

LABORATORY DATA CONSULTANTS, INC.

2701 Loker Ave. West, Suite 220, Carlsbad, CA 92010 Bus: 760-827-1100 Fax: 760-827-1099

AECOM 1001 Bishop Street Suite 1600 Honolulu, HI 96813 ATTN: Ms. Alethea Ramos alethea.ramos@aecom.com November 19, 2021

SUBJECT: Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126 - Data Validation

Dear Ms. Ramos,

Enclosed are the final validation reports for the fraction listed below. These SDGs were received on October 21, 2021. Attachment 1 is a summary of the samples that were reviewed for the analysis.

LDC Project #52356A:

SDG # Fraction

B21100166 TPH as Extractables

The data validation was performed under Stage 2B & 4 validation guidelines. The analysis was validated using the following documents and variances, as applicable to the method:

- Work Plan/Scope of Work, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 02, January 2017)
- Sampling and Analysis Plan, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor - Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 01, April 2017)
- Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 01, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, September 2017)
- Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 03, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, June 2018)
- U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) Quality Systems Manual (QSM) for Environmental Laboratories, Version 5.3 (2019)
- DoD General Validation Guidelines (November 2019)
- U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) Data Validation Guidelines Module 4: Data Validation Procedure for Organic Analysis by GC (March 2021)
- EPA SW 846, Third Edition, Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Waste, update 1, July 1992; update IIA, August 1993; update II, September 1994; update IIB, January 1995; update III, December 1996; update IIIA, April 1998; IIIB, November 2004; update IV, February 2007; update V, July 2014; update VI, July 2018

Please feel free to contact us if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Stella Cuenco

Operations Manager/Senior Chemist

the asno-

scuenco@lab-data.com

	27 pages-EM		3 DA	Y TA	Т								At	tachr	nent	1																	
	90/10 2B/4 I	EDD	LD	C# 5	5235	56 (<i>i</i>	AEC	ON	1 - H	lone	olul	u, F	II / F	Red	Hill	l Bu	ılk S	Stor	age	Fa	cilit	y, C	то	18F	F012	26)							
LDC	SDG#	DATE REC'D	(2) DATE DUE	DF (801			CU RO 15C)																										
Matrix:	Water/Soil	•		W	S	W	S	W	S	W	S	W	S	W	S	W	S	W	S	W	S	W	S	W	S	W	S	W	S	W	S	W	S
Α	B21100166	10/21/21		7	0	7	0																							igsqcut		\sqcup	
Α	B21100166	10/21/21	10/26/21	1	0	1	0																						igsquare	igsquare	\bigsqcup	igsquare	
\vdash																														igwdapprox	igwdown		
\vdash																													igwdapprox	igwdapprox	$\vdash \vdash$	\longmapsto	
\parallel																																\vdash	\dashv
																																$\vdash \vdash$	\dashv
\vdash																														\vdash	$\vdash \vdash$	$\vdash \vdash$	
																														\vdash	\vdash		
																														igsqcut			
																													Ш	igsqcut			
																													Ш	igsquare			
\vdash																														igsqcup		igwdot	
\vdash																													igwdapprox igwedge	igwdapprox	$igwdapsilon^{\prime\prime}$	┝	
\vdash																														$\vdash \vdash \vdash$	$\vdash \vdash$		
\vdash																													\vdash	$\vdash \vdash \vdash$	$\vdash \vdash$	\vdash	
																														$\vdash \vdash \vdash$	$\vdash \vdash$	$\vdash \vdash$	
																														\vdash	\vdash		
																															\Box		
																																Ш	
																																Щ	
Total	T/SC			8	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	16

Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc. Data Validation Report

Project/Site Name: Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126

LDC Report Date: November 19, 2021

Parameters: Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Extractables

Validation Level: Stage 2B & 4

Laboratory: Energy Laboratories, Billings, MT

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): B21100166

Communication of the control of the	Laboratory Sample	Matrice	Collection
Sample Identification	Identification	Matrix	Date
ERH1764(RHMW08)	B21100166-001	Water	09/30/21
ERH1765(RHMW09)	B21100166-002	Water	09/30/21
ERH1766(RHMW10)	B21100166-003	Water	09/30/21
ERH1769(RHMW19)**	B21100166-004**	Water	09/30/21
ERH1762(RHMW04)	B21100166-005	Water	10/01/21
ERH1763(RHMW06)	B21100166-006	Water	10/01/21
ERH1768(RHMW16)	B21100166-007	Water	10/01/21
ERH1770(OWDFMW01)	B21100166-008	Water	10/01/21
ERH1764(RHMW08)(SGCU)	B21100166-001(SGCU)	Water	09/30/21
ERH1765(RHMW09)(SGCU)	B21100166-002(SGCU)	Water	09/30/21
ERH1766(RHMW10)(SGCU)	B21100166-003(SGCU)	Water	09/30/21
ERH1769(RHMW19)(SGCU)**	B21100166-004(SGCU)**	Water	09/30/21
ERH1762(RHMW04)(SGCU)	B21100166-005(SGCU)	Water	10/01/21
ERH1763(RHMW06)(SGCU)	B21100166-006(SGCU)	Water	10/01/21
ERH1768(RHMW16)(SGCU)	B21100166-007(SGCU)	Water	10/01/21
ERH1770(OWDFMW01)(SGCU)	B21100166-008(SGCU)	Water	10/01/21
ERH1764(RHMW08)MS	B21100166-001MS	Water	09/30/21
ERH1764(RHMW08)MSD	B21100166-001MSD	Water	09/30/21
ERH1764(RHMW08)(SGCU)MS	B21100166-001(SGCU)MS	Water	09/30/21
ERH1764(RHMW08)(SGCU)MSD	B21100166-001(SGCU)MSD	Water	09/30/21
ERH1765(RHMW09)(SGCU)MS	B21100166-002(SGCU)MS	Water	09/30/21
ERH1765(RHMW09)(SGCU)MSD	B21100166-002(SGCU)MSD	Water	09/30/21

Introduction

This Data Validation Report (DVR) presents data validation findings and results for the associated samples listed on the cover page. Data validation was performed in accordance with the Work Plan/Scope of Work, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 02, January 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 01, April 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 01, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, September 2017), the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Addendum 03, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O'ahu, Hawai'i (Revision 00, June 2018), the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) Quality Systems Manual (QSM) for Environmental Laboratories, Version 5.3 (2019), the DoD General Validation Guidelines (November 2019), and the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) Data Validation Guidelines Module 4: Data Validation Procedure for Organic Analysis by GC (March 2021). Where specific guidance was not available, the data has been evaluated in a conservative manner consistent with industry standards using professional experience.

The analyses were performed by the following method:

Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (TPH) as Extractables by Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) SW 846 Method 8015C

All sample results were subjected to Stage 2B data validation, which comprises an evaluation of quality control (QC) summary results. Samples appended with a double asterisk on the cover page were subjected to Stage 4 data validation, which is comprised of the QC summary forms as well as the raw data, to confirm sample quantitation and identification.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers utilized during data validation:

- J+ (Estimated, High Bias): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated, displaying high bias, due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- J- (Estimated, Low Bias): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated, displaying low bias, due to non-conformances discovered during data validation.
- J (Estimated, Bias Indeterminate): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the reported concentration is estimated due to non-conformances discovered during data validation. Bias is indeterminate.
- U (Non-detected): The analyte was analyzed for and positively identified by the laboratory; however the analyte should be considered non-detected due to the presence of contaminants detected in the associated blank(s).
- UJ (Non-detected estimated): The analyte was not detected and the associated numerical value is approximate.
- X (Exclusion of data recommended): The sample results (including non-detects) were affected by serious deficiencies in the ability to analyze the sample and to meet published method and project quality control criteria. The presence or absence of the analyte cannot be substantiated by the data provided. Exclusion of the data is recommended.
- NA (Not Applicable): The non-conformance discovered during data validation demonstrates a high bias, while the affected analyte in the associated sample(s) was reported as not detected by the laboratory and did not warrant the qualification of the data.

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Qualification Code Reference

- a ICP Serial Dilution %D was not within control limits.
- b Presumed contamination from preparation (method blank).
- c Calibration %RSD, r, r², %D or %R was noncompliant.
- d The analysis with this flag should not be used because another more technically sound analysis is available.
- e MS/MSD or Duplicate RPD was high.
- f Presumed contamination from FB or ER.
- g ICP ICS results were unsatisfactory.
- h Holding times were exceeded.
- i Internal standard performance was unsatisfactory.
- k Estimated Maximum Possible Concentration (HRGC/HRMS only)
- I LCS/LCSD %R was not within control limits.
- m Result exceeded the calibration range.
- o Cooler temperature or temperature blank was noncompliant and/or sample custody problems.
- p RPD between two columns was high (GC only).
- q MS/MSD recovery was not within control limits.
- s Surrogate recovery was not within control limits.
- t Presumed contamination from trip blank.
- v Unusual problems found with the data not defined elsewhere. Description of the problem can be found in the validation report.
- w LCS/LCSD RPD was high.
- y Chemical recovery was not within control limits (Radiochemistry only).

I. Sample Receipt and Technical Holding Times

All samples were received in good condition and cooler temperatures upon receipt met validation criteria.

All technical holding time requirements were met.

II. Initial Calibration and Initial Calibration Verification

An initial calibration was performed as required by the method.

The percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) were less than or equal to 20.0% for all analytes.

The percent differences (%D) of the initial calibration verification (ICV) standard were less than or equal to 20.0% for all analytes.

III. Continuing Calibration

Continuing calibration was performed at the required frequencies.

The percent differences (%D) were less than or equal to 20.0% for all analytes.

IV. Laboratory Blanks

Laboratory blanks were analyzed as required by the method. No contaminants were found in the laboratory blanks.

V. Field Blanks

No field blanks were identified in this SDG.

VI. Surrogates

Surrogates were added to all samples as required by the method. All surrogate recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

VII. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

Matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) sample analysis was performed on an associated project sample. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits. Relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

VIII. Laboratory Control Samples

Laboratory control samples (LCS) were analyzed as required by the method. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

IX. Field Duplicates

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

X. Target Analyte Quantitation

All target analyte quantitations met validation criteria for samples which underwent Stage 4 validation. Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

XI. Target Analyte Identification

All target analyte identifications met validation criteria for samples which underwent Stage 4 validation. Raw data were not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.

XII. Overall Assessment of Data

The analysis was conducted within all specifications of the method. No results were rejected or recommended for exclusion in this SDG.

Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126
Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Extractables - Data Qualification Summary - SDG B21100166

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126
Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Extractables - Laboratory Blank Data
Qualification Summary - SDG B21100166

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126
Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Extractables - Field Blank Data Qualification
Summary - SDG B21100166

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

	RY
LDC #:_	52356 88

SDG #: B21100166

VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET

Stage 2B/4

Laboratory: Energy Laboratories, Billings, MT

2nd Reviewer

METHOD: GC Diesel Range Organies (EPA SW 846 Method 8015C)

TPH Extractables

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
l.	Sample receipt/Technical holding times	ΔΔ	1
II.	Initial calibration/ICV	AL	% PSD/ICV = W
111.	Continuing calibration	Δ	% PSD/ICV = 20 CW = 20
IV.	Laboratory Blanks	7	
V.	Field blanks	N	
VI.	Surrogate spikes	Δ	
VII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	Δ	
VIII.	Laboratory control samples	A	les
IX.	Field duplicates	N	
X.	Target analyte quantitation	Δ	Not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.
XI.	Target analyte identification	۵	Not reviewed for Stage 2B validation.
XII	Overall assessment of data	A	

A = Acceptable Note:

N = Not provided/applicable SW = See worksheet

ND = No compounds detected

R = Rinsate FB = Field blank D = Duplicate TB = Trip blank

SB=Source blank OTHER: EB = Equipment blank

** Indicates sample underwent Stage 4 validation

	Client ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Date
1	ERH1764(RHMW08)	B21100166-001	Water	09/30/21
2	ERH1765(RHMW09)	B21100166-002	Water	09/30/21
3	ERH1766(RHMW10)	B21100166-003	Water	09/30/21
4	ERH1769(RHMW19)**	B21100166-004**	Water	09/30/21
5	ERH1762(RHMW04)	B21100166-005	Water	10/01/21
6	ERH1763(RHMW06)	B21100166-006	Water	10/01/21
7	ERH1768(RHMW16)	B21100166-007	Water	10/01/21
3	ERH1770(OWDFMW01)	B21100166-008	Water	10/01/21
9	ERH1764(RHMW08)(SGCU)	B21100166-001(SGCU)	Water	09/30/21
10	ERH1765(RHMW09)(SGCU)	B21100166-002(SGCU)	Water	09/30/21
1	ERH1766(RHMW10)(SGCU)	B21100166-003(SGCU)	Water	09/30/21
2	ERH1769(RHMW19)(SGCU)**	B21100166-004(SGCU)**	Water	09/30/21
3	ERH1762(RHMW04)(SGCU)	B21100166-005(SGCU)	Water	10/01/21
4	ERH1763(RHMW06)(SGCU)	B21100166-006(SGCU)	Water	10/01/21
5	ERH1768(RHMW16)(SGCU)	B21100166-007(SGCU)	Water	10/01/21
6	ERH1770(OWDFMW01)(SGCU)	B21100166-008(SGCU)	Water	10/01/21
7	ERH1764(RHMW08)MS	B21100166-001MS	Water	09/30/21

SDG Labo	#: 5235688 VALIDATION COMPLETEN 6 #: B21100166 Stage 2B/ pratory: Energy Laboratories, Billings, MT THOD: GC Diesel Range Organics (EPA SW 846 Method 8015)	3/4	Р	Date: 10 25 Page: 10 25 iewer: 7
	Client ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Date
18	ERH1764(RHMW08)MSD	B21100166-001MSD	Water	09/30/21
19	ERH1764(RHMW08)(SGCU)MS	B21100166-001(SGCU)MS	Water	09/30/21
20	ERH1764(RHMW08)(SGCU)MSD	B21100166-001(SGCU)MSD	Water	09/30/21
21	ERH1765(RHMW09)(SGCU)MS	B21100166-002(SGCU)MS	Water	09/30/21
22	ERH1765(RHMW09)(SGCU)MSD	B21100166-002(SGCU)MSD	Water	09/30/21
23				
24				
25				

Notes:

MB-15998

LDC #: 52356 AV

VALIDATION FINDINGS CHECKLIST

Page:_	/of	2
Reviewer:	- ħ	

Method:√GC_HPLC

Validation Area	Yes	No	NA	Findings/Comments
I. Technical holding times				
Were all technical holding times met?				
Was cooler temperature criteria met?		f		
Ila. Initial calibration				
Did the laboratory perform a 5 point calibration prior to sample analysis?				
Were all percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) ≤ 20%?				
Was a curve fit used for evaluation? If yes, did the initial calibration meet the curve fit acceptance criteria of \geq 0.990?			/	
Were the RT windows properly established?				
Ilb. Initial calibration verification				
Was an initial calibration verification standard analyzed after each initial calibration for each instrument?				
Were all percent differences (%D) ≤ 20%?				
III. Continuing calibration				
Was a continuing calibration analyzed daily?				
Were all percent differences (%D) ≤ 20%?				
Were all the retention times within the acceptance windows?				
IV. Laboratory Blanks				
Was a laboratory blank associated with every sample in this SDG?				
Was a laboratory blank analyzed for each matrix and concentration?		-		
Was there contamination in the laboratory blanks?				
V. Field Blanks				
Were field blanks identified in this SDG?				
Were target analytes detected in the field blanks?				
VI. Surrogate spikes				
Were all surrogate percent recovery (%R) within the QC limits?				
If the percent recovery (%R) of one or more surrogates was outside QC limits, was a reanalysis performed to confirm %R?			/	
If any %R was less than 10 percent, was a reanalysis performed to confirm %R?				
VII. Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates				
Were matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyzed in this SDG?				
Were the MS/MSD percent recoveries (%R) and the relative percent differences (RPD) within the QC limits?				
VIII. Laboratory control samples				
Was an LCS analyzed per analytical or extraction batch?				
Were the LCS percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent difference (RPD) within the QC limits?				

LDC#: 52356AV

VALIDATION FINDINGS CHECKLIST

IX. Field duplicates			
Were field duplicate pairs identified in this SDG?			
Were target analytes detected in the field duplicates?	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
X. Target analyte quantitation			
Did the laboratory LOQs/RLs meet the QAPP LOQs/RLs?		-	
Were analyte quantitation and RLs adjusted to reflect all sample dilutions and dry weight factors applicable to level IV validation?	/		
Were manual integrations reviewed and found acceptable?			
Did the laboratory provide before and after integration printouts?			
XI. Target analyte identification			
Were the retention times of reported detects within the RT windows?			
XIII. Overall assessment of data		/	
Overall assessment of data was found to be acceptable.			

LDC#: 5235648

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET Initial Calibration Calculation Verification

Page: __1__ of _1___ Reviewer: ___ FT____

Page: _ Review

METHOD: GC_X___HPLC__

The calibration factors (CF), average CF, and relative standard deviation (%RSD) were recalculated for compounds identified below using the following calculations:

CF = A/C

average CF = sum of the CF/number of standards

%RSD = 100 * (S/X)

A = Area of compound

Where:

C = Concentration of compound

S = Standard deviation of calibration factors

X = Mean of calibration factors

<u> </u>		7	Т
Recalculated %RSD		4.5	
Reported %RSD		4.5	
Recalculated Average CF	(Initial)	28542.4	
Reported Average CF	(Initial)	28542.4	
Recalculated	5000ng	28746	
Reported	5000ng	28746	
	Compound	DRO Range	
Calibration	Date	2/18/2021	
	Standard ID	ICAL	
	#	-	

LDC#: 52 756 AX

Initial Calibration Calculation Verification VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

Page: __1__ of _1__ Reviewer: ___ FT___

HPLC METHOD: GC_X The calibration factors (CF), average CF, and relative standard deviation (%RSD) were recalculated for compounds identified below using the following calculations:

CF = A/C

average CF = sum of the CF/number of standards

%RSD = 100 * (S/X)

Where:

A = Area of compound C = Concentration of compound

S = Standard deviation of calibration factors

X = Mean of calibration factors

Recalculated %RSD		5.8	
Reported %RSD		5.8	
Recalculated Average CF	(Initial)	29457.3	
Reported Average CF	(Initial)	29457.3	
Recalculated	15000ng	30201	
Reported	15000ng	30201	
	Compound	DRO Range	
Calibration	Date	1/8/2021	
	Standard ID	ICAL	
	#	-	

LDC #: 52356A8

Continuing Calibration Results Verification VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

Reviewer: FT

Page: 1 of 1

HPLC. METHOD: GC X

The percent difference (%D) of the initial calibration average Calibration Factors (CF) and the continuing calibration CF were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculation:

% Difference = 100 * (ave. CF -CF)/ave.CF

Where: ave. CF = initial calibration average CF
CF = continuing calibration CF
A = Area of compound
C = Concentration of compound

	Standard	Calibration			Reported	Recalculated	Reported	Recalculated
#	Ω	Date	Compound	Average CF(ICAL)/ CCV Conc.	CF/ Conc. CCV	CF/ Conc. CCV	%R	%R
_	CCV-48r	10/5/21 21:58	DRO(C10-C24)	15	16	15.898	106	106
	·		ТЕН	15	16	16.443	110	110
	CCV-62r	10/6/21 09:34	DRO(C10-C24)	15	17	16.985	111	113
			ТЕН	15	18	17.565	117	117
7	CCV-75r	10/6/21 19:58	DRO(C10-C24)	15	15	15.278	102	102
			TEH	15	16	15.801	105	105
	CCV-19	10/7/21 10:54	DRO(C10-C24)	15	16.109	16.109	107	107
			ТЕН	15	16 665	16 665	77	7 7 7
က	CCV-32	10/8/21 09:50	DRO(C10-C24)	15	16.279	16.279	109	109
			TEH	15	16.836	16.836	112	112
	CCV-45	10/8/21 08:35	DRO(C10-C24)	15	16.27889	16.159	108	108
			TEH	15	16.716	16.716	111	77
4								
_								
						J		

Comments: Refer to Continuing Calibration findings worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

LDC# SINKER

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET Surrogate Results Verification

Page: 1 of 1 Reviewer:

METHOD: _GC _ HPLC

The percent recoveries (%R) of surrogates were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculation:

% Recovery: SF/SS * 100

Where: SF = Surrogate Found SS = Surrogate Spiked

4

Sample ID: 牛勺		OO - Onlogate opiked	2			
Surrogate	Column/Detector	Surrogate Spiked	Surrogate Found	Percent Recovery	Percent Recovery	Percent
				Reported	Recalculated	
0- Terphany)		0.196	0.110	59	1,00,7	0
-						

Sample ID:

Percent Difference			
Percent Recovery			
Percent Recovery	Reported		
Surrogate Found			
Surrogate Spiked			
Column/Detector			
Surrogate			

	Surroumo Categorius								
	oungare compound		Surrogate Compound		Surrogate Compound		Surrogate Compound		Surrogate Compound
4	Chlorobenzene (CBZ)	ŋ	Octacosane	Σ	Benzo(e)Pyrene	s	1-Chloro-3-Nitrobenzene	>	Tetrachlom-m- xvlene
В	4-Bromofluorobenzene (BFB)	Ι	Ortho-Terphenyl	z	Terphenyl-D14	۲	3 4-Dinitmote tene	_	Since the second of the second
							o't Dillingtonderie	7	z-promonaphinalene
ပ	a,a,a-Trifluorotoluene	_	Fluorobenzene (FBZ)	0	Decachlorobiphenyl (DCB)	_	Tripentvitin	44	Chicadestac crold
									סוווסוס-סרומוום
۵	Bromochlorobenene	7	n-Triacontane	Δ.	1-methylnaphthalene	>	Tri-n-propylfin	ă	P. A. Dichlomhandhadhadha
							mu dond	3	4,4-Dicilioropheriylacetic acid
Ш	1,4-Dichlorobutane	¥	Hexacosane	ø	Dichlorophenyl Acetic Acid (DCAA)	>	Tributyl Phoenhate	ر	O & Oibramotelia
							inady i nospilate	3	z,ɔ-Dibromotonene
_	1,4-Difluorobenzene (DFB)	1	Bromobenzene	œ	4-Nitrophenol	×	Trinhenyl Phoenhate		
							The respirate		

LDC# StySokx

Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates Results Verification VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

Page: 1_of 1

Reviewer:

METHOD: __Gc___HPLCThe percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) of the matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculation:

%Recovery = 100 * (SSC - SC)/SA

Where

MS = Matrix spike MSD = Matrix spike duplicate

RPD =(({SSCMS - SSCMSD} * 2) / (SSCMS + SSCMSD))*100

MS/MSD samples:

SSC = Spiked sample concentration SC = Sample concentration SA = Spike added

		1		T	T	T	T	T	T	T	T	T	1	T	T
MSD	ő	Recalc.													
MS/MSD	RPD	Reported	7.1												
Matrix Spike Duplicate	Percent Recovery	Recalc.													
Matrix Spik	Percent F	Reported	68												
Matrix spike	Percent Recovery	Recalc.													
Matrix	Percent P	Reported	90												
Spike Sample Concentration (ww \/)	MSD	26													
	Concer	MS	12												
Sample	(mg/V	-													
oike ded	ma L)	MSD	67												
₩ ₩) W	MS	2												
	Compound		C10-624												
	3		DR O												

Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates findings worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

LDC# 52 356KX

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

Laboratory Control Sample/Laboratory Control Sample Duplicates Results Verification

Page: 1 of 1

Reviewer:

METHOD: CC HPLC

The percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) of the laboratory control sample duplicate were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculation:

%Recovery = 100 * (SSC/SA)RPD =(({SSCLCS - SSCLCSD} * 2) / (SSCLCS + SSCLCSD))*100

SSC = Spiked sample concentration LCS = Laboratory Control Sample

SA = Spike added LCSD = Laboratory Control Sample duplicate

LCS/LCSD samples: \Q\rightarrow\| \rightarrow\| \rightarro

Where CLCS + SSCLCSD))*100

Comments: Refer to Laboratory Control Sample/Laboratory Control Sample Duplicate findings worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

¥
a
\mathcal{L}
4
4
5
۱
#
O
Õ

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET Sample Calculation Verification

Page: 1 of 1 Reviewer:

> HPLC METHOD.

2		Were	/Word
MEI DOD:	:	ΑN	S
_	 	거	Z
<u> </u>	_	اح	>

all reported results recalculated and verified for all level IV samples?

Were all recalculated results for detected target compounds within 10% of the reported results?

(RF)(Vs or Ws)(%S/100) (A)(Fv)(Df) Concentration=

ササ Sample ID.

Example:

Compound Name Oil Range Hydrocarbons

A= Area or height of the compound to be measured Fv= Final Volume of extract

RF≈ Average response factor of the compound Df= Dilution Factor

Vs= Initial volume of the sample Ws= Initial weight of the sample %S= Percent Solid In the initial calibration

(1) 61x 222 410 0.1 28542 (0020)

Concentration =

= 0.375856

# Sample ID Compound Reported Recalculated Results Concentrations (bug			T		1	T	T	T	T
Sample ID Compound Reported Recalculated Results Concentrations Concentration Concentration Concentration Conce	Qualifications								
Sample ID Compound (# 4 TEH TEH # Total Extractable Hydrecambony = 1.	Recalculated Results Concentrations	0.375896	0.5660						
Sample ID # 4	Reported Concentrations (NAS -)	0.38 mg/L	0 25 0.57		1	= 1.70335 410+	29457.33 K		
Sample ID # 4	Compound	Oil Range	カ エムト			ctable Hydrocanbons	•		
*	Sample ID	牛一				#4 Total Extrac			
	#								

Comments:

Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CTO 18F0126 - SDG B21100166 LDC 52356

EPA_NO LAB_ID DF	ANALYTE	COLL_DATE	ANAL_DATE	QCLev	RESULT	UNITS	LAB_Q	LOQ	LOD	REV	Q_C
METHOD: 80		0/20/2021 2 27 20 57	40/0/2024 7 22 22 22					0.50	0.45		
ERH1764 B211001664 C10-C24	4 DIESEL RANGE ORG SILICA GEL CLEAN U	9/30/2021 2:55:00 PM		С		MG/L	U	0.30	0.12	U	
ERH1764 B211001664 C10-C24	4 DIESEL RANGE ORGANICS	9/30/2021 2:55:00 PM	10/6/2021 6:32:00 AM	С		MG/L	U	0.30	0.15	U	
ERH1764 B211001664 C24-C40	OIL RANGE ORGANICS SILICA GEL CLEAN	9/30/2021 2:55:00 PM	10/8/2021 5:03:00 AM	C		MG/L	U	0.30	0.15	U	
ERH1764 B211001664 C24-C40) TOTAL PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS, OI	9/30/2021 2:55:00 PM	10/6/2021 6:32:00 AM	C		MG/L	U	0.30	0.15	U	
ERH1765 B211001664 C10-C24	4 DIESEL RANGE ORG SILICA GEL CLEAN U	9/30/2021 1:15:00 PM	10/8/2021 5:55:00 AM	C		MG/L	U	0.30	0.12	U	
ERH1765 B211001664 C10-C24	DIESEL RANGE ORGANICS	9/30/2021 1:15:00 PM	10/6/2021 11:54:00 AM	C		MG/L	U	0.30	0.14	U	
ERH1765 B211001664 C24-C40	OIL RANGE ORGANICS SILICA GEL CLEAN	9/30/2021 1:15:00 PM	10/8/2021 5:55:00 AM	C		MG/L	U	0.30	0.14	U	
ERH1765 B211001664 C24-C40) TOTAL PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS, OI	9/30/2021 1:15:00 PM	10/6/2021 11:54:00 AM	C		MG/L	U	0.30	0.14	U	
ERH1766 B211001664 C10-C24	DIESEL RANGE ORG SILICA GEL CLEAN U	9/30/2021 12:00:00 PM	10/8/2021 11:27:00 AM	C		MG/L	U	0.30	0.12	U	
ERH1766 B211001664 C10-C24	DIESEL RANGE ORGANICS	9/30/2021 12:00:00 PM	10/6/2021 12:42:00 PM	C		MG/L	U	0.30	0.14	U	
ERH1766 B211001664 C24-C40	OIL RANGE ORGANICS SILICA GEL CLEAN	9/30/2021 12:00:00 PM	10/8/2021 11:27:00 AM	C		MG/L	U	0.30	0.14	U	
ERH1766 B211001664 C24-C40) TOTAL PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS, OI	9/30/2021 12:00:00 PM	10/6/2021 12:42:00 PM	C	0.14	MG/L	J	0.30	0.14	J	
ERH1769 B211001664 C10-C24	DIESEL RANGE ORG SILICA GEL CLEAN U	9/30/2021 9:55:00 AM	10/8/2021 12:16:00 PM	D		MG/L	U	0.30	0.12	U	
ERH1769 B211001664 C10-C24	DIESEL RANGE ORGANICS	9/30/2021 9:55:00 AM	10/6/2021 1:29:00 PM	D	0.082	MG/L	J	0.30	0.15	J	
ERH1769 B211001664 C24-C40	OIL RANGE ORGANICS SILICA GEL CLEAN	9/30/2021 9:55:00 AM	10/8/2021 12:16:00 PM	D		MG/L	U	0.30	0.15	U	
ERH1769 B211001664 C24-C40) TOTAL PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS, OI	9/30/2021 9:55:00 AM	10/6/2021 1:29:00 PM	D	0.38	MG/L		0.30	0.15		
ERH1762 B211001664 C10-C24	4 DIESEL RANGE ORG SILICA GEL CLEAN U	10/1/2021 9:10:00 AM	10/8/2021 6:43:00 AM	C		MG/L	U	0.30	0.12	U	
ERH1762 B211001664 C10-C24	DIESEL RANGE ORGANICS	10/1/2021 9:10:00 AM	10/6/2021 11:07:00 AM	C	0.047	MG/L	J	0.30	0.15	J	
ERH1762 B211001664 C24-C40	OIL RANGE ORGANICS SILICA GEL CLEAN	10/1/2021 9:10:00 AM	10/8/2021 6:43:00 AM	C		MG/L	U	0.30	0.15	U	
ERH1762 B211001664 C24-C40) TOTAL PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS, OI	10/1/2021 9:10:00 AM	10/6/2021 11:07:00 AM	C	0.17	MG/L	J	0.30	0.15	J	
ERH1763 B211001664 C10-C24	4 DIESEL RANGE ORG SILICA GEL CLEAN U	10/1/2021 10:35:00 AM	10/8/2021 1:02:00 PM	C		MG/L	U	0.30	0.12	U	
ERH1763 B211001664 C10-C24	DIESEL RANGE ORGANICS	10/1/2021 10:35:00 AM	10/6/2021 3:57:00 PM	C		MG/L	U	0.30	0.15	U	
ERH1763 B211001664 C24-C40	OIL RANGE ORGANICS SILICA GEL CLEAN	10/1/2021 10:35:00 AM	10/8/2021 1:02:00 PM	C		MG/L	U	0.30	0.15	U	
ERH1763 B211001664 C24-C40) TOTAL PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS, OI	10/1/2021 10:35:00 AM	10/6/2021 3:57:00 PM	С	0.15	MG/L	J	0.30	0.15	J	
ERH1768 B211001664 C10-C24	4 DIESEL RANGE ORG SILICA GEL CLEAN U	10/1/2021 11:50:00 AM	10/8/2021 1:49:00 PM	С		MG/L	U	0.30	0.12	U	

EPA_NO LAB_ID DF	ANALYTE	COLL_DATE	ANAL_DATE	QCLev	RESULT	UNITS	LAB_Q	LOQ	LOD	REV	Q_C
METHOD: 8	015C										
ERH1768 B211001664 C10-C2	24 DIESEL RANGE ORGANICS	10/1/2021 11:50:00 AM ₁	0/6/2021 4:45:00 PM	C	0.073	MG/L	J	0.30	0.14	J	
ERH1768 B211001664 C24-C4	0 OIL RANGE ORGANICS SILICA GEL CLEAN	10/1/2021 11:50:00 AM 1	0/8/2021 1:49:00 PM	C		MG/L	U	0.30	0.14	U	
ERH1768 B211001664 C24-C4	0 TOTAL PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS, OI	10/1/2021 11:50:00 AM 1	0/6/2021 4:45:00 PM	C	0.32	MG/L		0.30	0.14		
ERH1770 B211001664 C10-C2	24 DIESEL RANGE ORG SILICA GEL CLEAN U	10/1/2021 12:55:00 PM 1	0/8/2021 2:37:00 PM	C		MG/L	U	0.30	0.11	U	
ERH1770 B211001664 C10-C2	24 DIESEL RANGE ORGANICS	10/1/2021 12:55:00 PM 1	0/6/2021 10:30:00 PM	C	0.42	MG/L		0.30	0.14		
ERH1770 B211001664 C24-C4	0 OIL RANGE ORGANICS SILICA GEL CLEAN	10/1/2021 12:55:00 PM 1	0/8/2021 2:37:00 PM	C		MG/L	U	0.30	0.14	U	
ERH1770 B211001664 C24-C4	0 TOTAL PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS, OI	10/1/2021 12:55:00 PM 1	0/6/2021 10:30:00 PM	C	0.24	MG/L	J	0.30	0.14	J	