



# LABORATORY DATA CONSULTANTS, INC.

2701 Loker Ave. West, Suite 220, Carlsbad, CA 92010 Bus: 760-827-1100 Fax: 760-827-1099

AECOM  
1001 Bishop Street Suite 1600  
Honolulu, HI 96813  
ATTN: Ms. Alethea Ramos  
[alethea.ramos@aecom.com](mailto:alethea.ramos@aecom.com)

November 24, 2021

SUBJECT: Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126 - Data Validation

Dear Ms. Ramos,

Enclosed are the final validation reports for the fraction listed below. These SDGs were received on November 11, 2021. Attachment 1 is a summary of the samples that were reviewed for the analysis.

## **LDC Project #52547B:**

<b><u>SDG #</u></b>	<b><u>Fraction</u></b>
B21101919	TPH as Extractables, TPH as Extractables (SGCU)

The data validation was performed under Stage 2B & 4 validation guidelines. The analysis was validated using the following documents and variances, as applicable to the method:

- Work Plan/Scope of Work, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor - Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 02, January 2017)
- Sampling and Analysis Plan, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor - Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 01, April 2017)
- Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 01, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, September 2017)
- Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 03, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, June 2018)
- U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) Quality Systems Manual (QSM) for Environmental Laboratories, Version 5.3 (2019)
- DoD General Validation Guidelines (November 2019)
- U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) Data Validation Guidelines Module 4: Data Validation Procedure for Organic Analysis by GC (March 2021)
- EPA SW 846, Third Edition, Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Waste, update 1, July 1992; update IIA, August 1993; update II, September 1994; update IIB, January 1995; update III, December 1996; update IIIA, April 1998; IIIB, November 2004; update IV, February 2007; update V, July 2014; update VI, July 2018

Please feel free to contact us if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Stella Cuenco  
Operations Manager/Senior Chemist  
[scuenco@lab-data.com](mailto:scuenco@lab-data.com)



## Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc. Data Validation Report

**Project/Site Name:** Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126

**LDC Report Date:** November 16, 2021

**Parameters:** Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Extractables

**Validation Level:** Stage 2B & 4

**Laboratory:** Energy Laboratories, Billings, MT

**Sample Delivery Group (SDG):** B21101919

Sample Identification	Laboratory Sample Identification	Matrix	Collection Date
ERH1834(RHMW01R)	B21101919-001	Water	10/20/21
ERH1828(RHMW02)**	B21101919-002**	Water	10/20/21
ERH1831(RHMW03)	B21101919-003	Water	10/20/21
ERH1825(RHMW05)	B21101919-004	Water	10/20/21
ERH1837(RHMW2254-01)	B21101919-005	Water	10/20/21
ERH1840(RHSF)	B21101919-006	Water	10/20/21
ERH1842(RHSF)	B21101919-007	Water	10/20/21
ERH1834(RHMW01R)(SGCU)	B21101919-001(SGCU)	Water	10/20/21
ERH1828(RHMW02)(SGCU)**	B21101919-002(SGCU)**	Water	10/20/21
ERH1831(RHMW03)(SGCU)	B21101919-003(SGCU)	Water	10/20/21
ERH1825(RHMW05)(SGCU)	B21101919-004(SGCU)	Water	10/20/21
ERH1834(RHMW01R)MS	B21101919-001MS	Water	10/20/21
ERH1834(RHMW01R)MSD	B21101919-001MSD	Water	10/20/21
ERH1828(RHMW02)MS	B21101919-002MS	Water	10/20/21
ERH1828(RHMW02)MSD	B21101919-002MSD	Water	10/20/21
ERH1834(RHMW01R)(SGCU)MS	B21101919-001(SGCU)MS	Water	10/20/21
ERH1834(RHMW01R)(SGCU)MSD	B21101919-001(SGCU)MSD	Water	10/20/21
ERH1828(RHMW02)(SGCU)MS	B21101919-002(SGCU)MS	Water	10/20/21
ERH1828(RHMW02)(SGCU)MSD	B21101919-002(SGCU)MSD	Water	10/20/21

Samples appended with "SGCU" underwent Silica Gel cleanup

\*\*Indicates sample underwent Stage 4 validation

## Introduction

This Data Validation Report (DVR) presents data validation findings and results for the associated samples listed on the cover page. Data validation was performed in accordance with the Work Plan/Scope of Work, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 02, January 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 01, April 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 01, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, September 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 03, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, June 2018), the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) Quality Systems Manual (QSM) for Environmental Laboratories, Version 5.3 (2019), the DoD General Validation Guidelines (November 2019), and the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) Data Validation Guidelines Module 4: Data Validation Procedure for Organic Analysis by GC (March 2021). Where specific guidance was not available, the data has been evaluated in a conservative manner consistent with industry standards using professional experience.

The analyses were performed by the following method:

Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (TPH) as Extractables by Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) SW 846 Method 8015C

All sample results were subjected to Stage 2B data validation, which comprises an evaluation of quality control (QC) summary results. Samples appended with a double asterisk on the cover page were subjected to Stage 4 data validation, which is comprised of the QC summary forms as well as the raw data, to confirm sample quantitation and identification.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers utilized during data validation:

- J+ (Estimated, High Bias): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated, displaying high bias, due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- J- (Estimated, Low Bias): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated, displaying low bias, due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- J (Estimated, Bias Indeterminate): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated due to non-conformances discovered during data validation. Bias is indeterminate.
- U (Non-detected): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the analyte should be considered non-detected due to the presence of contaminants detected in the associated blank(s).
- UU (Non-detected estimated): The analyte was not detected and the associated numerical value is approximate.
- X (Exclusion of data recommended): The sample results (including non-detects) were affected by serious deficiencies in the ability to analyze the sample and to meet published method and project quality control criteria. The presence or absence of the analyte cannot be substantiated by the data provided. Exclusion of the data is recommended.
- NA (Not Applicable): The non-conformance discovered during data validation demonstrates a high bias, while the affected analyte in the associated sample(s) was reported as not detected by the laboratory and did not warrant the qualification of the data.

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

## Qualification Code Reference

- a ICP Serial Dilution %D was not within control limits.
- b Presumed contamination from preparation (method blank).
- c Calibration %RSD, r,  $r^2$ , %D or %R was noncompliant.
- d The analysis with this flag should not be used because another more technically sound analysis is available.
- e MS/MSD or Duplicate RPD was high.
- f Presumed contamination from FB or ER.
- g ICP ICS results were unsatisfactory.
- h Holding times were exceeded.
- i Internal standard performance was unsatisfactory.
- k Estimated Maximum Possible Concentration (HRGC/HRMS only)
- l LCS/LCSD %R was not within control limits.
- m Result exceeded the calibration range.
- o Cooler temperature or temperature blank was noncompliant and/or sample custody problems.
- p RPD between two columns was high (GC only).
- q MS/MSD recovery was not within control limits.
- s Surrogate recovery was not within control limits.
- t Presumed contamination from trip blank.
- v Unusual problems found with the data not defined elsewhere. Description of the problem can be found in the validation report.
- w LCS/LCSD RPD was high.
- y Chemical recovery was not within control limits (Radiochemistry only).

## **I. Sample Receipt and Technical Holding Times**

All samples were received in good condition and cooler temperatures upon receipt met validation criteria.

All technical holding time requirements were met.

## **II. Initial Calibration and Initial Calibration Verification**

An initial calibration was performed as required by the method.

The percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) were less than or equal to 20.0% for all analytes.

The percent differences (%D) of the initial calibration verification (ICV) standard were less than or equal to 20.0% for all analytes.

## **III. Continuing Calibration**

Continuing calibration was performed at the required frequencies.

The percent differences (%D) were less than or equal to 20.0% for all analytes.

The percent differences (%D) of the ending continuing calibration verifications (CCVs) were less than or equal to 20.0% for all analytes.

## **IV. Laboratory Blanks**

Laboratory blanks were analyzed as required by the method. No contaminants were found in the laboratory blanks.

## **V. Field Blanks**

No field blanks were identified in this SDG.

## **VI. Surrogates**

Surrogates were added to all samples as required by the method. All surrogate recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

## **VII. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates**

Matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) sample analysis was performed on an associated project sample. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits. Relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

### **VIII. Laboratory Control Samples**

Laboratory control samples (LCS) were analyzed as required by the method. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

### **IX. Field Duplicates**

Samples ERH1840(RHSF) and ERH1842(RHSF) were identified as field duplicates. No results were detected in any of the samples.

### **X. Target Analyte Quantitation**

All target analyte quantitations met validation criteria for samples which underwent Stage 4 validation. Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

### **XI. Target Analyte Identification**

All target analyte identifications met validation criteria for samples which underwent Stage 4 validation. Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

### **XII. Overall Assessment of Data**

The analysis was conducted within all specifications of the method. No results were rejected or recommended for exclusion in this SDG.



**Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126  
Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Extractables - Data Qualification Summary -  
SDG B21101919**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

**Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126  
Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Extractables - Laboratory Blank Data  
Qualification Summary - SDG B21101919**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

**Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126  
Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Extractables - Field Blank Data Qualification  
Summary - SDG B21101919**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

LDC #: 52547B8

**VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET**

Date: 11/15/21

SDG #: B21101919

Stage 2B/4

Page: 1 of 1

Laboratory: Energy Laboratories, Billings, MT

Reviewer: [Signature]  
2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

**METHOD:** GC Diesel Range Organics (EPA SW 846 Method 8015C)  
TPH Extractables

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Sample receipt/Technical holding times	A/A	
II.	Initial calibration/ICV	A/A	% PSD/ICV ≤ 20
III.	Continuing calibration ending	A	CCV ≤ 20/20
IV.	Laboratory Blanks	Δ	
V.	Field blanks	N	
VI.	Surrogate spikes	A	
VII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	A	
VIII.	Laboratory control samples	Δ	LOD
IX.	Field duplicates	ND	D = 6, 7
X.	Target analyte quantitation	Δ	Not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.
XI.	Target analyte identification	Δ	Not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.
XII.	Overall assessment of data	Δ	

Note: A = Acceptable      ND = No compounds detected      D = Duplicate      SB=Source blank  
 N = Not provided/applicable      R = Rinsate      TB = Trip blank      OTHER:  
 SW = See worksheet      FB = Field blank      EB = Equipment blank

\*\* Indicates sample underwent Stage 4 validation

	Client ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Date
1	ERH1834(RHMW01R)	B21101919-001	Water	10/20/21
2	ERH1828(RHMW02)**	B21101919-002**	Water	10/20/21
3	ERH1831(RHMW03)	B21101919-003	Water	10/20/21
4	ERH1825(RHMW05)	B21101919-004	Water	10/20/21
5	ERH1837(RHMW2254-01)	B21101919-005	Water	10/20/21
6	ERH1840(RHSF) D	B21101919-006	Water	10/20/21
7	ERH1842(RHSF) D	B21101919-007	Water	10/20/21
1	ERH1834(RHMW01R)(SGCU)	B21101919-001(SGCU)	Water	10/20/21
2	ERH1828(RHMW02)(SGCU)**	B21101919-002(SGCU)**	Water	10/20/21
3	ERH1831(RHMW03)(SGCU)	B21101919-003(SGCU)	Water	10/20/21
4	ERH1825(RHMW05)(SGCU)	B21101919-004(SGCU)	Water	10/20/21
12	ERH1834(RHMW01R)MS	B21101919-001MS	Water	10/20/21
13	ERH1834(RHMW01R)MSD	B21101919-001MSD	Water	10/20/21
14	ERH1828(RHMW02)MS	B21101919-002MS	Water	10/20/21
15	ERH1828(RHMW02)MSD	B21101919-002MSD	Water	10/20/21
16	ERH1834(RHMW01R)(SGCU)MS	B21101919-001(SGCU)MS	Water	10/20/21
17	ERH1834(RHMW01R)(SGCU)MSD	B21101919-001(SGCU)MSD	Water	10/20/21

LDC #: 52547B8

### VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET

Date: 11/15/21

SDG #: B21101919

Stage 2B/4

Page: 2 of 2

Laboratory: Energy Laboratories, Billings, MT

Reviewer: [Signature]

2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

**METHOD:** GC Diesel Range Organics (EPA SW 846 Method 8015C)

	Client ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Date
18	ERH1828(RHMW02)(SGCU)MS	B21101919-002(SGCU)MS	Water	10/20/21
19	ERH1828(RHMW02)(SGCU)MSD	B21101919-002(SGCU)MSD	Water	10/20/21
20				
21				
22				

Notes:

160655				

Method: GC HPLC

Validation Area	Yes	No	NA	Findings/Comments
<b>I. Technical holding times</b>				
Were all technical holding times met?	/			
Was cooler temperature criteria met?	/			
<b>IIa. Initial calibration</b>				
Did the laboratory perform a 5 point calibration prior to sample analysis?	/			
Were all percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) < 20%?	/			
Was a curve fit used for evaluation? If yes, did the initial calibration meet the curve fit acceptance criteria of $\geq 0.990$ ?			/	
Were the RT windows properly established?	/			
<b>IIb. Initial calibration verification</b>				
Was an initial calibration verification standard analyzed after each initial calibration for each instrument?	/			
Were all percent differences (%D) < 20%?	/			
<b>III. Continuing calibration</b>				
Was a continuing calibration analyzed daily?	/			
Were all percent differences (%D) < 20%?	/			
Were all the retention times within the acceptance windows?	/			
<b>IV. Laboratory Blanks</b>				
Was a laboratory blank associated with every sample in this SDG?	/			
Was a laboratory blank analyzed for each matrix and concentration?	/			
Was there contamination in the laboratory blanks?		/		
<b>V. Field Blanks</b>				
Were field blanks identified in this SDG?		/		
Were target analytes detected in the field blanks?			/	
<b>VI. Surrogate spikes</b>				
Were all surrogate percent recovery (%R) within the QC limits?	/			
If the percent recovery (%R) of one or more surrogates was outside QC limits, was a reanalysis performed to confirm %R?			/	
If any %R was less than 10 percent, was a reanalysis performed to confirm %R?			/	
<b>VII. Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates</b>				
Were matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyzed in this SDG?	/			
Were the MS/MSD percent recoveries (%R) and the relative percent differences (RPD) within the QC limits?	/			
<b>VIII. Laboratory control samples</b>				
Was an LCS analyzed per analytical or extraction batch?	/			
Were the LCS percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent difference (RPD) within the QC limits?	/			

Validation Area	Yes	No	NA	Findings/Comments
<b>IX. Field duplicates</b>				
Were field duplicate pairs identified in this SDG?	/			
Were target analytes detected in the field duplicates?		/		
<b>X. Target analyte quantitation</b>				
Did the laboratory LOQs/RLs meet the QAPP LOQs/RLs?	/			
Were analyte quantitation and RLs adjusted to reflect all sample dilutions and dry weight factors applicable to level IV validation?	/			
<b>XI. Target analyte identification</b>				
Were the retention times of reported detects within the RT windows?	/			
Were manual integrations reviewed and found acceptable?			/	
Did the laboratory provide before and after integration printouts?			/	
<b>XIII. Overall assessment of data</b>				
Overall assessment of data was found to be acceptable.	/			

LDC #: 52547BY

**VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET**  
**Initial Calibration Calculation Verification**

Page: 1 of 1

Reviewer: FT

METHOD: GC X HPLC \_\_\_\_\_

The calibration factors (CF), average CF, and relative standard deviation (%RSD) were recalculated for compounds identified below using the following calculations:

$CF = A/C$

average CF = sum of the CF/number of standards

$\%RSD = 100 * (S/X)$

Where:

A = Area of compound

C = Concentration of compound

S = Standard deviation of calibration factors

X = Mean of calibration factors

#	Standard ID	Calibration Date	Compound	Reported 15000ng	Recalculated 15000ng	Reported Average CF (Initial)	Recalculated Average CF (Initial)	Reported %RSD	Recalculated %RSD
1	ICAL	1/8/2021	DRO Range	30201	30201	29457.3	29457.3	5.8	5.8

LDC #: 52 547138

**VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET**  
**Initial Calibration Calculation Verification**

Page: 1 of 1

Reviewer: FT

METHOD: GC X HPLC \_\_\_\_\_

The calibration factors (CF), average CF, and relative standard deviation (%RSD) were recalculated for compounds identified below using the following calculations:

$CF = A/C$

average CF = sum of the CF/number of standards

$\%RSD = 100 * (S/X)$

Where:

A = Area of compound

C = Concentration of compound

S = Standard deviation of calibration factors

X = Mean of calibration factors

#	Standard ID	Calibration Date	Compound	Reported 5000ng	Recalculated 5000ng	Reported Average CF (Initial)	Recalculated Average CF (Initial)	Reported %RSD	Recalculated %RSD
1	ICAL	2/18/2021	DRO Range	28746	28746	28542.4	28542.4	4.5	4.5

LDC #: 52547B

**VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET**  
**Continuing Calibration Results Verification**

Page: \_\_\_ of \_\_\_  
Reviewer: FT  
2nd Reviewer: \_\_\_\_\_

METHOD: GC  HPLC \_\_\_\_\_

The percent difference (%D) of the initial calibration average Calibration Factors (CF) and the continuing calibration CF were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculation:

% Difference = 100 \* (ave. CF - CF)/ave. CF      Where: ave. CF = initial calibration average CF  
CF = continuing calibration CF  
A = Area of compound  
C = Concentration of compound

#	Standard ID	Calibration Date	Compound	Average CF(ICAL)/ CCV Conc.	Reported	Recalculated	Reported	Recalculated
					CF/ Conc. CCV	CF/ Conc. CCV	%R	%R
1	ceV 1129 1026H506r	10/26/21	PRO C <sub>10</sub> -C <sub>24</sub>	15.0	14.59476	14.59476	97	97
2	ceV 1100 -522r	10/26/21	TEH	15	15.30857	15.30857	102	102
			PRO C <sub>10</sub> -C <sub>24</sub>	15	15.0	14.7781	98	98
3	ceV 1031 -538r	10/27/21	PRO C <sub>10</sub> -C <sub>24</sub>	15	15	14.629	98	98
4	ceV 0748 -551r	10/27/21	↓	15	15	14.80402	97	97

Comments: Refer to Continuing Calibration findings worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.



LDC #: 52547Bx

**VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET**  
**Surrogate Results Verification**

Page: 1 of 1  
Reviewer: FT

METHOD:  GC  HPLC

The percent recoveries (%R) of surrogates were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculation:

% Recovery: SF/SS \* 100

Where: SF = Surrogate Found  
SS = Surrogate Spiked

Sample ID: 9

Surrogate	Column/Detector	Surrogate Spiked	Surrogate Found	Percent Recovery	Percent Recovery	Percent Difference
				Reported	Recalculated	
<u>o-Terphenyl (SGT)</u>		<u>0.19</u>	<u>0.132</u>	<u>69.0</u>	<u>69.0</u>	<u>0</u>
<u>n-Triacontane (SGT)</u>		<del>0.19</del> <u>0.0956</u>	<u>0.085</u>	<u>88.0</u>	<u>88.0</u>	<u>0</u>

Sample ID: \_\_\_\_\_

Surrogate	Column/Detector	Surrogate Spiked	Surrogate Found	Percent Recovery	Percent Recovery	Percent Difference
				Reported	Recalculated	

	Surrogate Compound		Surrogate Compound		Surrogate Compound		Surrogate Compound		Surrogate Compound
A	Chlorobenzene (CBZ)	G	Octacosane	M	Benzo(e)Pyrene	S	1-Chloro-3-Nitrobenzene	Y	Tetrachloro-m- xylene
B	4-Bromofluorobenzene (BFB)	H	Ortho-Terphenyl	N	Terphenyl-D14	T	3,4-Dinitrotoluene	Z	2-Bromonaphthalene
C	a,a,a-Trifluorotoluene	I	Fluorobenzene (FBZ)	O	Decachlorobiphenyl (DCB)	U	Triphenyltin	AA	Chloro-octadecane
D	Bromochlorobenzene	J	n-Triacontane	P	1-methylnaphthalene	V	Tri-n-propyltin	BB	2,4-Dichlorophenylacetic acid
E	1,4-Dichlorobutane	K	Hexacosane	Q	Dichlorophenyl Acetic Acid (DCAA)	W	Tributyl Phosphate	CC	2,5-Dibromotoluene
F	1,4-Difluorobenzene (DFB)	L	Bromobenzene	R	4-Nitrophenol	X	Triphenyl Phosphate		





LDC #: 52 547BY

**VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET**  
**Sample Calculation Verification**

Page: 1 of 1  
Reviewer: FT

METHOD:  GC  HPLC

The concentration of the sample was calculated for the target analyte identified below using the following calculation:

$$\text{Concentration} = \frac{(A)(Fv)(Df)}{(RF)(Vs \text{ or } Ws)(\%S/100)}$$

Example:

Sample ID. #2 : DRO C10-C24

- A= Area or height of the target analyte to be measured
- Fv= Final Volume of extract
- Df= Dilution Factor
- RF= Average response factor of the target analyte  
in the initial calibration
- Vs= Initial volume of the sample
- Ws= Initial weight of the sample
- %S= Percent Solid

$$\text{Concentration} = \frac{(9.397706 \times 10^7)(1)}{(29457.33)(1050)} = 3.038 \text{ mg/L}$$

#	Sample ID	Target analyte	Reported Concentrations (mg/L)	Recalculated Results Concentrations (mg/L)	Qualifications
	#2	DRO C10-C24	3.0	3.038	

Comments: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

**Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126 - SDG B21101919  
LDC 52547**

AECOM

EPA_NO	LAB_ID	DF	ANALYTE	COLL_DATE	ANAL_DATE	QCLev	RESULT	UNITS	LAB_Q	LOQ	LOD	REV	Q_C
<b>METHOD: 8015C</b>													
ERH1834	B21101919-001A	1	C10-C24 DIESEL RANGE ORG SILICA GEL CLEAN UP	10/20/2021	10/28/2021 2:15:00 AM	3	0.14	MG/L	J	0.30	0.12	J	
ERH1834	B21101919-001A	1	C10-C24 DIESEL RANGE ORGANICS	10/20/2021	10/27/2021 8:22:00 AM	3	0.67	MG/L		0.30	0.14		
ERH1834	B21101919-001A	1	C24-C40 OIL RANGE ORGANICS SILICA GEL CLEAN UP	10/20/2021	10/28/2021 2:15:00 AM	3		MG/L	U	0.30	0.14	U	
ERH1834	B21101919-001A	1	C24-C40 TOTAL PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS, OIL R	10/20/2021	10/27/2021 8:22:00 AM	3	0.25	MG/L	J	0.30	0.14	J	
ERH1828	B21101919-002A	1	C10-C24 DIESEL RANGE ORG SILICA GEL CLEAN UP	10/20/2021	10/28/2021 1:32:00 AM	4	0.79	MG/L		0.30	0.11		
ERH1828	B21101919-002A	1	C10-C24 DIESEL RANGE ORGANICS	10/20/2021	10/27/2021 7:38:00 AM	4	3	MG/L		0.30	0.14		
ERH1828	B21101919-002A	1	C24-C40 OIL RANGE ORGANICS SILICA GEL CLEAN UP	10/20/2021	10/28/2021 1:32:00 AM	4		MG/L	U	0.30	0.14	U	
ERH1828	B21101919-002A	1	C24-C40 TOTAL PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS, OIL R	10/20/2021	10/27/2021 7:38:00 AM	4	0.3	MG/L		0.30	0.14		
ERH1831	B21101919-003A	1	C10-C24 DIESEL RANGE ORG SILICA GEL CLEAN UP	10/20/2021	10/27/2021 11:23:00 PM	3		MG/L	U	0.30	0.11	U	
ERH1831	B21101919-003A	1	C10-C24 DIESEL RANGE ORGANICS	10/20/2021	10/27/2021 6:56:00 AM	3	0.15	MG/L	J	0.30	0.14	J	
ERH1831	B21101919-003A	1	C24-C40 OIL RANGE ORGANICS SILICA GEL CLEAN UP	10/20/2021	10/27/2021 11:23:00 PM	3		MG/L	U	0.30	0.14	U	
ERH1831	B21101919-003A	1	C24-C40 TOTAL PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS, OIL R	10/20/2021	10/27/2021 6:56:00 AM	3	0.28	MG/L	J	0.30	0.14	J	
ERH1825	B21101919-004A	1	C10-C24 DIESEL RANGE ORG SILICA GEL CLEAN UP	10/20/2021	10/27/2021 10:40:00 PM	3		MG/L	U	0.30	0.11	U	
ERH1825	B21101919-004A	1	C10-C24 DIESEL RANGE ORGANICS	10/20/2021	10/27/2021 5:29:00 AM	3	0.091	MG/L	J	0.30	0.14	J	
ERH1825	B21101919-004A	1	C24-C40 OIL RANGE ORGANICS SILICA GEL CLEAN UP	10/20/2021	10/27/2021 10:40:00 PM	3		MG/L	U	0.30	0.14	U	
ERH1825	B21101919-004A	1	C24-C40 TOTAL PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS, OIL R	10/20/2021	10/27/2021 5:29:00 AM	3	0.13	MG/L	J	0.30	0.14	J	
ERH1837	B21101919-005A	1	C10-C24 DIESEL RANGE ORGANICS	10/20/2021	10/27/2021 4:46:00 AM	3		MG/L	U	0.30	0.14	U	
ERH1837	B21101919-005A	1	C24-C40 TOTAL PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS, OIL R	10/20/2021	10/27/2021 4:46:00 AM	3		MG/L	U	0.30	0.14	U	
ERH1840	B21101919-006A	1	C10-C24 DIESEL RANGE ORGANICS	10/20/2021	10/27/2021 3:19:00 AM	3		MG/L	U	0.30	0.15	U	
ERH1840	B21101919-006A	1	C24-C40 TOTAL PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS, OIL R	10/20/2021	10/27/2021 3:19:00 AM	3		MG/L	U	0.30	0.15	U	
ERH1842	B21101919-007A	1	C10-C24 DIESEL RANGE ORGANICS	10/20/2021	10/27/2021 2:36:00 AM	3		MG/L	U	0.30	0.15	U	
ERH1842	B21101919-007A	1	C24-C40 TOTAL PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS, OIL R	10/20/2021	10/27/2021 2:36:00 AM	3		MG/L	U	0.30	0.15	U	