

LABORATORY DATA CONSULTANTS, INC.

2701 Loker Ave. West, Suite 220, Carlsbad, CA 92010 Bus: 760-827-1100 Fax: 760-827-1099

AECOM 1001 Bishop Street Suite 1600 Honolulu, HI 96813 ATTN: Ms. Alethea Ramos alethea.ramos@aecom.com November 19, 2021

SUBJECT: Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126 - Data Validation

Dear Ms. Ramos,

Enclosed are the final validation reports for the fraction listed below. These SDGs were received on November 4, 2021. Attachment 1 is a summary of the samples that were reviewed for the analysis.

LDC Project #52483C:

SDG # Fraction

B21100828 TPH as Extractables

The data validation was performed under Stage 2B & 4 validation guidelines. The analysis was validated using the following documents and variances, as applicable to the method:

- Work Plan/Scope of Work, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 02, January 2017)
- Sampling and Analysis Plan, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor - Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 01, April 2017)
- Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 01, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, September 2017)
- Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 03, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, June 2018)
- U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) Quality Systems Manual (QSM) for Environmental Laboratories, Version 5.3 (2019)
- DoD General Validation Guidelines (November 2019)
- U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) Data Validation Guidelines Module 4: Data Validation Procedure for Organic Analysis by GC (March 2021)
- EPA SW 846, Third Edition, Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Waste, update 1, July 1992; update IIA, August 1993; update II, September 1994; update IIB, January 1995; update III, December 1996; update IIIA, April 1998; IIIB, November 2004; update IV, February 2007; update V, July 2014; update VI, July 2018

Please feel free to contact us if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Stella

Cuenco

Operations Manager/Senior Chemist scuenco@lab-data.com

Fille asno-

	68 pages-EM		3 DA	Y TA	Т								At	tachr	nent	1																	
	90/10 2B/4 I	EDD	LDO	C# 5	5248	33 (AEC	ON	1 - H	lone	olul	u, F	II / F	Red	Hill	l Bu	ılk S	Stor	age	Fa	cilit	y, C	то	18F	F012	26)							
LDC	SDG#	DATE REC'D	(2) DATE DUE	TPI (801	H-E 15C)	SG TP (80	CU H-E I5C)																										
Matrix	:: Water/Soil	•		W	S	W	S	W	S	W	S	W	S	W	S	W	S	W	S	W	S	W	S	W	S	W	S	W	S	W	S	W	S
С	B21100828	11/04/21	11/09/21	2	0	-	-																							igsqcup			
			 	<u> </u>																								<u> </u>	igwdapprox			\longmapsto	
			<u> </u>																										\vdash	$\vdash\vdash$		\vdash	-
			 	\vdash																									$\vdash\vdash\vdash$	$\vdash\vdash$		\vdash	
																																	\dashv
																																	\dashv
			<u> </u>	<u> </u>																										igsqcup			
			<u> </u>	_																									\square	$\vdash \vdash$		\vdash	
			<u> </u>																										\vdash	$\vdash\vdash$		\vdash	
																													$\vdash\vdash$	$\vdash\vdash$		\vdash	
			<u> </u>																									<u> </u>				igsquare	
			<u> </u>																										igwdapprox igwedge	igwdown		\longmapsto	
			 																											\vdash		\vdash	
			 	\vdash																								\vdash	$\vdash \vdash$	$\vdash \vdash$		$\vdash \vdash$	_
		1																															ᅦ
																																\sqcup	
			<u> </u>					}	-						-	}	}	-	}						-		-		\bigsqcup			$\displaystyle \longmapsto$	$-\parallel$
T. 1. 1	T/00								_															_									ᅴ
Total	T/SC	<u> </u>		2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2

Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc. Data Validation Report

Project/Site Name: Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126

LDC Report Date: November 19, 2021

Parameters: Diesel Range Organics

Validation Level: Stage 2B

Laboratory: Energy Laboratories, Billings, MT

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): B21100828

Sample Identification	Laboratory Sample Identification	Matrix	Collection Date
ERH1780(RHSF pre-chlorination)	B21100828-001	Water	10/05/21
ERH1781(RHSF pre-chlorination)	B21100828-002	Water	10/05/21

Introduction

This Data Validation Report (DVR) presents data validation findings and results for the associated samples listed on the cover page. Data validation was performed in accordance with the Work Plan/Scope of Work, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 02, January 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 01, April 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 01, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, September 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 03, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, June 2018), the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) Quality Systems Manual (QSM) for Environmental Laboratories, Version 5.3 (2019), the DoD General Validation Guidelines (November 2019), and the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) Data Validation Guidelines Module 4: Data Validation Procedure for Organic Analysis by GC (March 2021). Where specific guidance was not available, the data has been evaluated in a conservative manner consistent with industry standards using professional experience.

The analyses were performed by the following method:

Diesel Range Organics by Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) SW 846 Method 8015C

All sample results were subjected to Stage 2B data validation, which comprises an evaluation of quality control (QC) summary results.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers utilized during data validation:

- J+ (Estimated, High Bias): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated, displaying high bias, due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- J- (Estimated, Low Bias): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated, displaying low bias, due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- J (Estimated, Bias Indeterminate): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated due to non-conformances discovered during data validation. Bias is indeterminate.
- U (Non-detected): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the analyte should be considered non-detected due to the presence of contaminants detected in the associated blank(s).
- UJ (Non-detected estimated): The analyte was not detected and the associated numerical value is approximate.
- X (Exclusion of data recommended): The sample results (including non-detects) were affected by serious deficiencies in the ability to analyze the sample and to meet published method and project quality control criteria. The presence or absence of the analyte cannot be substantiated by the data provided. Exclusion of the data is recommended.
- NA (Not Applicable): The non-conformance discovered during data validation demonstrates a high bias, while the affected analyte in the associated sample(s) was reported as not detected by the laboratory and did not warrant the qualification of the data.

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Qualification Code Reference

- a ICP Serial Dilution %D was not within control limits.
- b Presumed contamination from preparation (method blank).
- c Calibration %RSD, r, r², %D or %R was noncompliant.
- d The analysis with this flag should not be used because another more technically sound analysis is available.
- e MS/MSD or Duplicate RPD was high.
- f Presumed contamination from FB or ER.
- g ICP ICS results were unsatisfactory.
- h Holding times were exceeded.
- i Internal standard performance was unsatisfactory.
- k Estimated Maximum Possible Concentration (HRGC/HRMS only)
- I LCS/LCSD %R was not within control limits.
- m Result exceeded the calibration range.
- o Cooler temperature or temperature blank was noncompliant and/or sample custody problems.
- p RPD between two columns was high (GC only).
- q MS/MSD recovery was not within control limits.
- s Surrogate recovery was not within control limits.
- t Presumed contamination from trip blank.
- v Unusual problems found with the data not defined elsewhere. Description of the problem can be found in the validation report.
- w LCS/LCSD RPD was high.
- y Chemical recovery was not within control limits (Radiochemistry only).

I. Sample Receipt and Technical Holding Times

All samples were received in good condition and cooler temperatures upon receipt met validation criteria with the following exceptions:

Sample	Analyte	Finding	Criteria	Flag	A or P
All samples in SDG B21100828	Diesel range organics	Cooler temperature was reported at 13.7°C upon receipt by the laboratory.	Cooler temperature must be 4±2°C.	J- (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	A

All technical holding time requirements were met.

II. Initial Calibration and Initial Calibration Verification

An initial calibration was performed as required by the method.

The percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) were less than or equal to 20.0% for all analytes.

The percent differences (%D) of the initial calibration verification (ICV) standard were less than or equal to 20.0% for all analytes.

III. Continuing Calibration

Continuing calibration was performed at the required frequencies.

The percent differences (%D) were less than or equal to 20.0% for all analytes.

The percent differences (%D) of the ending continuing calibration verifications (CCVs) were less than or equal to 20.0% for all analytes.

IV. Laboratory Blanks

Laboratory blanks were analyzed as required by the method. No contaminants were found in the laboratory blanks.

V. Field Blanks

No field blanks were identified in this SDG.

VI. Surrogates

Surrogates were added to all samples as required by the method. All surrogate recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

VII. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

Matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) sample analysis was performed on an associated project sample. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits. Relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

VIII. Laboratory Control Samples

Laboratory control samples (LCS) were analyzed as required by the method. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

IX. Field Duplicates

Samples ERH1780(RHSF pre-chlorination) and ERH1781(RHSF pre-chlorination) were identified as field duplicates. No results were detected in any of the samples.

X. Target Analyte Quantitation

Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

XI. Target Analyte Identification

Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

XII. Overall Assessment of Data

The analysis was conducted within all specifications of the method. No results were rejected or recommended for exclusion in this SDG.

Due to cooler temperature, data were qualified as estimated in two samples.

Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126 Diesel Range Organics - Data Qualification Summary - SDG B21100828

Sample	Analyte	Flag	A or P	Reason (Code)
ERH1780(RHSF pre-chlorination) ERH1781(RHSF pre-chlorination)	Diesel range organics	J- (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	A	Cooler temperature (o)

Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126
Diesel Range Organics - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG B21100828

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126

Diesel Range Organics - Field Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG
B21100828

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

SDG	#:52483C8 VALIDATIO #:_B21100828 pratory: Energy Laboratories, Billings, MT		PLETE Stage 2	NESS WORKSHE B	ET	Date: 1 04 Page: 1 of Reviewer: 7 Reviewer: 7
MET	'HOD : GC Diesel Range Organics (EPA S	W 846 Me	thod 80°	15C)	2nd	Reviewer:
The valid	samples listed below were reviewed for ea ation findings worksheets.	ach of the f	ollowing	validation areas. Valid	lation findings are	e noted in attache
	Validation Area			Col	mments	
1.	Sample receipt/Technical holding times	AISW				
11.	Initial calibration/ICV	4,4	0	10 PSD = 20	ICV =	w
111.	Continuing calibration ending	Δ			CV = 20/20	
IV.	Laboratory Blanks	Δ				
V.	Field blanks	7				
VI.	Surrogate spikes	A	"			
VII	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	A	0	B21100827-	001 MS 10	
VIII		A .	1CD	***************************************		
IX.	Field duplicates	ND	D =			
X.	Target analyte quantitation	N				4
XI.	Target analyte identification	N				
XII	Overall assessment of data	A				
Note:	N = Not provided/applicable $R = Rins$	o compounds sate eld blank	detected	D = Duplicate TB = Trip blank EB = Equipment b	OTHER:	rce blank
	Client ID			Lab ID	Matrix	Date
1	ERH1780(RHSF pre-chlorination)			B21100828-001	Water	10/05/21
2	ERH1781(RHSF pre-chlorination)			B21100828-002	Water	10/05/21
3						
4						
5						
6						
7						
8						
9						
10						
11						
12						
13						
otes:						
1	60217					

LDC#: 52443C

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET <u>Technical Holding Times</u>

Page:_	of	<u>/</u>
Reviewer:	FT	

All, circled dates have exceeded the technical holding times.

IETHOD :	GCHPL	.c	<u> </u>	i	<u> </u>	(6-)
Sample ID	Matrix	Preserved	Sampling Date	Extraction date	Analysis date	Total # of Days	Qualifie
All	cool	r temp	= \3.	l°C			7-/11/
						17.	

TECHNICAL HOLDING TIME CRITERIA

VOLATILES: Water unpreserved: Aromatic within 7 days, non-aromatic within 14 days of sample collection.

Water preserved: Both within 14 days of sample collection. Soils: Both within 14 days of sample collection.

Encores unpreserved: Both within 48 hours of sample collection. Encores preserved: Both within 14 days of sample collection.

EXTRACTABLES:

Water: Extracted within 7 days, analyzed within 40 days. Soil: Extracted within 14 days, analyzed within 40 days.

AECOM

Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126 - SDG B21100828 LDC 52483

EPA_NO LAB_ID DF	ANALYTE	COLL_DATE	ANAL_DATE	QCLe	v RESULT	UNITS	LAB_Q	LOQ	LOD	REV	Q_C
METHOD: 80	115C										
ERH1780 B211008284 C10-C2	4 DIESEL RANGE ORGANICS	10/5/2021 12:40:00 PM	10/13/2021 5:27:00 PM	C		MG/L	U	0.30	0.14	UJ	0
ERH1780 B211008284 C24-C4) TOTAL PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS, OI	10/5/2021 12:40:00 PM	10/13/2021 5:27:00 PM	C	0.1	MG/L	J	0.30	0.14	J-	o
ERH1781 B211008284 C10-C2	4 DIESEL RANGE ORGANICS	10/5/2021 12:40:00 PM	10/13/2021 6:10:00 PM	C		MG/L	U	0.30	0.14	UJ	O
ERH1781 B211008284 C24-C4) TOTAL PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS, OI	10/5/2021 12:40:00 PM	10/13/2021 6:10:00 PM	C		MG/L	U	0.30	0.14	UJ	0