the DoD General Validation Guidelines (November 2019), and the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) Data Validation Guidelines Module 4: Data Validation Procedure for Organic Analysis by GC (March 2021). Where specific guidance was not available, the data has been evaluated in a conservative manner consistent with industry standards using professional experience.

The analyses were performed by the following method:

Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (TPH) as Extractables by Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) SW 846 Method 8015B

All sample results were subjected to Stage 2B data validation, which comprises an evaluation of quality control (QC) summary results. Samples appended with a double asterisk on the cover page were subjected to Stage 4 data validation, which is comprised of the QC summary forms as well as the raw data, to confirm sample quantitation and identification.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers utilized during data validation:

- J+ (Estimated, High Bias): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated, displaying high bias, due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- J- (Estimated, Low Bias): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated, displaying low bias, due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- J (Estimated, Bias Indeterminate): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated due to non-conformances discovered during data validation. Bias is indeterminate.
- U (Non-detected): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the analyte should be considered non-detected due to the presence of contaminants detected in the associated blank(s).
- UJ (Non-detected estimated): The analyte was not detected and the associated numerical value is approximate.
- X (Exclusion of data recommended): The sample results (including non-detects) were affected by serious deficiencies in the ability to analyze the sample and to meet published method and project quality control criteria. The presence or absence of the analyte cannot be substantiated by the data provided. Exclusion of the data is recommended.
- NA (Not Applicable): The non-conformance discovered during data validation demonstrates a high bias, while the affected analyte in the associated sample(s) was reported as not detected by the laboratory and did not warrant the qualification of the data.

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

## Qualification Code Reference

- a ICP Serial Dilution %D was not within control limits.
- b Presumed contamination from preparation (method blank).
- c Calibration %RSD, r,  $r^2$ , %D or %R was noncompliant.
- d The analysis with this flag should not be used because another more technically sound analysis is available.
- e MS/MSD or Duplicate RPD was high.
- f Presumed contamination from FB or ER.
- g ICP ICS results were unsatisfactory.
- h Holding times were exceeded.
- i Internal standard performance was unsatisfactory.
- k Estimated Maximum Possible Concentration (HRGC/HRMS only)
- LCS/LCSD %R was not within control limits.
- m Result exceeded the calibration range.
- o Cooler temperature or temperature blank was noncompliant and/or sample custody problems.
- p RPD between two columns was high (GC only).
- q MS/MSD recovery was not within control limits.
- s Surrogate recovery was not within control limits.
- t Presumed contamination from trip blank.
- v Unusual problems found with the data not defined elsewhere. Description of the problem can be found in the validation report.
- w LCS/LCSD RPD was high.
- y Chemical recovery was not within control limits (Radiochemistry only).

## I. Sample Receipt and Technical Holding Times

All samples were received in good condition and cooler temperatures upon receipt met validation criteria.

All technical holding time requirements were met.

## II. Initial Calibration and Initial Calibration Verification

An initial calibration was performed as required by the method.

For analytes where average calibration factors were utilized, percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) were less than or equal to 20.0%.

In the case where the laboratory used a calibration curve to evaluate the compounds, all coefficients of determination ( $r^2$ ) were greater than or equal to 0.990.

The percent differences (%D) of the initial calibration verification (ICV) standard were less than or equal to 20.0% for all analytes.

## III. Continuing Calibration

Continuing calibration was performed at the required frequencies.

The percent differences (%D) were less than or equal to 20.0% for all analytes.

The percent differences (%D) of the ending continuing calibration verifications (CCVs) were less than or equal to 20.0% for all analytes.

## IV. Laboratory Blanks

Laboratory blanks were analyzed as required by the method. No contaminants were found in the laboratory blanks.

## V. Field Blanks

No field blanks were identified in this SDG.

## VI. Surrogates

Surrogates were added to all samples as required by the method. All surrogate recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

## VII. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

The laboratory has indicated that there were no matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyses specified for the samples in this SDG, and therefore matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate analyses were not performed for this SDG.

## VIII. Laboratory Control Samples

Laboratory control samples (LCS) and laboratory control samples duplicates (LCSD) were analyzed as required by the method. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits with the following exceptions:

LCS ID (Associated Samples)	Analyte	LCS %R (Limits)	LCSD %R (Limits)	Flag	A or P
211029A-LCS/LCSD (ERH1844** ERH1847** ERH1850**)	Oil (C24-C40)	116 (41-113)	-	J+ (all detects)	Ρ
211029A-LCS/LCSD (ERH1853)	Oil (C24-C40)	116 (41-113)	-	NA	-

Relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

## IX. Field Duplicates

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

## X. Target Analyte Quantitation

All target analyte quantitations met validation criteria for samples which underwent Stage 4 validation. Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

## XI. Target Analyte Identification

All target analyte identifications met validation criteria for samples which underwent Stage 4 validation. Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

#### XII. Overall Assessment of Data

The analysis was conducted within all specifications of the method. No results were rejected or recommended for exclusion in this SDG.

Due to LCS/LCSD %R, data were qualified as estimated in three samples.

#### Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126 Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Extractables - Data Qualification Summary -SDG 98005

Sample	Analyte	Flag	A or P	Reason (Code)
ERH1844** ERH1847** ERH1850**	Oil (C24-C40)	J+ (all detects)	P	Laboratory control samples (%R) (I)

Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126 Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Extractables - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 98005

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126

Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Extractables - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 98005

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

## VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET

Stage 2B/4

LDC #: <u>52818F8</u> **V/** SDG #: <u>98005</u> Laboratory: <u>APPL, Inc., Clovis, CA</u>

## Date: 1/4/22 Page: 1 of \_\_\_\_\_ Reviewer: \_\_\_\_\_ 2nd Reviewer: \_\_\_\_\_

METHOD: GC TPH as Extractables (EPA SW 846 Method 8015B)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

1.	Validation Area			Comm	ents	
	Sample receipt/Technical holding times	AIA				
п.	Initial calibration/ICV	$\Delta_{i}\Delta$	% BC	1 = 20 12	ICV 4	20
111.	Continuing calibration ending	A	•	(	-W = 20/21	כ
IV.	Laboratory Blanks	Δ				
V.	Field blanks	ろ				
VI.	Surrogate spikes	A				
VII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	N	05			
VIII.	Laboratory control samples	500	LesiD			
IX.	Field duplicates	N				
Х.	Target analyte quantitation	4	Not reviewed for	or Stage 2B validation.		
XI.	Target analyte identification	A	Not reviewed for	or Stage 2B validation.		
	Overall assessment of data	LA		······································		
Note:	A = AcceptableND = NotN = Not provided/applicableR = RingSW = See worksheetFB = Fielees sample underwent Stage 4 validation	o compound: sate eld blank	s detected	D = Duplicate TB = Trip blank EB = Equipment blan	SB=Sou OTHER: k	rce blank
СІ	lient ID			Lab ID	Matrix	Date
1   EF	RH1844**			BA44459**	Water	10/27/21
2   EF	RH1847**			BA44461**	Water	10/27/21
3 <sup>+</sup> 1 EF	RH1850**			BA44463**	Water	10/27/21
4 1 EF	RH1853			BA44465	Water	10/27/21
5 7 EF	RH1844(SGCU)**			BA44459(SGCU)**	Water	10/27/21
6 + 2 EF	RH1847(SGCU)**			BA44461(SGCU)**	Water	10/27/21
7 7 EF	RH1850(SGCU)**	<u></u>		BA44463(SGCU)**	Water	10/27/21
	RH1853(SGCU)			BA44465(SGCU)	Water	10/27/21
8 1 EF						
8 1 EF						
8 1/EF 9		····				
8 <b>1</b> /EF 9 10 11						
8 7 EF 9 10 11 12						
8 1 EF 9 10 11 12 13						
8 1 EF 9 10 11 12 13 Notes:						
** Indicate CI 1 I EF 2 I EF 3 I EF 3 I EF 4 I EF 5 7 EF 6 7 1 EF 7 1 EF	es sample underwent Stage 4 validation           lient ID           RH1844**           RH1847**           RH1850**           RH1853           RH1844(SGCU)**           RH1847(SGCU)**           RH1847(SGCU)**           RH1850(SGCU)**           RH1853(SGCU)			Lab ID           BA44459**           BA44461**           BA44465           BA44465           BA44461(SGCU)**           BA44463(SGCU)**           BA44465(SGCU)	Matrix Water Water Water Water Water Water Water Water Water Water	Date 10/27/ 10/27/ 10/27/ 10/27/ 10/27/ 10/27/ 10/27/ 10/27/

# Method: \_\_GC \_\_HPLC

Validation Area	Yes	No	NA	Findings/Comments
I. Technical holding times				
Were all technical holding times met?	/	1	~	
Was cooler temperature criteria met?	/			
Ila. Initial calibration				
Did the laboratory perform a 5 point calibration prior to sample analysis?	/			
Were all percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) ≤ 20%?	-			
Was a curve fit used for evaluation? If yes, did the initial calibration meet the curve fit acceptance criteria of $\geq$ 0.990?	-			
Were the RT windows properly established?				
IIb. Initial calibration verification				
Was an initial calibration verification standard analyzed after each initial calibration for each instrument?	/			
Were all percent differences (%D) <u>&lt;</u> 20%?	/			
III. Continuing calibration				
Was a continuing calibration analyzed daily?	/			
Were all percent differences (%D) < 20%?	-			
Were all the retention times within the acceptance windows?	~			
IV. Laboratory Blanks				
Was a laboratory blank associated with every sample in this SDG?	/			
Was a laboratory blank analyzed for each matrix and concentration?	-			
Was there contamination in the laboratory blanks?		/		
V. Field Blanks				
Were field blanks identified in this SDG?		•	-	
Were target analytes detected in the field blanks?			ŕ	
VI. Surrogate spikes	··········	~		
Were all surrogate percent recovery (%R) within the QC limits?				
If the percent recovery (%R) of one or more surrogates was outside QC limits, was a reanalysis performed to confirm %R?			/	-
If any %R was less than 10 percent, was a reanalysis performed to confirm %R?				
VII. Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates				
Were matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyzed in this SDG?			/	
Were the MS/MSD percent recoveries (%R) and the relative percent differences (RPD) within the QC limits?			/	
VIII. Laboratory control samples				
Was an LCS analyzed per analytical or extraction batch?				
Were the LCS percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent difference (RPD) within the QC limits?		/		



## VALIDATION FINDINGS CHECKLIST

Validation Area	Yes	No	NA	Findings/Comments
IX. Field duplicates				
Were field duplicate pairs identified in this SDG?		/		
Were target analytes detected in the field duplicates?			/	
X. Target analyte quantitation				
Did the laboratory LOQs/RLs meet the QAPP LOQs/RLs?	/			
Were analyte quantitation and RLs adjusted to reflect all sample dilutions and dry weight factors applicable to level IV validation?	/			
XI. Target analyte identification				
Were the retention times of reported detects within the RT windows?	/			
Were manual integrations reviewed and found acceptable?	/			
Did the laboratory provide before and after integration printouts?			_	
XIII. Overall assessment of data				
Overall assessment of data was found to be acceptable.	/			



## VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)



\_\_\_ HPLC METHOD: GC

 Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A". YNAN/A

Were a laboratory control samples (LCS) and laboratory control sample duplicate (LCSD) analyzed for each matrix in this SDG? Y N/A Were the LCS percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) within the QC limits?

#### ∠Level IV/D Only

YN N/A Was an LCS analyzed every 20 samples for each matrix or whenever a sample extraction was performed?

#	LCS/LCSD ID	Compound	LCS %R (Limits)	LCSD %R (Limits)	RPD (Limits)	Associated Samples	Qualifications
	211029A -	01 (c24-c4	) $116 (41-113)$	()	( )	1-74,	Jtdut /P
	LOSID			()	()	211029A-BK	1-7-3 Det
			()	()	( )		
			( )	()	( )		
			( )	( )	( )		
			( )	( )	( )		
			( )	( )	( )		
			()	()	()		
			( )	()	()		
			( )	()	()		
			( )	( )	( )		
			()	()	()		
			( )	()	()		
			( )	( )	( )		
			( )	( )	()		
			()	()	()		
			( )	()	()		
			( )	( )	()		
			( )	( )	()		
			()	( )	( )		
			( )	()	( )		
			( )	()	( )		
			()		()		

## VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET Initial Calibration Calculation Verification

## METHOD: GC \_\_\_\_\_\_HPLC\_\_\_\_\_

The calibration factors (CF) and relative standard deviation (%RSD) were recalculated using the following calculations:

CF = A/C

Average CF = sum of the CF/number of standards %RSD = 100 \* (S/X) Where: A = Area of compound

C = Concentration of compound

S = Standard deviation of calibration factors

X = Mean of calibration factors

							والمحاج والمحاجب والمتراف فالمتحاد المتحاج والمحاج المتكري المحاج المتكري المحاج المتكري المحاج والمحاج المحاج		
				Reported	Recalculated	Reported	Recalculated	Reported	Recalculated
		Calibration		CF	CF				
#	Standard ID	Date	Compound	(251) std)	(250 std)	CF (initial)	CF (intial)	%RSD	%RSD
1	ICAL	10/28/2)	Diesel Go-C24	241894	2418941	2516669	2516669	8.7	8.7
	Apollo								
	· Y "								
2									
									· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
3									
4									

Comments: <u>Refer to Initial Calibration findings worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.</u>

LDC #: 52818FX

## VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET Continuing Calibration Results Verification

Page: 1\_of\_1\_\_ Reviewer: FT

METHOD: GC \_\_\_\_\_\_HPLC \_\_\_\_\_

The percent difference (%D) of the initial calibration average Calibration Factors (CF) and the continuing calibration CF were recalculated for the target analytes identified below using the following calculation:

% Difference = 100 \* (ave. CF -CF)/ave.CF

Where: ave. CF = initial calibration average CF

CF = continuing calibration CF

A = Area of target analyte

C = Concentration of target analyte

	Standard	Calibration			Reported	Recalculated	Reported	Recalculated
#	ID	Date	Target Analyte	Average CF(Ical)/ CCV Conc.	CF/ Conc. CCV	CF/ Conc. CCV	%D	%D
1	een 110 1110	11 [3]2]	Diesel C10-C24	2516670	2314530	2314530	y.0	¥.U
	1101125							
2	1110003	11/10/21	$\downarrow$	V	2403900	2403900	4.5	4.5
	- 0018							
	- 0031							
3							· .	
4			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
							· · · ·	
Com	ments: <u>Refer to</u>	Continuing Cali	L bration findings worksheet	for list of qualifications a	nd associated sam	oles when reported	results do not agr	ee within 10.0% of

## VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET Surrogate Results Verification

#### METHOD: \_\_\_\_ GC \_\_\_ HPLC

The percent recoveries (%R) of surrogates were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculation:

% Recovery: SF/SS \* 100

Where: SF = Surrogate Found SS = Surrogate Spiked

Surrogate	Column/Detector	Surrogate Spiked	Surrogate Found	Percent Recovery	Percent Recovery	Percent Difference
				Reported	Recalculated	
<u> </u>	153.061	136.990	89-5		89.5	0
4	V	112.323	73.4		13.4	υ
		-	•			

#### Sample ID:

Surrogate	Column/Detector	Surrogate Spiked	Surrogate Found	Percent Recovery	Percent Recovery	Percent Difference
				Reported	Recalculated	

	Surrogate Compound		Surrogate Compound		Surrogate Compound		Surrogate Compound		Surrogate Compound
А	Chlorobenzene (CBZ)	G	Octacosane	М	Benzo(e)Pyrene	S	1-Chloro-3-Nitrobenzene	Y	Tetrachloro-m- xylene
В	4-Bromofluorobenzene (BFB)	н	Ortho-Terphenyl	N	Terphenyl-D14	Т	3,4-Dinitrotoluene	Z	2-Bromonaphthalene
C.	a,a,a-Trifluorotoluene	-	Fluorobenzene (FBZ)	0	Decachlorobiphenyl (DCB)	U	Tripentyltin	AA	Chloro-octadecane
D	Bromochlorobenene	J	n-Triacontane	Р	1-methylnaphthalene	v	Tri-n-propyltin	BB	2,4-Dichlorophenylacetic acid
E	1,4-Dichlorobutane	к	Hexacosane	Q	Dichlorophenyl Acetic Acid (DCAA)	w	Tributyl Phosphate	cc	2,5-Dibromotoluene
F_	1,4-Difluorobenzene (DFB)	L	Bromobenzene	R	4-Nitrophenol	x	Triphenyl Phosphate		

LDC #: 52818FX

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

Page: 1 of 1

Laboratory Control Sample/Laboratory Control Sample Duplicates Results Verification Reviewer:\_\_\_\_FT

GC\_\_ HPLC METHOD:

The percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) of the laboratory control sample and laboratory control sample duplicate were recalculated for the target analytes identified below using the following calculation:

%Recovery = 100 \* (SSC/SA) RPD =(({SSCLCS - SSCLCSD} \* 2) / (SSCLCS + SSCLCSD))\*100 Where SSC = Spiked sample concentration LCS = Laboratory Control Sample

SA = Spike added LCSD = Laboratory Control Sample duplicate

ICS 1D LCS/LCSD samples: 2110294

	Sp	Spike		Spike Sample		LCS Percent Recovery		LCSD Percent Recovery		LCS/LCSD RPD	
Compound	( ng L )				Percent I						
	LCS	LCSD	LCS	LCSD	Reported	Recalc.	Reported	Recalc.	Reported	Recalc.	
Diesel C10-C24	2000	2000	2100	1980	105	105	99.D	<b>79</b> .0	5-9	5.9	
	 					·					
			L								
imments:											

LDC #: 52 819F8

## VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET Sample Calculation Verification

-

METHOD: GC HPLC

The concentration of the sample was calculated for the target analyte identified below using the following calculation:

Concentration= (A)(Fv)(Df)	Example:	
(RF)(Vs or Ws)(%S/100) A= Area or height of the target analyte to be measured Ev= Final Volume of extract	Sample ID #	: Diesel C10-C24
<ul> <li>Df= Dilution Factor</li> <li>RF= Average response factor of the target analyte In the initial calibration</li> <li>Vs= Initial volume of the sample</li> <li>Ws= Initial weight of the sample</li> <li>%S= Percent Solid</li> </ul>	Concentration =	(441544527) (5) (1000) (2516669)(2)(1030)

#	Sample ID	Target analyte	Reported Concentrations ( 9	Recalculated Results Concentrations ( ug L )	Qualifications
	#1	Diesel CID-CZ4	430	425.8	
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
<b> </b>				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

Comments: \_\_\_\_\_\_

F

# Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc. Data Validation Report

Project/Site Name: Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126

LDC Report Date: January 10, 2022

Parameters: Volatiles

Validation Level:Stage 2B

Laboratory: APPL, Inc., Clovis, CA

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 98096

	Laboratory Sample		Collection
Sample Identification	Identification	Matrix	Date
ERH1871	BA45107	Water	11/03/21
ERH1872	BA45108	Water	11/03/21
ERH1874	BA45109	Water	11/03/21
ERH1875	BA45110	Water	11/03/21
ERH1877	BA45111	Water	11/03/21
ERH1878	BA45112	Water	11/03/21
ERH1880	BA45113	Water	11/03/21
ERH1881	BA45114	Water	11/03/21

#### Introduction

This Data Validation Report (DVR) presents data validation findings and results for the associated samples listed on the cover page. Data validation was performed in accordance with the Work Plan/Scope of Work, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 02, January 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 01, April 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 01, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, September 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 03, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, June 2018), the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) Quality Systems Manual (QSM) for Environmental Laboratories, Version 5.3 (2019), the DoD General Validation Guidelines (November 2019), and the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) Data Validation Guidelines Module 1: Data Validation Procedure for Organic Analysis by GC/MS (May 2020). Where specific guidance was not available, the data has been evaluated in a conservative manner consistent with industry standards using professional experience.

The analyses were performed by the following method:

Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs) which are Benzene, Toluene, Ethylbenzene, Xylenes (BTEX) and Naphthalene by Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) SW 846 Method 8260B

All sample results were subjected to Stage 2B data validation, which comprises an evaluation of quality control (QC) summary results.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers utilized during data validation:

- J+ (Estimated, High Bias): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated, displaying high bias, due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- J- (Estimated, Low Bias): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated, displaying low bias, due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- J (Estimated, Bias Indeterminate): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated due to non-conformances discovered during data validation. Bias is indeterminate.
- U (Non-detected): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the analyte should be considered non-detected due to the presence of contaminants detected in the associated blank(s).
- UJ (Non-detected estimated): The analyte was not detected and the associated numerical value is approximate.
- X (Exclusion of data recommended): The sample results (including non-detects) were affected by serious deficiencies in the ability to analyze the sample and to meet published method and project quality control criteria. The presence or absence of the analyte cannot be substantiated by the data provided. Exclusion of the data is recommended.
- NA (Not Applicable): The non-conformance discovered during data validation demonstrates a high bias, while the affected analyte in the associated sample(s) was reported as not detected by the laboratory and did not warrant the qualification of the data.

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

## **Qualification Code Reference**

- a ICP Serial Dilution %D was not within control limits.
- b Presumed contamination from preparation (method blank).
- c Calibration %RSD, r,  $r^2$ , %D or %R was noncompliant.
- d The analysis with this flag should not be used because another more technically sound analysis is available.
- e MS/MSD or Duplicate RPD was high.
- f Presumed contamination from FB or ER.
- g ICP ICS results were unsatisfactory.
- h Holding times were exceeded.
- i Internal standard performance was unsatisfactory.
- k Estimated Maximum Possible Concentration (HRGC/HRMS only)
- LCS/LCSD %R was not within control limits.
- m Result exceeded the calibration range.
- o Cooler temperature or temperature blank was noncompliant and/or sample custody problems.
- p RPD between two columns was high (GC only).
- q MS/MSD recovery was not within control limits.
- s Surrogate recovery was not within control limits.
- t Presumed contamination from trip blank.
- v Unusual problems found with the data not defined elsewhere. Description of the problem can be found in the validation report.
- w LCS/LCSD RPD was high.
- y Chemical recovery was not within control limits (Radiochemistry only).
# I. Sample Receipt and Technical Holding Times

All samples were received in good condition and cooler temperatures upon receipt met validation criteria.

All technical holding time requirements were met.

#### **II. GC/MS Instrument Performance Check**

A bromofluorobenzene (BFB) tune was performed at 12 hour intervals.

All ion abundance requirements were met.

#### III. Initial Calibration and Initial Calibration Verification

An initial calibration was performed as required by the method.

The percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) were less than or equal to 15.0% for all analytes.

Average relative response factors (RRF) for all analytes were within validation criteria.

The percent differences (%D) of the initial calibration verification (ICV) standard were less than or equal to 20.0% for all analytes.

#### IV. Continuing Calibration

Continuing calibration was performed at the required frequencies.

The percent differences (%D) were less than or equal to 20.0% for all analytes.

The percent differences (%D) of the ending continuing calibration verifications (CCVs) were less than or equal to 50.0% for all analytes.

All of the continuing calibration relative response factors (RRF) were within validation criteria.

#### V. Laboratory Blanks

Laboratory blanks were analyzed as required by the method. No contaminants were found in the laboratory blanks.

#### VI. Field Blanks

Samples ERH1871, ERH1874, ERH1877, and ERH1880 were identified as trip blanks. No contaminants were found.

# VII. Surrogates

Surrogates were added to all samples as required by the method. All surrogate recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

#### VIII. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

The laboratory has indicated that there were no matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyses specified for the samples in this SDG, and therefore matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate analyses were not performed for this SDG.

#### IX. Laboratory Control Samples

Laboratory control samples (LCS) and laboratory control samples duplicates (LCSD) were analyzed as required by the method. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits. Relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

#### X. Field Duplicates

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

#### XI. Internal Standards

All internal standard areas and retention times were within QC limits.

#### XII. Target Analyte Quantitation

Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

#### XIII. Target Analyte Identification

Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

#### XIV. System Performance

Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

#### XV. Overall Assessment of Data

The analysis was conducted within all specifications of the method. No results were rejected or recommended for exclusion in this SDG.

Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126 Volatiles - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 98096

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126 Volatiles - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 98096

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126 Volatiles - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 98096

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

LDC #: <u>52818G1a</u> <b>VALID</b>	ATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET	Date: 1/3/2 2
SDG #: <u>98096</u>	Stage 2B	Page:of/
Laboratory: <u>APPL, Inc., Clovis, CA</u>	-	Reviewer: <u>7</u>
		2nd Reviewer:
METHOD, COMP Malatilas (DTEV)(ED)	A CIM 946 Mathed 9260D)	· (

#### METHOD: GC/MS Volatiles (BTEX)(EPA SW 846 Method 8260B)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
<u> </u>	Sample receipt/Technical holding time	s A/A	
١١.	GC/MS Instrument performance check		
III.	Initial calibration/ICV	AIA	% PSD ± 20 FT KV ± 20
IV.	Continuing calibration ending		CW E 20 50
V.	Laboratory Blanks	, A	
VI.	Field blanks	N	
VII.	Surrogate spikes	4	
VIII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	N	cs
IX.	Laboratory control samples	4	ies IP
X.	Field duplicates	N	
XI.	Internal standards		
XII.	Target analyte quantitation	N	
XIII.	Target analyte identification	N	
XIV.	System performance	N	
XV.	Overall assessment of data	Ą	

Note:

A = Acceptable N = Not provided/applicable SW = See worksheet ND = No compounds detected R = Rinsate FB = Field blank D = Duplicate TB = Trip blank EB = Equipment blank

SB=Source blank OTHER:

	Client ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Date
1-	ERH1871 <b>TB</b>	BA45107	Water	11/03/21
ź	ERH1872	BA45108	Water	11/03/21
3	ERH1874 TB	BA45109	Water	11/03/21
4	ERH1875	BA45110	Water	11/03/21
5	ERH1877 TB	BA45111	Water	11/03/21
6	ERH1878	BA45112	Water	11/03/21
7	ERH1880 TP	BA45113	Water	11/03/21
8	ERH1881	BA45114	Water	11/03/21
<u>م</u>				
Notes				

211109AM			
211110AM			

# Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc. Data Validation Report

Project/Site Name:	Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126
--------------------	---

LDC Report Date: January 10, 2022

Parameters: Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons

Validation Level: Stage 2B

Laboratory: APPL, Inc., Clovis, CA

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 98096

	Laboratory Sample		Collection
Sample Identification	Identification	Matrix	Date
ERH1872	BA45108	Water	11/03/21
ERH1875	BA45110	Water	11/03/21
ERH1878	BA45112	Water	11/03/21
ERH1881	BA45114	Water	11/03/21

#### Introduction

This Data Validation Report (DVR) presents data validation findings and results for the associated samples listed on the cover page. Data validation was performed in accordance with the Work Plan/Scope of Work, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 02, January 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 01, April 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 01, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, September 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 03, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, June 2018), the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) Quality Systems Manual (QSM) for Environmental Laboratories, Version 5.3 (2019), the DoD General Validation Guidelines (November 2019), and the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) Data Validation Guidelines Module 1: Data Validation Procedure for Organic Analysis by GC/MS (May 2020). Where specific guidance was not available, the data has been evaluated in a conservative manner consistent with industry standards using professional experience.

The analyses were performed by the following method:

Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAHs) which are 1-Methylnaphthalene, 2-Methylnaphthalene, and Naphthalene by Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) SW 846 Method 8270D in Selected Ion Monitoring (SIM) mode

All sample results were subjected to Stage 2B data validation, which comprises an evaluation of quality control (QC) summary results.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers utilized during data validation:

- J+ (Estimated, High Bias): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated, displaying high bias, due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- J- (Estimated, Low Bias): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated, displaying low bias, due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- J (Estimated, Bias Indeterminate): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated due to non-conformances discovered during data validation. Bias is indeterminate.
- U (Non-detected): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the analyte should be considered non-detected due to the presence of contaminants detected in the associated blank(s).
- UJ (Non-detected estimated): The analyte was not detected and the associated numerical value is approximate.
- X (Exclusion of data recommended): The sample results (including non-detects) were affected by serious deficiencies in the ability to analyze the sample and to meet published method and project quality control criteria. The presence or absence of the analyte cannot be substantiated by the data provided. Exclusion of the data is recommended.
- NA (Not Applicable): The non-conformance discovered during data validation demonstrates a high bias, while the affected analyte in the associated sample(s) was reported as not detected by the laboratory and did not warrant the qualification of the data.

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

# Qualification Code Reference

- a ICP Serial Dilution %D was not within control limits.
- b Presumed contamination from preparation (method blank).
- c Calibration %RSD, r,  $r^2$ , %D or %R was noncompliant.
- d The analysis with this flag should not be used because another more technically sound analysis is available.
- e MS/MSD or Duplicate RPD was high.
- f Presumed contamination from FB or ER.
- g ICP ICS results were unsatisfactory.
- h Holding times were exceeded.
- i Internal standard performance was unsatisfactory.
- k Estimated Maximum Possible Concentration (HRGC/HRMS only)
- LCS/LCSD %R was not within control limits.
- m Result exceeded the calibration range.
- o Cooler temperature or temperature blank was noncompliant and/or sample custody problems.
- p RPD between two columns was high (GC only).
- q MS/MSD recovery was not within control limits.
- s Surrogate recovery was not within control limits.
- t Presumed contamination from trip blank.
- v Unusual problems found with the data not defined elsewhere. Description of the problem can be found in the validation report.
- w LCS/LCSD RPD was high.
- y Chemical recovery was not within control limits (Radiochemistry only).

# I. Sample Receipt and Technical Holding Times

All samples were received in good condition and cooler temperatures upon receipt met validation criteria.

All technical holding time requirements were met.

# II. GC/MS Instrument Performance Check

A decafluorotriphenylphosphine (DFTPP) tune was performed at 12 hour intervals.

All ion abundance requirements were met.

# III. Initial Calibration and Initial Calibration Verification

An initial calibration was performed as required by the method.

The percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) were less than or equal to 15.0% for all analytes.

Average relative response factors (RRF) for all analytes were within validation criteria.

The percent differences (%D) of the initial calibration verification (ICV) standard were less than or equal to 20.0% for all analytes.

# IV. Continuing Calibration

Continuing calibration was performed at the required frequencies.

The percent differences (%D) were less than or equal to 20.0% for all analytes.

The percent differences (%D) of the ending continuing calibration verifications (CCVs) were less than or equal to 50.0% for all analytes.

All of the continuing calibration relative response factors (RRF) were within validation criteria.

# V. Laboratory Blanks

Laboratory blanks were analyzed as required by the method. No contaminants were found in the laboratory blanks.

#### VI. Field Blanks

No field blanks were identified in this SDG.

#### VII. Surrogates

Surrogates were added to all samples as required by the method. All surrogate recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

#### VIII. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

The laboratory has indicated that there were no matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyses specified for the samples in this SDG, and therefore matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate analyses were not performed for this SDG.

#### IX. Laboratory Control Samples

Laboratory control samples (LCS) and laboratory control samples duplicates (LCSD) were analyzed as required by the method. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits. Relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

#### X. Field Duplicates

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

#### XI. Internal Standards

All internal standard areas and retention times were within QC limits.

#### XII. Target Analyte Quantitation

Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

#### XIII. Target Analyte Identification

Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

#### XIV. System Performance

Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

#### XV. Overall Assessment of Data

The analysis was conducted within all specifications of the method. No results were rejected or recommended for exclusion in this SDG.

#### Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126 Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 98096

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126

Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 98096

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126 Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary -SDG 98096

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

#### VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET

LDC #: <u>52818G2b</u> **V/** SDG #: <u>98096</u> Laboratory: <u>APPL, Inc., Clovis, CA</u>

#### Stage 2B

Date: 1/3/22	2
Page: /of/_	
Reviewer: <del>ø</del>	
2nd Reviewer: pr	

METHOD: GC/MS Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons (EPA SW846 Method 8270D-SIM)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
١.	Sample receipt/Technical holding times	A /A	
١١.	GC/MS Instrument performance check	A	-
111.	Initial calibration/ICV	AIA	2/0 PSD ≤ 15 ICV ≤ 20
IV.	Continuing calibration ending	Δ	cut = 20/50
V.	Laboratory Blanks	4	
VI.	Field blanks	2	
VII.	Surrogate spikes	A	
VIII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	2	ప
IX.	Laboratory control samples	Δ	Kes ID
Х.	Field duplicates	N	
XI.	Internal standards	X	
XII.	Target analyte quantitation	z (	
XIII.	Target analyte identification	N	
XIV.	System performance	N	
XV.	Overall assessment of data	$\land$	

Note:

A = Acceptable N = Not provided/applicable SW = See worksheet ND = No compounds detected R = Rinsate FB = Field blank D = Duplicate TB = Trip blank EB = Equipment blank

SB=Source blank OTHER:

	Client ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Date
1 +	ERH1872	BA45108	Water	11/03/21
2 +	ERH1875	BA45110	Water	11/03/21
3 -	ERH1878	BA45112	Water	11/03/21
4 ~	ERH1881	BA45114	Water	11/03/21
5				
6				
7				
8				
9				
Notes				
	211109AK			

	2110	<u>F 1 - </u>	 _			 		 
								 tan tan
İ								 
-			 			 	 	

# LDC Report# 52818G6

# Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc. Data Validation Report

	Proiect/Site Name:	Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F01;
--	--------------------	--

LDC Report Date: December 29, 2021

Parameters: Total Organic Carbon

Validation Level: Stage 2B

Laboratory: APPL, Inc., Clovis, CA

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 98096

Sample Identification	Laboratory Sample Identification	Matrix	Collection Date
ERH1872	BA45108	Water	11/03/21
ERH1875	BA45110	Water	11/03/21
ERH1878	BA45112	Water	11/03/21
ERH1881	BA45114	Water	11/03/21

#### Introduction

This Data Validation Report (DVR) presents data validation findings and results for the associated samples listed on the cover page. Data validation was performed in accordance with the Work Plan/Scope of Work, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 02, January 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 01, April 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 01, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, September 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 03, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, June 2018), the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) Quality Systems Manual (QSM) for Environmental Laboratories, Version 5.3 (2019), and the DoD General Validation Guidelines (November 2019). Where specific guidance was not available, the data has been evaluated in a conservative manner consistent with industry standards using professional experience.

The analyses were performed by the following method:

Total Organic Carbon by Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) SW 846 Method 9060A

All sample results were subjected to Stage 2B data validation, which comprises an evaluation of quality control (QC) summary results.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers utilized during data validation:

- J+ (Estimated, High Bias): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated, displaying high bias, due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- J- (Estimated, Low Bias): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated, displaying low bias, due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- J (Estimated, Bias Indeterminate): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated due to non-conformances discovered during data validation. Bias is indeterminate.
- U (Non-detected): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the analyte should be considered non-detected due to the presence of contaminants detected in the associated blank(s).
- UJ (Non-detected estimated): The analyte was not detected and the associated numerical value is approximate.
- X (Exclusion of data recommended): The sample results (including non-detects) were affected by serious deficiencies in the ability to analyze the sample and to meet published method and project quality control criteria. The presence or absence of the analyte cannot be substantiated by the data provided. Exclusion of the data is recommended.
- NA (Not Applicable): The non-conformance discovered during data validation demonstrates a high bias, while the affected analyte in the associated sample(s) was reported as not detected by the laboratory and did not warrant the qualification of the data.

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

#### **Qualification Code Reference**

- a ICP Serial Dilution %D was not within control limits.
- b Presumed contamination from preparation (method blank).
- c Calibration %RSD, r, r<sup>2</sup>, %D or %R was noncompliant.
- d The analysis with this flag should not be used because another more technically sound analysis is available.
- e MS/MSD or Duplicate RPD was high.
- f Presumed contamination from FB or ER.
- g ICP ICS results were unsatisfactory.
- h Holding times were exceeded.
- i Internal standard performance was unsatisfactory.
- k Estimated Maximum Possible Concentration (HRGC/HRMS only)
- LCS/LCSD %R was not within control limits.
- m Result exceeded the calibration range.
- o Cooler temperature or temperature blank was noncompliant and/or sample custody problems.
- p RPD between two columns was high (GC only).
- q MS/MSD recovery was not within control limits.
- s Surrogate recovery was not within control limits.
- t Presumed contamination from trip blank.
- v Unusual problems found with the data not defined elsewhere. Description of the problem can be found in the validation report.
- w LCS/LCSD RPD was high.
- y Chemical recovery was not within control limits (Radiochemistry only).

# I. Sample Receipt and Technical Holding Times

All samples were received in good condition.

All technical holding time requirements were met.

# II. Initial Calibration

All criteria for the initial calibration were met.

# III. Continuing Calibration

Continuing calibration frequency and analysis criteria were met with the following exceptions:

Date	Lab. Reference/ID	Analyte	%R (Limits)	Associated Samples	Flag	A or P
11/05/21	CCV (17:26)	Total organic carbon	87.7 (90-110)	All samples in SDG 98096	J- (all detects)	Ρ
11/06/21	CCV (03:12)	Total organic carbon	82.2 (90-110)	All samples in SDG 98096	J- (all detects)	Р

# IV. Laboratory Blanks

Laboratory blanks were analyzed as required by the method. No contaminants were found in the laboratory blanks.

# V. Field Blanks

No field blanks were identified in this SDG.

# VI. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

The laboratory has indicated that there were no matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyses specified for the samples in this SDG, and therefore matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate analyses were not performed for this SDG.

#### VII. Duplicate Sample Analysis

The laboratory has indicated that there were no duplicate (DUP) analyses specified for the samples in this SDG, and therefore duplicate analyses were not performed for this SDG.

#### VIII. Laboratory Control Samples

Laboratory control samples (LCS) and laboratory control samples duplicates (LCSD) were analyzed as required by the method. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits. Relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

#### IX. Field Duplicates

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

# X. Target Analyte Quantitation

Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

#### XI. Overall Assessment of Data

The analysis was conducted within all specifications of the method. No results were rejected or recommended for exclusion in this SDG.

Due to continuing calibration %R, data were qualified as estimated in four samples.

# Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126 Total Organic Carbon - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 98096

Sample	Analyte	Flag	A or P	Reason (Code)
ERH1872 ERH1875 ERH1878 ERH1881	Total organic carbon	J- (all detects)	Р	Continuing calibration (%R) (c)

# Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126 Total Organic Carbon - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 98096

# No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126 Total Organic Carbon - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 98096

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

LDC #: <u>52818G6</u>	VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET
SDG #: 98096	Stage 2B
Laboratory: APPL, Inc., Clovis,	CA



#### METHOD: (Analyte) TOC (EPA SW846 Method 9060A)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
١.	Sample receipt/Technical holding times	AIA	
	Initial calibration	A	
- 111.	Calibration verification	SW	
IV	Laboratory Blanks	A	
v	Field blanks	N	
VI.	Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates	N	CS
VII.	Duplicate sample analysis	Ň	
VIII.	Laboratory control samples	A	LCS/LCSD
IX.	Field duplicates	N	
Х.	Target Analyte Quantitation	N	
XI.	Overall assessment of data	A	

Note:

A = Acceptable N = Not provided/applicable SW = See worksheet ND = No compounds detected

R = Rinsate FB = Field blank D = Duplicate TB = Trip blank EB = Equipment blank SB=Source blank

OTHER:

	Client ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Date
1	ERH1872	BA45108	Water	11/03/21
2	ERH1875	BA45110	Water	11/03/21
3	ERH1878	BA45112	Water	11/03/21
4	ERH1881	BA45114	Water	11/03/21
5				
6				
7				
8				
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
Note	5:			

# VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET Calibration

#### **METHOD**: Inorganics, EPA Method <u>See cover</u>

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".  $(Y)N_N/A$ 

Were all instruments calibrated daily, each set-up time, and were the proper number of standards used? Y IN N/A

Were all initial and continuing calibration verification percent recoveries (%R) within the control limits of 90-110%?

YN N/A Are all correlation coefficients  $\geq 0.995$  ?

#### LEVEL IV/D ONLY: YNN/A

Y N (N7A)

Y N NTA

Were recalculated results acceptable? See Level IV Initial and Continuing Calibration Recaluculation Worksheet for recalulations.

Was a balance check conducted prior to the TDS analysis.?

Was the titrant normality checked?

#	Date	Calibration ID	Analyte	%R	Associated Samples	Qualifications Code: c
	11/05/21	CCV (17:26)	тос	87.7 (90-110)	all	J-/UJ/P (detect)
	11/06/21	CCV (03:12)	тос	82.2 (90-110)	all	J-/UJ/P (detect)
			<u> </u>			

#### Comments:

# Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc. Data Validation Report

Project/Site Name:	Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126
--------------------	---

LDC Report Date: January 10, 2022

- Parameters: Gasoline Range Organics
- Validation Level: Stage 2B

Laboratory: APPL, Inc., Clovis, CA

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 98096

	Laboratory Sample		Collection
Sample Identification	Identification	Matrix	Date
ERH1871	BA45107	Water	11/03/21
ERH1872	BA45108	Water	11/03/21
ERH1874	BA45109	Water	11/03/21
ERH1875	BA45110	Water	11/03/21
ERH1877	BA45111	Water	11/03/21
ERH1878	BA45112	Water	11/03/21
ERH1880	BA45113	Water	11/03/21
ERH1881	BA45114	Water	11/03/21

#### Introduction

This Data Validation Report (DVR) presents data validation findings and results for the associated samples listed on the cover page. Data validation was performed in accordance with the Work Plan/Scope of Work. Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 02, January 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 01, April 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 01, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, September 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 03, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, June 2018), the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) Quality Systems Manual (QSM) for Environmental Laboratories, Version 5.3 (2019), the DoD General Validation Guidelines (November 2019), and the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) Data Validation Guidelines Module 4: Data Validation Procedure for Organic Analysis by GC (March 2021). Where specific guidance was not available, the data has been evaluated in a conservative manner consistent with industry standards using professional experience.

The analyses were performed by the following method:

Gasoline Range Organics by Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) SW 846 Method 8260B

All sample results were subjected to Stage 2B data validation, which comprises an evaluation of quality control (QC) summary results.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers utilized during data validation:

- J+ (Estimated, High Bias): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated, displaying high bias, due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- J- (Estimated, Low Bias): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated, displaying low bias, due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- J (Estimated, Bias Indeterminate): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated due to non-conformances discovered during data validation. Bias is indeterminate.
- U (Non-detected): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the analyte should be considered non-detected due to the presence of contaminants detected in the associated blank(s).
- UJ (Non-detected estimated): The analyte was not detected and the associated numerical value is approximate.
- X (Exclusion of data recommended): The sample results (including non-detects) were affected by serious deficiencies in the ability to analyze the sample and to meet published method and project quality control criteria. The presence or absence of the analyte cannot be substantiated by the data provided. Exclusion of the data is recommended.
- NA (Not Applicable): The non-conformance discovered during data validation demonstrates a high bias, while the affected analyte in the associated sample(s) was reported as not detected by the laboratory and did not warrant the qualification of the data.

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

# **Qualification Code Reference**

- a ICP Serial Dilution %D was not within control limits.
- b Presumed contamination from preparation (method blank).
- c Calibration %RSD, r,  $r^2$ , %D or %R was noncompliant.
- d The analysis with this flag should not be used because another more technically sound analysis is available.
- e MS/MSD or Duplicate RPD was high.
- f Presumed contamination from FB or ER.
- g ICP ICS results were unsatisfactory.
- h Holding times were exceeded.
- i Internal standard performance was unsatisfactory.
- k Estimated Maximum Possible Concentration (HRGC/HRMS only)
- LCS/LCSD %R was not within control limits.
- m Result exceeded the calibration range.
- o Cooler temperature or temperature blank was noncompliant and/or sample custody problems.
- p RPD between two columns was high (GC only).
- q MS/MSD recovery was not within control limits.
- s Surrogate recovery was not within control limits.
- t Presumed contamination from trip blank.
- v Unusual problems found with the data not defined elsewhere. Description of the problem can be found in the validation report.
- w LCS/LCSD RPD was high.
- y Chemical recovery was not within control limits (Radiochemistry only).

# I. Sample Receipt and Technical Holding Times

All samples were received in good condition and cooler temperatures upon receipt met validation criteria.

All technical holding time requirements were met.

#### II. Initial Calibration and Initial Calibration Verification

An initial calibration was performed as required by the method.

A curve fit, based on the initial calibration, was established for quantitation. The coefficient of determination  $(r^2)$  was greater than or equal to 0.990.

The percent differences (%D) of the initial calibration verification (ICV) standard were less than or equal to 20.0%.

#### III. Continuing Calibration

Continuing calibration was performed at the required frequencies.

The percent differences (%D) were less than or equal to 20.0%.

The percent differences (%D) of the ending continuing calibration verifications (CCVs) were less than or equal to 20.0% for all analytes with the following exceptions:

Date	Analyte	%D	Associated Samples	Flag	A or P
11/10/21	Gasoline C6-C10	31	ERH1871 ERH1872 ERH1874 ERH1875 ERH1877	J- (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	A

#### IV. Laboratory Blanks

Laboratory blanks were analyzed as required by the method. No contaminants were found in the laboratory blanks.

#### V. Field Blanks

Samples ERH1871, ERH1874, ERH1877, and ERH1880 were identified as trip blanks. No contaminants were found.

#### VI. Surrogates

Surrogates were added to all samples as required by the method. All surrogate recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

#### VII. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

The laboratory has indicated that there were no matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyses specified for the samples in this SDG, and therefore matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate analyses were not performed for this SDG.

#### **VIII. Laboratory Control Samples**

Laboratory control samples (LCS) and laboratory control samples duplicates (LCSD) were analyzed as required by the method. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits. Relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

#### IX. Field Duplicates

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

#### X. Target Analyte Quantitation

Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

#### XI. Target Analyte Identification

Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

#### XII. Overall Assessment of Data

The analysis was conducted within all specifications of the method. No results were rejected or recommended for exclusion in this SDG.

Due to ending CCV %D, data were qualified as estimated in five samples.

#### Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126 Gasoline Range Organics - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 98096

Sample	Analyte	Flag	A or P	Reason (Code)
ERH1871 ERH1872 ERH1874 ERH1875 ERH1877	Gasoline C6-C10	J- (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	A	Continuing calibration (ending CCV %D) (c)

# Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126

Gasoline Range Organics - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 98096

# No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126 Gasoline Range Organics - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 98096

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

VALIDATION	COMPLETENESS	WORKSHEET
------------	--------------	-----------

Stage 2B

LDC #: 52818G7 SDG #: 98096 Laboratory: APPL, Inc., Clovis, CA



#### METHOD: GC/MS Gasoline Range Organics (EPA SW 846 Method 8260B)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area			Comments
١.	Sample receipt/Technical holding times	AA		
١١.	GC/MS Instrument performance check	Δ		
111.	Initial calibration/ICV	ALA	12	101 - 20
IV.	Continuing calibration	SW		CUV = 20
V.	Laboratory Blanks	$\Box$		
VI.	Field blanks	Z		
VII.	Surrogate spikes	Δ.		
VIII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	N		
IX.	Laboratory control samples	A	Les 1D	
Х.	Field duplicates	N		
XI.	Internal standards	A		
XII.	Target analyte quantitation	N		
XIII.	Target analyte identification	N		
XIV.	System performance	N		
_xv.	Overall assessment of data			

Note:

A = Acceptable N = Not provided/applicable SW = See worksheet

ND = No compounds detected R = Rinsate FB = Field blank

D = Duplicate TB = Trip blank EB = Equipment blank SB=Source blank OTHER:

Client ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Date
1   ERH1871 τ3	BA45107	Water	11/03/21
2 I ERH1872	BA45108	Water	11/03/21
3 ERH1874 TB	BA45109	Water	11/03/21
4 <sup>+</sup> V ERH1875	BA45110	Water	11/03/21
5 <sup>-1</sup> ERH1877 <b>TB</b>	BA45111	Water	11/03/21
6 7 ERH1878	BA45112	Water	11/03/21
7 2 ERH1880 TB	BA45113	Water	11/03/21
8 TERH1881	BA45114	Water	11/03/21
9			
Notes:			
1211109AM-BIK			
2 211110AM - BIK			

SDG1 977 8) (52 81BA ICAL IN

# VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET Continuing Calibration

NO+ Det

(c)

-MJA

Qualifications

# METHOD: VGC HPLC

Pleas Wha Y N Y N Leve	se see qua t type of co <u>N/A</u> <u>)N/A</u> t <b>IV QnIy</b>	lifications below for a ontinuing calibration o Were continuing ca Did the continuing o	all questions a calculation was libration stand calibration star	nswered "N". No s performed? lards analyzed at ndards meet the	t applicable questi _%D or%R the required frequ %D / %R validation	ons are identi iencies? ∩ criteria of ≤2	fied as "N/A". 20.0% / 80-120%?	
<u>Y N</u>	N/A	Were the retention	times for all ca	alibrated compou	nds within their re	spective acce	ptance windows?	
#	Date	Standard ID	Detector/ Column	Compound	%D (Limit ≤ 20.0)	RT (limit)	Associated Samples	
	1102	1109M53-		gasoline	3 3		175,	1
		cev doning		CL-CID			211109AM-BIK	
						1		

# Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc. Data Validation Report

Project/Site Name: Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126

LDC Report Date: January 10, 2022

Parameters: Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Extractables

Validation Level: Stage 2B

Laboratory: APPL, Inc., Clovis, CA

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 98096

Sample Identification	Laboratory Sample Identification	Matrix	Collection Date
ERH1872	BA45108	Water	11/03/21
ERH1875	BA45110	Water	11/03/21
ERH1878	BA45112	Water	11/03/21
ERH1881	BA45114	Water	11/03/21
ERH1872(SGCU)	BA45108(SGCU)	Water	11/03/21
ERH1875(SGCU)	BA45110(SGCU)	Water	11/03/21
ERH1878(SGCU)	BA45112(SGCU)	Water	11/03/21
ERH1881(SGCU)	BA45114(SGCU)	Water	11/03/21

Samples ending in "SGCU" underwent Silica Gel cleanup

#### Introduction

This Data Validation Report (DVR) presents data validation findings and results for the associated samples listed on the cover page. Data validation was performed in accordance with the Work Plan/Scope of Work, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 02, January 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 01, April 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 01, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, September 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 03, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, June 2018), the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) Quality Systems Manual (QSM) for Environmental Laboratories, Version 5.3 (2019), the DoD General Validation Guidelines (November 2019), and the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) Data Validation Guidelines Module 4: Data Validation Procedure for Organic Analysis by GC (March 2021). Where specific guidance was not available, the data has been evaluated in a conservative manner consistent with industry standards using professional experience.

The analyses were performed by the following method:

Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (TPH) as Extractables by Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) SW 846 Method 8015B

All sample results were subjected to Stage 2B data validation, which comprises an evaluation of quality control (QC) summary results.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers utilized during data validation:

- J+ (Estimated, High Bias): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated, displaying high bias, due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- J- (Estimated, Low Bias): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated, displaying low bias, due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- J (Estimated, Bias Indeterminate): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated due to non-conformances discovered during data validation. Bias is indeterminate.
- U (Non-detected): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the analyte should be considered non-detected due to the presence of contaminants detected in the associated blank(s).
- UJ (Non-detected estimated): The analyte was not detected and the associated numerical value is approximate.
- X (Exclusion of data recommended): The sample results (including non-detects) were affected by serious deficiencies in the ability to analyze the sample and to meet published method and project quality control criteria. The presence or absence of the analyte cannot be substantiated by the data provided. Exclusion of the data is recommended.
- NA (Not Applicable): The non-conformance discovered during data validation demonstrates a high bias, while the affected analyte in the associated sample(s) was reported as not detected by the laboratory and did not warrant the qualification of the data.

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

## **Qualification Code Reference**

- a ICP Serial Dilution %D was not within control limits.
- b Presumed contamination from preparation (method blank).
- c Calibration %RSD, r,  $r^2$ , %D or %R was noncompliant.
- d The analysis with this flag should not be used because another more technically sound analysis is available.
- e MS/MSD or Duplicate RPD was high.
- f Presumed contamination from FB or ER.
- g ICP ICS results were unsatisfactory.
- h Holding times were exceeded.
- i Internal standard performance was unsatisfactory.
- k Estimated Maximum Possible Concentration (HRGC/HRMS only)
- LCS/LCSD %R was not within control limits.
- m Result exceeded the calibration range.
- o Cooler temperature or temperature blank was noncompliant and/or sample custody problems.
- p RPD between two columns was high (GC only).
- q MS/MSD recovery was not within control limits.
- s Surrogate recovery was not within control limits.
- t Presumed contamination from trip blank.
- v Unusual problems found with the data not defined elsewhere. Description of the problem can be found in the validation report.
- w LCS/LCSD RPD was high.
- y Chemical recovery was not within control limits (Radiochemistry only).

# I. Sample Receipt and Technical Holding Times

All samples were received in good condition and cooler temperatures upon receipt met validation criteria.

All technical holding time requirements were met.

# II. Initial Calibration and Initial Calibration Verification

An initial calibration was performed as required by the method.

For analytes where average calibration factors were utilized, percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) were less than or equal to 20.0%.

In the case where the laboratory used a calibration curve to evaluate the analytes, all coefficients of determination  $(r^2)$  were greater than or equal to 0.990.

The percent differences (%D) of the initial calibration verification (ICV) standard were less than or equal to 20.0% for all analytes.

# III. Continuing Calibration

Continuing calibration was performed at the required frequencies.

The percent differences (%D) were less than or equal to 20.0% for all analytes.

The percent differences (%D) of the ending continuing calibration verifications (CCVs) were less than or equal to 20.0% for all analytes.

#### IV. Laboratory Blanks

Laboratory blanks were analyzed as required by the method. No contaminants were found in the laboratory blanks.

#### V. Field Blanks

No field blanks were identified in this SDG.

#### VI. Surrogates

Surrogates were added to all samples as required by the method. All surrogate recoveries (%R) were within QC limits with the following exceptions:

Sample	Surrogate	%R (Limits)	Affected Analyte	Flag	A or P
ERH1875(SGCU)	Octacosane	148 (60-142)	TPH as extractables	J+ (all detects)	Р

# VII. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

The laboratory has indicated that there were no matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyses specified for the samples in this SDG, and therefore matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate analyses were not performed for this SDG.

#### VIII. Laboratory Control Samples

Laboratory control samples (LCS) and laboratory control samples duplicates (LCSD) were analyzed as required by the method. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits with the following exceptions:

LCS ID (Associated Samples)	Analyte	LCS %R (Limits)	LCSD %R (Limits)	Flag	A or P
211108A1-LCS/LCSD (ERH1875(SGCU))	Oil (C24-C40)	-	118 (41-113)	J+ (all detects)	Ρ
211108A1-LCS/LCSD (ERH1872(SGCU) ERH1878(SGCU) ERH1881(SGCU))	Oil (C24-C40)	-	118 (41-113)	NA	-

Relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits with the following exceptions:

LCS ID (Associated Samples)	Analyte	RPD (Limits)	Flag	A or P
211108A-LCS/LCSD (ERH1872 ERH1875 ERH1878)	Diesel (C10-C24) Oil (C24-C40)	31.4 (≤30) 33.7 (≤30)	J (all detects) J (all detects)	Ρ
211108A-LCS/LCSD (ERH1881)	Diesel (C10-C24) Oil (C24-C40)	31.4 (≤30) 33.7 (≤30)	NA	-
211108A1-LCS/LCSD (ERH1875(SGCU))	Diesel (C10-C24) Oil (C24-C40)	59.3 (≤30) 46.7 (≤30)	J (all detects) J (all detects)	Р
211108A1-LCS/LCSD (ERH1872(SGCU) ERH1878(SGCU) ERH1881(SGCU))	Diesel (C10-C24) Oil (C24-C40)	59.3 (≤30) 46.7 (≤30)	NA	-

#### IX. Field Duplicates

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

# X. Target Analyte Quantitation

Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.
#### XI. Target Analyte Identification

Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

#### XII. Overall Assessment of Data

The analysis was conducted within all specifications of the method. No results were rejected or recommended for exclusion in this SDG.

Due to surrogate %R and LCS/LCSD %R and RPD, data were qualified as estimated in four samples.

#### Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126 Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Extractables - Data Qualification Summary -SDG 98096

Sample	Analyte	Flag	A or P	Reason (Code)
ERH1875(SGCU)	TPH as extractables	J+ (all detects)	Р	Surrogates (%R) (s)
ERH1875(SGCU)	Oil (C24-C40)	J+ (all detects)	Ρ	Laboratory control samples (%R) (I)
ERH1872 ERH1875 ERH1878	Diesel (C10-C24) Oil (C24-C40)	J (all detects) J (all detects)	Ρ	Laboratory control samples (RPD) (w)
ERH1875(SGCU)	Diesel (C10-C24) Oil (C24-C40)	J (all detects) J (all detects)	Ρ	Laboratory control samples (RPD) (w)

Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126 Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Extractables - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 98096

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126

Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Extractables - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 98096

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

LDC #: <u>52818G8</u>	VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET	
SDG #: <u>98096</u>	Stage 2B	
Laboratory: APPL, Inc., Clovis,	CA	R



# METHOD: GC TPH as Extractables (EPA SW 846 Method 8015B)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
1.	Sample receipt/Technical holding times	A A	
11.	Initial calibration/ICV	A/A	1/0 RSD = 20, (2  U = 20
111.	Continuing calibration	Δ	cw = 20/22
IV.	Laboratory Blanks	A	
V.	Field blanks	N	
VI.	Surrogate spikes	SW	
VII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	2	S
VIII.	Laboratory control samples	SW	KS IP
IX.	Field duplicates	N	
Х.	. Target analyte quantitation		
XI.	. Target analyte identification		
	Overall assessment of data		

Note:

A = Acceptable N = Not provided/applicable SW = See worksheet

ND = No compounds detected R = Rinsate FB = Field blank

D = Duplicate TB = Trip blank EB = Equipment blank SB=Source blank OTHER:

	Client ID			 Lab ID	Matrix	Date
1-+	ERH1872			 BA45108	Water	11/03/21
2 +1	ERH1875			 BA45110	Water	11/03/21
3-1	ERH1878			 BA45112	Water	11/03/21
4-1	ERH1881	·		 BA45114	Water	11/03/21
5 2	ERH1872(SGCU)			 BA45108(SGCU)	Water	11/03/21
6 <b>+</b> 2	ERH1875(SGCU)		<u></u>	 BA45110(SGCU)	Water	11/03/21
7 <b>-</b> 7	ERH1878(SGCU)	·		 BA45112(SGCU)	Water	11/03/21
8 1	ERH1881(SGCU)			 BA45114(SGCU)	Water	11/03/21
9				 		
10		<u> </u>	e			
11						
12						
13	<u> </u>					
Notes						
1	21108A - BIK-					
2	211108A1- BIK					

## VALIDATION FINDINDS WORKSHEET Surrogate Recovery

(s)

METHOD: \_\_ GC \_\_ HPLC

Are surrogates required by the method? Yes\_\_\_\_ or No\_\_\_\_.

Prease see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

<u>VN N/A</u> Were surrogates spiked into all samples and blanks?

Y(N/N/A Did all surrogate recoveries (%R) meet the QC limits?

#	Sample ID		Detec Colui	tor/ mn	Surrogate Compound		%R (Limit	s)			Q	ualifications
	6				G		14% (	60-	142 )	1+ due	19	Det
							(		)		/-	
					r		(	<u> </u>	)			
						T						
<u> </u>												
									<u>_</u>			
							<u> </u>					
<b> </b>							·····		/			
┣────												
						<u> </u>	<u> </u>		/1		<u></u>	
<b> </b>					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					<u></u>	<u> </u>	
<b> </b>							(					
									)			
							(		)			
									) [			
									)		·····	
┣───									)			
									)			
							(	<u>-</u>	)			
							<u>(</u>		)			
	Surrogate Compo	ound		Surrog	ate Compound		Surrogate Compound		Surrogate Co	mpound		
А	Chlorobenzene (CB	BZ)	G	0	ctacosane	м	Benzo(e)Pyrene	S	1-Chloro-3-Nitro	obenzene	Y	Tetrachloro-m- xylene
В	4-Bromofluorobenzene	(BFB)	н	Orth	no-Terphenyl	N	Terphenyl-D14	Т	3,4-Dinitroto	oluene	z	2-Bromonaphthalene
C,	a,a,a-Trifluorotoluer	ne	1	Fluoro	benzene (FBZ)	0	Decachlorobiphenyl (DCB)	U_U_	Tripentyl	tin	AA	Chloro-octadecane
D	Bromochlorobenen	ne	J	n-	Triacontane	<u>Р</u>	1-methylnaphthalene	V	Tri-n-prop	yltin	BB	2,4-Dichlorophenylacetic acid
E	1,4-Dichlorobutane	e	к	H	exacosane	Q	Dichlorophenyl Acetic Acid (DCAA)	W	Tributyl Phos	sphate	CC	2,5-Dibromotoluene
F	1.4-Difluorobenzene (I	DFB)	L	Bro	mobenzene	R	4-Nitrophenol	X	Triphenvl Pho	osphate		

LDC #: 528148

#### VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)



METHOD: \_\_GC \_\_HPLC

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".  $\frac{Y N N/A}{Y N N/A}$  Were a laboratory control samples (LCS) and laboratory control sample duplicate (LCSD) analyzed for each matrix in this SDG? Were the LCS percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) within the QC limits?

#### Level IV/D Only

Y N N/A/ Was an LCS analyzed every 20 samples for each matrix or whenever a sample extraction was performed?

% R = (1) % RPD= (~)

#	LCS/LCSD ID	Compound	LCS %R (Limits)	LCSD %R (Limits)	RPD (Limits)	Associated Samples	Qualifications
	211108A -	Diesel (Go-Cz	4) ( )	()	31.4 (30)	1-P4, 21108A-BIK	Idu /P+1-73 du
	10010	0il (c24-C40	) ( )	( )	33.7 ( ZU )		
			( )	( )	( )		
			( )	( )	( )		
	2110BA1-	1	()	( )	59.3 (30)	5-88, 21110BA1-BIK	- Idut /P # 6 det
	LasID		( )	( )	46.7 (30)	1	1 #6 det
		0il (c24- C40)	( )	118 (41-113)	( )	$\checkmark$	Itat / Ha det
				(	()		
			( )	( )	( )		
			( )	()	()		
			( )	( )	( )		
_			()	( )	( )		
			( )	()	()		
			( )	( )	( )		
			( )	( )	( )		
			()_	()	( )		
			( )	( )	( )		
	<u></u>		( )	()	( )		
			( )	()	( )		
			( )	()	( )		
			( )	( )	( )		
			( )	()	( )		
			(	()	()		

# Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc. Data Validation Report

Project/Site Name: Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126

LDC Report Date: January 10, 2022

Parameters: Volatiles

Validation Level: Stage 2B

Laboratory: APPL, Inc., Clovis, CA

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 98097

Sample Identification	Laboratory Sample Identification	Matrix	Collection Date
ERH1883	BA45104	Water	11/03/21
ERH1884	BA45105	Water	11/03/21

#### Introduction

This Data Validation Report (DVR) presents data validation findings and results for the associated samples listed on the cover page. Data validation was performed in accordance with the Work Plan/Scope of Work, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 02, January 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 01, April 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 01, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, September 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 03, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, June 2018), the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) Quality Systems Manual (QSM) for Environmental Laboratories, Version 5.3 (2019), the DoD General Validation Guidelines (November 2019), and the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) Data Validation Guidelines Module 1: Data Validation Procedure for Organic Analysis by GC/MS (May 2020). Where specific guidance was not available, the data has been evaluated in a conservative manner consistent with industry standards using professional experience.

The analyses were performed by the following method:

Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs) which are Benzene, Toluene, Ethylbenzene, Xylenes (BTEX) and Naphthalene by Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) SW 846 Method 8260B

All sample results were subjected to Stage 2B data validation, which comprises an evaluation of quality control (QC) summary results.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers utilized during data validation:

- J+ (Estimated, High Bias): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated, displaying high bias, due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- J- (Estimated, Low Bias): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated, displaying low bias, due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- J (Estimated, Bias Indeterminate): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated due to non-conformances discovered during data validation. Bias is indeterminate.
- U (Non-detected): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the analyte should be considered non-detected due to the presence of contaminants detected in the associated blank(s).
- UJ (Non-detected estimated): The analyte was not detected and the associated numerical value is approximate.
- X (Exclusion of data recommended): The sample results (including non-detects) were affected by serious deficiencies in the ability to analyze the sample and to meet published method and project quality control criteria. The presence or absence of the analyte cannot be substantiated by the data provided. Exclusion of the data is recommended.
- NA (Not Applicable): The non-conformance discovered during data validation demonstrates a high bias, while the affected analyte in the associated sample(s) was reported as not detected by the laboratory and did not warrant the qualification of the data.

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

#### **Qualification Code Reference**

- a ICP Serial Dilution %D was not within control limits.
- b Presumed contamination from preparation (method blank).
- c Calibration %RSD, r,  $r^2$ , %D or %R was noncompliant.
- d The analysis with this flag should not be used because another more technically sound analysis is available.
- e MS/MSD or Duplicate RPD was high.
- f Presumed contamination from FB or ER.
- g ICP ICS results were unsatisfactory.
- h Holding times were exceeded.
- i Internal standard performance was unsatisfactory.
- k Estimated Maximum Possible Concentration (HRGC/HRMS only)
- LCS/LCSD %R was not within control limits.
- m Result exceeded the calibration range.
- o Cooler temperature or temperature blank was noncompliant and/or sample custody problems.
- p RPD between two columns was high (GC only).
- q MS/MSD recovery was not within control limits.
- s Surrogate recovery was not within control limits.
- t Presumed contamination from trip blank.
- v Unusual problems found with the data not defined elsewhere. Description of the problem can be found in the validation report.
- w LCS/LCSD RPD was high.
- y Chemical recovery was not within control limits (Radiochemistry only).

# I. Sample Receipt and Technical Holding Times

All samples were received in good condition and cooler temperatures upon receipt met validation criteria.

All technical holding time requirements were met.

## **II. GC/MS Instrument Performance Check**

A bromofluorobenzene (BFB) tune was performed at 12 hour intervals.

All ion abundance requirements were met.

# III. Initial Calibration and Initial Calibration Verification

An initial calibration was performed as required by the method.

The percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) were less than or equal to 15.0% for all analytes.

Average relative response factors (RRF) for all analytes were within validation criteria.

The percent differences (%D) of the initial calibration verification (ICV) standard were less than or equal to 20.0% for all analytes.

# IV. Continuing Calibration

Continuing calibration was performed at the required frequencies.

The percent differences (%D) were less than or equal to 20.0% for all analytes.

The percent differences (%D) of the ending continuing calibration verifications (CCVs) were less than or equal to 50.0% for all analytes.

All of the continuing calibration relative response factors (RRF) were within validation criteria.

#### V. Laboratory Blanks

Laboratory blanks were analyzed as required by the method. No contaminants were found in the laboratory blanks.

# VI. Field Blanks

Sample ERH1883 was identified as a trip blank. No contaminants were found.

#### VII. Surrogates

Surrogates were added to all samples as required by the method. All surrogate recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

#### VIII. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

The laboratory has indicated that there were no matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyses specified for the samples in this SDG, and therefore matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate analyses were not performed for this SDG.

## IX. Laboratory Control Samples

Laboratory control samples (LCS) and laboratory control samples duplicates (LCSD) were analyzed as required by the method. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits. Relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

#### X. Field Duplicates

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

#### XI. Internal Standards

All internal standard areas and retention times were within QC limits.

#### XII. Target Analyte Quantitation

Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

#### XIII. Target Analyte Identification

Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

#### XIV. System Performance

Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

#### XV. Overall Assessment of Data

The analysis was conducted within all specifications of the method. No results were rejected or recommended for exclusion in this SDG.

#### Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126 Volatiles - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 98097

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126 Volatiles - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 98097

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126 Volatiles - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 98097

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

#### VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET

Stage 2B

SDG #: <u>98097</u> Laboratory: <u>APPL, Inc., Clovis, CA</u>

LDC #: 52818H1a

Date: <u>//4/</u> Page: <u>/</u>of <u>/</u> Reviewer: <u></u> 2nd Reviewer: <u></u>

METHOD: GC/MS Volatiles (BTEX)(EPA SW 846 Method 8260B)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
<u> </u>	Sample receipt/Technical holding times	AIA	
11.	GC/MS Instrument performance check	4	
	Initial calibration/ICV	ALA	% PSD ± 15 KY ± 20
IV.	Continuing calibration ending	A	COV = 20  S
V.	Laboratory Blanks	5	
VI.	Field blanks	NO	TB = 1
VII.	Surrogate spikes	5	
VIII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	N	05
IX.	Laboratory control samples	A	us (n
X.	Field duplicates	N	
XI.	Internal standards	A	
XII.	Target analyte quantitation	N	
XIII.	Target analyte identification	N	
XIV.	System performance	N	
XV.	Overall assessment of data	►	

Note:

A = Acceptable N = Not provided/applicable SW = See worksheet ND = No compounds detected R = Rinsate FB = Field blank D = Duplicate TB = Trip blank EB = Equipment blank SB=Source blank OTHER:

	Client ID			Lab ID	Matrix	Date
1	ERH1883 TB			BA45104	Water	11/03/21
2	ERH1884	 	 	BA45105	Water	11/03/21
3		 	 			
4		 	 			
5						
6		 	 			
7						
8						
9			 _			
Notes	:	 	 			
	211109AM					
				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		

# Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc. Data Validation Report

LDC Report Date: January 10, 2022

Parameters: Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons

Validation Level: Stage 2B

Laboratory: APPL, Inc., Clovis, CA

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 98097

	Laboratory Sample		Collection
Sample Identification	Identification	Matrix	Date
ERH1884	BA45105	Water	11/03/21

#### Introduction

This Data Validation Report (DVR) presents data validation findings and results for the associated samples listed on the cover page. Data validation was performed in accordance with the Work Plan/Scope of Work, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 02, January 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 01, April 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 01, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, September 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 03, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, June 2018), the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) Quality Systems Manual (QSM) for Environmental Laboratories, Version 5.3 (2019), the DoD General Validation Guidelines (November 2019), and the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) Data Validation Guidelines Module 1: Data Validation Procedure for Organic Analysis by GC/MS (May 2020). Where specific guidance was not available, the data has been evaluated in a conservative manner consistent with industry standards using professional experience.

The analyses were performed by the following method:

Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAHs) which are 1-Methylnaphthalene, 2-Methylnaphthalene, and Naphthalene by Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) SW 846 Method 8270D in Selected Ion Monitoring (SIM) mode

All sample results were subjected to Stage 2B data validation, which comprises an evaluation of quality control (QC) summary results.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers utilized during data validation:

- J+ (Estimated, High Bias): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated, displaying high bias, due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- J- (Estimated, Low Bias): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated, displaying low bias, due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- J (Estimated, Bias Indeterminate): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated due to non-conformances discovered during data validation. Bias is indeterminate.
- U (Non-detected): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the analyte should be considered non-detected due to the presence of contaminants detected in the associated blank(s).
- UJ (Non-detected estimated): The analyte was not detected and the associated numerical value is approximate.
- X (Exclusion of data recommended): The sample results (including non-detects) were affected by serious deficiencies in the ability to analyze the sample and to meet published method and project quality control criteria. The presence or absence of the analyte cannot be substantiated by the data provided. Exclusion of the data is recommended.
- NA (Not Applicable): The non-conformance discovered during data validation demonstrates a high bias, while the affected analyte in the associated sample(s) was reported as not detected by the laboratory and did not warrant the qualification of the data.

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

#### **Qualification Code Reference**

- a ICP Serial Dilution %D was not within control limits.
- b Presumed contamination from preparation (method blank).
- c Calibration %RSD, r,  $r^2$ , %D or %R was noncompliant.
- d The analysis with this flag should not be used because another more technically sound analysis is available.
- e MS/MSD or Duplicate RPD was high.
- f Presumed contamination from FB or ER.
- g ICP ICS results were unsatisfactory.
- h Holding times were exceeded.
- i Internal standard performance was unsatisfactory.
- k Estimated Maximum Possible Concentration (HRGC/HRMS only)
- LCS/LCSD %R was not within control limits.
- m Result exceeded the calibration range.
- o Cooler temperature or temperature blank was noncompliant and/or sample custody problems.
- p RPD between two columns was high (GC only).
- q MS/MSD recovery was not within control limits.
- s Surrogate recovery was not within control limits.
- t Presumed contamination from trip blank.
- v Unusual problems found with the data not defined elsewhere. Description of the problem can be found in the validation report.
- w LCS/LCSD RPD was high.
- y Chemical recovery was not within control limits (Radiochemistry only).

# I. Sample Receipt and Technical Holding Times

All samples were received in good condition and cooler temperatures upon receipt met validation criteria.

All technical holding time requirements were met.

## **II. GC/MS Instrument Performance Check**

A decafluorotriphenylphosphine (DFTPP) tune was performed at 12 hour intervals.

All ion abundance requirements were met.

# III. Initial Calibration and Initial Calibration Verification

An initial calibration was performed as required by the method.

The percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) were less than or equal to 15.0% for all analytes.

Average relative response factors (RRF) for all analytes were within validation criteria.

The percent differences (%D) of the initial calibration verification (ICV) standard were less than or equal to 20.0% for all analytes.

# IV. Continuing Calibration

Continuing calibration was performed at the required frequencies.

The percent differences (%D) were less than or equal to 20.0% for all analytes.

The percent differences (%D) of the ending continuing calibration verifications (CCVs) were less than or equal to 50.0% for all analytes.

All of the continuing calibration relative response factors (RRF) were within validation criteria.

# V. Laboratory Blanks

Laboratory blanks were analyzed as required by the method. No contaminants were found in the laboratory blanks.

# VI. Field Blanks

No field blanks were identified in this SDG.

#### VII. Surrogates

Surrogates were added to all samples as required by the method. All surrogate recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

#### VIII. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

The laboratory has indicated that there were no matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyses specified for the samples in this SDG, and therefore matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate analyses were not performed for this SDG.

## **IX. Laboratory Control Samples**

Laboratory control samples (LCS) and laboratory control samples duplicates (LCSD) were analyzed as required by the method. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits. Relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

## X. Field Duplicates

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

## XI. Internal Standards

All internal standard areas and retention times were within QC limits.

#### XII. Target Analyte Quantitation

Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

#### XIII. Target Analyte Identification

Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

#### XIV. System Performance

Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

#### XV. Overall Assessment of Data

The analysis was conducted within all specifications of the method. No results were rejected or recommended for exclusion in this SDG.

Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126 Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 98097

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126 Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 98097

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126 Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary -SDG 98097

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

LDC #:	52818H2b	_ VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET
SDG #:	98097	Stage 2B
Laborato	ory: APPL, Inc., Clov	<u>vis, CA</u>

Date:	1/4/23
Page:	<u>/</u> of <u>/</u>
Reviewer:	<u>F1</u>
2nd Reviewer:	N

METHOD: GC/MS Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons (EPA SW846 Method 8270D-SIM)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
l.	Sample receipt/Technical holding times	A 14	
11.	GC/MS Instrument performance check	Δ	
111.	Initial calibration/ICV	AA	$0/0$ PSO = IT $10Y \pm 20$
IV.	Continuing calibration ending	A	CW = 20/57
V.	Laboratory Blanks	<b>N</b>	
VI.	Field blanks	2	
VII.	Surrogate spikes	A	
VIII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	2	C/s
IX.	Laboratory control samples	Δ	Les ID
Х.	Field duplicates	N	
XI.	Internal standards	Δ	
XII.	Target analyte quantitation	N	
XIII.	Target analyte identification	N	
XIV.	System performance	N	
XV.	Overall assessment of data		

Note:

A = Acceptable N = Not provided/applicable SW = See worksheet ND = No compounds detected R = Rinsate FB = Field blank

D = Duplicate
TB = Trip blank
EB = Equipment blank

SB=Source blank OTHER:

	Client ID		 	Lab ID	Matrix	Date	
1	ERH1884	 	 	 BA45105	Water	11/03/21	
2		 	 				
3		 					
4	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	 			-		
5		 					
6		 	 				
7							
8		 	 				
9		 	 				
Notes	<u> </u>			 	 		
	211109AK						-
				and the second			

# Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc. Data Validation Report

|--|

LDC Report Date: December 29, 2021

Parameters: Total Organic Carbon

Validation Level: Stage 2B

Laboratory: APPL, Inc., Clovis, CA

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 98097

	Laboratory Sample		Collection
Sample Identification	Identification	Matrix	Date
ERH1884	BA45105	Water	11/03/21

#### Introduction

This Data Validation Report (DVR) presents data validation findings and results for the associated samples listed on the cover page. Data validation was performed in accordance with the Work Plan/Scope of Work, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 02, January 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 01, April 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 01, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, September 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 03, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, June 2018), the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) Quality Systems Manual (QSM) for Environmental Laboratories, Version 5.3 (2019), and the DoD General Validation Guidelines (November 2019). Where specific guidance was not available, the data has been evaluated in a conservative manner consistent with industry standards using professional experience.

The analyses were performed by the following method:

Total Organic Carbon by Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) SW 846 Method 9060A

All sample results were subjected to Stage 2B data validation, which comprises an evaluation of quality control (QC) summary results.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers utilized during data validation:

- J+ (Estimated, High Bias): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated, displaying high bias, due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- J- (Estimated, Low Bias): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated, displaying low bias, due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- J (Estimated, Bias Indeterminate): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated due to non-conformances discovered during data validation. Bias is indeterminate.
- U (Non-detected): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the analyte should be considered non-detected due to the presence of contaminants detected in the associated blank(s).
- UJ (Non-detected estimated): The analyte was not detected and the associated numerical value is approximate.
- X (Exclusion of data recommended): The sample results (including non-detects) were affected by serious deficiencies in the ability to analyze the sample and to meet published method and project quality control criteria. The presence or absence of the analyte cannot be substantiated by the data provided. Exclusion of the data is recommended.
- NA (Not Applicable): The non-conformance discovered during data validation demonstrates a high bias, while the affected analyte in the associated sample(s) was reported as not detected by the laboratory and did not warrant the qualification of the data.

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

#### **Qualification Code Reference**

- a ICP Serial Dilution %D was not within control limits.
- b Presumed contamination from preparation (method blank).
- c Calibration %RSD, r, r<sup>2</sup>, %D or %R was noncompliant.
- d The analysis with this flag should not be used because another more technically sound analysis is available.
- e MS/MSD or Duplicate RPD was high.
- f Presumed contamination from FB or ER.
- g ICP ICS results were unsatisfactory.
- h Holding times were exceeded.
- i Internal standard performance was unsatisfactory.
- k Estimated Maximum Possible Concentration (HRGC/HRMS only)
- I LCS/LCSD %R was not within control limits.
- m Result exceeded the calibration range.
- o Cooler temperature or temperature blank was noncompliant and/or sample custody problems.
- p RPD between two columns was high (GC only).
- q MS/MSD recovery was not within control limits.
- s Surrogate recovery was not within control limits.
- t Presumed contamination from trip blank.
- v Unusual problems found with the data not defined elsewhere. Description of the problem can be found in the validation report.
- w LCS/LCSD RPD was high.
- y Chemical recovery was not within control limits (Radiochemistry only).

# I. Sample Receipt and Technical Holding Times

All samples were received in good condition.

All technical holding time requirements were met.

#### **II. Initial Calibration**

All criteria for the initial calibration were met.

## III. Continuing Calibration

Continuing calibration frequency and analysis criteria were met with the following exceptions:

Date	Lab. Reference/ID	Analyte	%R (Limits)	Associated Samples	Flag	A or P
11/05/21	CCV (17:26)	Total organic carbon	87.7 (90-110)	All samples in SDG 98097	UJ (all non-detects)	Р
11/06/21	CCV (03:12)	Total organic carbon	82.2 (90-110)	All samples in SDG 98097	UJ (all non-detects)	Р

#### IV. Laboratory Blanks

Laboratory blanks were analyzed as required by the method. No contaminants were found in the laboratory blanks.

#### V. Field Blanks

No field blanks were identified in this SDG.

#### VI. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

The laboratory has indicated that there were no matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyses specified for the samples in this SDG, and therefore matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate analyses were not performed for this SDG.

#### **VII. Duplicate Sample Analysis**

The laboratory has indicated that there were no duplicate (DUP) analyses specified for the samples in this SDG, and therefore duplicate analyses were not performed for this SDG.

#### **VIII. Laboratory Control Samples**

Laboratory control samples (LCS) and laboratory control samples duplicates (LCSD) were analyzed as required by the method. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits. Relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

#### **IX. Field Duplicates**

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

#### X. Target Analyte Quantitation

Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

#### XI. Overall Assessment of Data

The analysis was conducted within all specifications of the method. No results were rejected or recommended for exclusion in this SDG.

Due to continuing calibration %R, data were qualified as estimated in one sample.

# Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126 Total Organic Carbon - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 98097

Sample	Analyte	Flag	A or P	Reason (Code)
ERH1884	Total organic carbon	UJ (all non-detects)	Ρ	Continuing calibration (%R) (c)

Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126 Total Organic Carbon - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 98097

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126 Total Organic Carbon - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 98097

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

LDC #:_	<u>52818H6</u>	_ VA
SDG #:_	98097	_
Laborato	ory: <u>APPL, Inc., Clov</u>	is, CA

## ALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET Stage 2B



#### METHOD: (Analyte) TOC (EPA SW846 Method 9060A)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
	Sample receipt/Technical holding times	AIA	
	Initial calibration	A	
111.	Calibration verification	SW	
IV	Laboratory Blanks	A	
v	Field blanks	N	
VI.	Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates	N	C.S
VII.	Duplicate sample analysis	Ň	
VIII.	Laboratory control samples	A	LCS/LCSD
IX.	Field duplicates	N	
Х.	Target Analyte Quantitation	N	
XI.	Overall assessment of data	A	

Note:

A = Acceptable N = Not provided/applicable SW = See worksheet ND = No compounds detected R = Rinsate FB = Field blank D = Duplicate TB = Trip blank EB = Equipment blank SB=Source blank OTHER:

	Client ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Date
1	ERH1884	BA45105	Water	11/03/21
2				
3				
4				
5				
6				
7				
8				
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
15		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Note	s:	······································		•••

## VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET Calibration

#### METHOD: Inorganics, EPA Method See cover

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

CY\_N N/A Were all instruments calibrated daily, each set-up time, and were the proper number of standards used? YWN/A

Were all initial and continuing calibration verification percent recoveries (%R) within the control limits of 90-110%?

ŶN N/A Are all correlation coefficients  $\geq 0.995$  ?

#### LEVEL IV/D ONLY: YN (N/A)

YN (N/A)

Y N (N/A)

Were recalculated results acceptable? See Level IV Initial and Continuing Calibration Recaluculation Worksheet for recalulations.

Was a balance check conducted prior to the TDS analysis.?

Was the titrant normality checked?

#	Date	Calibration ID	Analyte	%R	Associated Samples	Qualifications Code: c
	11/05/21	CCV (17:26)	тос	87.7 (90-110)	all	J-/UJ/P (non-detect)
	11/06/21	CCV (03:12)	ТОС	82.2 (90-110)	all	J-/UJ/P (non-detect)
[						
	÷					

#### Comments:

# Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc. Data Validation Report

Project/Site Name: Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F
--

LDC Report Date: January 10, 2022

- Parameters: Gasoline Range Organics
- Validation Level: Stage 2B

Laboratory: APPL, Inc., Clovis, CA

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 98097

Sample Identification	Laboratory Sample Identification	Matrix	Collection Date
ERH1883	BA45104	Water	11/03/21
ERH1884	BA45105	Water	11/03/21

#### Introduction

This Data Validation Report (DVR) presents data validation findings and results for the associated samples listed on the cover page. Data validation was performed in accordance with the Work Plan/Scope of Work, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 02, January 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 01, April 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 01, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, September 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 03, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, June 2018), the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) Quality Systems Manual (QSM) for Environmental Laboratories. Version 5.3 (2019), the DoD General Validation Guidelines (November 2019), and the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) Data Validation Guidelines Module 4: Data Validation Procedure for Organic Analysis by GC (March 2021). Where specific guidance was not available, the data has been evaluated in a conservative manner consistent with industry standards using professional experience.

The analyses were performed by the following method:

Gasoline Range Organics by Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) SW 846 Method 8260B

All sample results were subjected to Stage 2B data validation, which comprises an evaluation of quality control (QC) summary results.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers utilized during data validation:

- J+ (Estimated, High Bias): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated, displaying high bias, due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- J- (Estimated, Low Bias): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated, displaying low bias, due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- J (Estimated, Bias Indeterminate): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated due to non-conformances discovered during data validation. Bias is indeterminate.
- U (Non-detected): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the analyte should be considered non-detected due to the presence of contaminants detected in the associated blank(s).
- UJ (Non-detected estimated): The analyte was not detected and the associated numerical value is approximate.
- X (Exclusion of data recommended): The sample results (including non-detects) were affected by serious deficiencies in the ability to analyze the sample and to meet published method and project quality control criteria. The presence or absence of the analyte cannot be substantiated by the data provided. Exclusion of the data is recommended.
- NA (Not Applicable): The non-conformance discovered during data validation demonstrates a high bias, while the affected analyte in the associated sample(s) was reported as not detected by the laboratory and did not warrant the qualification of the data.

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

## Qualification Code Reference

- a ICP Serial Dilution %D was not within control limits.
- b Presumed contamination from preparation (method blank).
- c Calibration %RSD, r,  $r^2$ , %D or %R was noncompliant.
- d The analysis with this flag should not be used because another more technically sound analysis is available.
- e MS/MSD or Duplicate RPD was high.
- f Presumed contamination from FB or ER.
- g ICP ICS results were unsatisfactory.
- h Holding times were exceeded.
- i Internal standard performance was unsatisfactory.
- k Estimated Maximum Possible Concentration (HRGC/HRMS only)
- LCS/LCSD %R was not within control limits.
- m Result exceeded the calibration range.
- o Cooler temperature or temperature blank was noncompliant and/or sample custody problems.
- p RPD between two columns was high (GC only).
- q MS/MSD recovery was not within control limits.
- s Surrogate recovery was not within control limits.
- t Presumed contamination from trip blank.
- v Unusual problems found with the data not defined elsewhere. Description of the problem can be found in the validation report.
- w LCS/LCSD RPD was high.
- y Chemical recovery was not within control limits (Radiochemistry only).

# I. Sample Receipt and Technical Holding Times

All samples were received in good condition and cooler temperatures upon receipt met validation criteria.

All technical holding time requirements were met.

#### II. Initial Calibration and Initial Calibration Verification

An initial calibration was performed as required by the method.

A curve fit, based on the initial calibration, was established for quantitation. The coefficient of determination  $(r^2)$  was greater than or equal to 0.990.

The percent differences (%D) of the initial calibration verification (ICV) standard were less than or equal to 20.0%.

#### III. Continuing Calibration

Continuing calibration was performed at the required frequencies.

The percent differences (%D) were less than or equal to 20.0%.

The percent differences (%D) of the ending continuing calibration verifications (CCVs) were less than or equal to 20.0% for all analytes.

#### **IV. Laboratory Blanks**

Laboratory blanks were analyzed as required by the method. No contaminants were found in the laboratory blanks.

#### V. Field Blanks

Sample ERH1883 was identified as a trip blank. No contaminants were found.

#### VI. Surrogates

Surrogates were added to all samples as required by the method. All surrogate recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

#### VII. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

The laboratory has indicated that there were no matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyses specified for the samples in this SDG, and therefore matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate analyses were not performed for this SDG.

## **VIII. Laboratory Control Samples**

Laboratory control samples (LCS) and laboratory control samples duplicates (LCSD) were analyzed as required by the method. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits. Relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

#### **IX. Field Duplicates**

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

#### X. Target Analyte Quantitation

Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

#### XI. Target Analyte Identification

Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

#### XII. Overall Assessment of Data

The analysis was conducted within all specifications of the method. No results were rejected or recommended for exclusion in this SDG.
#### Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126 Gasoline Range Organics - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 98097

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126 Gasoline Range Organics - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 98097

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126 Gasoline Range Organics - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 98097

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

LDC #: <u>52818H7</u>	VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET	Date: 1/3/22
SDG #: 98097	Stage 2B	Page: <u> </u>
Laboratory: APPL, Inc., Clovis,	CA	Reviewer: <u>F_</u> 7
		2nd Reviewer://

# METHOD: GC/MS Gasoline Range Organics (EPA SW 846 Method 8260B)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
١.	Sample receipt/Technical holding times	A A	
١١.	GC/MS Instrument performance check	4	
	Initial calibration/ICV	AIA	12 101 4 20
IV.	Continuing calibration and	4	CW = 20 20
V.	Laboratory Blanks	A	
VI.	Field blanks	NO	TB=1
VII.	Surrogate spikes	k	
VIII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	2	CS .
IX.	Laboratory control samples	A	Les IP
Х.	Field duplicates	N	
XI.	Internal standards	6	
XII.	Target analyte quantitation	N	
XIII.	Target analyte identification	N	
XIV.	System performance	N	
XV.	Overall assessment of data	<u>\</u>	

Note:

A = Acceptable N = Not provided/applicable SW = See worksheet ND = No compounds detected R = Rinsate FB = Field blank D = Duplicate TB = Trip blank EB = Equipment blank SB=Source blank OTHER:

	Client ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Date
1 -	ERH1883 ፒስ	BA45104	Water	11/03/21
2~	ERH1884	BA45105	Water	11/03/21
3				
4				
5				
6				
7				
8				
9				
Notes:				
	211109AM BIK			

211109AM BIK			

# Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc. Data Validation Report

Project/Site Name:	Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126
--------------------	---

LDC Report Date: January 10, 2022

Parameters: Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Extractables

Validation Level: Stage 2B

Laboratory: APPL, Inc., Clovis, CA

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 98097

Sample Identification	Laboratory Sample Identification	Matrix	Collection Date
ERH1884	BA45105	Water	11/03/21
ERH1884(SGCU)	BA45105(SGCU)	Water	11/03/21

#### Introduction

This Data Validation Report (DVR) presents data validation findings and results for the associated samples listed on the cover page. Data validation was performed in accordance with the Work Plan/Scope of Work, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 02, January 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 01, April 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 01, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, September 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 03, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, June 2018), the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) Quality Systems Manual (QSM) for Environmental Laboratories, Version 5.3 (2019), the DoD General Validation Guidelines (November 2019), and the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) Data Validation Guidelines Module 4: Data Validation Procedure for Organic Analysis by GC (March 2021). Where specific guidance was not available, the data has been evaluated in a conservative manner consistent with industry standards using professional experience.

The analyses were performed by the following method:

Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (TPH) as Extractables by Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) SW 846 Method 8015B

All sample results were subjected to Stage 2B data validation, which comprises an evaluation of quality control (QC) summary results.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers utilized during data validation:

- J+ (Estimated, High Bias): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated, displaying high bias, due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- J- (Estimated, Low Bias): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated, displaying low bias, due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- J (Estimated, Bias Indeterminate): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated due to non-conformances discovered during data validation. Bias is indeterminate.
- U (Non-detected): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the analyte should be considered non-detected due to the presence of contaminants detected in the associated blank(s).
- UJ (Non-detected estimated): The analyte was not detected and the associated numerical value is approximate.
- X (Exclusion of data recommended): The sample results (including non-detects) were affected by serious deficiencies in the ability to analyze the sample and to meet published method and project quality control criteria. The presence or absence of the analyte cannot be substantiated by the data provided. Exclusion of the data is recommended.
- NA (Not Applicable): The non-conformance discovered during data validation demonstrates a high bias, while the affected analyte in the associated sample(s) was reported as not detected by the laboratory and did not warrant the qualification of the data.

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

# **Qualification Code Reference**

- a ICP Serial Dilution %D was not within control limits.
- b Presumed contamination from preparation (method blank).
- c Calibration %RSD, r, r<sup>2</sup>, %D or %R was noncompliant.
- d The analysis with this flag should not be used because another more technically sound analysis is available.
- e MS/MSD or Duplicate RPD was high.
- f Presumed contamination from FB or ER.
- g ICP ICS results were unsatisfactory.
- h Holding times were exceeded.
- i Internal standard performance was unsatisfactory.
- k Estimated Maximum Possible Concentration (HRGC/HRMS only)
- LCS/LCSD %R was not within control limits.
- m Result exceeded the calibration range.
- o Cooler temperature or temperature blank was noncompliant and/or sample custody problems.
- p RPD between two columns was high (GC only).
- q MS/MSD recovery was not within control limits.
- s Surrogate recovery was not within control limits.
- t Presumed contamination from trip blank.
- v Unusual problems found with the data not defined elsewhere. Description of the problem can be found in the validation report.
- w LCS/LCSD RPD was high.
- y Chemical recovery was not within control limits (Radiochemistry only).

# I. Sample Receipt and Technical Holding Times

All samples were received in good condition and cooler temperatures upon receipt met validation criteria.

All technical holding time requirements were met.

# II. Initial Calibration and Initial Calibration Verification

An initial calibration was performed as required by the method.

For analytes where average calibration factors were utilized, percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) were less than or equal to 20.0%.

In the case where the laboratory used a calibration curve to evaluate the analytes, all coefficients of determination ( $r^2$ ) were greater than or equal to 0.990.

The percent differences (%D) of the initial calibration verification (ICV) standard were less than or equal to 20.0% for all analytes.

# III. Continuing Calibration

Continuing calibration was performed at the required frequencies.

The percent differences (%D) were less than or equal to 20.0% for all analytes.

The percent differences (%D) of the ending continuing calibration verifications (CCVs) were less than or equal to 20.0% for all analytes.

### IV. Laboratory Blanks

Laboratory blanks were analyzed as required by the method. No contaminants were found in the laboratory blanks.

### V. Field Blanks

No field blanks were identified in this SDG.

### VI. Surrogates

Surrogates were added to all samples as required by the method. All surrogate recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

### VII. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

The laboratory has indicated that there were no matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyses specified for the samples in this SDG, and therefore matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate analyses were not performed for this SDG.

#### VIII. Laboratory Control Samples

Laboratory control samples (LCS) and laboratory control samples duplicates (LCSD) were analyzed as required by the method. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits with the following exceptions:

LCS ID (Associated Samples)	Analyte	LCS %R (Limits)	LCSD %R (Limits)	Flag	A or P
211108A1-LCS/LCSD (ERH1884(SGCU))	Oil (C24-C40)	-	118 (41-113)	NA	-

Relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits with the following exceptions:

LCS ID (Associated Samples)	Analyte	RPD (Limits)	Flag	A or P
211108A-LCS/LCSD (ERH1884)	Diesel (C10-C24) Oil (C24-C40)	31.4 (≤30) 33.7 (≤30)	NA	-
211108A1-LCS/LCSD (ERH1884(SGCU))	Diesel (C10-C24) Oil (C24-C40)	59.3 (≤30) 46.7 (≤30)	NA	-

#### **IX. Field Duplicates**

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

#### X. Target Analyte Quantitation

Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

#### XI. Target Analyte Identification

Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

#### XII. Overall Assessment of Data

The analysis was conducted within all specifications of the method. No results were rejected or recommended for exclusion in this SDG.

Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126 Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Extractables - Data Qualification Summary -SDG 98097

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126 Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Extractables - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 98097

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126 Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Extractables - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 98097

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

LDC #: <u>52818H8</u>	VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET	D
		-

SDG #: <u>98097</u> Laboratory: <u>APPL, Inc., Clovis, CA</u>

#### Stage 2B

D 410.	
Page: 1_of 1	
Reviewer:	
2nd Reviewer:/	

1

# METHOD: GC TPH as Extractables (EPA SW 846 Method 8015B)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Sample receipt/Technical holding times	A IA	
١١.	Initial calibration/ICV	A IA	% PSD = 20, 12 101 = 20
111.	Continuing calibration and in a	Ь	C (V = 20 20
IV.	Laboratory Blanks	K	
V.	Field blanks	N	
VI.	Surrogate spikes	Δ	
VII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	2	er
VIII.	Laboratory control samples	900	LCSIP
IX.	Field duplicates	N	
Х.	Target analyte quantitation	N	
XI.	Target analyte identification	N	
	Overall assessment of data		

Note:

A = Acceptable N = Not provided/applicable SW = See worksheet ND = No compounds detected R = Rinsate FB = Field blank

D = Duplicate	
TB = Trip blank	
EB = Equipment blar	۱k

SB=Source blank

OTHER:

UTIEN.

	Client ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Date
1	ERH1884	BA45105	Water	11/03/21
2 1	ERH1884(SGCU)	BA45105(SGCU)	Water	11/03/21
3				
4				
5				
6				
7				
8				
9				
10				
11				
12				
13_				
Notes	۶ <u>.                                    </u>			
-1	211108A-BIK			
-2	211108A1-BIK			

# VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)



METHOD: \_\_GC \_\_ HPLC

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".(V) N/AWere a laboratory control samples (LCS) and laboratory control sample duplicate (LCSD) analyzed for each matrix in this SDG?Y N/AWere the LCS percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) within the QC limits?

#### Level IV/D Only

Y N N/A Was an LCS analyzed every 20 samples for each matrix or whenever a sample extraction was performed?

% R = () % RPD= (₩)

#	LCS/LCSD ID	Compound	LCS %R (Limits)	LCSD %R (Limits)	RPD (Limits)	Associated Samples	Qualifications
	21110 BA -	Diesel (c10-c2-	) ( )	( )	31.4 (30)	1,21108A - BIK	John /P ND
	Los ID	011 (024-040		()	33.7 ( 30 )		J J
			()	()	( )		
			( )	( )	( )		
			()	( )	( )		
			( )	( )	( )		
			( )	( )	( )	·····	
			()	( )	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	21110821-	1	( )	( )	S9.3 ( 30 )	2, 211108A1-BIK	Iduil P and MD
	Les ID	V	( )	( )	41.7 ( 1 )		V I
		0il (c24-c41		118 (41-117)	( )		1t dut/P
			/ ()	()	()		
			( )	( )	()		
			( )	()	()		
			( )	( )	()		
			()	()	()		
			( )	( )	()		
			( )	( )	()		
			( )	( )	()		
			( )	( )	( )		
			()	( )	( )		
			( )	( )	( )		
			()		()		

# Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc. Data Validation Report

Project/Site Name: Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126

LDC Report Date: January 10, 2022

Parameters: Volatiles

Validation Level: Stage 2B

Laboratory: APPL, Inc., Clovis, CA

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 98098

Sample Identification	Laboratory Sample Identification	Matrix	Collection Date
ERH1886	BA45099	Water	11/03/21
ERH1887	BA45100	Water	11/03/21
ERH1889	BA45101	Water	11/03/21

#### Introduction

This Data Validation Report (DVR) presents data validation findings and results for the associated samples listed on the cover page. Data validation was performed in accordance with the Work Plan/Scope of Work, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 02, January 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 01, April 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 01, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, September 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 03, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, June 2018), the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) Quality Systems Manual (QSM) for Environmental Laboratories, Version 5.3 (2019), the DoD General Validation Guidelines (November 2019), and the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) Data Validation Guidelines Module 1: Data Validation Procedure for Organic Analysis by GC/MS (May 2020). Where specific guidance was not available, the data has been evaluated in a conservative manner consistent with industry standards using professional experience.

The analyses were performed by the following method:

Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs) which are Benzene, Toluene, Ethylbenzene, Xylenes (BTEX) and Naphthalene by Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) SW 846 Method 8260B

All sample results were subjected to Stage 2B data validation, which comprises an evaluation of quality control (QC) summary results.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers utilized during data validation:

- J+ (Estimated, High Bias): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated, displaying high bias, due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- J- (Estimated, Low Bias): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated, displaying low bias, due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- J (Estimated, Bias Indeterminate): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated due to non-conformances discovered during data validation. Bias is indeterminate.
- U (Non-detected): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the analyte should be considered non-detected due to the presence of contaminants detected in the associated blank(s).
- UJ (Non-detected estimated): The analyte was not detected and the associated numerical value is approximate.
- X (Exclusion of data recommended): The sample results (including non-detects) were affected by serious deficiencies in the ability to analyze the sample and to meet published method and project quality control criteria. The presence or absence of the analyte cannot be substantiated by the data provided. Exclusion of the data is recommended.
- NA (Not Applicable): The non-conformance discovered during data validation demonstrates a high bias, while the affected analyte in the associated sample(s) was reported as not detected by the laboratory and did not warrant the qualification of the data.

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

## **Qualification Code Reference**

- a ICP Serial Dilution %D was not within control limits.
- b Presumed contamination from preparation (method blank).
- c Calibration %RSD, r,  $r^2$ , %D or %R was noncompliant.
- d The analysis with this flag should not be used because another more technically sound analysis is available.
- e MS/MSD or Duplicate RPD was high.
- f Presumed contamination from FB or ER.
- g ICP ICS results were unsatisfactory.
- h Holding times were exceeded.
- i Internal standard performance was unsatisfactory.
- k Estimated Maximum Possible Concentration (HRGC/HRMS only)
- LCS/LCSD %R was not within control limits.
- m Result exceeded the calibration range.
- o Cooler temperature or temperature blank was noncompliant and/or sample custody problems.
- p RPD between two columns was high (GC only).
- q MS/MSD recovery was not within control limits.
- s Surrogate recovery was not within control limits.
- t Presumed contamination from trip blank.
- v Unusual problems found with the data not defined elsewhere. Description of the problem can be found in the validation report.
- w LCS/LCSD RPD was high.
- y Chemical recovery was not within control limits (Radiochemistry only).

# I. Sample Receipt and Technical Holding Times

All samples were received in good condition and cooler temperatures upon receipt met validation criteria.

All technical holding time requirements were met.

## II. GC/MS Instrument Performance Check

A bromofluorobenzene (BFB) tune was performed at 12 hour intervals.

All ion abundance requirements were met.

### III. Initial Calibration and Initial Calibration Verification

An initial calibration was performed as required by the method.

The percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) were less than or equal to 15.0% for all analytes.

Average relative response factors (RRF) for all analytes were within validation criteria.

The percent differences (%D) of the initial calibration verification (ICV) standard were less than or equal to 20.0% for all analytes.

### IV. Continuing Calibration

Continuing calibration was performed at the required frequencies.

The percent differences (%D) were less than or equal to 20.0% for all analytes.

The percent differences (%D) of the ending continuing calibration verifications (CCVs) were less than or equal to 50.0% for all analytes.

All of the continuing calibration relative response factors (RRF) were within validation criteria.

### V. Laboratory Blanks

Laboratory blanks were analyzed as required by the method. No contaminants were found in the laboratory blanks.

### VI. Field Blanks

Sample ERH1886 was identified as a trip blank. No contaminants were found.

## VII. Surrogates

Surrogates were added to all samples as required by the method. All surrogate recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

#### VIII. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

The laboratory has indicated that there were no matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyses specified for the samples in this SDG, and therefore matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate analyses were not performed for this SDG.

### IX. Laboratory Control Samples

Laboratory control samples (LCS) and laboratory control samples duplicates (LCSD) were analyzed as required by the method. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits. Relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

### X. Field Duplicates

Samples ERH1887 and ERH1889 were identified as field duplicates. No results were detected in any of the samples.

#### XI. Internal Standards

All internal standard areas and retention times were within QC limits.

### XII. Target Analyte Quantitation

Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

### XIII. Target Analyte Identification

Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

### XIV. System Performance

Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

### XV. Overall Assessment of Data

The analysis was conducted within all specifications of the method. No results were rejected or recommended for exclusion in this SDG.

# Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126 Volatiles - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 98098

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126 Volatiles - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 98098

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126 Volatiles - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 98098

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

#### VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET

Stage 2B

LDC #: <u>52818l1a</u> **V** SDG #: <u>98098</u> Laboratory: <u>APPL, Inc., Clovis, CA</u> Date: <u>1/4/</u>22 Page: <u>/ of 1</u> Reviewer: <u></u> 2nd Reviewer: <u></u>

METHOD: GC/MS Volatiles (BTEX)(EPA SW 846 Method 8260B)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
<u>ı.</u>	Sample receipt/Technical holding times	AIN	
П.	GC/MS Instrument performance check	4	
111.	Initial calibration/ICV	AID	0/0 PSD = 15 1CY = 20
IV.	Continuing calibration ending	Δ	CW = 20 50
V.	Laboratory Blanks	A	
VI.	Field blanks	ND	TB = 1
VII.	Surrogate spikes	Δ	
VIII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	N	<i>U</i> >
IX.	Laboratory control samples	Δ	Las IN
Х.	Field duplicates	ND	D = 2,3
XI.	Internal standards	4	
XII.	Target analyte quantitation	N	
XIII.	Target analyte identification	N	
XIV.	System performance	N	
XV.	Overall assessment of data	A	

Note:

A = Acceptable N = Not provided/applicable SW = See worksheet ND = No compounds detected R = Rinsate FB = Field blank D = Duplicate TB = Trip blank EB = Equipment blank SB=Source blank OTHER:

	Client ID				Lab ID	Matrix	Date
1-	ERH1886 TB			 	BA45099	Water	11/03/21
2	ERH1887 🗘			 	BA45100	Water	11/03/21
3	ERH1889 🗘		. <u>.</u>	 	BA45101	Water	11/03/21
4							
5				 			
6							
7							
8							
9							
Notes				 			
	211109AM B	14					
					<u> </u>		

# Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc. Data Validation Report

Project/Site Name: Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CI
---

LDC Report Date: January 10, 2022

Parameters: Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons

Validation Level: Stage 2B

Laboratory: APPL, Inc., Clovis, CA

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 98098

Sample Identification	Laboratory Sample Identification	Matrix	Collection Date
ERH1887	BA45100	Water	11/03/21
ERH1889	BA45101	Water	11/03/21

#### Introduction

This Data Validation Report (DVR) presents data validation findings and results for the associated samples listed on the cover page. Data validation was performed in accordance with the Work Plan/Scope of Work, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 02, January 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 01, April 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 01, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, September 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 03, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, June 2018), the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) Quality Systems Manual (QSM) for Environmental Laboratories, Version 5.3 (2019), the DoD General Validation Guidelines (November 2019), and the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) Data Validation Guidelines Module 1: Data Validation Procedure for Organic Analysis by GC/MS (May 2020). Where specific guidance was not available, the data has been evaluated in a conservative manner consistent with industry standards using professional experience.

The analyses were performed by the following method:

Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAHs) which are 1-Methylnaphthalene, 2-Methylnaphthalene, and Naphthalene by Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) SW 846 Method 8270D in Selected Ion Monitoring (SIM) mode

All sample results were subjected to Stage 2B data validation, which comprises an evaluation of quality control (QC) summary results.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers utilized during data validation:

- J+ (Estimated, High Bias): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated, displaying high bias, due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- J- (Estimated, Low Bias): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated, displaying low bias, due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- J (Estimated, Bias Indeterminate): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated due to non-conformances discovered during data validation. Bias is indeterminate.
- U (Non-detected): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the analyte should be considered non-detected due to the presence of contaminants detected in the associated blank(s).
- UJ (Non-detected estimated): The analyte was not detected and the associated numerical value is approximate.
- X (Exclusion of data recommended): The sample results (including non-detects) were affected by serious deficiencies in the ability to analyze the sample and to meet published method and project quality control criteria. The presence or absence of the analyte cannot be substantiated by the data provided. Exclusion of the data is recommended.
- NA (Not Applicable): The non-conformance discovered during data validation demonstrates a high bias, while the affected analyte in the associated sample(s) was reported as not detected by the laboratory and did not warrant the qualification of the data.

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

### **Qualification Code Reference**

- a ICP Serial Dilution %D was not within control limits.
- b Presumed contamination from preparation (method blank).
- c Calibration %RSD, r,  $r^2$ , %D or %R was noncompliant.
- d The analysis with this flag should not be used because another more technically sound analysis is available.
- e MS/MSD or Duplicate RPD was high.
- f Presumed contamination from FB or ER.
- g ICP ICS results were unsatisfactory.
- h Holding times were exceeded.
- i Internal standard performance was unsatisfactory.
- k Estimated Maximum Possible Concentration (HRGC/HRMS only)
- LCS/LCSD %R was not within control limits.
- m Result exceeded the calibration range.
- o Cooler temperature or temperature blank was noncompliant and/or sample custody problems.
- p RPD between two columns was high (GC only).
- q MS/MSD recovery was not within control limits.
- s Surrogate recovery was not within control limits.
- t Presumed contamination from trip blank.
- v Unusual problems found with the data not defined elsewhere. Description of the problem can be found in the validation report.
- w LCS/LCSD RPD was high.
- y Chemical recovery was not within control limits (Radiochemistry only).

# I. Sample Receipt and Technical Holding Times

All samples were received in good condition and cooler temperatures upon receipt met validation criteria.

All technical holding time requirements were met.

# II. GC/MS Instrument Performance Check

A decafluorotriphenylphosphine (DFTPP) tune was performed at 12 hour intervals.

All ion abundance requirements were met.

# III. Initial Calibration and Initial Calibration Verification

An initial calibration was performed as required by the method.

The percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) were less than or equal to 15.0% for all analytes.

Average relative response factors (RRF) for all analytes were within validation criteria.

The percent differences (%D) of the initial calibration verification (ICV) standard were less than or equal to 20.0% for all analytes.

# **IV.** Continuing Calibration

Continuing calibration was performed at the required frequencies.

The percent differences (%D) were less than or equal to 20.0% for all analytes.

The percent differences (%D) of the ending continuing calibration verifications (CCVs) were less than or equal to 50.0% for all analytes.

All of the continuing calibration relative response factors (RRF) were within validation criteria.

### V. Laboratory Blanks

Laboratory blanks were analyzed as required by the method. No contaminants were found in the laboratory blanks.

### VI. Field Blanks

No field blanks were identified in this SDG.

## VII. Surrogates

Surrogates were added to all samples as required by the method. All surrogate recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

#### VIII. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

The laboratory has indicated that there were no matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyses specified for the samples in this SDG, and therefore matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate analyses were not performed for this SDG.

#### IX. Laboratory Control Samples

Laboratory control samples (LCS) and laboratory control samples duplicates (LCSD) were analyzed as required by the method. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits. Relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

#### X. Field Duplicates

Samples ERH1887 and ERH1889 were identified as field duplicates. No results were detected in any of the samples.

#### XI. Internal Standards

All internal standard areas and retention times were within QC limits.

### XII. Target Analyte Quantitation

Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

#### XIII. Target Analyte Identification

Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

#### XIV. System Performance

Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

### XV. Overall Assessment of Data

The analysis was conducted within all specifications of the method. No results were rejected or recommended for exclusion in this SDG.

Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126 Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 98098

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126

Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 98098

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126 Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary -SDG 98098

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

LDC #:	52818l2b	VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WO	DRKSHEET
SDG #:	98098	Stage 2B	
Laborato	ory: APPL, Inc.,	Clovis, CA	

Date <sup>.</sup>	14/22
Page:_	 of
Reviewer:	F
2nd Reviewer:	

METHOD: GC/MS Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons (EPA SW846 Method 8270D-SIM)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
<u> </u>	Sample receipt/Technical holding times	AK	
	GC/MS Instrument performance check	Δ	,
111.	Initial calibration/ICV	<u> </u>	°/0 PSD = 15 1CV = 20
IV.	Continuing calibration ending		cu = 20/50
V.	Laboratory Blanks	4	
VI.	Field blanks	N	
VII.	Surrogate spikes		
VIII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	N	
IX.	Laboratory control samples	4	105 117
Х.	Field duplicates	ND	$D = 1, \gamma$
XI.	Internal standards	A	
XII.	Target analyte quantitation	N	
XIII.	Target analyte identification	N	
XIV.	System performance	N	
XV.	Overall assessment of data	A	

Note: A = Acceptable N = Not provided/applicable SW = See worksheet

ND = No compounds detected R = Rinsate FB = Field blank

D = Duplicate
TB = Trip blank
EB = Equipment blank

SB=Source blank OTHER:

	Client ID			Lab ID	Mat	rix	Date
1	ERH1887			BA45100	Wat	ter	11/03/21
2	ERH1889	 		BA45101	Wat	ter	11/03/21
3		 					
4		 					
5		 					
6							
7							
8							
9							
Notes		 	 				
	211109 AK- BIK						
						· · · ·	
			-				
						-	

#### LDC Report# 5281816

# Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc. Data Validation Report

Project/Site Name:	Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126

LDC Report Date: December 29, 2021

Parameters: Total Organic Carbon

Validation Level: Stage 2B

Laboratory: APPL, Inc., Clovis, CA

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 98098

	Laboratory Sample		Collection
Sample Identification	Identification	Matrix	Date
ERH1887	BA45100	Water	11/03/21

#### Introduction

This Data Validation Report (DVR) presents data validation findings and results for the associated samples listed on the cover page. Data validation was performed in accordance with the Work Plan/Scope of Work, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 02, January 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 01, April 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 01, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, September 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 03, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, June 2018), the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) Quality Systems Manual (QSM) for Environmental Laboratories, Version 5.3 (2019), and the DoD General Validation Guidelines (November 2019). Where specific guidance was not available, the data has been evaluated in a conservative manner consistent with industry standards using professional experience.

The analyses were performed by the following method:

Total Organic Carbon by Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) SW 846 Method 9060A

All sample results were subjected to Stage 2B data validation, which comprises an evaluation of quality control (QC) summary results.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers utilized during data validation:

- J+ (Estimated, High Bias): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated, displaying high bias, due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- J- (Estimated, Low Bias): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated, displaying low bias, due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- J (Estimated, Bias Indeterminate): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated due to non-conformances discovered during data validation. Bias is indeterminate.
- U (Non-detected): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the analyte should be considered non-detected due to the presence of contaminants detected in the associated blank(s).
- UJ (Non-detected estimated): The analyte was not detected and the associated numerical value is approximate.
- X (Exclusion of data recommended): The sample results (including non-detects) were affected by serious deficiencies in the ability to analyze the sample and to meet published method and project quality control criteria. The presence or absence of the analyte cannot be substantiated by the data provided. Exclusion of the data is recommended.
- NA (Not Applicable): The non-conformance discovered during data validation demonstrates a high bias, while the affected analyte in the associated sample(s) was reported as not detected by the laboratory and did not warrant the qualification of the data.

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

#### **Qualification Code Reference**

- a ICP Serial Dilution %D was not within control limits.
- b Presumed contamination from preparation (method blank).
- c Calibration %RSD, r, r<sup>2</sup>, %D or %R was noncompliant.
- d The analysis with this flag should not be used because another more technically sound analysis is available.
- e MS/MSD or Duplicate RPD was high.
- f Presumed contamination from FB or ER.
- g ICP ICS results were unsatisfactory.
- h Holding times were exceeded.
- i Internal standard performance was unsatisfactory.
- k Estimated Maximum Possible Concentration (HRGC/HRMS only)
- LCS/LCSD %R was not within control limits.
- m Result exceeded the calibration range.
- o Cooler temperature or temperature blank was noncompliant and/or sample custody problems.
- p RPD between two columns was high (GC only).
- q MS/MSD recovery was not within control limits.
- s Surrogate recovery was not within control limits.
- t Presumed contamination from trip blank.
- v Unusual problems found with the data not defined elsewhere. Description of the problem can be found in the validation report.
- w LCS/LCSD RPD was high.
- y Chemical recovery was not within control limits (Radiochemistry only).

# I. Sample Receipt and Technical Holding Times

All samples were received in good condition.

All technical holding time requirements were met.

# II. Initial Calibration

All criteria for the initial calibration were met.

# III. Continuing Calibration

Continuing calibration frequency and analysis criteria were met with the following exceptions:

Date	Lab. Reference/ID	Analyte	%R (Limits)	Associated Samples	Flag	A or P
11/05/21	CCV (17:26)	Total organic carbon	87.7 (90-110)	All samples in SDG 98098	UJ (all non-detects)	Р
11/06/21	CCV (03:12)	Total organic carbon	82.2 (90-110)	All samples in SDG 98098	UJ (all non-detects)	Р

## IV. Laboratory Blanks

Laboratory blanks were analyzed as required by the method. No contaminants were found in the laboratory blanks.

# V. Field Blanks

No field blanks were identified in this SDG.

### VI. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

The laboratory has indicated that there were no matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyses specified for the samples in this SDG, and therefore matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate analyses were not performed for this SDG.

# VII. Duplicate Sample Analysis

The laboratory has indicated that there were no duplicate (DUP) analyses specified for the samples in this SDG, and therefore duplicate analyses were not performed for this SDG.

# VIII. Laboratory Control Samples

Laboratory control samples (LCS) and laboratory control samples duplicates (LCSD) were analyzed as required by the method. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits. Relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

### **IX. Field Duplicates**

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

### X. Target Analyte Quantitation

Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

#### XI. Overall Assessment of Data

The analysis was conducted within all specifications of the method. No results were rejected or recommended for exclusion in this SDG.

Due to continuing calibration %R, data were qualified as estimated in one sample.

# Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126 Total Organic Carbon - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 98098

Sample	Analyte	Flag	A or P	Reason (Code)
ERH1887	Total organic carbon	UJ (all non-detects)	Ρ	Continuing calibration (%R) (c)

Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126 Total Organic Carbon - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 98098

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126 Total Organic Carbon - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 98098

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

LDC #: <u>52818I6</u>	VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET
SDG #:98098	Stage 2B
Laboratory: APPL, Inc., Clovis	<u>, CA</u>

Date: 12 23 21
Page: <u>1</u> of <u>1</u>
Reviewer: ATV
2nd Reviewer:

#### METHOD: (Analyte) TOC (EPA SW846 Method 9060A)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
١.	Sample receipt/Technical holding times	AIA	
11	Initial calibration	A	
III.	Calibration verification	SW	
IV	Laboratory Blanks	A	
v	Field blanks	N	
VI.	Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates	N	C.S
VII.	Duplicate sample analysis	N	
VIII.	Laboratory control samples	A	LCS/LCSD
IX.	Field duplicates	N_	
X.	Target Analyte Quantitation	N	
XI.	Overall assessment of data	A	

Note:

Γ

A = Acceptable N = Not provided/applicable SW = See worksheet

ND = No compounds detected R = Rinsate FB = Field blank

D = Duplicate TB = Trip blank EB = Equipment blank SB=Source blank

Τ

1

0	ΤН	EF	₹:
---	----	----	----

L	Client ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Date
1	ERH1887	BA45100	Water	11/03/21
2				
3				
4				
5				
6				
7				
8				
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
Jote	<i>3</i> 6,			

# VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET Calibration

#### METHOD: Inorganics, EPA Method See cover

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

Were all instruments calibrated daily, each set-up time, and were the proper number of standards used?

Y(N) N/A Were all initial and continuing calibration verification percent recoveries (%R) within the control limits of 90-110%?

 $\overrightarrow{\text{Y} \text{N} \text{N/A}}$  Are all correlation coefficients  $\geq 0.995$  ?

#### LEVEL IV/D ONLY: Y N (N/A) Wer

Y N (N/A)

Were recalculated results acceptable? See Level IV Initial and Continuing Calibration Recaluculation Worksheet for recalulations.

Y N (N/A) Was a balance check conducted prior to the TDS analysis.?

Was the titrant normality checked?

#	Date	Calibration ID	Analyte	%R	Associated Samples	Qualifications Code: c
	11/05/21	CCV (17:26)	тос	87.7 (90-110)	all	J-/UJ/P (non-detect)
	11/06/21	CCV (03:12)	TOC	82.2 (90-110)	all	J-/UJ/P (non-detect)

Comments:
# Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc. Data Validation Report

Project/Site Name:	Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126
--------------------	---

LDC Report Date: January 10, 2022

- Parameters: Gasoline Range Organics
- Validation Level: Stage 2B
- Laboratory: APPL, Inc., Clovis, CA

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 98098

Sample Identification	Laboratory Sample Identification	Matrix	Collection Date
ERH1886	BA45099	Water	11/03/21
ERH1887	BA45100	Water	11/03/21
ERH1889	BA45101	Water	11/03/21

#### Introduction

This Data Validation Report (DVR) presents data validation findings and results for the associated samples listed on the cover page. Data validation was performed in accordance with the Work Plan/Scope of Work, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 02, January 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 01, April 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 01, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, September 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 03, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, June 2018), the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) Quality Systems Manual (QSM) for Environmental Laboratories, Version 5.3 (2019), the DoD General Validation Guidelines (November 2019), and the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) Data Validation Guidelines Module 4: Data Validation Procedure for Organic Analysis by GC (March 2021). Where specific guidance was not available, the data has been evaluated in a conservative manner consistent with industry standards using professional experience.

The analyses were performed by the following method:

Gasoline Range Organics by Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) SW 846 Method 8260B

All sample results were subjected to Stage 2B data validation, which comprises an evaluation of quality control (QC) summary results.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers utilized during data validation:

- J+ (Estimated, High Bias): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated, displaying high bias, due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- J- (Estimated, Low Bias): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated, displaying low bias, due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- J (Estimated, Bias Indeterminate): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated due to non-conformances discovered during data validation. Bias is indeterminate.
- U (Non-detected): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the analyte should be considered non-detected due to the presence of contaminants detected in the associated blank(s).
- UJ (Non-detected estimated): The analyte was not detected and the associated numerical value is approximate.
- X (Exclusion of data recommended): The sample results (including non-detects) were affected by serious deficiencies in the ability to analyze the sample and to meet published method and project quality control criteria. The presence or absence of the analyte cannot be substantiated by the data provided. Exclusion of the data is recommended.
- NA (Not Applicable): The non-conformance discovered during data validation demonstrates a high bias, while the affected analyte in the associated sample(s) was reported as not detected by the laboratory and did not warrant the qualification of the data.

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

## **Qualification Code Reference**

- a ICP Serial Dilution %D was not within control limits.
- b Presumed contamination from preparation (method blank).
- c Calibration %RSD, r,  $r^2$ , %D or %R was noncompliant.
- d The analysis with this flag should not be used because another more technically sound analysis is available.
- e MS/MSD or Duplicate RPD was high.
- f Presumed contamination from FB or ER.
- g ICP ICS results were unsatisfactory.
- h Holding times were exceeded.
- i Internal standard performance was unsatisfactory.
- k Estimated Maximum Possible Concentration (HRGC/HRMS only)
- LCS/LCSD %R was not within control limits.
- m Result exceeded the calibration range.
- o Cooler temperature or temperature blank was noncompliant and/or sample custody problems.
- p RPD between two columns was high (GC only).
- q MS/MSD recovery was not within control limits.
- s Surrogate recovery was not within control limits.
- t Presumed contamination from trip blank.
- v Unusual problems found with the data not defined elsewhere. Description of the problem can be found in the validation report.
- w LCS/LCSD RPD was high.
- y Chemical recovery was not within control limits (Radiochemistry only).

# I. Sample Receipt and Technical Holding Times

All samples were received in good condition and cooler temperatures upon receipt met validation criteria.

All technical holding time requirements were met.

## II. Initial Calibration and Initial Calibration Verification

An initial calibration was performed as required by the method.

A curve fit, based on the initial calibration, was established for quantitation. The coefficient of determination  $(r^2)$  was greater than or equal to 0.990.

The percent differences (%D) of the initial calibration verification (ICV) standard were less than or equal to 20.0%.

## III. Continuing Calibration

Continuing calibration was performed at the required frequencies.

The percent differences (%D) were less than or equal to 20.0%.

The percent differences (%D) of the ending continuing calibration verifications (CCVs) were less than or equal to 20.0% for all analytes.

## IV. Laboratory Blanks

Laboratory blanks were analyzed as required by the method. No contaminants were found in the laboratory blanks.

## V. Field Blanks

Sample ERH1886 was identified as a trip blank. No contaminants were found.

## VI. Surrogates

Surrogates were added to all samples as required by the method. All surrogate recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

## VII. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

The laboratory has indicated that there were no matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyses specified for the samples in this SDG, and therefore matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate analyses were not performed for this SDG.

### VIII. Laboratory Control Samples

Laboratory control samples (LCS) and laboratory control samples duplicates (LCSD) were analyzed as required by the method. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits. Relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

#### **IX. Field Duplicates**

Samples ERH1887 and ERH1889 were identified as field duplicates. No results were detected in any of the samples.

#### X. Target Analyte Quantitation

Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

### XI. Target Analyte Identification

Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

#### XII. Overall Assessment of Data

The analysis was conducted within all specifications of the method. No results were rejected or recommended for exclusion in this SDG.

## Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126 Gasoline Range Organics - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 98098

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126 Gasoline Range Organics - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 98098

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126 Gasoline Range Organics - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 98098

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

 VALIDATION	COMPLET	ENESS	WORKSHEET
	<b>.</b> .		

LDC #: <u>5281817</u> **V/** SDG #: <u>98098</u> Laboratory: <u>APPL, Inc., Clovis, CA</u>

#### Stage 2B

Date:_	1/4/22	_
Page:	<u>/of_1</u>	
Reviewer:	5	
2nd Reviewer:	A	
	· · · ·	

#### METHOD: GC/MS Gasoline Range Organics (EPA SW 846 Method 8260B)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
١.	Sample receipt/Technical holding times	A A	
١١.	GC/MS Instrument performance check		
п.	Initial calibration/ICV	$\Delta I \Delta$	12 122
IV.	Continuing calibration endma	A	CUVE 20/20
V.	Laboratory Blanks	A	
VI.	Field blanks	M	TB = 1
VII.	Surrogate spikes	6	
VIII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	И	CS
IX.	Laboratory control samples	A	Les IP
Х.	Field duplicates	ND	D = 7,3
XI.	Internal standards	Λ	
XII.	Target analyte quantitation	N	
XIII.	Target analyte identification	N	
XIV.	System performance	N	
XV.	Overall assessment of data	ľλ	

Note:

A = Acceptable N = Not provided/applicable SW = See worksheet ND = No compounds detected R = Rinsate FB = Field blank D = Duplicate TB = Trip blank EB = Equipment blank SB=Source blank OTHER:

	Client ID		Lab ID	Matrix	Date
1-	ERH1886		BA45099	Water	11/03/21
2-	ERH1887		BA45100	Water	11/03/21
3	ERH1889		BA45101	Water	11/03/21
4		 			
5		 			
6					
7					
8					
9					
Notes	:				·
	211109AM-BIK				

# Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc. Data Validation Report

Project/Site Name:	Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126
--------------------	---

LDC Report Date: January 10, 2022

Parameters: Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Extractables

Validation Level: Stage 2B

Laboratory: APPL, Inc., Clovis, CA

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 98098

Sample Identification	Laboratory Sample Identification	Matrix	Collection Date
ERH1887	BA45100	Water	11/03/21
ERH1889	BA45101	Water	11/03/21
ERH1887(SGCU)	BA45100(SGCU)	Water	11/03/21
ERH1889(SGCU)	BA45101(SGCU)	Water	11/03/21

#### Introduction

This Data Validation Report (DVR) presents data validation findings and results for the associated samples listed on the cover page. Data validation was performed in accordance with the Work Plan/Scope of Work, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 02, January 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 01, April 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 01, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, September 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 03, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, June 2018), the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) Quality Systems Manual (QSM) for Environmental Laboratories, Version 5.3 (2019), the DoD General Validation Guidelines (November 2019), and the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) Data Validation Guidelines Module 4: Data Validation Procedure for Organic Analysis by GC (March 2021). Where specific guidance was not available, the data has been evaluated in a conservative manner consistent with industry standards using professional experience.

The analyses were performed by the following method:

Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (TPH) as Extractables by Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) SW 846 Method 8015B

All sample results were subjected to Stage 2B data validation, which comprises an evaluation of quality control (QC) summary results.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers utilized during data validation:

- J+ (Estimated, High Bias): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated, displaying high bias, due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- J- (Estimated, Low Bias): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated, displaying low bias, due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- J (Estimated, Bias Indeterminate): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated due to non-conformances discovered during data validation. Bias is indeterminate.
- U (Non-detected): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the analyte should be considered non-detected due to the presence of contaminants detected in the associated blank(s).
- UJ (Non-detected estimated): The analyte was not detected and the associated numerical value is approximate.
- X (Exclusion of data recommended): The sample results (including non-detects) were affected by serious deficiencies in the ability to analyze the sample and to meet published method and project quality control criteria. The presence or absence of the analyte cannot be substantiated by the data provided. Exclusion of the data is recommended.
- NA (Not Applicable): The non-conformance discovered during data validation demonstrates a high bias, while the affected analyte in the associated sample(s) was reported as not detected by the laboratory and did not warrant the qualification of the data.

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

## **Qualification Code Reference**

- a ICP Serial Dilution %D was not within control limits.
- b Presumed contamination from preparation (method blank).
- c Calibration %RSD, r, r<sup>2</sup>, %D or %R was noncompliant.
- d The analysis with this flag should not be used because another more technically sound analysis is available.
- e MS/MSD or Duplicate RPD was high.
- f Presumed contamination from FB or ER.
- g ICP ICS results were unsatisfactory.
- h Holding times were exceeded.
- i Internal standard performance was unsatisfactory.
- k Estimated Maximum Possible Concentration (HRGC/HRMS only)
- LCS/LCSD %R was not within control limits.
- m Result exceeded the calibration range.
- o Cooler temperature or temperature blank was noncompliant and/or sample custody problems.
- p RPD between two columns was high (GC only).
- q MS/MSD recovery was not within control limits.
- s Surrogate recovery was not within control limits.
- t Presumed contamination from trip blank.
- v Unusual problems found with the data not defined elsewhere. Description of the problem can be found in the validation report.
- w LCS/LCSD RPD was high.
- y Chemical recovery was not within control limits (Radiochemistry only).

## I. Sample Receipt and Technical Holding Times

All samples were received in good condition and cooler temperatures upon receipt met validation criteria.

All technical holding time requirements were met.

## II. Initial Calibration and Initial Calibration Verification

An initial calibration was performed as required by the method.

For analytes where average calibration factors were utilized, percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) were less than or equal to 20.0%.

In the case where the laboratory used a calibration curve to evaluate the analytes, all coefficients of determination ( $r^2$ ) were greater than or equal to 0.990.

The percent differences (%D) of the initial calibration verification (ICV) standard were less than or equal to 20.0% for all analytes.

### III. Continuing Calibration

Continuing calibration was performed at the required frequencies.

The percent differences (%D) were less than or equal to 20.0% for all analytes.

The percent differences (%D) of the ending continuing calibration verifications (CCVs) were less than or equal to 20.0% for all analytes.

## IV. Laboratory Blanks

Laboratory blanks were analyzed as required by the method. No contaminants were found in the laboratory blanks.

## V. Field Blanks

No field blanks were identified in this SDG.

## VI. Surrogates

Surrogates were added to all samples as required by the method. All surrogate recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

## VII. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

The laboratory has indicated that there were no matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyses specified for the samples in this SDG, and therefore matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate analyses were not performed for this SDG.

## VIII. Laboratory Control Samples

Laboratory control samples (LCS) and laboratory control samples duplicates (LCSD) were analyzed as required by the method. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits with the following exceptions:

LCS ID (Associated Samples)	Analyte	LCS %R (Limits)	LCSD %R (Limits)	Flag	A or P
211108A1-LCS/LCSD (ERH1887(SGCU) ERH1889(SGCU))	Oil (C24-C40)	-	118 (41-113)	NA	-

Relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits with the following exceptions:

LCS ID (Associated Samples)	Analyte	RPD (Limits)	Flag	A or P
211108A-LCS/LCSD (ERH1887 ERH1889)	Diesel (C10-C24) Oil (C24-C40)	31.4 (≤30) 33.7 (≤30)	NA	-
211108A1-LCS/LCSD (ERH1887(SGCU) ERH1889(SGCU))	Diesel (C10-C24) Oil (C24-C40)	59.3 (≤30) 46.7 (≤30)	NA	-

## IX. Field Duplicates

Samples ERH1887 and ERH1889 and samples ERH1887(SGCU) and ERH1889(SGCU) were identified as field duplicates. No results were detected in any of the samples.

# X. Target Analyte Quantitation

Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

## XI. Target Analyte Identification

Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

## XII. Overall Assessment of Data

The analysis was conducted within all specifications of the method. No results were rejected or recommended for exclusion in this SDG.

Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126 Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Extractables - Data Qualification Summary -SDG 98098

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126 Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Extractables - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 98098

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126 Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Extractables - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 98098

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

LDC #: <u>5281818</u>	VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET	Date:_ <u>1/4/</u> 22
SDG #: 98098	Stage 2B	Page: <u>/_</u> of <u>/</u>
Laboratory: APPL, Inc., Clovis	, <u>CA</u>	Reviewer: <u>P</u>
		2nd Reviewer: <del>_/</del>
METHOD: OO TOULAS Estado	tables (EDA C)M 946 Mathed 904ED)	

#### METHOD: GC TPH as Extractables (EPA SW 846 Method 8015B)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
١.	Sample receipt/Technical holding times	AIA	
١١.	Initial calibration/ICV	A1A	0/0 PSP = 20 52 104 = 20
.	Continuing calibration	Δ	CWEW
IV.	Laboratory Blanks	4	
V.	Field blanks	4	
VI.	Surrogate spikes	A	
VII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	N	les l
VIII.	Laboratory control samples	SW	Las 10
IX.	Field duplicates	ND	D = 1, 2, 3, 4
<u>X.</u>	Target analyte quantitation	N	
XI.	Target analyte identification	N	
	Overall assessment of data		

Note:

IF-

A = Acceptable N = Not provided/applicable SW = See worksheet

ND = No compounds detected R = Rinsate FB = Field blank

D = Duplicate TB = Trip blank EB = Equipment blank SB=Source blank OTHER:

	Client ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Date
1	ERH1887 D	BA45100	Water	11/03/21
2	ERH1889 D	BA45101	Water	11/03/21
3	ERH1887(SGCU)	BA45100(SGCU)	Water	11/03/21
4	ERH1889(SGCU) D	BA45101(SGCU)	Water	11/03/21
5				
6				
7				
8				
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
Notes				
	211108A-31K			
	211108A1 - BIK			

## VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)



# METHOD: JGC \_\_ HPLC

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A". CY N N/A Were a laboratory control samples (LCS) and laboratory control sample duplicate (LCSD) analyzed for each matrix in this SDG? Were the LCS percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) within the QC limits? Y(N)N/A"/ R== l "/. RpD= w

# Level IV/D Only

Was an LCS analyzed every 20 samples for each matrix or whenever a sample extraction was performed? YNN/A

#	LCS/LCSD ID	Compound	LCS %R (Limits)	LCSD %R (Limits)	RPD (Limits)	Associated Samples	Qualifications
	211108A - BIK	Diesel (yoc	<b>24)</b> ( )	()	31.4 ( 30 )	1.2. 211108A-BK	John P ND
		011 (czy-cy	<b>)</b> ( )	( )	33.7 ( 30 )		
			( )	()	( )		
			( )	( )	( )		
			( )	( )	( )		
-	211108A1-BIK	1	( )	( )	59.3 (1)	3.4 21110BA1-B1K	Jan /P M
		V	( )	( )	46.7 ( 1 )		1
		01 (224-640		118 (41-113)			It due Ir
			( )	( )	( )		
	·····		( )	( )	( )		
			( )	( )	( )		
			( )	( )	( )		
			( )	()	( )		
			( )	( )	( )		
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	( )	( )		
			( )	( )	( )		
			( )	( )	( )		
				( )			
-			( )	( )	( )		
			( )	( )	( )		
			( )	()	( )		
			( )	( )	()		
			( )		( )		

# Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc. Data Validation Report

Project/Site Name: Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126

LDC Report Date: March 3, 2022

Parameters: Volatiles

Validation Level: Stage 2B

Laboratory: APPL, Inc., Clovis, CA

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 98213

Sample Identification	Laboratory Sample Identification	Matrix	Collection Date		
ERH1903	BA46000	Water	11/10/21		
ERH1904	BA46001	Water	11/10/21		

#### Introduction

This Data Validation Report (DVR) presents data validation findings and results for the associated samples listed on the cover page. Data validation was performed in accordance with the Work Plan/Scope of Work, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 02, January 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 01, April 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 01, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, September 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 03, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, June 2018), the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) Quality Systems Manual (QSM) for Environmental Laboratories, Version 5.3 (2019), the DoD General Validation Guidelines (November 2019), and the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) Data Validation Guidelines Module 1: Data Validation Procedure for Organic Analysis by GC/MS (May 2020). Where specific guidance was not available, the data has been evaluated in a conservative manner consistent with industry standards using professional experience.

The analyses were performed by the following method:

Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs) which are Benzene, Toluene, Ethylbenzene, Xylenes (BTEX) and Naphthalene by Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) SW 846 Method 8260B

All sample results were subjected to Stage 2B data validation, which comprises an evaluation of quality control (QC) summary results.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers utilized during data validation:

- J+ (Estimated, High Bias): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated, displaying high bias, due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- J- (Estimated, Low Bias): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated, displaying low bias, due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- J (Estimated, Bias Indeterminate): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated due to non-conformances discovered during data validation. Bias is indeterminate.
- U (Non-detected): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the analyte should be considered non-detected due to the presence of contaminants detected in the associated blank(s).
- UJ (Non-detected estimated): The analyte was not detected and the associated numerical value is approximate.
- X (Exclusion of data recommended): The sample results (including non-detects) were affected by serious deficiencies in the ability to analyze the sample and to meet published method and project quality control criteria. The presence or absence of the analyte cannot be substantiated by the data provided. Exclusion of the data is recommended.
- NA (Not Applicable): The non-conformance discovered during data validation demonstrates a high bias, while the affected analyte in the associated sample(s) was reported as not detected by the laboratory and did not warrant the qualification of the data.

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

## **Qualification Code Reference**

- a ICP Serial Dilution %D was not within control limits.
- b Presumed contamination from preparation (method blank).
- c Calibration %RSD, r,  $r^2$ , %D or %R was noncompliant.
- d The analysis with this flag should not be used because another more technically sound analysis is available.
- e MS/MSD or Duplicate RPD was high.
- f Presumed contamination from FB or ER.
- g ICP ICS results were unsatisfactory.
- h Holding times were exceeded.
- i Internal standard performance was unsatisfactory.
- k Estimated Maximum Possible Concentration (HRGC/HRMS only)
- LCS/LCSD %R was not within control limits.
- m Result exceeded the calibration range.
- o Cooler temperature or temperature blank was noncompliant and/or sample custody problems.
- p RPD between two columns was high (GC only).
- q MS/MSD recovery was not within control limits.
- s Surrogate recovery was not within control limits.
- t Presumed contamination from trip blank.
- v Unusual problems found with the data not defined elsewhere. Description of the problem can be found in the validation report.
- w LCS/LCSD RPD was high.
- y Chemical recovery was not within control limits (Radiochemistry only).

## I. Sample Receipt and Technical Holding Times

All samples were received in good condition and cooler temperatures upon receipt met validation criteria.

All technical holding time requirements were met.

### II. GC/MS Instrument Performance Check

A bromofluorobenzene (BFB) tune was performed at 12 hour intervals.

All ion abundance requirements were met.

## III. Initial Calibration and Initial Calibration Verification

An initial calibration was performed as required by the method.

The percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) were less than or equal to 15.0% for all analytes.

Average relative response factors (RRF) for all analytes were within validation criteria.

The percent differences (%D) of the initial calibration verification (ICV) standard were less than or equal to 20.0% for all analytes.

## IV. Continuing Calibration

Continuing calibration was performed at the required frequencies.

The percent differences (%D) were less than or equal to 20.0% for all analytes.

The percent differences (%D) of the ending continuing calibration verifications (CCVs) were less than or equal to 50.0% for all analytes.

All of the continuing calibration relative response factors (RRF) were within validation criteria.

#### V. Laboratory Blanks

Laboratory blanks were analyzed as required by the method. No contaminants were found in the laboratory blanks.

#### VI. Field Blanks

Sample ERH1903 was identified as a trip blank. No contaminants were found.

## VII. Surrogates

Surrogates were added to all samples as required by the method. All surrogate recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

## VIII. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

The laboratory has indicated that there were no matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyses specified for the samples in this SDG, and therefore matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate analyses were not performed for this SDG.

## IX. Laboratory Control Samples

Laboratory control samples (LCS) and laboratory control samples duplicates (LCSD) were analyzed as required by the method. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits. Relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

## X. Field Duplicates

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

## XI. Internal Standards

All internal standard areas and retention times were within QC limits.

## XII. Target Analyte Quantitation

Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

## XIII. Target Analyte Identification

Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

## XIV. System Performance

Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

## XV. Overall Assessment of Data

The analysis was conducted within all specifications of the method. No results were rejected or recommended for exclusion in this SDG.

## Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126 Volatiles - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 98213

# No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126 Volatiles - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 98213

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126 Volatiles - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 98213

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Stage 2B

LDC #:	<u>52818J1a</u>	V/
SDG #:_	98213	
Laborato	ry: APPL, Inc., C	lovis, CA

Date:	1/4/22
Page:	_of1
Reviewer:	F1
2nd Reviewer:_	qu_

METHOD: GC/MS Volatiles (BTEX)(EPA SW 846 Method 8260B)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
١.	Sample receipt/Technical holding times	AIA	
П.	GC/MS Instrument performance check	Δ	
111.	Initial calibration/ICV	$\Delta / \Delta$	% PSD ETT ICY EZD
IV.	Continuing calibration ending	Δ	CUV = 20/50
V.	Laboratory Blanks		
VI.	Field blanks	NO_	TB = 1
VII.	Surrogate spikes		
VIII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	N	es
IX.	Laboratory control samples	Α	is id
Х.	Field duplicates	N	
XI.	Internal standards	Δ	
XII.	Target analyte quantitation	N	
XIII.	Target analyte identification	N	
XIV.	System performance	N	
XV.	Overall assessment of data	A	

Note:

A = Acceptable N = Not provided/applicable SW = See worksheet ND = No compounds detected R = Rinsate FB = Field blank D = Duplicate TB = Trip blank EB = Equipment blank

SB=Source blank OTHER:

	Client ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Date
1	ERH1903 TB	BA460000	Water	11/10/21
2	ERH1904	BA4600 <b>0</b> 1	Water	11/10/21
3		,		
4				
5				
6				
7				
8				
9				
Notes				
	2' AM211115-BK			

## LDC Report# 52818J2b

# Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc. Data Validation Report

Project/Site Name: Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126

LDC Report Date: March 3, 2022

Parameters: Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons

Validation Level: Stage 2B

Laboratory: APPL, Inc., Clovis, CA

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 98213

	Laboratory Sample		Collection
Sample Identification	Identification	Matrix	Date
ERH1904	BA46001	Water	11/10/21

#### Introduction

This Data Validation Report (DVR) presents data validation findings and results for the associated samples listed on the cover page. Data validation was performed in accordance with the Work Plan/Scope of Work, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 02, January 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 01, April 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 01, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, September 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 03, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, June 2018), the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) Quality Systems Manual (QSM) for Environmental Laboratories, Version 5.3 (2019), the DoD General Validation Guidelines (November 2019), and the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) Data Validation Guidelines Module 1: Data Validation Procedure for Organic Analysis by GC/MS (May 2020). Where specific guidance was not available, the data has been evaluated in a conservative manner consistent with industry standards using professional experience.

The analyses were performed by the following method:

Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAHs) which are 1-Methylnaphthalene, 2-Methylnaphthalene, and Naphthalene by Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) SW 846 Method 8270D in Selected Ion Monitoring (SIM) mode

All sample results were subjected to Stage 2B data validation, which comprises an evaluation of quality control (QC) summary results.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers utilized during data validation:

- J+ (Estimated, High Bias): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated, displaying high bias, due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- J- (Estimated, Low Bias): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated, displaying low bias, due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- J (Estimated, Bias Indeterminate): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated due to non-conformances discovered during data validation. Bias is indeterminate.
- U (Non-detected): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the analyte should be considered non-detected due to the presence of contaminants detected in the associated blank(s).
- UJ (Non-detected estimated): The analyte was not detected and the associated numerical value is approximate.
- X (Exclusion of data recommended): The sample results (including non-detects) were affected by serious deficiencies in the ability to analyze the sample and to meet published method and project quality control criteria. The presence or absence of the analyte cannot be substantiated by the data provided. Exclusion of the data is recommended.
- NA (Not Applicable): The non-conformance discovered during data validation demonstrates a high bias, while the affected analyte in the associated sample(s) was reported as not detected by the laboratory and did not warrant the qualification of the data.

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

## **Qualification Code Reference**

- a ICP Serial Dilution %D was not within control limits.
- b Presumed contamination from preparation (method blank).
- c Calibration %RSD, r,  $r^2$ , %D or %R was noncompliant.
- d The analysis with this flag should not be used because another more technically sound analysis is available.
- e MS/MSD or Duplicate RPD was high.
- f Presumed contamination from FB or ER.
- g ICP ICS results were unsatisfactory.
- h Holding times were exceeded.
- i Internal standard performance was unsatisfactory.
- k Estimated Maximum Possible Concentration (HRGC/HRMS only)
- LCS/LCSD %R was not within control limits.
- m Result exceeded the calibration range.
- o Cooler temperature or temperature blank was noncompliant and/or sample custody problems.
- p RPD between two columns was high (GC only).
- q MS/MSD recovery was not within control limits.
- s Surrogate recovery was not within control limits.
- t Presumed contamination from trip blank.
- v Unusual problems found with the data not defined elsewhere. Description of the problem can be found in the validation report.
- w LCS/LCSD RPD was high.
- y Chemical recovery was not within control limits (Radiochemistry only).

# I. Sample Receipt and Technical Holding Times

All samples were received in good condition and cooler temperatures upon receipt met validation criteria.

All technical holding time requirements were met.

## II. GC/MS Instrument Performance Check

A decafluorotriphenylphosphine (DFTPP) tune was performed at 12 hour intervals.

All ion abundance requirements were met.

## III. Initial Calibration and Initial Calibration Verification

An initial calibration was performed as required by the method.

The percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) were less than or equal to 15.0% for all analytes.

Average relative response factors (RRF) for all analytes were within validation criteria.

The percent differences (%D) of the initial calibration verification (ICV) standard were less than or equal to 20.0% for all analytes.

# IV. Continuing Calibration

Continuing calibration was performed at the required frequencies.

The percent differences (%D) were less than or equal to 20.0% for all analytes.

The percent differences (%D) of the ending continuing calibration verifications (CCVs) were less than or equal to 50.0% for all analytes.

All of the continuing calibration relative response factors (RRF) were within validation criteria.

# V. Laboratory Blanks

Laboratory blanks were analyzed as required by the method. No contaminants were found in the laboratory blanks.

# VI. Field Blanks

No field blanks were identified in this SDG.

## VII. Surrogates

Surrogates were added to all samples as required by the method. All surrogate recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

## VIII. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

The laboratory has indicated that there were no matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyses specified for the samples in this SDG, and therefore matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate analyses were not performed for this SDG.

### IX. Laboratory Control Samples

Laboratory control samples (LCS) and laboratory control samples duplicates (LCSD) were analyzed as required by the method. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits. Relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

### X. Field Duplicates

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

### XI. Internal Standards

All internal standard areas and retention times were within QC limits.

## XII. Target Analyte Quantitation

Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

## XIII. Target Analyte Identification

Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

#### XIV. System Performance

Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

#### XV. Overall Assessment of Data

The analysis was conducted within all specifications of the method. No results were rejected or recommended for exclusion in this SDG.

Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126 Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 98213

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126 Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 98213

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126 Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary -SDG 98213

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

#### VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET

Stage 2B

LDC #: <u>52818J2b</u> **VA** SDG #: <u>98213</u> Laboratory: APPL, Inc., Clovis, CA

#### Date: <u>1/4/2</u> Page: /\_of\_<u>1</u> Reviewer: <u>17</u> 2nd Reviewer: <u>17</u>

METHOD: GC/MS Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons (EPA SW846 Method 8270D-SIM)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
Ι.	Sample receipt/Technical holding times	A/A	
١١.	GC/MS Instrument performance check	Δ	
111.	Initial calibration/ICV	$\Delta / \Delta$	$\frac{2}{6}$ PSD $\leq 15$ $104 \leq 20$
IV.	Continuing calibration lending	Δ	civ = 20 50
V.	Laboratory Blanks	4	
VI.	Field blanks	N	
VII.	Surrogate spikes	Α	
VIII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	N	CD
IX.	Laboratory control samples	A	LOSIP
Х.	Field duplicates	N	
XI.	Internal standards	Δ	
XII.	Target analyte quantitation	N	
XIII.	Target analyte identification	N	
XIV.	System performance	N	
XV.	Overall assessment of data		

Note:

A = Acceptable N = Not provided/applicable SW = See worksheet ND = No compounds detected R = Rinsate FB = Field blank D = Duplicate TB = Trip blank EB = Equipment blank SB=Source blank OTHER:

	Client ID			Lab ID	Matrix	Date
1	ERH1904	 		 BA460001	Water	11/10/21
2		 		/		
3						
4						
5						
6			-			
7				·····		
<u> </u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	 	11000		-	
9		 ······································	ling			
lotes			hr			
	211115AK-BLK					
		······································				

211115AK-BLK			

## LDC Report# 52818J6

# Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc. Data Validation Report

Project/Site Name:	Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126
--------------------	---

LDC Report Date: December 29, 2021

Parameters: Total Organic Carbon

Validation Level: Stage 2B

Laboratory: APPL, Inc., Clovis, CA

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 98213

	Laboratory Sample		Collection
Sample Identification	Identification	Matrix	Date
ERH1904	BA46001	Water	11/10/21

#### Introduction

This Data Validation Report (DVR) presents data validation findings and results for the associated samples listed on the cover page. Data validation was performed in accordance with the Work Plan/Scope of Work, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 02, January 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 01, April 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 01, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, September 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 03, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, June 2018), the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) Quality Systems Manual (QSM) for Environmental Laboratories, Version 5.3 (2019), and the DoD General Validation Guidelines (November 2019). Where specific guidance was not available, the data has been evaluated in a conservative manner consistent with industry standards using professional experience.

The analyses were performed by the following method:

Total Organic Carbon by Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) SW 846 Method 9060A

All sample results were subjected to Stage 2B data validation, which comprises an evaluation of quality control (QC) summary results.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers utilized during data validation:

- J+ (Estimated, High Bias): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated, displaying high bias, due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- J- (Estimated, Low Bias): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated, displaying low bias, due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- J (Estimated, Bias Indeterminate): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated due to non-conformances discovered during data validation. Bias is indeterminate.
- U (Non-detected): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the analyte should be considered non-detected due to the presence of contaminants detected in the associated blank(s).
- UJ (Non-detected estimated): The analyte was not detected and the associated numerical value is approximate.
- X (Exclusion of data recommended): The sample results (including non-detects) were affected by serious deficiencies in the ability to analyze the sample and to meet published method and project quality control criteria. The presence or absence of the analyte cannot be substantiated by the data provided. Exclusion of the data is recommended.
- NA (Not Applicable): The non-conformance discovered during data validation demonstrates a high bias, while the affected analyte in the associated sample(s) was reported as not detected by the laboratory and did not warrant the qualification of the data.

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.
## **Qualification Code Reference**

- a ICP Serial Dilution %D was not within control limits.
- b Presumed contamination from preparation (method blank).
- c Calibration %RSD, r, r<sup>2</sup>, %D or %R was noncompliant.
- d The analysis with this flag should not be used because another more technically sound analysis is available.
- e MS/MSD or Duplicate RPD was high.
- f Presumed contamination from FB or ER.
- g ICP ICS results were unsatisfactory.
- h Holding times were exceeded.
- i Internal standard performance was unsatisfactory.
- k Estimated Maximum Possible Concentration (HRGC/HRMS only)
- LCS/LCSD %R was not within control limits.
- m Result exceeded the calibration range.
- o Cooler temperature or temperature blank was noncompliant and/or sample custody problems.
- p RPD between two columns was high (GC only).
- q MS/MSD recovery was not within control limits.
- s Surrogate recovery was not within control limits.
- t Presumed contamination from trip blank.
- v Unusual problems found with the data not defined elsewhere. Description of the problem can be found in the validation report.
- w LCS/LCSD RPD was high.
- y Chemical recovery was not within control limits (Radiochemistry only).

## I. Sample Receipt and Technical Holding Times

All samples were received in good condition.

All technical holding time requirements were met.

## II. Initial Calibration

All criteria for the initial calibration were met.

## III. Continuing Calibration

Continuing calibration frequency and analysis criteria were met with the following exceptions:

Date	Lab. Reference/ID	Analyte	%R (Limits)	Associated Samples	Flag	A or P
11/19/21	CCV (20:46)	Total organic carbon	85.6 (90-110)	All samples in SDG 98213	UJ (all non-detects)	Р
11/20/21	CCV (05:05)	Total organic carbon	84.1 (90-110)	All samples in SDG 98213	UJ (all non-detects)	Р

## IV. Laboratory Blanks

Laboratory blanks were analyzed as required by the method. No contaminants were found in the laboratory blanks.

## V. Field Blanks

No field blanks were identified in this SDG.

## VI. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

The laboratory has indicated that there were no matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyses specified for the samples in this SDG, and therefore matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate analyses were not performed for this SDG.

## VII. Duplicate Sample Analysis

The laboratory has indicated that there were no duplicate (DUP) analyses specified for the samples in this SDG, and therefore duplicate analyses were not performed for this SDG.

## VIII. Laboratory Control Samples

Laboratory control samples (LCS) and laboratory control samples duplicates (LCSD) were analyzed as required by the method. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits. Relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

## **IX. Field Duplicates**

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

## X. Target Analyte Quantitation

Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

## XI. Overall Assessment of Data

The analysis was conducted within all specifications of the method. No results were rejected or recommended for exclusion in this SDG.

Due to continuing calibration %R, data were qualified as estimated in one sample.

## Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126 Total Organic Carbon - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 98213

Sample	Analyte	Flag	A or P	Reason (Code)
ERH1904	Total organic carbon	UJ (all non-detects)	Р	Continuing calibration (%R) (c)

Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126 Total Organic Carbon - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 98213

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126 Total Organic Carbon - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 98213

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

LDC #:_	<u>52818J6</u>		VALIC
SDG #:_	98213		
Laborat	ory: <u>APPL,</u>	Inc., Clovis, C	<u>:A</u>

## ALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET Stage 2B

Date: 12/23/21
Page: <u> </u> of
Reviewer: ATV
2nd Reviewer: 1

#### METHOD: (Analyte) TOC (EPA SW846 Method 9060A)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
١.	Sample receipt/Technical holding times	A A	
11	Initial calibration	A	
	Calibration verification	SW	
IV	Laboratory Blanks	A	
v	Field blanks	N	
VI.	Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates	N	C.S
VII.	Duplicate sample analysis	N	
VIII.	Laboratory control samples	A	LCSILCSD
IX.	Field duplicates	N	
Х.	Target Analyte Quantitation	N	
XI.	Overall assessment of data	A	

Note:

Ir

A = Acceptable N = Not provided/applicable SW = See worksheet ND = No compounds detected R = Rinsate FB = Field blank

D = Duplicate			
TB = Trip blank			
EB = Equipment blank			

SB=Source blank OTHER:

7

	Client ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Date
1	ERH1904	BA4600	Water	11/10/21
2				
3				
4				
5				
6				
7				
8				
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				

## VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET Calibration

#### METHOD: Inorganics, EPA Method \_\_\_\_\_ See cover\_\_\_\_\_

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

(Y) N/A Were all instruments calibrated daily, each set-up time, and were the proper number of standards used?

Were all initial and continuing calibration verification percent recoveries (%R) within the control limits of 90-110%?

Y N N/A Are all correlation coefficients  $\geq$  0.995 ?

#### LEVEL IV/D ONLY: Y N N/A Wer

Y N (V/A)

Y N (N/A)

Were recalculated results acceptable? See Level IV Initial and Continuing Calibration Recaluculation Worksheet for recalulations.

Was a balance check conducted prior to the TDS analysis.?

Was the titrant normality checked?

#	Date	Calibration ID	Analyte	%R	Associated Samples	Qualifications Code: c
	11/19/21	CCV (20:46)	тос	85.6 (90-110)	all	J-/UJ/P (non-detect)
	11/20/21	CCV (05:05)	тос	84.1 (90-110)	all	J-/UJ/P (non-detect)
					l	

#### Comments:

## Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc. Data Validation Report

Project/Site Name: Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126

LDC Report Date: March 3, 2022

Parameters: Gasoline Range Organics

Validation Level: Stage 2B

Laboratory: APPL, Inc., Clovis, CA

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 98213

Sample Identification	Laboratory Sample Identification	Matrix	Collection Date
ERH1903	BA46000	Water	11/10/21
ERH1904	BA46001	Water	11/10/21

#### Introduction

This Data Validation Report (DVR) presents data validation findings and results for the associated samples listed on the cover page. Data validation was performed in accordance with the Work Plan/Scope of Work, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 02, January 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 01, April 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 01, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, September 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 03, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, June 2018), the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) Quality Systems Manual (QSM) for Environmental Laboratories, Version 5.3 (2019), the DoD General Validation Guidelines (November 2019), and the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) Data Validation Guidelines Module 4: Data Validation Procedure for Organic Analysis by GC (March 2021). Where specific guidance was not available, the data has been evaluated in a conservative manner consistent with industry standards using professional experience.

The analyses were performed by the following method:

Gasoline Range Organics by Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) SW 846 Method 8260B

All sample results were subjected to Stage 2B data validation, which comprises an evaluation of quality control (QC) summary results.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers utilized during data validation:

- J+ (Estimated, High Bias): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated, displaying high bias, due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- J- (Estimated, Low Bias): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated, displaying low bias, due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- J (Estimated, Bias Indeterminate): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated due to non-conformances discovered during data validation. Bias is indeterminate.
- U (Non-detected): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the analyte should be considered non-detected due to the presence of contaminants detected in the associated blank(s).
- UJ (Non-detected estimated): The analyte was not detected and the associated numerical value is approximate.
- X (Exclusion of data recommended): The sample results (including non-detects) were affected by serious deficiencies in the ability to analyze the sample and to meet published method and project quality control criteria. The presence or absence of the analyte cannot be substantiated by the data provided. Exclusion of the data is recommended.
- NA (Not Applicable): The non-conformance discovered during data validation demonstrates a high bias, while the affected analyte in the associated sample(s) was reported as not detected by the laboratory and did not warrant the qualification of the data.

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

## **Qualification Code Reference**

- a ICP Serial Dilution %D was not within control limits.
- b Presumed contamination from preparation (method blank).
- c Calibration %RSD, r, r<sup>2</sup>, %D or %R was noncompliant.
- d The analysis with this flag should not be used because another more technically sound analysis is available.
- e MS/MSD or Duplicate RPD was high.
- f Presumed contamination from FB or ER.
- g ICP ICS results were unsatisfactory.
- h Holding times were exceeded.
- i Internal standard performance was unsatisfactory.
- k Estimated Maximum Possible Concentration (HRGC/HRMS only)
- LCS/LCSD %R was not within control limits.
- m Result exceeded the calibration range.
- o Cooler temperature or temperature blank was noncompliant and/or sample custody problems.
- p RPD between two columns was high (GC only).
- q MS/MSD recovery was not within control limits.
- s Surrogate recovery was not within control limits.
- t Presumed contamination from trip blank.
- v Unusual problems found with the data not defined elsewhere. Description of the problem can be found in the validation report.
- w LCS/LCSD RPD was high.
- y Chemical recovery was not within control limits (Radiochemistry only).

## I. Sample Receipt and Technical Holding Times

All samples were received in good condition and cooler temperatures upon receipt met validation criteria.

All technical holding time requirements were met.

## II. Initial Calibration and Initial Calibration Verification

An initial calibration was performed as required by the method.

A curve fit, based on the initial calibration, was established for quantitation. The coefficient of determination  $(r^2)$  was greater than or equal to 0.990.

The percent differences (%D) of the initial calibration verification (ICV) standard were less than or equal to 20.0%.

## III. Continuing Calibration

Continuing calibration was performed at the required frequencies.

The percent differences (%D) were less than or equal to 20.0%.

The percent differences (%D) of the ending continuing calibration verifications (CCVs) were less than or equal to 20.0% for all analytes.

## IV. Laboratory Blanks

Laboratory blanks were analyzed as required by the method. No contaminants were found in the laboratory blanks.

## V. Field Blanks

Sample ERH1903 was identified as a trip blank. No contaminants were found.

## VI. Surrogates

Surrogates were added to all samples as required by the method. All surrogate recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

## VII. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

The laboratory has indicated that there were no matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyses specified for the samples in this SDG, and therefore matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate analyses were not performed for this SDG.

## VIII. Laboratory Control Samples

Laboratory control samples (LCS) and laboratory control samples duplicates (LCSD) were analyzed as required by the method. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits. Relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

## **IX. Field Duplicates**

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

#### X. Target Analyte Quantitation

Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

#### XI. Target Analyte Identification

Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

#### XII. Overall Assessment of Data

The analysis was conducted within all specifications of the method. No results were rejected or recommended for exclusion in this SDG.

#### Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126 Gasoline Range Organics - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 98213

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126

Gasoline Range Organics - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 98213

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126 Gasoline Range Organics - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 98213

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

VALIDATION		ETENESS	WORKSHEET
------------	--	---------	-----------

LDC #: <u>52818J7</u> **V/** SDG #: <u>98213</u> Laboratory: <u>APPL, Inc., Clovis, CA</u>

## Stage 2B

	.1.1.
Date:_	<u> 1/4/</u> 22
Page:_	<u>/_of1</u>
Reviewer:_	FT
Reviewer:_	M.

2nd

## METHOD: GC/MS Gasoline Range Organics (EPA SW 846 Method 8260B)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
١.	Sample receipt/Technical holding times	A / A	
11.	GC/MS Instrument performance check	Δ	
١١١.	Initial calibration/ICV	$\Delta / \Delta$	12 ICY 520
IV.	Continuing calibration	A	$cut \neq 20/20$
V.	Laboratory Blanks	A	
VI.	Field blanks	M	TB=1
VII.	VII. Surrogate spikes		
VIII.	II. Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates		
IX.	Laboratory control samples	L∧	icstP
X.	Field duplicates	N	
XI.	KI. Internal standards		
XII.	XII. Target analyte quantitation		
XIII.	XIII. Target analyte identification		
XIV.	(IV. System performance		
XV.	Overall assessment of data	Δ	

Note:

A = Acceptable N = Not provided/applicable SW = See worksheet ND = No compounds detected R = Rinsate FB = Field blank D = Duplicate TB = Trip blank EB = Equipment blank SB=Source blank OTHER:

	Client ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Date
ſ	ERH1903 TB	BA46000	Water	11/10/21
2-	ERH1904	BA4600 <b>0</b> 1	Water	11/10/21
3				
4				
5				
6				
7				
8				
9				
Notes				
	ZIIIISAM			

# Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc. Data Validation Report

Project/Site Name: Red H	lill Bulk Storage Facility, C	TO 18F0126
--------------------------	-------------------------------	------------

LDC Report Date: March 3, 2022

Parameters:Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Extractables

Validation Level: Stage 2B

Laboratory: APPL, Inc., Clovis, CA

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 98213

Sample Identification	Laboratory Sample Identification	Matrix	Collection Date
ERH1904	BA46001	Water	11/10/21
ERH1904(SGCU)	BA46001(SGCU)	Water	11/10/21

Samples ending in "SGCU" underwent Silica Gel cleanup

#### Introduction

This Data Validation Report (DVR) presents data validation findings and results for the associated samples listed on the cover page. Data validation was performed in accordance with the Work Plan/Scope of Work, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 02, January 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 01, April 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 01, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, September 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 03, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, June 2018), the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) Quality Systems Manual (QSM) for Environmental Laboratories, Version 5.3 (2019), the DoD General Validation Guidelines (November 2019), and the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) Data Validation Guidelines Module 4: Data Validation Procedure for Organic Analysis by GC (March 2021). Where specific guidance was not available, the data has been evaluated in a conservative manner consistent with industry standards using professional experience.

The analyses were performed by the following method:

Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (TPH) as Extractables by Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) SW 846 Method 8015B

All sample results were subjected to Stage 2B data validation, which comprises an evaluation of quality control (QC) summary results.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers utilized during data validation:

- J+ (Estimated, High Bias): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated, displaying high bias, due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- J- (Estimated, Low Bias): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated, displaying low bias, due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- J (Estimated, Bias Indeterminate): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated due to non-conformances discovered during data validation. Bias is indeterminate.
- U (Non-detected): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the analyte should be considered non-detected due to the presence of contaminants detected in the associated blank(s).
- UJ (Non-detected estimated): The analyte was not detected and the associated numerical value is approximate.
- X (Exclusion of data recommended): The sample results (including non-detects) were affected by serious deficiencies in the ability to analyze the sample and to meet published method and project quality control criteria. The presence or absence of the analyte cannot be substantiated by the data provided. Exclusion of the data is recommended.
- NA (Not Applicable): The non-conformance discovered during data validation demonstrates a high bias, while the affected analyte in the associated sample(s) was reported as not detected by the laboratory and did not warrant the qualification of the data.

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

## **Qualification Code Reference**

- a ICP Serial Dilution %D was not within control limits.
- b Presumed contamination from preparation (method blank).
- c Calibration %RSD, r,  $r^2$ , %D or %R was noncompliant.
- d The analysis with this flag should not be used because another more technically sound analysis is available.
- e MS/MSD or Duplicate RPD was high.
- f Presumed contamination from FB or ER.
- g ICP ICS results were unsatisfactory.
- h Holding times were exceeded.
- i Internal standard performance was unsatisfactory.
- k Estimated Maximum Possible Concentration (HRGC/HRMS only)
- LCS/LCSD %R was not within control limits.
- m Result exceeded the calibration range.
- o Cooler temperature or temperature blank was noncompliant and/or sample custody problems.
- p RPD between two columns was high (GC only).
- q MS/MSD recovery was not within control limits.
- s Surrogate recovery was not within control limits.
- t Presumed contamination from trip blank.
- v Unusual problems found with the data not defined elsewhere. Description of the problem can be found in the validation report.
- w LCS/LCSD RPD was high.
- y Chemical recovery was not within control limits (Radiochemistry only).

## I. Sample Receipt and Technical Holding Times

All samples were received in good condition and cooler temperatures upon receipt met validation criteria.

All technical holding time requirements were met.

## II. Initial Calibration and Initial Calibration Verification

An initial calibration was performed as required by the method.

For analytes where average calibration factors were utilized, percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) were less than or equal to 20.0%.

In the case where the laboratory used a calibration curve to evaluate the analytes, all coefficients of determination ( $r^2$ ) were greater than or equal to 0.990.

The percent differences (%D) of the initial calibration verification (ICV) standard were less than or equal to 20.0% for all analytes.

## III. Continuing Calibration

Continuing calibration was performed at the required frequencies.

The percent differences (%D) were less than or equal to 20.0% for all analytes.

The percent differences (%D) of the ending continuing calibration verifications (CCVs) were less than or equal to 20.0% for all analytes.

## IV. Laboratory Blanks

Laboratory blanks were analyzed as required by the method. No contaminants were found in the laboratory blanks with the following exceptions:

Blank ID	Extraction Date	Analyte	Concentration	Limit of Quantitation	Associated Samples
211116A-BLK	11/16/21	Oil (C24-C40)	340 ug/L	320 ug/L	ERH1904
211116A1-BLK	11/16/21	Oil (C24-C40)	260 ug/L	320 ug/L	ERH1904(SGCU)

Sample concentrations were compared to concentrations detected in the laboratory blanks. The sample concentrations were either not detected or were significantly greater (>5X blank contaminants) than the concentrations found in the associated laboratory blanks with the following exceptions:

Sample	Analyte	Reported Concentration	Modified Final Concentration	
ERH1904	Oil (C24-C40)	250 ug/L	300U ug/L	
ERH1904(SGCU)	Oil (C24-C40)	200 ug/L	300U ug/L	

#### V. Field Blanks

No field blanks were identified in this SDG.

#### VI. Surrogates

Surrogates were added to all samples as required by the method. All surrogate recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

#### VII. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

The laboratory has indicated that there were no matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyses specified for the samples in this SDG, and therefore matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate analyses were not performed for this SDG.

#### VIII. Laboratory Control Samples

Laboratory control samples (LCS) and laboratory control samples duplicates (LCSD) were analyzed as required by the method. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits with the following exceptions:

LCS ID (Associated Samples)	Analyte	LCS %R (Limits)	LCSD %R (Limits)	Flag	A or P
211116A1-LCS/LCSD (ERH1904(SGCU))	Oil (C24-C40)	123 (41-113)	-	J+ (all detects)	Ρ

Relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

#### **IX. Field Duplicates**

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

#### X. Target Analyte Quantitation

Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

#### XI. Target Analyte Identification

Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

### XII. Overall Assessment of Data

The analysis was conducted within all specifications of the method. No results were rejected or recommended for exclusion in this SDG.

Due to LCS/LCSD %R, data were qualified as estimated in one sample.

Due to laboratory blank contamination, data were qualified as not detected in two samples.

## Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126 Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Extractables - Data Qualification Summary -SDG 98213

Sample	Analyte	Flag	A or P	Reason (Code)
ERH1904(SGCU)	Oil (C24-C40)	J+ (all detects)	Р	Laboratory control samples (%R) (l)

#### Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126

Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Extractables - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 98213

Sample	Analyte	Modified Final Concentration	A or P	Code
ERH1904	Oil (C24-C40)	300U ug/L	А	b
ERH1904(SGCU)	Oil (C24-C40)	300U ug/L	А	b

## Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126

Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Extractables - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 98213

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

ALIDATION COMPLETENES	S WORKSHEET
-----------------------	-------------

Stage 2B

LDC #: <u>52818J8</u> **V/** SDG #: <u>98213</u> Laboratory: <u>APPL, Inc., Clovis, CA</u>

# \_\_\_\_ Sta

	1	1
Date:	//2	122
Page:_	Lof_	<u></u>
Reviewer:	E	<u> </u>
Reviewer:	17	<u> </u>
	<u> </u>	/

METHOD: GC TPH as Extractables (EPA SW 846 Method 8015B)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
١.	Sample receipt/Technical holding times	A IA	
11.	Initial calibration/ICV	AIA	% PSD = 20 Y2 ICN E 20
.	Continuing calibration ending		$CW = 20/2\overline{D}$
IV.	Laboratory Blanks	SV	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
V.	Field blanks	Ń.	
VI.	Surrogate spikes	A	
VII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	N	65
VIII.	Laboratory control samples	500	Kes P
IX.	Field duplicates	N	
_X.	Target analyte quantitation	N	
<u></u>	Target analyte identification	N	
	Overall assessment of data		

Note:

A = Acceptable N = Not provided/applicable SW = See worksheet ND = No compounds detected R = Rinsate FB = Field blank D = Duplicate TB = Trip blank EB = Equipment blank SB=Source blank

OTHER:

2nd

Date **Client ID** Lab ID Matrix 1+ BA460001 ERH1904 Water 11/10/21 2+2 ERH1904(SGCU) BA460001(SGCU) Water 11/10/21 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 Notes:

1	211116A - BIK				
2	211116A1-BIK				

LDC a	#: 4	j2	४।	61	V

#### VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

Result

#### Blanks

d

	./	
METHOD:	GC	HPLC

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

<u>IN N/A</u> Were all samples associated with a given method blank?

N N/A Was a method blank performed for each matrix and whenever a sample extraction procedure was performed?

N N/A Was a method blank performed with each extraction batch?

Y N N/A Were any contaminants found in the method blanks? If yes, please see findings below. Vevel IV/D Only

<u>Y N N/A</u> (Gasoline and aromatics only)Was a method blank analyzed with each 24 hour batch? <u>Y N N/A</u> Was a method blank analyzed for each analytical / extraction batch of  $\leq$ 20 samples?

Blank extraction date: 11/16/2)	Blank analysis date: <u>}</u>	18 2)	Associated samples:	1
Conc. units: ug ]	-			

Compound	Blank ID	Sample Identification				
	211116A -B1	K I				
0il (C24-C40	340	250/3001-	3004			
	320	320				
			ļ			
Blank extraction date: <u>\</u>	16 21 Blank a	nalysis date: \1119 Z	Asso	ciated samples	s:	
Conc. units: vgL				•		 
Conc. units: <u>Vg</u> L Compound	Blank ID		Si	ample Identificatio	n	
Conc. units: UgL Compound	Blank ID	NK 2	Si	ample Identificatio	n	
Conc. units: <u>ug</u> <u>Compound</u> Oil (C24-C40)	Blank ID 2 11 11 GA 1- P 2 60	200/3004-	si P 3004	ample Identificatio	n	
Conc. units: <u>ug</u> <u>Compound</u> Oi] (C24–C40)	Blank ID 2 11 11 GA 1- P 260 32 0	200/3004- 320	-17 3004	ample Identificatio	<u>n</u>	
Conc. units: <u>vgl</u> <u>Compound</u> Oi] (C24-C40)	Blank ID 2 11 11 GA 1- P 260 32 U	DIK 2 200/3004- 320	-17 3004	ample Identificatio	<u>n</u>	
Conc. units: <u>ug</u> <u>Compound</u> Oi] (C24-C40)	Blank ID 2 11 11 GA 1- P 2 60 32 0	200/3004- 320	s; P 3004	ample Identificatio	<u>n</u>	
Conc. units: <u>ug L</u> <u>Compound</u> Oi] (C24–C40)	Blank ID 2 11 11 GA 1- P 260 32 0	200/3004- 320	-17 3004	ample Identificatio	n	
Conc. units: <u>ug L</u> <u>Compound</u> Oi] (C24–C40)	Blank ID 2 11 11 GA 1- P 260 32 0	200/3204- 320	52 7 3004	ample Identificatio	n	

ALL CIRCLED RESULTS WERE NOT QUALIFIED. ALL RESULTS NOT CIRCLED WERE QUALIFIED BY THE FOLLOWING STATEMENT: All contaminants within five times the method blank concentration were qualified as not detected, "U".

LDC #: 5281818

## VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)

Page: 1 of 1 Reviewer: FT

# METHOD: V GC \_\_ HPLC

/Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A". .∀.N N/A Were a laboratory control samples (LCS) and laboratory control sample duplicate (LCSD) analyzed for each matrix in this SDG? Y(N) N/A Were the LCS percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) within the QC limits?

#### Level IV/D Only

<u>Y N N</u>A Was an LCS analyzed every 20 samples for each matrix or whenever a sample extraction was performed?

#	LCS/LCSD ID	Compound	LCS %R (Limits)	LCSD %R (Limits)	RPD (Limits)	Associated Samples	Qualifications
	21111601 -	01] (C24-C40)	123 (41-113)		( )	2,	Star/P Det
	KAIP		( )	( )	( )	21116A1-BIK	
			( )	( )	( )		
			( )	( )	( )		
			( )	( )	( )		
			( )	( )	( )		
			( )	( )	( )		
			( )	()	( )		
			()	( )	( )		
		<u> </u>	( )	()	()_		
			( )	( )	( )		
			( )	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	()		
			( )	( )	()		
			( )	()	( )		
			( )	( )	()		
			()		(		
			( )	()	( )		
			( )	( )	( )		
			( )	( )	( )		
			( )	()	()		
			( )	( )	()		
			( )	( )	( )		
			( )	()	()		

# Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc. Data Validation Report

Project/Site Name: Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126

LDC Report Date: January 10, 2022

Parameters: Volatiles

Validation Level: Stage 2B

Laboratory: APPL, Inc., Clovis, CA

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 98214

	Laboratory Sample		Collection
Sample Identification	Identification	Matrix	Date
ERH1891	BA46102	Water	11/10/21
ERH1892	BA46103	Water	11/10/21
ERH1894	BA46104	Water	11/10/21
ERH1895	BA46105	Water	11/10/21
ERH1897	BA46106	Water	11/10/21
ERH1898	BA46107	Water	11/10/21
ERH1900	BA46108	Water	11/10/21
ERH1901	BA46109	Water	11/10/21

#### Introduction

This Data Validation Report (DVR) presents data validation findings and results for the associated samples listed on the cover page. Data validation was performed in accordance with the Work Plan/Scope of Work, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 02, January 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 01, April 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 01, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, September 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 03, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, June 2018), the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) Quality Systems Manual (QSM) for Environmental Laboratories, Version 5.3 (2019), the DoD General Validation Guidelines (November 2019), and the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) Data Validation Guidelines Module 1: Data Validation Procedure for Organic Analysis by GC/MS (May 2020). Where specific guidance was not available, the data has been evaluated in a conservative manner consistent with industry standards using professional experience.

The analyses were performed by the following method:

Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs) which are Benzene, Toluene, Ethylbenzene, Xylenes (BTEX) and Naphthalene by Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) SW 846 Method 8260B

All sample results were subjected to Stage 2B data validation, which comprises an evaluation of quality control (QC) summary results.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers utilized during data validation:

- J+ (Estimated, High Bias): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated, displaying high bias, due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- J- (Estimated, Low Bias): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated, displaying low bias, due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- J (Estimated, Bias Indeterminate): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated due to non-conformances discovered during data validation. Bias is indeterminate.
- U (Non-detected): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the analyte should be considered non-detected due to the presence of contaminants detected in the associated blank(s).
- UJ (Non-detected estimated): The analyte was not detected and the associated numerical value is approximate.
- X (Exclusion of data recommended): The sample results (including non-detects) were affected by serious deficiencies in the ability to analyze the sample and to meet published method and project quality control criteria. The presence or absence of the analyte cannot be substantiated by the data provided. Exclusion of the data is recommended.
- NA (Not Applicable): The non-conformance discovered during data validation demonstrates a high bias, while the affected analyte in the associated sample(s) was reported as not detected by the laboratory and did not warrant the qualification of the data.

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

## **Qualification Code Reference**

- a ICP Serial Dilution %D was not within control limits.
- b Presumed contamination from preparation (method blank).
- c Calibration %RSD, r,  $r^2$ , %D or %R was noncompliant.
- d The analysis with this flag should not be used because another more technically sound analysis is available.
- e MS/MSD or Duplicate RPD was high.
- f Presumed contamination from FB or ER.
- g ICP ICS results were unsatisfactory.
- h Holding times were exceeded.
- i Internal standard performance was unsatisfactory.
- k Estimated Maximum Possible Concentration (HRGC/HRMS only)
- LCS/LCSD %R was not within control limits.
- m Result exceeded the calibration range.
- o Cooler temperature or temperature blank was noncompliant and/or sample custody problems.
- p RPD between two columns was high (GC only).
- q MS/MSD recovery was not within control limits.
- s Surrogate recovery was not within control limits.
- t Presumed contamination from trip blank.
- v Unusual problems found with the data not defined elsewhere. Description of the problem can be found in the validation report.
- w LCS/LCSD RPD was high.
- y Chemical recovery was not within control limits (Radiochemistry only).

## I. Sample Receipt and Technical Holding Times

All samples were received in good condition and cooler temperatures upon receipt met validation criteria.

All technical holding time requirements were met.

## II. GC/MS Instrument Performance Check

A bromofluorobenzene (BFB) tune was performed at 12 hour intervals.

All ion abundance requirements were met.

## III. Initial Calibration and Initial Calibration Verification

An initial calibration was performed as required by the method.

The percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) were less than or equal to 15.0% for all analytes.

Average relative response factors (RRF) for all analytes were within validation criteria.

The percent differences (%D) of the initial calibration verification (ICV) standard were less than or equal to 20.0% for all analytes.

## IV. Continuing Calibration

Continuing calibration was performed at the required frequencies.

The percent differences (%D) were less than or equal to 20.0% for all analytes.

The percent differences (%D) of the ending continuing calibration verifications (CCVs) were less than or equal to 50.0% for all analytes.

All of the continuing calibration relative response factors (RRF) were within validation criteria.

## V. Laboratory Blanks

Laboratory blanks were analyzed as required by the method. No contaminants were found in the laboratory blanks.

## VI. Field Blanks

Samples ERH1891, ERH1894, ERH1897, and ERH1900 were identified as trip blanks. No contaminants were found.

## VII. Surrogates

Surrogates were added to all samples as required by the method. All surrogate recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

### VIII. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

The laboratory has indicated that there were no matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyses specified for the samples in this SDG, and therefore matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate analyses were not performed for this SDG.

#### IX. Laboratory Control Samples

Laboratory control samples (LCS) and laboratory control samples duplicates (LCSD) were analyzed as required by the method. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits. Relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

#### X. Field Duplicates

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

#### XI. Internal Standards

All internal standard areas and retention times were within QC limits.

## XII. Target Analyte Quantitation

Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

#### XIII. Target Analyte Identification

Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

#### XIV. System Performance

Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

#### XV. Overall Assessment of Data

The analysis was conducted within all specifications of the method. No results were rejected or recommended for exclusion in this SDG.

### Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126 Volatiles - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 98214

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126 Volatiles - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 98214

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126 Volatiles - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 98214

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

VALIDATION	COMPLET	ENESS	WORKSHEE	Т
	Stage	2B		

LDC #: <u>52818K1a</u> **V** SDG #: <u>98214</u> Laboratory: <u>APPL, Inc., Clovis, CA</u>

## Date: 1 / 1/77 Page: / of \_7 Reviewer: \_\_\_\_\_\_ 2nd Reviewer: \_\_\_\_\_\_

#### METHOD: GC/MS Volatiles (BTEX)(EPA SW 846 Method 8260B)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
١.	Sample receipt/Technical holding times	Δ/Δ	
١١.	GC/MS Instrument performance check		F7
111.	Initial calibration/ICV	ΑΔ	% PSD = 20 15 10/ = 20
IV.	Continuing calibration	۵	CW = 20/50
V.	Laboratory Blanks	$\Delta$	
VI.	Field blanks	NP	TB= 1, 3, 5, 7
VII.	Surrogate spikes	$\triangle$	
VIII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	N	cs
IX.	Laboratory control samples	4	LOSIP
Х.	Field duplicates	N	
XI.	Internal standards	4	
XII.	Target analyte quantitation	N	
XIII.	Target analyte identification	N	
XIV.	System performance	N	
XV.	Overall assessment of data	4	

Note:

A = Acceptable N = Not provided/applicable SW = See worksheet ND = No compounds detected R = Rinsate FB = Field blank D = Duplicate TB = Trip blank EB = Equipment blank SB=Source blank OTHER:

÷

	Client ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Date
1 ~	ERH1891 TB	BA46102	Water	11/10/21
2-	ERH1892	BA46103	Water	11/10/21
3	ERH1894 <b>TQ</b>	BA46104	Water	11/10/21
4 -	ERH1895	BA46105	Water	11/10/21
5	ERH1897 TB	BA46106	Water	11/10/21
6	ERH1898	BA46107	Water	11/10/21
7	ERH1900 TY	BA46108	Water	11/10/21
8	ERH1901	BA46109	Water	11/10/21
9				
lotes:				
	AM211115-BIK	_		

# Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc. Data Validation Report

Project/Site Name:	Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126
--------------------	---

LDC Report Date: January 10, 2022

Parameters: Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons

Validation Level: Stage 2B

Laboratory: APPL, Inc., Clovis, CA

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 98214

Sample Identification	Laboratory Sample Identification	Matrix	Collection Date
ERH1892	BA46103	Water	11/10/21
ERH1895	BA46105	Water	11/10/21
ERH1898	BA46107	Water	11/10/21
ERH1901	BA46109	Water	11/10/21

#### Introduction

This Data Validation Report (DVR) presents data validation findings and results for the associated samples listed on the cover page. Data validation was performed in accordance with the Work Plan/Scope of Work, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 02, January 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 01, April 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 01, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, September 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 03, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, June 2018), the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) Quality Systems Manual (QSM) for Environmental Laboratories. Version 5.3 (2019), the DoD General Validation Guidelines (November 2019), and the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) Data Validation Guidelines Module 1: Data Validation Procedure for Organic Analysis by GC/MS (May 2020). Where specific guidance was not available, the data has been evaluated in a conservative manner consistent with industry standards using professional experience.

The analyses were performed by the following method:

Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAHs) which are 1-Methylnaphthalene, 2-Methylnaphthalene, and Naphthalene by Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) SW 846 Method 8270D in Selected Ion Monitoring (SIM) mode

All sample results were subjected to Stage 2B data validation, which comprises an evaluation of quality control (QC) summary results.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers utilized during data validation:

- J+ (Estimated, High Bias): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated, displaying high bias, due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- J- (Estimated, Low Bias): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated, displaying low bias, due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- J (Estimated, Bias Indeterminate): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated due to non-conformances discovered during data validation. Bias is indeterminate.
- U (Non-detected): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the analyte should be considered non-detected due to the presence of contaminants detected in the associated blank(s).
- UJ (Non-detected estimated): The analyte was not detected and the associated numerical value is approximate.
- X (Exclusion of data recommended): The sample results (including non-detects) were affected by serious deficiencies in the ability to analyze the sample and to meet published method and project quality control criteria. The presence or absence of the analyte cannot be substantiated by the data provided. Exclusion of the data is recommended.
- NA (Not Applicable): The non-conformance discovered during data validation demonstrates a high bias, while the affected analyte in the associated sample(s) was reported as not detected by the laboratory and did not warrant the qualification of the data.

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.
## **Qualification Code Reference**

- a ICP Serial Dilution %D was not within control limits.
- b Presumed contamination from preparation (method blank).
- c Calibration %RSD, r,  $r^2$ , %D or %R was noncompliant.
- d The analysis with this flag should not be used because another more technically sound analysis is available.
- e MS/MSD or Duplicate RPD was high.
- f Presumed contamination from FB or ER.
- g ICP ICS results were unsatisfactory.
- h Holding times were exceeded.
- i Internal standard performance was unsatisfactory.
- k Estimated Maximum Possible Concentration (HRGC/HRMS only)
- LCS/LCSD %R was not within control limits.
- m Result exceeded the calibration range.
- o Cooler temperature or temperature blank was noncompliant and/or sample custody problems.
- p RPD between two columns was high (GC only).
- q MS/MSD recovery was not within control limits.
- s Surrogate recovery was not within control limits.
- t Presumed contamination from trip blank.
- v Unusual problems found with the data not defined elsewhere. Description of the problem can be found in the validation report.
- w LCS/LCSD RPD was high.
- y Chemical recovery was not within control limits (Radiochemistry only).

## I. Sample Receipt and Technical Holding Times

All samples were received in good condition and cooler temperatures upon receipt met validation criteria.

All technical holding time requirements were met.

## **II. GC/MS Instrument Performance Check**

A decafluorotriphenylphosphine (DFTPP) tune was performed at 12 hour intervals.

All ion abundance requirements were met.

## III. Initial Calibration and Initial Calibration Verification

An initial calibration was performed as required by the method.

The percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) were less than or equal to 15.0% for all analytes.

Average relative response factors (RRF) for all analytes were within validation criteria.

The percent differences (%D) of the initial calibration verification (ICV) standard were less than or equal to 20.0% for all analytes.

## **IV. Continuing Calibration**

Continuing calibration was performed at the required frequencies.

The percent differences (%D) were less than or equal to 20.0% for all analytes.

The percent differences (%D) of the ending continuing calibration verifications (CCVs) were less than or equal to 50.0% for all analytes.

All of the continuing calibration relative response factors (RRF) were within validation criteria.

#### V. Laboratory Blanks

Laboratory blanks were analyzed as required by the method. No contaminants were found in the laboratory blanks.

#### VI. Field Blanks

No field blanks were identified in this SDG.

#### VII. Surrogates

Surrogates were added to all samples as required by the method. All surrogate recoveries (%R) were within QC limits with the following exceptions:

Sample	Surrogate	%R (Limits)	Affected Analyte	Flag	A or P
ERH1895	Fluoranthene-d10	54.8 (58-120)	All analytes	J- (all detects)	Ρ

#### VIII. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

The laboratory has indicated that there were no matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyses specified for the samples in this SDG, and therefore matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate analyses were not performed for this SDG.

#### IX. Laboratory Control Samples

Laboratory control samples (LCS) and laboratory control samples duplicates (LCSD) were analyzed as required by the method. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits. Relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

#### X. Field Duplicates

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

#### XI. Internal Standards

All internal standard areas and retention times were within QC limits.

#### XII. Target Analyte Quantitation

Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

#### XIII. Target Analyte Identification

Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

#### XIV. System Performance

Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

#### XV. Overall Assessment of Data

The analysis was conducted within all specifications of the method. No results were rejected or recommended for exclusion in this SDG.

Due to surrogate %R, data were qualified as estimated in one sample.

## Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126 Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 98214

Sample Analyte		Flag	A or P	Reason (Code)
ERH1895	All analytes	J- (all detects)	Р	Surrogates (%R) (s)

Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126

Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 98214

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126

Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 98214

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

#### VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET

Stage 2B

Date: \_//4/2<sup>7</sup> Page: \_lof \_\_1 Reviewer: \_\_\_\_\_ 2nd Reviewer: \_\_\_\_\_

SDG #: <u>98214</u> Laboratory: <u>APPL, Inc., Clovis, CA</u>

LDC #: 52818K2b

## METHOD: GC/MS Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons (EPA SW846 Method 8270D-SIM)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

,	Validation Area		Comments
١.	Sample receipt/Technical holding times	A A	
١١.	GC/MS Instrument performance check		<b>1</b>
	Initial calibration/ICV	AIA	0/0 BOD = 15 ICV = 20
IV.	Continuing calibration ending	Δ	CW = 20/50
V.	Laboratory Blanks	Δ	
VI.	Field blanks	N	
VII.	Surrogate spikes	500	
VIII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	2	\$
IX.	Laboratory control samples	Δ	KOS IP
Х.	Field duplicates	N	
XI.	Internal standards	A	
XII.	Target analyte quantitation	N	
XIII.	Target analyte identification	N	
XIV.	System performance	N	
XV.	Overall assessment of data		

Note:

A = Acceptable N = Not provided/applicable SW = See worksheet

ND = No compounds detected
R = Rinsate
FB = Field blank

D = Duplicate TB = Trip blank EB = Equipment blank SB=Source blank OTHER:

	Client ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Date
1+	ERH1892	BA46103	Water	11/10/21
2+	ERH1895	BA46105	Water	11/10/21
3	ERH1898	BA46107	Water	11/10/21
4	ERH1901	BA46109	Water	11/10/21
5				
6				
7				
8				
9				
Notes				
	211115AK			

211115AK			

LDC#: 5281 8K2b

Y (N.)N/A

#### VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET Surrogate Recovery

Page: Reviewer: FT

(5)

## METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270 $\mathcal{D}$ ) $\mathfrak{S}$ 1 $\mathcal{M}$

Please see qualification below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

 $\underline{Y}$  <u>W</u>N/A Were percent recoveries (%R) for surrogates within QC limits?

If 2 or more base neutral or acid surrogates were outside QC limits, was a reanalysis performed to confirm %R?

Y N N/A) If any %R was less than 10 percent, was a reanalysis performed to confirm %R?

#	Sample ID	Surrogate	%R (Limits)		Qı	ualifications
	2	7Y - DID	54.8	(58 - 120)	J-lujp	all Det
		•		()_	•	
				( )		
				()		
				( )		
				()		
				()		
				()	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
				()		
				( )	i	
				()		
				()		
				()		
				()		
				()		
				()		
				()		
				()		
				()		
				()		
				()		
				( )		
				( )		
				( )		

(NBZ) = Nitrobenzene - d5 (FBP) = 2-Fluorobiphenyl (TPH) = Terphenyl - d14 (2FP) = 2-Fluorophenol (TBP) = 2,4,6 -Tribromophenol (2CP) = 2-Chlorophenol - d4

## LDC Report# 52818K6

# Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc. Data Validation Report

Project/Site Name: Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126

LDC Report Date: December 29, 2021

Parameters: Total Organic Carbon

Validation Level: Stage 2B

Laboratory: APPL, Inc., Clovis, CA

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 98214

Sample Identification	Laboratory Sample Identification	Matrix	Collection Date
ERH1892	BA46103	Water	11/10/21
ERH1895	BA46105	Water	11/10/21
ERH1898	BA46107	Water	11/10/21
ERH1901	BA46109	Water	11/10/21

#### Introduction

This Data Validation Report (DVR) presents data validation findings and results for the associated samples listed on the cover page. Data validation was performed in accordance with the Work Plan/Scope of Work, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 02, January 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 01, April 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 01, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, September 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 03, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, June 2018), the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) Quality Systems Manual (QSM) for Environmental Laboratories, Version 5.3 (2019), and the DoD General Validation Guidelines (November 2019). Where specific guidance was not available, the data has been evaluated in a conservative manner consistent with industry standards using professional experience.

The analyses were performed by the following method:

Total Organic Carbon by Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) SW 846 Method 9060A

All sample results were subjected to Stage 2B data validation, which comprises an evaluation of quality control (QC) summary results.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers utilized during data validation:

- J+ (Estimated, High Bias): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated, displaying high bias, due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- J- (Estimated, Low Bias): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated, displaying low bias, due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- J (Estimated, Bias Indeterminate): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated due to non-conformances discovered during data validation. Bias is indeterminate.
- U (Non-detected): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the analyte should be considered non-detected due to the presence of contaminants detected in the associated blank(s).
- UJ (Non-detected estimated): The analyte was not detected and the associated numerical value is approximate.
- X (Exclusion of data recommended): The sample results (including non-detects) were affected by serious deficiencies in the ability to analyze the sample and to meet published method and project quality control criteria. The presence or absence of the analyte cannot be substantiated by the data provided. Exclusion of the data is recommended.
- NA (Not Applicable): The non-conformance discovered during data validation demonstrates a high bias, while the affected analyte in the associated sample(s) was reported as not detected by the laboratory and did not warrant the qualification of the data.

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

## **Qualification Code Reference**

- a ICP Serial Dilution %D was not within control limits.
- b Presumed contamination from preparation (method blank).
- c Calibration %RSD, r, r<sup>2</sup>, %D or %R was noncompliant.
- d The analysis with this flag should not be used because another more technically sound analysis is available.
- e MS/MSD or Duplicate RPD was high.
- f Presumed contamination from FB or ER.
- g ICP ICS results were unsatisfactory.
- h Holding times were exceeded.
- i Internal standard performance was unsatisfactory.
- k Estimated Maximum Possible Concentration (HRGC/HRMS only)
- LCS/LCSD %R was not within control limits.
- m Result exceeded the calibration range.
- o Cooler temperature or temperature blank was noncompliant and/or sample custody problems.
- p RPD between two columns was high (GC only).
- q MS/MSD recovery was not within control limits.
- s Surrogate recovery was not within control limits.
- t Presumed contamination from trip blank.
- v Unusual problems found with the data not defined elsewhere. Description of the problem can be found in the validation report.
- w LCS/LCSD RPD was high.
- y Chemical recovery was not within control limits (Radiochemistry only).

## I. Sample Receipt and Technical Holding Times

All samples were received in good condition.

All technical holding time requirements were met.

## II. Initial Calibration

All criteria for the initial calibration were met.

## III. Continuing Calibration

Continuing calibration frequency and analysis criteria were met with the following exceptions:

Date	Lab. Reference/ID	Analyte	%R (Limits)	Associated Samples	Flag	A or P
11/19/21	CCV (20:46)	Total organic carbon	85.6 (90-110)	All samples in SDG 98214	J- (all detects)	Р
11/20/21	CCV (05:05)	Total organic carbon	84.1 (90-110)	All samples in SDG 98214	J- (all detects)	Ρ

## IV. Laboratory Blanks

Laboratory blanks were analyzed as required by the method. No contaminants were found in the laboratory blanks.

## V. Field Blanks

No field blanks were identified in this SDG.

## VI. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

The laboratory has indicated that there were no matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyses specified for the samples in this SDG, and therefore matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate analyses were not performed for this SDG.

## VII. Duplicate Sample Analysis

The laboratory has indicated that there were no duplicate (DUP) analyses specified for the samples in this SDG, and therefore duplicate analyses were not performed for this SDG.

## VIII. Laboratory Control Samples

Laboratory control samples (LCS) and laboratory control samples duplicates (LCSD) were analyzed as required by the method. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits. Relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

## **IX. Field Duplicates**

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

## X. Target Analyte Quantitation

Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

## XI. Overall Assessment of Data

The analysis was conducted within all specifications of the method. No results were rejected or recommended for exclusion in this SDG.

Due to continuing calibration %R, data were qualified as estimated in four samples.

١

## Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126 Total Organic Carbon - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 98214

Sample	Analyte	Flag	A or P	Reason (Code)
ERH1892 ERH1895 ERH1898 ERH1901	Total organic carbon	J- (all detects)	Ρ	Continuing calibration (%R) (c)

## Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126 Total Organic Carbon - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 98214

# No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126 Total Organic Carbon - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 98214

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

V:\LOGIN\AECOM\Red H	lill\52818K6W.wpd
----------------------	-------------------

LDC #:_	52818K6	V
SDG #:_	98214	
Laborat	ory: APPL, Inc., Clovis	, CA

# ALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET

Stage 2B



#### METHOD: (Analyte) TOC (EPA SW846 Method 9060A)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

Validation Area Comments AA Sample receipt/Technical holding times ١. Ш Initial calibration SIA Ш. Calibration verification IV Laboratory Blanks v Field blanks C.S ٨ VI. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates N VII. Duplicate sample analysis LCSILCSD A VIII. Laboratory control samples ٨I Field duplicates IX. Х. Target Analyte Quantitation Ν A XI. Overall assessment of data

Note:

A = Acceptable N = Not provide SW = See worksheet ND = No compounds detected

D = Duplicate TB = Trip blank SB=Source blank

d/applicable	
rehaat	

10 -	The blank
EB =	Equipment blank

O	Т	н	E	R	1:

R = Rinsa FB = Field	ate d blank

Client ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Date
ERH1892	BA46103	Water	11/10/21
ERH1895	BA46105	Water	11/10/21
ERH1898	BA46107	Water	11/10/21
ERH1901	BA46109	Water	11/10/21
	<u></u>		

1

## VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET Calibration

#### METHOD: Inorganics, EPA Method See cover

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A". (Y/N N/A

Were all instruments calibrated daily, each set-up time, and were the proper number of standards used? Y(N)N/A

Were all initial and continuing calibration verification percent recoveries (%R) within the control limits of 90-110%?

Are all correlation coefficients >0.995 ?

#### LEVEL IV/D ONLY: YN (VÁ)

Ý) Ň N/A

Y N (NTA)

 $Y N \overline{N/A}$ 

Were recalculated results acceptable? See Level IV Initial and Continuing Calibration Recaluculation Worksheet for recalulations.

Was a balance check conducted prior to the TDS analysis.?

Was the titrant normality checked?

#	Date	Calibration ID	Analyte	%R	Associated Samples	Qualifications Code: c
	11/19/21	CCV (20:46)	тос	85.6 (90-110)	all	J-/UJ/P (detect)
	11/20/21	CCV (05:05)	тос	84.1 (90-110)	all	J-/UJ/P (detect)

Comments:

# Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc. Data Validation Report

Project/Site Name:	Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126
--------------------	---

LDC Report Date: January 10, 2022

- Parameters: Gasoline Range Organics
- Validation Level: Stage 2B
- Laboratory: APPL, Inc., Clovis, CA

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 98214

	Laboratory Sample		Collection
Sample Identification	Identification	Matrix	Date
ERH1891	BA46102	Water	11/10/21
ERH1892	BA46103	Water	11/10/21
ERH1894	BA46104	Water	11/10/21
ERH1895	BA46105	Water	11/10/21
ERH1897	BA46106	Water	11/10/21
ERH1898	BA46107	Water	11/10/21
ERH1900	BA46108	Water	11/10/21
ERH1901	BA46109	Water	11/10/21

#### Introduction

This Data Validation Report (DVR) presents data validation findings and results for the associated samples listed on the cover page. Data validation was performed in accordance with the Work Plan/Scope of Work, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 02, January 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 01, April 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 01, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, September 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 03, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, June 2018), the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) Quality Systems Manual (QSM) for Environmental Laboratories, Version 5.3 (2019), the DoD General Validation Guidelines (November 2019), and the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) Data Validation Guidelines Module 4: Data Validation Procedure for Organic Analysis by GC (March 2021). Where specific guidance was not available, the data has been evaluated in a conservative manner consistent with industry standards using professional experience.

The analyses were performed by the following method:

Gasoline Range Organics by Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) SW 846 Method 8260B

All sample results were subjected to Stage 2B data validation, which comprises an evaluation of quality control (QC) summary results.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers utilized during data validation:

- J+ (Estimated, High Bias): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated, displaying high bias, due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- J- (Estimated, Low Bias): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated, displaying low bias, due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- J (Estimated, Bias Indeterminate): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated due to non-conformances discovered during data validation. Bias is indeterminate.
- U (Non-detected): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the analyte should be considered non-detected due to the presence of contaminants detected in the associated blank(s).
- UJ (Non-detected estimated): The analyte was not detected and the associated numerical value is approximate.
- X (Exclusion of data recommended): The sample results (including non-detects) were affected by serious deficiencies in the ability to analyze the sample and to meet published method and project quality control criteria. The presence or absence of the analyte cannot be substantiated by the data provided. Exclusion of the data is recommended.
- NA (Not Applicable): The non-conformance discovered during data validation demonstrates a high bias, while the affected analyte in the associated sample(s) was reported as not detected by the laboratory and did not warrant the qualification of the data.

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

## **Qualification Code Reference**

- a ICP Serial Dilution %D was not within control limits.
- b Presumed contamination from preparation (method blank).
- c Calibration %RSD, r,  $r^2$ , %D or %R was noncompliant.
- d The analysis with this flag should not be used because another more technically sound analysis is available.
- e MS/MSD or Duplicate RPD was high.
- f Presumed contamination from FB or ER.
- g ICP ICS results were unsatisfactory.
- h Holding times were exceeded.
- i Internal standard performance was unsatisfactory.
- k Estimated Maximum Possible Concentration (HRGC/HRMS only)
- LCS/LCSD %R was not within control limits.
- m Result exceeded the calibration range.
- o Cooler temperature or temperature blank was noncompliant and/or sample custody problems.
- p RPD between two columns was high (GC only).
- q MS/MSD recovery was not within control limits.
- s Surrogate recovery was not within control limits.
- t Presumed contamination from trip blank.
- v Unusual problems found with the data not defined elsewhere. Description of the problem can be found in the validation report.
- w LCS/LCSD RPD was high.
- y Chemical recovery was not within control limits (Radiochemistry only).

## I. Sample Receipt and Technical Holding Times

All samples were received in good condition and cooler temperatures upon receipt met validation criteria.

All technical holding time requirements were met.

## II. Initial Calibration and Initial Calibration Verification

An initial calibration was performed as required by the method.

A curve fit, based on the initial calibration, was established for quantitation. The coefficient of determination  $(r^2)$  was greater than or equal to 0.990.

The percent differences (%D) of the initial calibration verification (ICV) standard were less than or equal to 20.0%.

## III. Continuing Calibration

Continuing calibration was performed at the required frequencies.

The percent differences (%D) were less than or equal to 20.0%.

#### IV. Laboratory Blanks

Laboratory blanks were analyzed as required by the method. No contaminants were found in the laboratory blanks.

## V. Field Blanks

Samples ERH1891, ERH1894, ERH1897, and ERH1900 were identified as trip blanks. No contaminants were found.

## VI. Surrogates

Surrogates were added to all samples as required by the method. All surrogate recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

## VII. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

The laboratory has indicated that there were no matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyses specified for the samples in this SDG, and therefore matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate analyses were not performed for this SDG.

## VIII. Laboratory Control Samples

Laboratory control samples (LCS) and laboratory control samples duplicates (LCSD) were analyzed as required by the method. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits. Relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

## **IX. Field Duplicates**

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

## X. Target Analyte Quantitation

Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

## XI. Target Analyte Identification

Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

#### XII. Overall Assessment of Data

The analysis was conducted within all specifications of the method. No results were rejected or recommended for exclusion in this SDG.

## Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126 Gasoline Range Organics - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 98214

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126 Gasoline Range Organics - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 98214

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126 Gasoline Range Organics - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 98214

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET	VAL		COMPL	ETENESS	WORKSHEET
-----------------------------------	-----	--	-------	---------	-----------

Stage 2B

	Date:	ł	2	22
	Page:_	1	of_	<u> </u>
	Reviewer:			E
2nd	Reviewer:		A	<u> </u>
			n	

SDG #: <u>98214</u> Laboratory: <u>APPL, Inc., Clovis, CA</u>

LDC #: 52818K7

#### METHOD: GC/MS Gasoline Range Organics (EPA SW 846 Method 8260B)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Sample receipt/Technical holding times	AIA	
١١.	GC/MS Instrument performance check	Δ	
.	Initial calibration/ICV	A,A	2/0 psD ± 1
IV.	Continuing calibration	A	
V.	Laboratory Blanks	Δ	
VI.	Field blanks	ND	T13 = 1, 3, 5, 7
VII.	Surrogate spikes	Δ	1 1
VIII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	N	
IX.	Laboratory control samples	Δ	ics ID
Х.	Field duplicates	N	
XI.	Internal standards	A	
XII.	Target analyte quantitation	N	
XIII.	Target analyte identification	N	
XIV.	System performance	N	
_xv.	Overall assessment of data	6	

Note:

A = Acceptable N = Not provided/applicable SW = See worksheet

ND = No compounds detected
R = Rinsate
FB = Field blank

D = Duplicate TB = Trip blank EB = Equipment blank SB=Source blank OTHER:

	Client ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Date
1 -	ERH1891 TB	BA46102	Water	11/10/21
2+	ERH1892	BA46103	Water	11/10/21
3~	ERH1894 <b>T</b> B	BA46104	Water	11/10/21
4 +	ERH1895	BA46105	Water	11/10/21
5	ERH1897 <b>TB</b>	BA46106	Water	11/10/21
6	ERH1898	BA46107	Water	11/10/21
7-	ERH1900 TB	BA46108	Water	11/10/21
8 -	ERH1901	BA46109	Water	11/10/21
9				
Notes:				
	AM211115-BLK			

# Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc. Data Validation Report

Project/Site Name:	Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126
--------------------	---

LDC Report Date: January 10, 2022

Parameters: Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Extractables

Validation Level: Stage 2B

Laboratory: APPL, Inc., Clovis, CA

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 98214

Sample Identification	Laboratory Sample Identification	Matrix	Collection Date
ERH1892	BA46103	Water	11/10/21
ERH1895	BA46105	Water	11/10/21
ERH1898	BA46107	Water	11/10/21
ERH1901	BA46109	Water	11/10/21
ERH1892(SGCU)	BA46103(SGCU)	Water	11/10/21
ERH1895(SGCU)	BA46105(SGCU)	Water	11/10/21
ERH1898(SGCU)	BA46107(SGCU)	Water	11/10/21
ERH1901(SGCU)	BA46109(SGCU)	Water	11/10/21

Samples ending in "SGCU" underwent Silica Gel cleanup

#### Introduction

This Data Validation Report (DVR) presents data validation findings and results for the associated samples listed on the cover page. Data validation was performed in accordance with the Work Plan/Scope of Work, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 02, January 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 01, April 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 01, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, September 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 03, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, June 2018), the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) Quality Systems Manual (QSM) for Environmental Laboratories, Version 5.3 (2019), the DoD General Validation Guidelines (November 2019), and the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) Data Validation Guidelines Module 4: Data Validation Procedure for Organic Analysis by GC (March 2021). Where specific guidance was not available, the data has been evaluated in a conservative manner consistent with industry standards using professional experience.

The analyses were performed by the following method:

Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (TPH) as Extractables by Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) SW 846 Method 8015B

All sample results were subjected to Stage 2B data validation, which comprises an evaluation of quality control (QC) summary results.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers utilized during data validation:

- J+ (Estimated, High Bias): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated, displaying high bias, due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- J- (Estimated, Low Bias): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated, displaying low bias, due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- J (Estimated, Bias Indeterminate): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated due to non-conformances discovered during data validation. Bias is indeterminate.
- U (Non-detected): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the analyte should be considered non-detected due to the presence of contaminants detected in the associated blank(s).
- UJ (Non-detected estimated): The analyte was not detected and the associated numerical value is approximate.
- X (Exclusion of data recommended): The sample results (including non-detects) were affected by serious deficiencies in the ability to analyze the sample and to meet published method and project quality control criteria. The presence or absence of the analyte cannot be substantiated by the data provided. Exclusion of the data is recommended.
- NA (Not Applicable): The non-conformance discovered during data validation demonstrates a high bias, while the affected analyte in the associated sample(s) was reported as not detected by the laboratory and did not warrant the qualification of the data.

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

## Qualification Code Reference

- a ICP Serial Dilution %D was not within control limits.
- b Presumed contamination from preparation (method blank).
- c Calibration %RSD, r, r<sup>2</sup>, %D or %R was noncompliant.
- d The analysis with this flag should not be used because another more technically sound analysis is available.
- e MS/MSD or Duplicate RPD was high.
- f Presumed contamination from FB or ER.
- g ICP ICS results were unsatisfactory.
- h Holding times were exceeded.
- i Internal standard performance was unsatisfactory.
- k Estimated Maximum Possible Concentration (HRGC/HRMS only)
- LCS/LCSD %R was not within control limits.
- m Result exceeded the calibration range.
- o Cooler temperature or temperature blank was noncompliant and/or sample custody problems.
- p RPD between two columns was high (GC only).
- q MS/MSD recovery was not within control limits.
- s Surrogate recovery was not within control limits.
- t Presumed contamination from trip blank.
- v Unusual problems found with the data not defined elsewhere. Description of the problem can be found in the validation report.
- w LCS/LCSD RPD was high.
- y Chemical recovery was not within control limits (Radiochemistry only).

# I. Sample Receipt and Technical Holding Times

All samples were received in good condition and cooler temperatures upon receipt met validation criteria.

All technical holding time requirements were met.

## II. Initial Calibration and Initial Calibration Verification

An initial calibration was performed as required by the method.

For analytes where average calibration factors were utilized, percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) were less than or equal to 20.0%.

In the case where the laboratory used a calibration curve to evaluate the analytes, all coefficients of determination ( $r^2$ ) were greater than or equal to 0.990.

The percent differences (%D) of the initial calibration verification (ICV) standard were less than or equal to 20.0% for all analytes.

## **III.** Continuing Calibration

Continuing calibration was performed at the required frequencies.

The percent differences (%D) were less than or equal to 20.0% for all analytes.

The percent differences (%D) of the ending continuing calibration verifications (CCVs) were less than or equal to 20.0% for all analytes.

## IV. Laboratory Blanks

Laboratory blanks were analyzed as required by the method. No contaminants were found in the laboratory blanks with the following exceptions:

Blank ID	Extraction Date	Analyte	Concentration	Limit of Quantitation	Associated Samples
211116A-BLK	11/16/21	Oil (C24-C40)	340 ug/L	320 ug/L	ERH1892 ERH1895 ERH1898 ERH1901
211116A1-BLK	11/16/21	Oil (C24-C40)	260 ug/L	320 ug/L	ERH1892(SGCU) ERH1895(SGCU) ERH1898(SGCU) ERH1901(SGCU)

Sample concentrations were compared to concentrations detected in the laboratory blanks. The sample concentrations were either not detected or were significantly greater (>5X blank contaminants) than the concentrations found in the associated laboratory blanks with the following exceptions:

Sample	Analyte	Reported Concentration	Modified Final Concentration
ERH1892	Oil (C24-C40)	150 ug/L 300U ເ	
ERH1895	Oil (C24-C40)	250 ug/L 300U ug	
ERH1898	Oil (C24-C40)	270 ug/L	300U ug/L
ERH1901	Oil (C24-C40)	300 ug/L	300U ug/L
ERH1892(SGCU)	Oil (C24-C40)	160 ug/L	300U ug/L
ERH1898(SGCU)	Oil (C24-C40)	190 ug/L	300U ug/L
ERH1901(SGCU)	Oil (C24-C40)	170 ug/L	300U ug/L

#### V. Field Blanks

No field blanks were identified in this SDG.

#### VI. Surrogates

Surrogates were added to all samples as required by the method. All surrogate recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

#### VII. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

The laboratory has indicated that there were no matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyses specified for the samples in this SDG, and therefore matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate analyses were not performed for this SDG.

#### VIII. Laboratory Control Samples

Laboratory control samples (LCS) and laboratory control samples duplicates (LCSD) were analyzed as required by the method. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits with the following exceptions:

LCS ID (Associated Samples)	Analyte	LCS %R (Limits)	LCSD %R (Limits)	Flag	A or P
211116A1-LCS/LCSD (ERH1892(SGCU) ERH1895(SGCU) ERH1901(SGCU))	Oil (C24-C40)	123 (41-113)	-	J+ (all detects)	Ρ
211116A1-LCS/LCSD (ERH1898(SGCU))	Oil (C24-C40)	123 (41-113)	-	NA	-

Relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

#### IX. Field Duplicates

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

## X. Target Analyte Quantitation

Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

## XI. Target Analyte Identification

Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

#### XII. Overall Assessment of Data

The analysis was conducted within all specifications of the method. No results were rejected or recommended for exclusion in this SDG.

Due to LCS/LCSD %R, data were qualified as estimated in three samples.

Due to laboratory blank contamination, data were qualified as not detected in seven samples.

## Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126 Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Extractables - Data Qualification Summary -SDG 98214

Sample	Analyte	Flag	A or P	Reason (Code)
ERH1892(SGCU) ERH1895(SGCU) ERH1901(SGCU)	Oil (C24-C40)	J+ (all detects)	P	Laboratory control samples (%R) (I)

#### Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126

Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Extractables - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 98214

Sample	Analyte	Modified Final Concentration	A or P	Code
ERH1892	Oil (C24-C40)	300U ug/L	А	b
ERH1895	Oil (C24-C40)	300Ų ug/L	А	b
ERH1898	Oil (C24-C40)	300U ug/L	A	b
ERH1901	Oil (C24-C40)	300U ug/L	А	b
ERH1892(SGCU)	Oil (C24-C40)	300U ug/L	А	b
ERH1898(SGCU)	Oil (C24-C40)	300U ug/L	А	b
ERH1901(SGCU)	Oil (C24-C40)	300U ug/L	А	b

### Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126

Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Extractables - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 98214

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

LDC #: <u>52818K8</u>	VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET	Date:	_1/ +/
SDG #: 98214	Stage 2B	Page:_	
Laboratory: APPL, Inc., Clovis,	CA	Reviewer:	P
		2nd Reviewer:	*

### METHOD: GC TPH as Extractables (EPA SW 846 Method 8015B)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Sample receipt/Technical holding times	AA	
.	Initial calibration/ICV	AΔ	% PSD = 20, 12 ICV = 20
Ш.	Continuing calibration ending	A	$c\omega \leq \omega   \omega$
IV.	Laboratory Blanks	SW	
V.	Field blanks	N	
VI.	Surrogate spikes	Δ	
VII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	2	\$
VIII.	Laboratory control samples	SW	
IX.	Field duplicates	N	
Х.	Target analyte quantitation	N	
XI.	Target analyte identification	N	
	Overall assessment of data	A	

Note:

A = Acceptable N = Not provided/applicable SW = See worksheet ND = No compounds detected R = Rinsate FB = Field blank D = Duplicate TB = Trip blank EB = Equipment blank SB=Source blank OTHER:

	Client ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Date
1+1	ERH1892	BA46103	Water	11/10/21
2+1	ERH1895	BA46105	Water	11/10/21
3 1	ERH1898	BA46107	Water	11/10/21
4 <sup>1</sup>	ERH1901	BA46109	Water	11/10/21
5 Z	ERH1892(SGCU)	BA46103(SGCU)	Water	11/10/21
6 V	ERH1895(SGCU)	BA46105(SGCU)	Water	11/10/21
7 N	ERH1898(SGCU)	BA46107(SGCU)	Water	11/10/21
87	ERH1901(SGCU)	BA46109(SGCU)	Water	11/10/21
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
Notes:		·····		
	21111 6A-BIK			
	21111 GAI-BLIK			

LDC #:	<u>6281</u> 8K8
--------	-----------------

## VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

### <u>Blanks</u>

<b>METHOD:</b> <u>GC</u> HPLC Rlease see qualifications below for <u>X N N/A</u> Were all samples assoc	all questions answered "N". ciated with a given method bl	Not applicable que ank?	estions are identif	fied as "N/A".	10						
Y N N/A Was a method blank pe Y N N/A Was a method blank pe Y N N/A Were any contaminants Level IV/D Only Y N N/A (Gasoline and aromatic: Y N N/A Was a method blank an Blank extraction date:11 / 2 Conc. units:uo_L	s formed for each matrix and erformed with each extraction s found in the method blanks s only)Was a method blank a halyzed for each analytical / e f Blank analysis date:1	whenever a sample batch? If yes, please se analyzed with each extraction batch of	e extraction proc e findings below. 24 hour batch? ≤20 samples? Associated s	edure was perform Result	<u> -r L</u>	(b) 					
Compound E	Compound Blank ID Sample Identification										
211	116A-BIK	1	2	3	4						
0il (c24-c40)	340	150 300.04	250/300.04	270 /300.04	300 / 300.0	M					
	320	320	320	320	320						
Blank extraction date: 11192   Blank extraction date: 1192   Associated samples: 5 -7 ×   Conc. units: 912											
Compound E	Blank ID	Sample Identification									
211	IIGAI-BIK	5	7	४							
0il (C24-C40)	260	160 300.04	1 190 300.0	14 170/300.	ОЧ						
	3Z D	320	320	320							
	1										

ALL CIRCLED RESULTS WERE NOT QUALIFIED. ALL RESULTS NOT CIRCLED WERE QUALIFIED BY THE FOLLOWING STATEMENT: All contaminants within five times the method blank concentration were qualified as not detected, "U".

METHOD: V GC HPLC

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

Were a laboratory control samples (LCS) and laboratory control sample duplicate (LCSD) analyzed for each matrix in this SDG?

Y N/A Were the LCS percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) within the QC limits?

# Level JV/D Only

Y N N/A / Was an LCS analyzed every 20 samples for each matrix or whenever a sample extraction was performed?

#	LCS/LCSD ID	Compound	LCS %R (Limits)	LCSD %R (Limits)	RPD (Limits)	Associated Samples	Qualifications
	21111641-	01 (C24-C4	1) 123 (41-113)	<b>4</b> ( )	()	5-78,	H dri /p
	LesID			( )	( )	21116A1-BIK	#57.8 DUT
			()	( )	( )		·····
			( )	( )	( )		
			( )	( )	( )		
			( )	()	( )		
			( )	( )	( )		
				()	()		
			( )	( )	( )		
			( )	( )	( )		
			()	()	()		
			( )	()	( )		
			( )	( )	( )		
			()	( )	( )		
			( )	( )	( )		
			()	()			
			( )	()	( )		
			( )	()	( )		
			( )	( )	( )		
			( )	( )	()		
			( )	()	( )		
			()	( )	( )		
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		()	()	()		