RED HILL TASK FORCE MEETING

Thursday, December 11, 2014

10:12 a.m. to 12:10 p.m.

919 Ala Moana Boulevard, Fifth Floor

Honolulu, Hawaii 96814

MR. GILL: Okay. Good morning. Thank you for joining us at what we hope will be the final task force meeting on Red Hill subject to a resolution passed by the House and the Senate.

I'm Gary Gill. I'm the deputy director of Environmental Health still. The new administration has not permanently selected a director or any of the deputies for the Department of Health, but we are in holdover status. So I'm still empowered to run this meeting, and isn't that a wonderful thing to still be with you as we get through to holiday season?

So I'd like to begin by introductions around the table of task force members and then members of the audience. And the main event for today is, hopefully, to agree upon the body of this report which is the work product that we are empowered and requested to produce by the legislature.

So with that, I'll begin on my right. 1 2 Task force members, introduce yourself for the record and to the public. 3 4 CAPTAIN WILLIAMSON: Good morning. Excuse me. Good morning. Mike Williamson, 5 representing the Navy. 6 7 MR. LINDER: Steve Linder, USEPA. Ι 8 manage the Underground Storage Tank Program, and 9 I'm happy to be here in person for the first time 10 for this meeting. 11 MR. LAU: Ernie Lau, Honolulu Board of Water Supply. It's nice to have Steve here in 12 13 person instead of a phone -- a voice on the phone. 14 Also, I come out of dress. I didn't bring my suit 15 and tie here, but glad to be here. Thank you. 16 MR. GILL: Aaron? 17 MR. POENTIS: Aaron Poentis, representing 18 the Navy. 19 MR. ONOUE: Steven Onoue, representing 20 Moanalua Valley Community Association. 21 MR. YOMES: David Yomes, representing 22 Neighborhood Board 18, which consists of 23 communities of Aliamanu, Salt Lake and Foster 24 Village. 25 MR. CASEY: Patrick Casey, geologist for

| 1  | the Commission of Water Resource Management and the |
|----|---|
| 2  | DLNR.   |
| 3  | MR. GILL: And we hope to be joined by               |
| 4  | Senator Mike Gabbard and Representative Chris Lee,  |
| 5  | also members of the task force.                     |
| 6  | Let's ask my staff to introduce                     |
| 7  | themselves.   |
| 8  | MR. TAKABA: Richard Takaba, DOH, UST                |
| 9  | Section.  |
| 10 | MS. PERRY: Thu Perry, DOH/UST.                      |
| 11 | MR. YAMADA: Stuart Yamada, Environmental            |
| 12 | Management Division.                                |
| 13 | MS. KWAN: Roxanne Kwan with the                     |
| 14 | Underground Storage Tank Program.                   |
| 15 | MS. SETO: Joanna Seto with the Safe                 |
| 16 | Drinking Water Branch.                              |
| 17 | MS. SIMMONS: Grace Simmons, Solid and               |
| 18 | Hazardous Waste.                                    |
| 19 | MR. HIGUCHI: Dean Higuchi, EPA.                     |
| 20 | MR. HUETTEMAN: I'm Tom Huetteman. I'm               |
| 21 | with EPA Region IX. I manage the RCRA Branch,       |
| 22 | which includes the Underground Storage Tank         |
| 23 | Program.  |
| 24 | MR. CHENET: Robert Chenet, geologist                |
| 25 | with the Commission on Water Resource Management.   |

| 1  | MR. STARR: Jonathan Starr, commissioner,  |
|----|---|
| 2  | Hawaii State Commission on Water Resource |
| 3  | Management.                               |
| 4  | MS. HOMMON: Good morning. Becky Hommon,   |
| 5  | Navy Region Hawaii.                       |
| 6  | MR. CLEMENTS: Tom Clements, Navy Region   |
| 7  | Hawaii.                                   |
| 8  | MR. MATSUNAGA: Hello. Mark Matsunaga,     |
| 9  | Navy.                                     |
| 10 | MR. PANG: Gordon Pang with the            |
| 11 | Star-Advertiser.                          |
| 12 | MR. GILL: Second row.                     |
| 13 | MR. GIBBONS: Gerald Gibbons, Navy Fleet   |
| 14 | Logistics Center, civil engineer.         |
| 15 | MS. KANAGY: Julia Kanagy. I'm with        |
| 16 | Department of Health.                     |
| 17 | MR. ROCHA: Mike Rocha. I'm with the       |
| 18 | Naval Facilities Engineering Service.     |
| 19 | MR. SHALEV: Omer Shalev, EPA.             |
| 20 | MR. PALLERINO: Bob Pallerino, EPA,        |
| 21 | Region IX.                                |
| 22 | MS. O'HALLORAN: Kess O'Halloran, Council  |
| 23 | Member Fukunaga's office.                 |
| 24 | MR. MESTA: Dion Mesta with Brandon        |
| 25 | Elefante's office.                        |

1 MR. KAWATA: Erwin Kawata, Board of Water 2 Supply. COUNCIL MEMBER ELEFANTE: Good morning, 3 4 everyone. Brandon Elefante from the Honolulu City 5 Council. MS. MATSUMOTO: I'm Nancy Matsumoto, 6 7 Board of Water Supply. 8 MR. USAGAWA: Barry Usagawa, Board of 9 Water Supply. 10 MS. SHIMABUKU: June Shimabuku, NAVFAC 11 Hawaii. 12 MR. GILL: Okay. I think that's 13 everyone. We have an agenda that was circulated 14 and produced many weeks ago the last time the task 15 force met. If you haven't been following this along, at the task force meeting, we had a number 16 17 of iterative drafts of this report. We decided for 18 the sake of efficiency and expediency, we would 19 have a subgroup of the task force, try to work out 20 some of the details and the final language and 21 bring that forward for approval of the entire task 22 force and then submittal to the legislature. 23 The subgroup met three times, I believe, 24 to work on this final draft, and it's ready to come 25 forward, hopefully, today for final comment and

approval. It is the work product that this task force has been tasked to do. It's the reason that we were created.

Just to remind folks of some of the decisions that went into this, we were struggling with the format of how to put together a report from various — including the input from various entities, agencies and the public, which may not actually agree. So the way that we did that was, first of all, decide that we wouldn't get signature sign-off from all the agencies. This would be a Department of Health report. There were issues that federal entities would have a hard time signing a document like this that dealt with state policy. So we dispensed with the idea of having multiple signatures on this.

Then the other main issue that we decided on was that this report would be reflective of the various points of view, not requiring everyone to agree, but if people had different points, different recommendations, different comments, we would include them in the report reflective of the maker of that comment; so that if the Department of Health said one thing and another entity didn't agree, we could include both points of view in the

report and simply identify which entity made that comment and bring all those comments forward for the legislature to understand.

So that was a major shift in the original format of the document, and so if you're reading it now, you'll notice that we have it broken down into the findings of facts, various agency comments, and sections here for representing a consensus point of view as well. So the point of this document is to show all the comments that have come forward that any of the participants feel is important to make to the legislature, whether or not there's agreement on that wording. So the whole thing will be bundled together and sent to the legislature in that format, and that's the document that's before us today.

So I'd like to move on to what is now item 3 on this agenda, and you'll see a list of documents, the attendance list and transcripts. As you notice, we have a court reporter who has been keeping track of all the comments for the public record. I'm not going to ask that we adopt the minutes or anything like that officially. They are posted on the Department of Health website as will be the notes from this meeting as well.

| 1  | Let me pause.                                      |
|----|--|
| 2  | Thu Perry, anything you'd like to inform           |
| 3  | the group of regarding any of those documents or   |
| 4  | transcripts?                                       |
| 5  | MS. PERRY: The website is actually on              |
| 6  | the back of these agendas as well on the bottom.   |
| 7  | MR. GILL: So if you look on the back of            |
| 8  | your agenda, you'll see where the transcripts and  |
| 9  | minutes of the meetings are posted for public      |
| 10 | record.  |
| 11 | Anything else?                                     |
| 12 | MS. PERRY: They pretty much updated I              |
| 13 | think we're getting the last batch uploaded today. |
| 14 | MR. GILL: So today's transcript will               |
| 15 | be   |
| 16 | MS. PERRY: No. I'm sorry. Not today's              |
| 17 | transcript, but the previous meeting.              |
| 18 | MR. GILL: The previous subgroup meeting,           |
| 19 | which was last week, will have its transcript      |
| 20 | uploaded today; is that correct?                   |
| 21 | MS. PERRY: Roxanne?                                |
| 22 | MS. KWAN: Yes.                                     |
| 23 | MR. GILL: And it may take us a week or             |
| 24 | so to upload today's transcript?                   |
| 25 | MS. PERRY: Exactly.                                |
|    |  |

MR. GILL: Any questions on that documentation? Anything so far?

If not, our agenda item 3(d) says, "Navy update on sampling results from the two new monitoring wells."

As you recall, the Navy has taken the initiative to site and construct two new monitoring wells to assess whether any contamination from the Red Hill facility has spread beyond the area directly beneath the tanks, and we know that the Navy has completed the installation of those wells and taken some sampling and has some results.

So, Aaron, am I looking to you to share that?

MR. POENTIS: Yes. I can speak to that.

As Gary has indicated, you know, the Navy installed two wells to the north. For reference, we've indicated them as monitoring well 6, which is, if you're looking at an illustration of the wells and the tanks, to the right or the northeast, and monitoring well 7, which is to the left or more northwest of the center line of the tank structure.

So we got the finalized results, and based on the analysis that have been given to us, we have found detects of total petroleum

1 hydrocarbons in monitoring well 7, which is the 2 northwest, in concentrations of 66 and 57 parts in the fill duplicate of parts per billion, which is 3 below the Environmental Action Level of 100. 4 5 Additionally, within the two wells, monitoring wells 6 and 7, we have also found low 6 7 level concentrations of 2-methylnaphthalene, and 8 the concentrations for monitoring well 6 is --Let me look at my numbers. I can barely 9 10 read my paperwork. Thank you. With age, I'm having a hard time seeing. 11 12 -- 6.4 parts per billion, and for 13 monitoring well 7, which is the northwest, 8.4 and a fill duplicate of 6.0 parts per trillion. So 14 15 those are below the Environmental Action Levels 16 described by the Department of Health. 17 CAPTAIN WILLIAMSON: How far below the 18 action levels magnitude? 19 MR. POENTIS: For the 20 2-methylnaphthalene, at least three orders of 21 magnitude below. 22 MR. GILL: Okay. So let me ask if 23 there's any questions from members of the task 24 force regarding this report? Ernie? 25 MR. LAU: Just wondering, Mike and Gary,

if the results will be posted on the Department of 1 2 Health Red Hill Task Force website or some other 3 location where we can get a copy? 4 MR. POENTIS: We're packaging the 5 information and submitting it to the regulatory agencies. 6 7 MR. LAU: You're submitting it to 8 Department of Health and EPA? 9 MR. POENTIS: And EPA. That's correct. 10 MR. LAU: And DOH is going to post it on 11 the website? 12 MR. GILL: We have yet to receive 13 anything in writing. This is something that's been 14 shared with us orally. Let me just look to the 15 program. When we receive the documentation, does 16 17 it typically get posted on the website? 18 MR. TAKABA: It's predecision until we 19 send out a letter as a response. 20 MR. GILL: So what would be the 21 methodology of providing these kinds of -- this 22 information from official reports and making it 23 available to the public? 24 MS. KWAN: We need to get it reviewed by 25 the deputy attorney general and then we'll put what

1 the decision is and put it out at that time. 2 MR. GILL: Okay. So Department of Health 3 needs to go through an internal process of assessing the litigation factors, I suppose, of 4 5 this information because we are in an enforcement environment, and then we would determine whether 6 7 and in what fashion to make that available. 8 MR. LAU: Can I ask one more question --9 MR. GILL: Sure. 10 MR. LAU: -- maybe of the Navy? 11 Are you planning to monitor this on a 12 quarterly basis going forward of these two well 13 locations? CAPTAIN WILLIAMSON: 14 Yes. 15 MR. POENTIS: Our intention is that we're 16 scheduled to take another sample in January. 17 of the concern that we have is that there may be 18 some interference from the glyco-drilling foam. So 19 although we're looking at it as, you know, detects, 20 there is possibility of interference. 21 MR. LAU: The glyco-drilling foam was 22 used in the construction of those two wells? 23 MR. POENTIS: That's correct. 24 MR. LAU: By the driller? 25 MR. POENTIS: By the driller. And that's

1 appropriate for drinking water wells. 2 MR. LAU: Did you appropriately -- what methodology did you use to bail the wells before 3 4 picking a sample? 5 MR. POENTIS: We used the sampling method that's prescribed by the department. I believe it 6 7 was bailing process. 8 MR. LAU: And not pumped? 9 MR. POENTIS: That's correct. 10 MR. GILL: Okay. Any other questions 11 from members of the community? 12 CAPTAIN WILLIAMSON: So it's important, 13 those wells, as we previously discussed, will be 14 used for enhanced monitoring. They'll get on our 15 quarterly sampling. They'll help inform the follow-on efforts for contaminant fate and 16 17 transport, groundwater monitoring plan, et cetera. 18 So I think all those things we've talked about the 19 need for, those wells are the start to help us get 20 a better site picture around Red Hill. 21 MR. LINDER: And those wells are going to 22 be surveyed as part of the comprehensive surveying 23 effort of all the wells in the area? 24 CAPTAIN WILLIAMSON: Correct. 25 MR. POENTIS: They have been surveyed. Ι

| 1  | believe we have four that elevation either          |
|----|---|
| 2  | MR. LAU: Did the National Geodetic, NGS,            |
| 3  | go out and establish benchmarks on these            |
| 4  | MR. POENTIS: I believe we passed that               |
| 5  | information to them or either have or will shortly. |
| 6  | MR. LAU: We would be glad to talk                   |
| 7  | because USGS is under a cooperative agreement with  |
| 8  | BWS to ensure that they are surveyed appropriately  |
| 9  | to  |
| 10 | CAPTAIN WILLIAMSON: We welcome that. We             |
| 11 | welcome that effort.                                |
| 12 | MR. POENTIS: They have been in contact              |
| 13 | and have been given authorization for access.       |
| 14 | MR. LAU: Great. Thank you.                          |
| 15 | MR. GILL: So just to be clear, since                |
| 16 | Oh, Becky, you're pointing to a map                 |
| 17 | included in the report?                             |
| 18 | MS. HOMMON: Exactly. Just thought that              |
| 19 | might be helpful for everybody.                     |
| 20 | MR. GILL: The last, last page.                      |
| 21 | MS. HOMMON: Yes.                                    |
| 22 | MR. GILL: So if you have the draft                  |
| 23 | report, we can see RHMW07 and RHMW06. I believe     |
| 24 | those are the two wells in question that have been  |
| 25 | recently installed and tested for. They are to the  |

northwest of the center line of the Red Hill tanks, 1 2 about 300 feet, I believe, from the tanks to the 3 north --MR. POENTIS: That's correct. 4 5 MR. GILL: -- roughly, and we have information now that there is contamination at low 6 7 levels in those wells. 8 So with that, any further questions from 9 DLNR? Patrick? 10 MR. CASEY: Patrick from the Commission on Water Resource Management. 11 12 When will the details of the installation 13 be available? The length of the screened section 14 where it is relative to the water table, drilling 15 logs, those kinds of information, when will those 16 be available? 17 MR. POENTIS: I believe we have those 18 available. I believe and I will verify this for 19 sure, but those have been submitted to the 20 department before we initiated the drilling 21 efforts. 22 MR. CASEY: So they'll be part of the 23 package with the results or separately? 24 MR. POENTIS: I think they have been 25 submitted previously.

1 MR. CASEY: Okav. 2 MR. LINDER: Steve from EPA. At least the plans were, but I think the question is more 3 the as-builts and any kind of boring logs. So that 4 5 would be -- I would assume that that would be part of the submittal that documents what was found in 6 7 the wells along with the construction of those 8 wells? 9 MR. POENTIS: The logs are part of the 10 data collection effort, and those will be submitted. 11 12 MR. LAU: Is that submitted --13 Ernie from BWS. Is that submitted back to the Water 14 15 Commission as part of the well construction 16 application? Is that a requirement, Patrick? 17 MR. CASEY: Yeah. We saw the diagrams to 18 be installed, but -- and that was part of the 19 package, but the as-builts would be very important 20 to see. 21 MR. POENTIS: We'll make sure that 22 they'll be submitted. 23 MR. GILL: Okay. Further questions? 24 Members of the task force?

Okay. I'm going to -- just because this

25

is new information and we may have people in the public who are not clear on what was presented here, just pause for a second to see if there's anyone in the audience before we move on to the report who has a question or a comment about the report, about this item, the report of the wells.

All the way in the back, June? Tell your name again for the reporter, please.

MS. SHIMABUKU: My name is June Shimabuku from NAVFAC Hawaii. I just want to confirm that the naphthalene results are reported in parts per trillion and not parts per billion. So it's PPT, not PPB.

MR. GILL: Okay. Any other comment or question? If not, we're going to move on on our agenda to the main purpose of this meeting, the review of our task force purpose and the discussion of the latest draft report which is in front of us. I don't know how much we need to review the purpose. To put it in simple terms, the legislature called us together to make a report on the items that you find in this draft document and to provide this report to the legislature, as is typical, I think 20 days before session. It's our hope that we will be able to finalize this document

today, and that the Department of Health will be able to submit it to the legislature on time.

I think most people here are familiar with the content of it. So what I'd like to do is ask staff to sort of give us an overview as we go through it, see if there are any last-minute changes or questions so that we can finalize it at this meeting, if at all possible.

MS. PERRY: So before we get to the nitty-gritty of the report, I just wanted to touch on some points of how the report is structured, especially for those who weren't in the subgroup meetings. So during the subgroup meetings, we used the track changes feature so that everybody could see which party contributed what section. Now, that version is very difficult to read. So I did not include that, but different versions of that -- of all those changes are available on our website if you are interested.

Also, as Gary alluded to, the biggest improvement was the framework on this report in order to include all opinions and recommendations from all the parties. What that means is that there's a section called Findings of Fact, and the goal was to -- to be clear that the comment in this

section could be easily validated and not controversial. Okay? So everything else would fall into the Comment section.

If parties concurred on comments, then the heading would say such like, "DOH and BWS Comments," et cetera. Additional recommendations were also included in the comment section, reserving the last section which is recommendations only to the legislature.

There was also an effort in each -- after each comment section to include areas where there were consensus. So there might be a problem in the flow in which the comments were -- you know, how this report goes because the effort was to try to incorporate comments verbatim as much as possible.

Now, the one big exception, which we had a comment from the Board of Water Supply the last couple of days, is that the first version that the task force got was a collaborative effort kind of from BWS and DOH. What happened was Board of Water Supply gave us their version. We took that and we edited it, and that's what the task force saw the first time. The suggestion from the Board of Water Supply was that they would like their recommendations and comments restored to the

original before Department of Health edited it and that will be done. It's just not in this version here. So I just wanted to clarify that, that we did address that.

MR. LAU: Thank you. I just have a letter to that effect for you, Gary.

MR. GILL: Okay.

MS. PERRY: So a couple other things.

Because of the technical nature of this issue,

there were more background and scientific

terminology and concepts in the addendum. Also, we

tried to reword the recommendations so that it was

specified who the recommendation is directed and

who would be doing the activities.

Okay. So that's it. Now we can get into the actual report itself. From the last -- those of you who were in the subgroup meeting from the last time that we spoke, the Navy did include more background narrative on the facility. Quite a bit, actually. So I don't know who had a chance to go through these things, you know, actually read word by word, but --

CAPTAIN WILLIAMSON: I read word by word.

MS. PERRY: Okay. So they identified improvement techniques, dates, costs of certain

improvements.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CAPTAIN WILLIAMSON: May I speak about that?

MS. PERRY: Yes, please.

CAPTAIN WILLIAMSON: So I felt it was important during the development of this document for folks to understand that, yes, the facility is 70 years old by definition, but there's been a lot of work that's gone into the facility. So for someone to walk away with a mental model that here's a 70-year-old facility that's falling apart is one mental model, but what I wanted to make sure everybody understood is that there have been many improvements over the years in these tanks. We're not getting into them for the first time in 70 years. We've been in them many times over the last 70 years. We have made improvements to the tanks over the last 70 years. So this is an attempt without going into all the details, but to share with the reader that this facility has been modernized. While in absolute age, it's 70 years old, there have been numerous improvements over I thought it was important that was contained in the document to help set the stage. MR. GILL: So just so I'm clear on this,

| 1  | we're talking about the first two pages?            |
|----|---|
|    |   |
| 2  | MS. PERRY: Correct.                                 |
| 3  | MR. GILL: Basically, the introduction               |
| 4  | section   |
| 5  | MS. PERRY: Yes.                                     |
| 6  | MR. GILL: has been dramatically                     |
| 7  | expanded  |
| 8  | MS. PERRY: Yes.                                     |
| 9  | MR. GILL: with text provided by the                 |
| 10 | Navy.   |
| 11 | I will say I haven't read it word for               |
| 12 | word. You can imagine I'm not sure when this        |
| 13 | came in. Been a little busy on some other           |
| 14 | meetings. So I assume that staff has read through   |
| 15 | it, or did we just clip it in without any review or |
| 16 | editing?  |
| 17 | MS. PERRY: We clipped it in with an                 |
| 18 | additional comment in the Comments section saying   |
| 19 | that at this time, EPA and DOH have not been able   |
| 20 | to confirm the accuracy and position of these of    |
| 21 | the systems that are noted in the introduction.     |
| 22 | MR. GILL: Where is that?                            |
| 23 | MS. PERRY: That will be later on in the             |
| 24 | Comments section.                                   |
| 25 | MR. GILL: Okay.                                     |

MS. PERRY: So this is something for discussion whether or not task force members need validation on any of this information, or is it okay to say that it is fact and we can proceed.

MR. GILL: Well, I'm personally not comfortable asserting this is fact, not having run it through -- you know, having the time to run through it in detail. I think if it's intended to be background information from the Navy, it should be so labeled. That's all.

mean, I'll go on the record and say these are facts. So in 1994 and in 1997, we did things. I mean, these are backed up with documents. So these are facts. It was not intended to shape any discussion. It was — it was submitted in light of the framework that you and Thu just mentioned, Findings of Fact, and it's laid out in that framework as facts, and all of this is supported with documentation. So if the members who haven't read this yet have a question about it, we're certainly welcome to back it up with the supporting documentation.

MR. GILL: So not to be argumentative, but not all facts are created equal. There are

conflicting facts sometimes. If you leave one fact out and talk about the other fact, you give a certain impression or spin, if you will. So that's just a matter of style and presentation. I don't -- I don't want to subject members of this task force who might read this in detail later and raise concerns that although it may be factual, it's geared to give a certain impression that we may or may not agree with.

Secondly, you say it's backed up by documents. I'm not sure which documents or whether we have those documents, whether we've reviewed those documents, and whether we've determined that those documents, in fact, do support the bullet items that you put here.

So I'm just going to put in a suggestion that if this language came in from the Navy, we should just so label it that this is background provided by the Navy and leave it like that. I don't want to fight over it or whatever. I trust the Navy's done their best to provide this background information as clearly and simply and factually as possible, but if we were to take this line by line, I think we would not complete this meeting today and we are on a time schedule here.

So that would be my recommendation. But, Ernie, you'd like to make a comment?

MR. LAU: Yes. Ernie from BWS.

I think at the last subgroup meeting, I kind of suggested the idea that the Navy maybe provide more background about the facility and what was done before. So I think since we are not privy to all the reports and studies that are referenced in this, Gary's suggestion of kind of a prefacing statement that this is some background information provided by the Navy to the task force, and it gives the reader at least a Navy account of what has been done to the tanks, something to that effect to preface in the beginning. Because unless we are allowed to be privy to review all the reports and studies, it would be difficult to agree with everything stated here.

CAPTAIN WILLIAMSON: That's reasonable.

MR. LAU: So I think for the sake of expediency to get the report out, I would agree with your recommendation, Gary.

MR. GILL: Okay. So we have a recommendation that the background information that's been included in this draft's introduction simply be prefaced by a statement that this is

| 1  | information provided by the Navy.                  |
|----|--|
| 2  | Is there any objection or concern to that          |
| 3  | recommendation? If not, Thu, we'll go ahead and do |
| 4  | that.  |
| 5  | Go ahead and continue with your                    |
| 6  | presentation.                                      |
| 7  | MS. PERRY: So along those lines, on page           |
| 8  | 3 on top of the page, the reference of the         |
| 9  | secondary containment study of 2008, and so if     |
| 10 | that's okay that we don't have to provide that,    |
| 11 | then we can just state as such.                    |
| 12 | MR. GILL: I'm sorry. I'm not sure where            |
| 13 | you're talking about.                              |
| 14 | MS. PERRY: Page 3. Top of page 3. In               |
| 15 | 2008, 120,000 was spent researching secondary      |
| 16 | containment.                                       |
| 17 | MR. LAU: And there was another study in            |
| 18 | 2014.  |
| 19 | MS. PERRY: It's referenced again under a           |
| 20 | different section.                                 |
| 21 | MR. GILL: So your point is just to make            |
| 22 | reference to a 2008 study?                         |
| 23 | MS. PERRY: My point is do the studies              |
| 24 | need to be provided if it is referenced?           |
| 25 | MR. GILL: So the proposal is to make a             |

reference to these studies, but not include the 1 2 studies in this report? MS. PERRY: That is the indication that 3 4 I'm understanding. Becky, that's what you'd like? 5 MS. HOMMON: (Nodding head.) MR. GILL: So the actual studies is 6 7 referenced, but not included? 8 MS. PERRY: Correct. 9 MR. GILL: Does anyone have an objection 10 Because that study might go on for quite to that? 11 sometime. So is that study available? Should any 12 member of the legislature read this and say, "Oh, 13 there was a 2008 study researching secondary 14 containment. I'd like to see it, " would that 15 legislator be able to see it? I think that's a 16 question for the Navy. It's a Navy document. 17 MR. POENTIS: I believe that document was 18 provided to the department as part of the 19 information request. 20 MR. GILL: Is the Navy agreeable to 21 sharing this document with the public? This is 22 a --23 CAPTAIN WILLIAMSON: So there's a 24 redacted version that was -- that's been shared 25 with both DOH and EPA. The redaction is there

because it talks about some specific costs and some Anti-Terrorism Force Protection concerns that the Navy has with this operational facility. So there is a redacted version out there. I don't -- I don't see a reason why that redacted document can't be shared, and for those that need more information, we can certainly make that available on an as-needed basis.

MR. GILL: Okay. Maybe what we should just add to this is, "A redacted version of this report is available on request."

CAPTAIN WILLIAMSON: Upon request.

MR. GILL: Okay. That would be great.

MR. LAU: This is Ernie. I guess just along those lines, if there's any reference to future -- past studies, would we take the same approach if those studies could be available to whoever might say, "Well, where did you get that information and what did you do in a redacted form that can be on the website?" Because BWS has not seen the 2008 study.

CAPTAIN WILLIAMSON: So, Ernie, there's a -- for you, Board of Water Supply, we're giving you -- as a key stakeholder in this, there's an open door for you to sit down with the staff and

1 for us to share and share with you all the 2 information that you have requested and are requesting. There's an open invitation for you to 3 come sit down with the staff and review the 4 5 documents as you see necessary. MR. LAU: Would it, Mike, also include a 6 7 possibility of obtaining copies of properly 8 redacted documents versus --9 CAPTAIN WILLIAMSON: I understand. 10 MR. LAU: -- having to look at the report 11 at your desk --12 CAPTAIN WILLIAMSON: I Understand. 13 MR. LAU: -- and leave it there and take 14 a profuse amount of notes? No. 15 CAPTAIN WILLIAMSON: I understand. I would -- I welcome that conversation. I think 16 17 knowledge and understanding from your perspective 18 is critical to the success and the protection of 19 the water resource. 20 MR. LAU: We would agree. 21 CAPTAIN WILLIAMSON: And I think the most 22 expeditious way to educate and bring you up to 23 speed on where we are is to invite you in, and then 24 beyond that, how we produce the documents and 25 provide those documents, I think, is -- some of it

is a case by case because of the level of effort it takes to properly redact the documents and the Anti-Terrorism Force Protection concerns that we have for an operational facility.

So I think that those are the reasons why
I wouldn't today, here and now, agree to handing
you those documents, but making the information
available to you and allowing a dialogue in
exchange of information so you have an
understanding, and if you need to come back and do
more or at some point in time, we need to give you
those redacted documents for further review, I
think those are things that we are willing to work
with you on.

MR. LAU: I appreciate that because we already have a formal request in to Admiral Williams --

CAPTAIN WILLIAMSON: I understand.

MR. LAU: -- to get access to the redacted versions of documents that you provided both to EPA and Department of Health. So I guess this would be counted maybe as a follow-up to our previous letter already sent to Admiral Williams.

CAPTAIN WILLIAMSON: So that letter, and I believe there is an response, if it hasn't

| 1  | arrived already                                    |
|----|--|
| 2  | MR. LAU: I haven't seen it.                        |
| 3  | CAPTAIN WILLIAMSON: What I'm saying is             |
| 4  | if the response hasn't arrived already, the        |
| 5  | response is set up to invite you over to take a    |
| 6  | look at those documents.                           |
| 7  | MR. LAU: Yeah. We'd love to do that,               |
| 8  | Mike. We'll be calling you later today.            |
| 9  | CAPTAIN WILLIAMSON: Standing invitation.           |
| 10 | Standing invitation.                               |
| 11 | MR. GILL: Appreciate that conversation.            |
| 12 | I don't think we need to include that in this      |
| 13 | report.  |
| 14 | CAPTAIN WILLIAMSON: No.                            |
| 15 | MR. GILL: So, Thu, I think we're ready             |
| 16 | to move on.  |
| 17 | MS. PERRY: So in the following                     |
| 18 | paragraph, we changed the wording of "history of   |
| 19 | leaks" to "a number of fuel releases."             |
| 20 | Next is a summary of the release in                |
| 21 | January and there wasn't many changes to that. The |
| 22 | Navy asked if we can emphasize and give the        |
| 23 | negotiated agreement section its own separate      |
| 24 | heading. I thought that was a good idea to         |
| 25 | highlight that.                                    |
|    |  |

Also, there was previously a note that EPA and Navy could not make recommendations on state legislative actions. We took that out since everybody's been making all kinds of comments and recommendations.

Towards the bottom of the fourth page, we have a blue highlighted area. What was suggested is DOH expand on EALs and how site-specific, risk-based levels were established at Red Hill. So everybody got an addendum that will be attached, basically, giving a brief overview of that. I can go over that or not. Up to you, Gary.

MR. GILL: Let's pause on that because it is an issue that is important for us to be clear on in this report. So these are numeric values that are established either in policy or law.

Environmental Action Levels or site-specific, risk-based levels. These are the levels that we use as regulators and policymakers to determine whether the contamination that we find is dangerous or not within the -- the situation -- the detailed and individual situation of a particular site. So because the public looks at these, the press looks at these, they're kind of confusing. The thought was that we needed to do a better explanation of

what is an EAL and what is a site-specific level, and that's why the recommendation is to reference those in the report, but to explain them in an addendum and that's what's attached here. The intent is to help the layperson understand the technical details and interpret the levels of contamination that we're finding and what is acceptable and what is not.

Ernie?

MR. LAU: The question right now, it references Appendix C.

MS. PERRY: We haven't made a label for it yet.

MR. GILL: So it's not labeled Appendix C, but it's been circulated and would be labeled appendix and attached.

MR. LAU: As appropriate?

MR. GILL: Yes.

MS. PERRY: Yes.

Also, I just wanted to say that I took a stab at putting this together. So I'm not a risk assessor. We haven't had it vetted through our toxicologist or anything like that, but it's from Navy reports, and the Navy reports are available as well on our website on the back.

1 MR. GILL: Okay. So no concerns? 2 issues from task force members about that change? 3 Patrick? MR. CASEY: Well, it's specific to the --4 5 This addendum, the third bullet, the 6 dissolved fuel hydrocarbons will be graded at a 7 rate of .009 what? What are the units? 8 MR. GILL: Good point. 9 MR. CASEY: Is it percent? Per kilogram? What? 10 MR. GILL: Okay. 11 That's a good question. 12 I'd encourage everybody, especially you with 13 technical expertise, to read through this. 14 just mentioned it's her attempt to put together an 15 explanation and we haven't refined it. MS. PERRY: There weren't units in that 16 17 portion of the report. It talks about a modeling 18 scenario. So the degradation rate, I'm not sure 19 what the --MR. GILL: We need to label it. 20 21 to clarify it. Reading that, I don't know if we're 22 talking about percent or parts per million, 23 billion, trillion or what. So that's a great 24 comment. 25 So I encourage any task force members to

read through this, see if anything jumps out, and let us know so we can make sure to correct it and a clear version is attached.

MR. LAU: If I can suggest also, it does say certain assumptions were made. So the models are based on, if I understand, certain assumptions. However, have they been validated with field data like the degradation rate? So I want to make sure that the reader when reading this understands that these are assumptions —

MR. GILL: Right.

MR. LAU: -- and what happens in the real world may not precisely follow these assumptions.

MR. GILL: Sure.

MR. LAU: But to be able to do a model, they had to make certain assumptions to generalize things.

MR. GILL: I think that's fair to make clear. I mean, in the very first sentence here, it says "assumes." I mean, the word "assumes" is there in the very first sentence, but to put it in context, yeah, this is based -- an analysis is done based on the information at the time. If there's additional information, better modeling, new data --

1 MR. LAU: More wells. 2 MR. GILL: -- more wells, then these numbers, the site-specific numbers, can be altered. 3 I think that's fair to say. 4 5 Okay. So, again, Ernie, that's a good comment. If you think that needs to be made more 6 7 clear in this text, let us know and we'll try and 8 fix that. MR. LAU: Okay. We'll provide some 9 10 suggestions. 11 MR. GILL: Okay. Let's move along. 12 MR. LINDER: Just one question. I think 13 it could also be useful to clarify a little further 14 the purpose of setting the facility specific 15 levels, like how are those levels going to be used. 16 MR. GILL: Okay. 17 MS. PERRY: Actually, in the last page, 18 it does talk about the Groundwater Protection Plan 19 and how it would -- the site-specific, risk-based 20 levels would be used in that context to mitigate 21 small and large releases, how they would -- you 22 know, and to develop contingency plans. MR. GILL: Let's take another look at 23 24 that, and if the existing language isn't adequate

or clear, maybe you want to move that up to the

25

| 1  | top. We can do that.                               |
|----|--|
| 2  | Steve, I appreciate your input.                    |
| 3  | Let's move along.                                  |
| 4  | MS. PERRY: Moving along, in the                    |
| 5  | short-term effects, I don't think there were many  |
| 6  | changes in that.                                   |
| 7  | MR. GILL: What page are we on?                     |
| 8  | MS. PERRY: Page 5. And just I'm                    |
| 9  | marking a whole bunch of typos. I understand it's  |
| 10 | not a perfect version right here. The long-term    |
| 11 | effects, there weren't many changes to that as     |
| 12 | well.  |
| 13 | Okay. So, again, Board of Water Supply's           |
| 14 | comments will be restored to the original. Those   |
| 15 | will change in the final version.                  |
| 16 | MR. GILL: What page are you on, Thu?               |
| 17 | MS. PERRY: Just a general comment                  |
| 18 | because you know how we went through and made      |
| 19 | changes to the theirs? So we may have to separate  |
| 20 | out now DOH and Board of Water Supply comments if  |
| 21 | they're not in line.                               |
| 22 | MR. GILL: Okay. But everybody's seen               |
| 23 | the original Board of Water Supply comments. Those |
| 24 | were circulated.                                   |
| 25 | MS. PERRY: They're available on-line as            |

well. 1 2 MR. GILL: And they're available. we're not entertaining any new changes. We're just 3 restoring to this draft the language that had 4 5 previously been submitted by the Board of Water Supply. 6 7 MR. LAU: That's fine. 8 MS. PERRY: So on page 8 is a comment by 9 the Board of Water Supply suggesting that DOH 10 expand on the EALs, which we have. So that will be taken out probably. 11 12 MR. GILL: This blue shaded text --13 MS. PERRY: Correct. 14 MR. GILL: -- you're suggesting will be 15 removed from the final draft and replaced by the 16 inclusion of the appendix? 17 MS. PERRY: Yes. 18 MR. LAU: If I could just -- I know that 19 the public and this will be -- this version will be 20 on the website. So with the blue shading, is this 21 on the website, this version of the report? 22 MS. PERRY: Yes. MR. LAU: You know, our concerns 23 24 generally are --25 Thank you. Age has its disadvantages.

"EALs, therefore, appear to be protective of the environment, but is not a limit of that when exceeded requires immediate action. This appears to infer an allowance of contamination to exist in the environment possibly for long periods while the need for additional site investigation and corrective actions are being decided."

So really having a definition, I guess we come from a very different perspective in terms of water that people will drink coming out of their tap and what can we allow into the resource that people drink water from which is the resource that this facility overlies.

So, again, we kind of express our concerns about allowing high levels of contamination to exist under a regulatory framework, but at the end of the day, it's the resource that we're trying to preserve, the quality of the drinking water level, as opposed to allowing some degradation at the facility site. We have a very narrow focus. We are concerned about what people drink, and that's why we're trying to advocate for lower levels than allowing higher levels of contamination to exist.

MR. GILL: So just to be clear on it, the

very strict quality requirements that the Board of Water Supply adheres to to put water into a drinking water system?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Well, you know, when you think MR. LAU: about it, the whole aguifer is of drinking water quality, and we have the ability and the flexibility to put wells wherever we want within that resource as long as we don't overpump it and we do it in the sustainable fashion to comply with the state water code. Then we would prefer to maintain that flexibility as opposed to allowing a portion of the drinking water aguifer to be knowingly degraded by contamination where we no longer can position wells within say an X number of feet of that contamination site. So that inhibits or degrades our ability to provide drinking water at whatever location within that resource.

MR. GILL: So the point I was trying to get to is an Environmental Action Level or a site-specific level is going to likely not qualify as a drinking water level. There's nothing as strict as --

MR. LAU: Definitely, this case the levels are so high, even if there's no MCL, I'm not sure people would want to drink water with that

amount of diesel in it.

MR. GILL: So your concern as stated, just to be clear, is Board of Water Supply's preference would be to have drinking water quality throughout the entire aquifer as opposed to an allowance for a higher level of contamination in a site-specific or an environmental cleanup level.

MR. LAU: Thanks, Gary, for summarizing that.

MR. GILL: And it's confusing because there's all kinds of different levels, and just to be clear, drinking water level is the best; right? The amount of contamination that is still allowed -- I mean, you have certain levels of contamination that are allowed in drinking water, but it's typically the strictest standard as opposed to some other level that might be site specific or an Environmental Action Level. Okay.

MR. LAU: I won't belabor that point.

MR. GILL: So there's lots of levels, and we wanted to make sure that was adequately explained.

MR. LINDER: I think one other clarification is I think the key thing it sounded like is that flexibility, basically, by allowing an

area of the groundwater to have some contamination would mean to limit your flexibility.

MR. LAU: If we were to, say, want to distribute the pump test to a broader section of the aquifer to reduce effects on salinity by spreading that withdrawal out over a bigger area, then around Red Hill, we'd have to draw a bigger circle and say no wells within this zone because we might start to capture some contamination that's been allowed to be there at the EAL levels or site-specific levels. Simply put, that's a concern.

MR. GILL: Great. If there are no other comments or questions, let's move along, Thu.

MS. PERRY: Okay. So I just want to highlight the recommendations agreed upon by the task force in this section are additional monitoring wells depending on the science and also continue periodic monitoring.

Moving on to release response strategies,
No. 2. Again, in the secondary containment
evaluation, we talk about the study. So we already
addressed that.

In the contingency -- site assessment and contingency plan section, we've taken out

1 references to the Navy's integrated contingency 2 plan and then made more general language. Also, Gary, this is where we put in that 3 comment by Department of Health regarding not being 4 5 able to verify the accuracy and precision of the systems at this time. On page 11. 6 7 MR. GILL: Okay. Give us the page. 8 Okay. 9 MS. PERRY: Bullet 2. 10 Bullet 2, page 11? MR. GILL: 11 MS. PERRY: Yes. 12 MR. GILL: Okay. 13 MS. PERRY: And that's -- and if there's 14 nothing else on the mitigated response, I just 15 wanted to highlight the comments agreed upon by the task force in this section which is although the 16 17 Navy has done extensive repair work, that the best 18 solution is secondary containment; although, more 19 research needs to be done. 20 CAPTAIN WILLIAMSON: Can I comment on 21 that, Gary? 22 MR. GILL: Sure, Captain. 23 CAPTAIN WILLIAMSON: I'm not so sure that 24 the best solution is some type of secondary containment from a Navy perspective. I think 25

that -- I think that secondary containment is a solution. Secondary containment is not without flaw. I think that, you know, we agree that additional research and the technologies available on how it can be successfully integrated into the tanks to support secondary containment is we agree with that, but we don't necessarily agree that secondary containment is a cure-all for Red Hill. So if you can --

MR. GILL: Okay. Well --

references to secondary containment in the Comments section and the Opinions section, and we're fine with that. But if this is a task force and it adheres to all task force members agreeing to that, we agree that further study is warranted, and when technology and implementation supports going to secondary containment, we agree that that's a -- a prudent course of action, but to say that secondary containment is the best solution, we're not -- that's not our position right now.

MR. GILL: Okay. So then by definition and the structure of this report, if the Navy doesn't agree with that, then this cannot be under the heading of "Comments Agreed Upon By All Task

Force Members." So then rather than doing any wordsmithing on this, I suggest we just take this bullet and not put it under that heading.

Way to deal with it. I think that the point to the legislature is that, you know, we're committed and all parties agree that we should pursue this going forward. And to the extent additional funding and resources are necessary and to the extent the public understands that, you know, this is an enhancement that could improve and address Ernie's concerns and our concerns and all of our concerns regarding the water is something we're committed to pursuing.

MR. GILL: Fine. There's certain elements of this that you may agree with, but as Department of Health, I believe that the best solution should these tanks remain in use is secondary containment. Anything else, when they leak, and they will leak eventually, leaks directly into the environment. So the only way to avoid that is with secondary containment. That's the best solution. That's what I know.

CAPTAIN WILLIAMSON: Understand. But just for, right, the general public's knowledge,

| 1  | there are second double-hull tanks that fail.       |
|----|---|
| 2  | MR. GILL: Yes, there are.                           |
| 3  | CAPTAIN WILLIAMSON: So it's not                     |
| 4  | foolproof.  |
| 5  | MR. GILL: It's not foolproof. It's the              |
| 6  | best solution.                                      |
| 7  | CAPTAIN WILLIAMSON: That's where we                 |
| 8  | disagree. That's where we don't have that's         |
| 9  | where the point of departure is.                    |
| 10 | MR. GILL: Exactly. So we have a point               |
| 11 | of departure. You don't agree with this language,   |
| 12 | which is fine. So we should not assert that this    |
| 13 | language is agreed upon by all task force members.  |
| 14 | So all I'm suggesting that we do is, because I like |
| 15 | this language and I'm good with it, that we simply  |
| 16 | put it under either DOH comments and ask anyone     |
| 17 | else who wants to sign onto this language, we can   |
| 18 | label it  |
| 19 | MR. LAU: BWS is onboard with that.                  |
| 20 | MR. GILL: Okay. So we have DOH and BWS              |
| 21 | at least. If any other entity                       |
| 22 | MR. CASEY: DLNR is in.                              |
| 23 | MR. GILL: A nonfirm from DLNR? DLNR is              |
| 24 | okay?   |
| 25 | MR. GIBBONS: Gary, Jerry Gibbons from               |

the Navy. Can I suggest I think the Navy agrees with everything in that paragraph. It's just that one -- the last clause, the best solution is some type of secondary containment. You just take that clause out, then I think we all agree with the rest of that paragraph.

MR. GILL: Well, I'm not going to take that off. I don't agree that we should weaken this paragraph by taking that off with the data that we've had and the knowledge that we've had to date. It begs the question as to whether it's possible to put in secondary containment. So we're addressing that as more research and technology becomes available, but I don't think that there's — there's no question in my mind that should these tanks remain in operation, the best possible protection of our groundwater is with secondary containment. It just is.

MR. GIBBONS: My point is the Navy is not saying that's not true. We just want to study it some more and that's why we want --

MR. GILL: No. He's saying it's not true because Captain Williamson just said he doesn't want to put the word "best" in there. So up to you guys. Whatever you want to say is fine, but it's

clear in my mind that is the best solution. It's a question of whether it's practical and technologically feasible and all that, but secondary containment remains the industry standard today, unless you can find something else, it is the best control technology today. So we'll --

Stuart, yes?

MR. YAMADA: Can I make a suggestion?

I'm assuming, Captain, that the objectionable word is "best." Perhaps if we could say something to the effect it's the most protective option available rather than including some, you know, adjective like "best."

CAPTAIN WILLIAMSON: See, I believe based on the science and based on understanding, a double wall tank is designed to be sort of a -- and I'll call it a fire and forget weapon. You put it in the ground and you forget about it. It's solved all the problems.

MR. GILL: Not true.

CAPTAIN WILLIAMSON: Right? So allow me to continue. So you don't -- when you have a double wall tank, you don't necessarily have the requirement to get in the tank and inspect it to

the level that you might inspect these tanks. So all I'm saying is that a double wall protection could lead you down a path of false security. And I don't believe that double wall -- there are a lot of unintended consequences that we're seeing with regard to double wall systems. And while it provides an order of magnitude improvement, I don't think we disagree on that. As a potential to provide an order of magnitude improvement, is it the best? I think that's an opinion if it's the best solution. It's not -- it hasn't been rooted in science in our minds for Red Hill.

MR. GILL: Okay. So I respect that. I disagree with it. So I'm just going to suggest if the Navy doesn't want to sign onto this language, that we reflect in the report --

MR. LINDER: I mean, one thought I have on this, I mean, from EPA's perspective, we want to make sure that the Navy's using the best available technology within practicable limits to basically upgrade the Red Hill facility against future releases, basically minimize the risk of future releases. It may be secondary containment. I think that the jury is still out in terms of, essentially, what combination of technology is best

to, essentially, be used to improve the Red Hill facility. So I think using a term like "it may involve secondary containment," but, really, the goal is -- the best available technology is really the goal is really where I'm thinking on this.

CAPTAIN WILLIAMSON: And I agree. I agree that the best available technology is something that is imperative for us to pursue.

MR. GILL: So we all agree on that, but I disagree that -- Department of Health is not moving away from our contention. I think we've all agreed to this before, and I don't want to be dicing and slicing words or expectations, but any --

We're talking about protecting the groundwater for our children and grandchildren.

I've said before and I'll say it again, the only way to guarantee that there is no petroleum product injected from Red Hill into our groundwater is to remove the Red Hill facility entirely. That is the only foolproof way to make sure that Red Hill doesn't pollute our groundwater is to take it out of service and empty it. So short of that, all we're talking about is reducing the risk; right?

Captain Mike said and I agree that secondary containment, double wall tanks reduce the

risks. They don't eliminate it. It's not a solution forever that you can stick in the ground and forget about it. We have an entire program that does nothing but regulate and inspect and enforce underground storage tanks, including double wall tanks. They're not foolproof. They are a better industry standard than existed previously. They are today the industry standard to reduce the risk of contamination of groundwater from petroleum fuel in underground storage tanks. That's all we're saying.

The fact is it's the best standard. It's today's standard, double wall tanks. It's a whole other question as to what is technically feasible on these specific tanks in this specific location and that's what this language addresses. More research needs to be completed in regards to technologies available and how it can be successfully integrated. I don't think we're disagreeing on that, but I'm not going to be telling the public that there's some other mystical, better solution than a double wall tank because a double wall tank, when it leaks, it is still contained and it does not go into the environment.

CAPTAIN WILLIAMSON: If the outer tank is -- if the integrity of the outer tank is solid.

MR. GILL: You have to maintain it. Both walls have to be maintained.

CAPTAIN WILLIAMSON: Gary, if I may -- if I may, going back to the intent of this document is to provide information to the legislature that they can act upon. And true to our document, we have opinions and we have -- we have findings of fact and we have opinions, and here is where we all agree. And I think sticking true to the intent of the document, I think it's important that the Navy's willingness to engage in further discovery and implementing the best available means to protect the water source is part of the agreed-upon, and that's something we all agree upon, comment to the legislature.

The opinion that secondary containment is the best solution is respected. That's your opinion. That's the Board of Water Supply's and Department of Health's opinion today. It's not necessarily the opinion of the entire task force as I understand it. So I think it's important to stay true to the document, and I think staying true to the document, we can put in a recommendation to the

legislature that we all agree on.

MR. GILL: Feel free. To be true to this document, if the Navy doesn't agree with this language at this time, and this language has been in here through a number of drafts, I respect that and we will not call this a comment agreed upon by the task force. This will be a comment of DLNR, Board of Water Supply and Department of Health, unless anybody else would like to jump in on it.

I think we're ready to move on.

MR. LAU: Yes.

MS. PERRY: So No. 3 is about communications. A comment agreed upon by the task force is that we all continue to work together and include the public.

No. 4, Implications of Closing Down Red Hill. I don't know if there's any changes to this section. Oh, I think we added secondary containment feasibility studies would include comparisons to new fuel farm construction or ASTs or other options. I think that's the only change.

MR. GILL: Okay. Now, in respect to
Captain Mike's concerns here in the third bullet,
the DOH comment is that, "Navy should have
facility-wide secondary containment by December

anyone in this room that there have been ongoing negotiations on a consent agreement and a statement of work, and, ultimately, what may come out of that effort may not directly reflect this statement of DOH. So I'd like just to edit this third bullet by saying exactly that; that the DOH recommendations on this point may be altered through the negotiated -- negotiation of an enforceable agreement with the Navy.

Okay. Is that -- actually, this is listed under "Board of Water Supply Comments" as well. So, Ernie, I need to check to see whether that --

MR. LAU: Yeah, I think the purpose of the task force report is for the task force to get an understanding of the issues surrounding the facility and some recommendations from members of the task force and also create a public venue for a discussion to be held. So I'm okay with that change if you want to modify that bullet.

MR. GILL: Thank you. We'll go ahead and do that.

MR. ONOUE: Excuse me, Chairman Gill.

MR. GILL: Yes?

1 MR. ONOUE: May I ask to be excused? 2 have to attend another meeting. MR. GILL: Thank you, Member Onoue. 3 Thank you for being with us. I appreciate the 4 5 community's involvement and your involvement in particular. 6 7 MR. ONOUE: Thank you. 8 MR. GILL: Good luck in doing whatever 9 you're going to do that required you to wear a 10 Must be more important than what we're doing suit. here. 11 Okay. 12 MS. PERRY: So, unfortunately, under No. 13 4, we do not have any statement of consensus. 14 MR. GILL: So there's no recommendation 15 in point 4, the implications of closing Red Hill, 16 that the entire task force agrees to? Okay. 17 that's right. So we have legislative 18 recommendations, specifically. 19 MS. PERRY: Right. 20 MR. GILL: Okay. So page 15? 21 MS. PERRY: Page 15, yes. So we have 22 legislative recommendations, and these are broken 23 up into the different parties. 24 So from DOH's perspective, we had to 25 change our comment on the barrel tax slightly.

CAPTAIN WILLIAMSON: I don't know if it's clear. The barrel tax, there was some discussion in reports that this was an increase in the barrel tax, but I think that you've rewritten this to suggest that it's not an increase in the barrel tax, but it's a reallocation of the existing barrel tax.

MR. GILL: Right, and that's the intent of clarifying the language. And to be clear since this has come up at this task force before, the barrel is currently a \$1.05. DOH receives 5 cents. We would like to receive 15 cents from that \$1.05. We are not advocating that the \$1.05 be increased. There is currently 60 cents of the barrel tax that goes to the general fund.

The purpose of the barrel tax has been from its inception to support the Department of Health's emergency response and environmental protection programs. More recently, it's been allocated for energy independence and food independence, if you will, sustainable local food development, but the lion's share of the barrel tax currently goes directly into the general fund.

CAPTAIN WILLIAMSON: I don't want to belabor the point, but folks will ask how much of

this additional allocation is needed in support of this document or in support of Red Hill. So I mean, I don't want the public to misinterpret that the 15 cents is required to support what happened or the regulatory oversight of Red Hill.

MR. GILL: Well, actually it is, right, because --

CAPTAIN WILLIAMSON: So some portion of that.

MR. GILL: Yeah.

CAPTAIN WILLIAMSON: So I think it would be --

Right? I'm just saying, if I can speak freely, you know, I can see the outcome being Navy spills 27,000 gallons at Red Hill facility. Now all of a sudden, we need to increase the taxes and that's because the Navy didn't manage the fuel properly. I don't think that that's necessarily the case.

MR. GILL: No.

CAPTAIN WILLIAMSON: So I think that it's important for folks to understand that, you know, this goes to support, I don't know, 46, 47 people of which, you know, there are a handful that are involved in this.

1 MR. GILL: That's exactly true and I'm 2 happy to make that clarification. The number of DOH staff in this room who are working on Red Hill 3 and have been working on Red Hill prior to this 4 5 spill are funded by the barrel tax fund. So regardless of this bill, if we run out of barrel 6 7 tax money, we cannot continue to do the oversight 8 that we are required to do by law for the 9 Underground Storage Tank Program and our drinking 10 water program and our emergency response program. 11 Okay. Thank you for that clarification. 12 Any other comments? 13 MR. CASEY: I have one. In fact, I'd 14 like to back up just a little bit. It's just a 15 detail. It's on item 3, our recommendations. 16 Actually, the Department of Land and Natural Resources comments, and if you could add "and 17 18 Recommendations" because we do have some specific 19 recommendations in the fourth bullet. 20 MR. GILL: Patrick, what --21 MR. CASEY: Oh, page 12. I'm sorry. 22 MR. GILL: Page 12. Okay. We're backing 23 up. 24 So you'd like the heading to be "Comments 25 and Recommendations"?

| 1  | MR. CASEY: Exactly.                                |
|----|--|
| 2  | MR. GILL: Okay. So on page 12 where it             |
| 3  | says "Department of Land and Natural Resources     |
| 4  | Comments," we'll add "Comments and                 |
| 5  | Recommendations."                                  |
| 6  | MR. CASEY: Thank you.                              |
| 7  | MR. GILL: Perfect. Anything else?                  |
| 8  | MS. PERRY: So another change is at the             |
| 9  | very last page. It's 16 of the report. Initially,  |
| 10 | this was called "Navy Recommendations to the       |
| 11 | Legislature." And in talks with EPA and Board of   |
| 12 | Water Supply and DOH, we thought it would be okay  |
| 13 | to change it to "Task Force Recommendations to the |
| 14 | Legislature." I just wanted to put it out there    |
| 15 | for the other task force members.                  |
| 16 | MR. GILL: I'm sorry. Thu, I'm not                  |
| 17 | following you. Tell me again.                      |
| 18 | MS. PERRY: Page 16.                                |
| 19 | MR. GILL: The last page?                           |
| 20 | MS. PERRY: Yes. So instead of right                |
| 21 | now it's called "Task Force Recommendations." It   |
| 22 | used to be called "Navy Recommendations."          |
| 23 | MR. GILL: Okay. So                                 |
| 24 | MS. PERRY: So we've had some buy-in with           |
| 25 | EPA, DOH and Board of Water Supply to change that  |

to "Task Force Recommendations," but we hadn't included the other members in that decision.

MR. GILL: So this is really a question for all members of the task force who may not have picked up on this change. These three bullets originally were Navy recommendations, and we're suggesting to turn them into task force recommendations. So everyone on the task force would be stated in agreement of them.

Thu, why don't you go over them point by point just so we know.

MS. PERRY: Oh.

MR. GILL: The recommendation is to encourage DOH, EPA, Board of Water Supply and the Navy to continue efforts to protect Hawaii's groundwater and drinking water resources. I think we can all agree to that.

Okay. Second bullet is include -- encourage these parties and the Navy to keep the public informed of what's going on.

And the third one is recommend that the legislature encourage Department of Health, EPA and the Navy to finalize and negotiate agreement for the Red Hill fuel storage facility that protects drinking water resources, appropriately responds to

1 the reported release of petroleum and minimizes the 2 threat of potential future releases. 3 We're all onboard with that? objections? Then those are the tasks force 4 5 recommendations as stated, and I appreciate the 6 Navy drafting those. 7 Okay. Are we going to go over any of the 8 appendices? 9 MS. PERRY: Yes, we do. So almost every 10 appendices changed again or updated. Appendix B by 11 Department of Health and Board of Water Supply has 12 been updated. 13 MR. GILL: Appendix B? 14 MS. PERRY: B. 15 MR. GILL: Page 18? 16 MS. PERRY: Page 18. 17 MR. GILL: How was it updated? 18 MS. PERRY: Some of the numbers changed 19 in terms of the maximum values reported, and then I 20 think that the heading changed as well. "Summary 21 of Releases at Red Hill Facility," I think that was 22 called something else. 23 MR. GILL: Okay. 24 MS. PERRY: Appendix C submitted by the 25 Navy has also been updated.

MR. GILL: How so?

MS. PERRY: I think there's just more narrative to explain the data. I didn't really see any big changes.

MR. GILL: Okay.

MS. PERRY: And then Appendix E has also been updated to include the drinking water utility description and also the two maps provided by the Safe Drinking Water Branch to locate the field constructed tanks in Hawaii.

MR. GILL: Okay. So this was something that members of the task force felt we should provide to the legislature. I think it might have been Representative Chris Lee that was particularly interested in this. Because as part of the discussion at this task force, we identified that there were additional underground storage tanks field constructed, many of which from the same era of the Red Hill construction and concern of what might be the status of those tanks. So that's something beyond the issue of Red Hill specifically, but that we were urged to address and provide that information. So that's what Appendix E does. It identifies a total of 46 field-constructed underground storage tanks. Most

1 of those are no longer in operation or in service. 2 And the only other one that's over a drinking water resource is the Hickam -- four tanks out at Hickam, 3 and those are already under investigation by the 4 5 Department of Health. Is that correct? MS. PERRY: The HEER office. 6 7 MR. GILL: Yeah, the HEER office. 8 MR. LAU: There's the Kipapa Gulch fuel 9 storage -- on this map of Oahu, it looks like 10 there's the Kipapa Gulch fuel storage annex, and it 11 looks like at one time there might have been 10.6 12 million gallons of storage, and that is located --13 I guess you put that in the Waipahu-Waikele area. 14 MR. GILL: Ernie, I think Kipapa is the 15 one I mentioned. It says Hickam. It has parenthesis "Kipapa." It's the first one in the 16 17 table. 18 MR. LAU: Thank you. 19 MR. GILL: So that's the one. It is 20 located -- I don't know why they call it Hickam 21 because it is located in Kipapa. 22 MR. LAU: Okay. Thank you. I stand 23 corrected. 24 MR. GILL: And I do note also at the 25 bottom of Appendix E of the table, it says

Schofield Barracks where there is a tank that is over a drinking water resource, but that has been permanently out of service.

MS. PERRY: And that is indicated in blue.

MR. GILL: Say again.

MS. PERRY: That is indicated in blue.

MR. LINDER: I have a question. This is Steve Linder, EPA. The designation "temporarily out of use" on these tanks, how is that established?

MR. GILL: I'm not sure. Would staff be able to tell us? Roxanne?

MS. KWAN: They told me that they're not being used at this time; that they may bring it back into use.

MR. LINDER: I guess the question would be then I know these are currently not within the scope of regulations, but I think it would be good to understand before putting those types of terms on here what kind of actions are being taken on these tanks to basically be able to consider them temporary out of use because, typically, in the smaller service station industry, temporary out-of-use tanks essentially have to continue to

follow certain operational maintenance standards
for protection of the tanks to make sure they don't
degrade so they can be brought back into service if
need be. And, typically, temporary out-of-use
tanks are only temporarily out of use for a
relatively short period of time. That designation
in the tank program is typically for seasonal -seasonally operated tanks in areas of, say, resort
communities where they're only populated during
parts of the year. So that would be something
to -- just by putting that in the table, I don't
want it to be misleading.

MR. GILL: So, Roxanne, if I understood you correctly, the temporary out-of-use designation for Subbase Pearl Harbor, a total of eight tanks, was placed there out of a verbal statement that the Department of Health received from the Navy?

MS. KWAN: That's correct. And they are going to provide -- I had requested information as to their plans regarding these tanks, future plans of these tanks.

MR. GILL: Would it be adequate then in the footnote where we identify TOU, temporary out of use, that we add into there that this is subject to additional information being provided by a tank

owner?

MS. KWAN: That's fine.

MR. GILL: That it is under

investigation.

MR. TAKABA: And the date maybe that it was taken out.

MR. GILL: We can add the date that it was temporarily taken out of service?

MS. KWAN: I believe it was 1990.

MR. GILL: Well, if we have that, we should go ahead and add it. I mean, that's news to me. If it actually has not been in service for 25 years, that's a long temporary. So we might want to look into that. But it kind of implies that they want to reuse the tank at some point. So that's important to know.

Ernie?

MR. LAU: Sort of along those lines from a person that is not knowledgeable of the UST requirements, if it's temporary out of use, you don't need to do any site investigation if there was any prior releases? If it's temporary out of use, are you required to do any site investigation and cleanup if there was any contamination as opposed --

I mean, if it's abandoned, you need to do something; right?

MR. LINDER: Well, if you're closing a tank, you would -- typically, through part of that closure process, it would be either removal of the tank or abandoning it and replace it by basically filling it with another material, and it likely also would require some assessment to assure that there haven't been any releases --

MR. LAU: Prior releases while in use?

MR. LINDER: Right, but a temporarily
out-of-use tank, typically, would continue to be
maintained in the commercial like
corner-gas-station-type industry, and those tanks
would continue to be inspected regularly by state
regulators.

MR. LAU: But no need to do any site investigation for possible contamination?

MR. LINDER: Correct. Essentially, it's almost considered the same as an operating tank, but it's just put in this kind of state of temporary, you know, temporary closure, but it's closed in a very deliberate manner where it's maintained. So, for example, steel tanks, cathodic protection needs to continue to be operated in

order to continue to be considered temporarily out of use. The tank needs to be inspected regularly if it's temporarily out of use.

MR. LAU: You know, from BWS standpoint here, and I'll try to talk louder, the Hickam Pol Annex tanks, the Kipapa tanks, which I guess is a combined storage of over 10 million gallons of fuel, is located very close or up gradient of a number of our drinking water wells in the area. So we do -- we would like to learn more about this facility and what information might be available if there were any prior releases because it's in very close proximity to where we have a concentration of drinking water wells.

It's hard to tell precisely from this map, which is on a pretty gross scale, but we do want to look at them more closely. It's more like the midpoint of our Pearl Harbor aquifer. So mid location of our Pearl Harbor aquifer, which is our largest drinking water aquifer on Oahu.

CAPTAIN WILLIAMSON: So can I ask a question? There's a note section here that says that active bioventing is occurring.

MR. POENTIS: This is Aaron Poentis. Let me explain. I think there's a mislabeling on the

table of Appendix E. For Appendix E, if you look at the illustration on the back, it says that the status is permanently out of service and that it's under the jurisdiction of the HEER office. So it's a closed facility. It's permanently closed, and we have restoration activities ongoing for prior releases.

MR. LAU: Unless there's information that needs to be redacted, can we request copies of all investigations or studies on that facility?

MR. POENTIS: The documentation for that cleanup activities is within the HEER office at the Department of Health.

MR. GILL: Ernie, I think it's appropriate to have this discussion within the Red Hill Task Force, but this is not a Red Hill tank.

MR. LAU: Maybe one point, maybe the language in the table and the verbiage that's in the map may not be completely consistent and that's, I think, creating the confusion here.

MR. GILL: Okay. So that's true. I mean, we've labeled it temporary out of service, and I just heard from the Navy's facilities folks that it's permanently out of service. So we need

to clarify that.

MR. LINDER: And on the map, it appears to be labeled as permanently out of service.

MR. GILL: Right. So the table and the map are not consistent?

MR. LINDER: Right.

MR. GILL: Okay. So let's make those corrections. Thank you for finding that.

Any other comments?

Okay. So where I think we are is we've gone over this document. We've come up with a number of suggested changes. For example, adding a preface in the introduction section to identify that the text and description of the tanks have been provided by the Navy. Make note that the 2008 study on secondary containment is available by request.

A few other changes in the blue-shaded text. The inclusion of a new appendices. We are going to on page 13 -- wait, sorry -- on page 12, add "and recommendations" to the subheading for the Department -- Department of Land and Natural Resources Comments.

We're going to label the third bullet on page 13 as "Comments Agreed Upon By Department of

Health, Board of Water Supply and Department of
Land and Natural Resources."

There may be some others that I missed.

Those are my notes. We have some -- we've asked people to submit any comments or clarifications on what was going to be Appendix E. Is this the new one?

MS. PERRY: F.

MR. GILL: F. Whatever letter it turns out to be regarding the Environmental Action Levels and site-specific levels, that description, if there's anything unclear in that, please let us know and we'll make those technical fixes.

And we have some -- some clarifications on Appendix E regarding the list of field-constructed tanks in Hawaii. We may have missed some of those things.

Are there any other changes or corrections or clarifications that task force members would like to suggest at this time?

Patrick?

MR. CASEY: I have a question that I'd like to get a little clarification on. While I appreciate there's a period of review to go over the data that's come in especially from the new

monitoring wells, can we expect some results soon on those? What's the timetable for that? Because it's about a two-week turnaround from the time the samples go in the lab from when the results go out, generally. So it's been over a month, and I'm wondering when can we expect to see those results, not only of these wells, but the samples from our well, the CWRM deep well that was sampled in October by both the Navy and BWS? So when can we expect to see the sample results for those, the actual data?

MR. GILL: So that's a question not related to this report or are you --

MR. CASEY: I'm sorry, but I wanted to get it in there.

MR. GILL: So let's get to that, but let me just make sure we have accurately reflected any changes or comments necessary on this report before we get to that point.

Okay. If there are no further members who have a suggestion or change, what I'd like to say is that we will make the final final draft based on today's comments and have that available for final final review by task force members on-line, and then if we have a date certain that we

can submit this to the legislature, because I think we have agreement on content. There's just maybe a finer reading on some technical amendments and clarifications. So I'm comfortable with doing that offline without having to have another meeting.

What I'd like to do is propose that, as amended with the suggested amendments made at this meeting, that the Department of Health be empowered to finalize the report for submittal, but before submittal, there would be a one-week period of time where the final report is available for task force members for one final read and suggestion of any other clarifications or technical amendments, but that we would not accept substantive changes beyond this meeting. You know, if you want to throw in another recommendation, you're going to have to send a separate letter; that we not touch the substantive body of the report. Is that recommendation okay?

MR. LAU: It's okay with BWS.

MR. GILL: Any objections to that? So we'll go ahead and make those amendments, get those available, and have at least one-week period of time before submittal of the document to the legislature for review of task force members.

Okay. So with that, I want to open it up if there's any member in the audience or the public who would like to suggest any changes or clarifications to this report. I'm seeing no hands.

MR. STARR: I have comments, but I'm not asking you to change this report.

MR. GILL: Comments are in order. Go ahead, Jonathan Starr, member of the Water

Commission, Board of Land and Natural Resources.

MR. STARR: Okay. Good morning and thank you for the hard work on this task force. I'm Jonathan Starr. I'm a commissioner on the Commission of Water Resource Management. We're responsible for the resource of freshwaters in Hawaii. The water quality aspect of protection of the water resources falls to Department of Health and is ably being taken care of by Gary Gill. His people are commissioned as represented on this panel by our excellent hydrologists, Patrick and Bob.

I do wish to make some comments which may be a little bit more blunt, and I hope you appreciate them in the spirit that they're being made, which is constructed as an expression of

concern that I think is being felt not just by my commission, but I think increasingly by the public. Some of this was expressed by Ernie Lau, and that the reason we live here on this island and the reason people come to visit it to a large extent is because of the quality of our natural resources in our environment that includes the air, the oceans and very much so our drinking water supplies which have always been among the best on Earth, and that is something that our community comes to expect.

We have a situation where it's certainty that the water that's being provided to the people of Oahu, through Board of Water Supply, may be heading in a direction of becoming tainted, and that is not a direction that we can afford to go.

We have -- even minor degradation, as Mr. Lau pointed out, is a serious concern because once confidence ebbs in the quality of the water and the perfection of the drinking water, people will not want to drink it.

We have a very serious possibility of a catastrophic leak from these tanks in the future, and that is what is of greatest concern and something that I wish was being expressed in stronger terms, but I understand the working of the

work -- task group and the purview and the constraints that members are under, but I feel that that needs to be expressed. And with all due respect, I'm feeling that the Navy is not moving as vigorously to end a period of uncertainty as we as the population and their representatives would like.

There is a potential catastrophic result if a tank, which is a 10th-of-an-inch-thick steel, were to have a major rupture. We would not know it until 12 and a half million gallons of fuel oil is in one of our most productive and one of the best aquifers on Earth. That would be something that I think could destroy Honolulu as one of our great cities and is maybe our greatest threat. And I really hope that moving forward, the Navy would start to look at these things as they look at a major fleet unit. And I don't think that the Navy is operating major fleet units that are 70 years old and are allowed to reduce in hull thickness from a guarter to a 10th of an inch.

I think our Navy is the best -- probably the best infrastructure organization on Earth. It has always done its duty with excellence, and I think this is a case where we need that excellence

where we need the best equipment and the best fleet unit that exists and not something that was one of the great engineering marvels built in the '40s.

I know the man who was the chief engineer. He was my neighbor on Maui. And it's a wonderful, wonderful thing, but it's time to — it's amortized and it's time to improve it or replace it the same way that a fleet unit gets replaced. What is my deep concern is that I don't see a path leading forward to that. If I were to know that in 10 years or 12 years or 8 years, there would be a mechanism for budget cycle and planning and a way to do it, I would know, well, you know, we may have to kick it down the road 8 years or 10 years, but, ultimately, it's not something we're leaving for the next generation.

I know the desire and heart of the Navy command structure is in doing the right thing here, but with all due respect, we've already seen change of command in this and there is a likelihood that, you know, people, officers, representatives change, and it's not necessarily something that those in the command structure of the Navy and Hawaii may be responsible for and may see in their own — their own time. So the rest of us will have to live with

it and the world will have to live with it. This it is the greatest place on Earth to live. This is the greatest place on Earth to drink water. We need to create a future. Somehow this fell through a regulatory loophole. I understand that, but we have to do the right thing, and I think we all know what the VIPs would need to improve it, and I really ask for you to follow that path rather than having it imposed.

MR. GILL: Thank you, Mr. Starr, for your comments. They don't require a response, but I'll entertain members of the task force if you'd like to offer one.

CAPTAIN WILLIAMSON: If I may. Mike
Williamson, and I appreciate your comments, and if
I was in your shoes, I would feel exactly the same
way. And I think what we're learning out of this
process are many things, but a couple of them sort
of ring true.

If I may, on the order of people turning over in the Navy and different leaders in place, unlike any other organization in the world, the Navy is required to turn over. And if you think about a city, an aircraft carrier that has 5,000 troops on it and every three years, every single

one of those people on that ship have turned over. We've developed processes and we -- we have refined that over time and learned many lessons over time so we can continue to operate that carrier safely, get people onboard, have them leave, get people trained, maintain that facility in a manner that it can operate safely and do our nation's work.

And so to the point -- and I understand that point. We all have new bosses, and like it or not, every --

I like my boss now, but I know on one tour, I'm going to have multiple bosses, but that's sort of the culture of the Navy and we've had to make that work to be successful, to be that best Navy in the world.

So I understand your point. I think that's a critical point. We need to have constancy of purpose going forward with Red Hill to your very point.

On the order of the condition of Red Hill and how we operate Red Hill and the improvements that we've made to Red Hill and how we, in many cases, have gone well beyond what the regulations — the regulators would require of Red Hill is a story that we haven't told very well.

And what I'm learning through this process is the more we are able to share with the experts and the folks that need to know what's happening in Red Hill, the better the understanding becomes, the understanding in how we might improve what we're doing. But I think that what I've learned recently is as we share what we've done, what we're doing and what we hope to do in the future, we've reduced that level of uncertainty, that level of unknown, and we enter into a discussion on what we should do and what we could do going forward.

time is how do you adopt an API 653 inspection methodology on a tank that's underground, and we recently had a conversation on that, and we sought and found some level of understanding on how we might do that. We identified areas of vulnerability, and through conversation, I think we'll shore that up. But our intent is exactly your intent is to make sure that this facility doesn't leak any oil in the future and we preserve that water source.

So we're committed to doing what we can to put this layer of defense in to understand if and how any fuel is moving out. And I think it's

important that we take a hard look at, you know, when did this fuel actually get into the ground? You know, did it happen in the early years of the operations of this facility or is it happening now? We need to have the systems in place to understand that. And then we also need to understand how — what is the propagation method; how could it move to our drinking water source, and what protections can we put in place to catch it before it gets to a pristine drinking water source?

So up until now, we've been looking at this from the perspective of the Red Hill shaft, and as a result of this and discussion, now we've expanded that to look beyond the Moanalua well to the south and Halawa well to the north. So we're expanding that and we're trying to demonstrate that we are committed to doing more to understand and enhance and protect that critical resource.

So I understand your point of view. We have a lot to learn on this as well, but I think that the conversation that's taking place is making us all better, making us more aware and will make us make better decisions, you know, into the future that enhance this critical resource. So --

MR. STARR: And thank you for that. The

layered approach moving forward is what we need to be thinking about. And my experience with engineering is that redundancy is the name of the game, and it looks like that's redundant barriers, redundant walls, redundant monitoring and redundant systems, and I believe that is what you do when you transport fuel at sea and when you build new systems.

CAPTAIN WILLIAMSON: And if I can address just one more point on the catastrophic release.

There's a study that we did in 1999. It was a Willbros study that was done. And the elements in that catastrophic release scenario, we've addressed wholly -- perhaps not wholly, but we've addressed many, many of those issues.

And, Ernie, I believe we took you through the shaft and pointed out some key points of concern. And so one key point is that, you know, before we started this process, the Red Hill shaft did not have a cap on it. And so, conceivably, if we had a catastrophic release, fuel could work its way down through the tunnel, flow directly into the Red Hill drinking water resource, and as a result of the discussions that we've had, we have installed a cap on that, a steel cap to prevent

that from happening. We have a contract that's being awarded this fiscal year that will go in and improve the fire protection systems within the tunnel -- the lower tunnel structure, the tunnel structure in and around Red Hill, but it will also upgrade the oil-type doors to add another layer of defense so that a catastrophic flow of fuel couldn't get into that drinking water -- critical drinking water source.

Then one other thing as a result of that report that I think is really important is that up until this point, the tank, the engineering piping coming out of the bottom of the tanks was a single solid piece going into our piping network system. Because of the vulnerability and potential for any type of sheer as a result of a seismic event, we now have added double valve protection at the bottom should there be any type of movement of the tank. Again, a development and enhancement of the engineering that will prevent that type of catastrophic event from happening.

So there are things and through education and understanding, we need to tell that story a little bit better so folks can rest a little easier that we have done appropriate things and will

continue to do more things to make sure that we protect that source.

MR. GILL: Okay. Thank you for the conversation. We're at the noon hour. Is there anyone else in the audience who would like to make a comment on this draft report or any item on our agenda? Seeing none --

MR. YOMES: Excuse me. Can I say something? The report is fine. Just a comment.

MR. GILL: Sure.

MR. YOMES: The community's concern, of course, is to protect the water resource and the safety of the community which you brought up in this meeting. What I wanted to bring up is I know the fuel tanks hold millions of gallons of fuel. How does fuel lines play into the concern of the community? Is that a concern, the fuel lines, a concern for the community?

MR. GILL: Okay. So there are fuel lines that feed these tanks from Pearl Harbor underground up into the Red Hill facility. The fuel lines are used both to fill the tanks and to empty them. So they are a matter of concern and discussion.

Maybe I'll ask, Captain, if you'd like to address in any greater detail your management of

those lines as well?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. YOMES: With that said, I just want I know it's on a smaller scale, but to continue. our community, especially at Salt Lake Boulevard where we know there's fuel lines that's been underground for over 70 years, and I believe to my knowledge, it hasn't been inspected by the Department of Health or the Board of Water Supply, and it's some 50 feet away from these residential homes. I know we're talking apples and oranges because the fuel tanks and fuel lines are a smaller degree. But if it's a concern to the community, are government -- it belongs to the government and private sector. The location I'm talking about is other locations within the city and county and the state. Are you guys inspecting those lines as well? Do you guys plan to inspect those lines to keep the community safe? Has the Board of Water Supply inspected if there was any leakage there where the community would have a problem with the water resource in their community because the residential homes are so close to the fuel lines? I know it doesn't have anything to do with the report. It was a concern that was brought

up by some of the community members.

MR. GILL: It's an excellent point, and I thank you for raising it. Maybe it could be an issue should this task force continue to discuss Red Hill, and if the legislature were to so task us to look into that, I'd be happy to do that as Department of Health.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Just -- I'll speak just generally about regulation of fuel lines because, actually, that's kind of an EPA thing. But in Honolulu, for example, the Department of Health and EPA and a number of responsible parties, everyone in the past century who has owned an old fuel line along the Honolulu Harbor energy corridor is engaged together in cleaning up the contamination from those lines which may have happened anytime in the past century. So you have the oil companies. You have military. You have different state entities like, for example, the state harbors who owns the land and may have owned some of the facilities there. We've gone through a decade or more of working together to identify the contamination, do the cleanup and secure from the environment any of this historic spill. That work is ongoing. write a book about it. It could be a subject of a whole other meeting, and there's lots more work to

do on that beyond just the Honolulu Harbor area which is currently under -- under remediation.

Regarding the fuel lines for the Navy at Red Hill, I know the Navy has done a number of inspections of those fuel lines and perhaps, for the record today, Captain, you'd like to express that.

CAPTAIN WILLIAMSON: Certainly. That's a great question. First of all, it's important to know there are a number of safeguards for the tunnel. Folks can't access the tunnel for obvious reasons. So the tunnel structure, the piping systems within the structure can't be compromised.

The tank -- the piping systems are inspected on a regular basis. I don't have the frequency upon which they are inspected, but I can tell you that they are inspected to both visually and from -- they're cleaned on a regular basis, and the wall thickness of the piping is looked at on a regular basis.

The tank -- there are cameras through the entire facility so that we can see if anything is happening to or anyone's tampering with anything inside the facility. The length of that three-and-a-half-mile tunnel is walked with

technicians on a regular basis or they have bicycles, but they move through that facility on a regular basis.

We just recently had a contract where we went in and improved the wall tunnel structure so that we wouldn't have any type of water leaking in, around or on any of the piping systems so that we wouldn't have any type of accelerated corrosion.

So I'd be happy to share with you -- we have detailed inspection reports of the piping systems underneath.

MR. YOMES: I appreciate you taking those safeguards, but my concern — the community's concern, I should say, is those lines that have been underground for over 70 years and still active is used, it's owned by the local government and the private sector, that hasn't been inspected, and that's the concern we have and it's so close to our homes. So is there a concern that this might have leakage and has it been inspected since the war?

MR. GILL: So the pipelines --

MR. YOMES: Like I said, we're at Salt

Lake Boulevard because we had the problem there

with this type of leakage. Well, not leakage. I

mean, as far as the fuel lines being there and dug

up.

MR. GILL: So there's multiple different fuel lines to take consumer gas into your home for your stove and your water heater. That's kind of a whole different thing, but it's a significant concern.

MR. YOMES: But this is under the public roadway.

MR. GILL: I think what Captain
Williamson was addressing were the specific fuel
lines in the Red Hill access tunnel that feed these
20 Red Hill tanks. So specific fuel lines that you
may be worried about, I think, are separate from
what he was talking about and --

MR. YOMES: Right. Okay. Let's move on to the report. I just want to get that out there to see if that's a concern with these fuel lines.

MR. GILL: Pipeline safety is a big, big issue, and I appreciate you raising it, and maybe the EPA would like to address your authority over that question, generally.

MR. LINDER: Well, I think they are handled -- they're not part of my particular program. If there was a release, EPA gets involved, but in terms of active regulation of

product pipelines, most of that is done by federal Department of Transportation and the various different state agencies. So I'm not sure if it's your agency in Hawaii. I know like, for example, in California, they have their own pipeline safety office as part of the state fire marshal's office.

Product pipelines, we've seen all across the country are varying ages, and some of the older ones do have problems. A lot of that infrastructure, again, aging infrastructure, there are techniques that can be used to inspect them in place depending on the size of the line, and it really is something that -- I'd have to do some research on it to understand kind of how they're being handled here in Hawaii. I've been involved personally in some cases in the Los Angeles area where a lot of effort was spent on investigating and looking for leaks in product pipelines because of large scale drinking water aquifer contamination by fuels. So --

MR. GILL: We're a little bit beyond the purview of the task force, but quickly, Ernie.

MR. LAU: Just very quickly, and I'll speak very loud so everybody can hear me.

Underground, buried fuel lines are a

concern for BWS. We do not regulate or inspect them. That's the responsibility of whoever the appropriate other government agency is or the owner of the facility. We are aware that there is one documented fuel pipeline leak in central Oahu along Kam Highway which is actually now a superfund site undergoing cleanup, and the reports that we receive are actually from NAVFAC on the efforts to clean up that site. So that is a concern.

So Kipapa, four 2.6 million gallon tanks that were used in the past, maybe not presently being used, but how do those tanks get filled?

They probably were connected by underground, buried pipelines pumping the fuel from the harbor area all the way up to those tanks for storage.

So the concern is, yes, for BWS, it's also the fuel storage pipelines.

MR. GILL: Okay. I'm going to try and close this up here. No further comments, members of the task force?

We're to the end of the agenda here which says, "Next Steps." I've outlined what I think the next steps are which is we will put together a final draft. It will be available for review for a week before it's submitted. We encourage

nonsubstantive, technical, grammatical clarification changes from members of the task force. I don't think it would be fair or appropriate for us to make any substantive changes without another meeting, and we're kind of out of time if we're going to get this report in on time.

It says here, "The continuation of the task force end of 2015 and agenda items for the next meeting." That presupposes that the task force is still in existence, and we've had some recommendations in here as to whether the legislature would like to extend it, but at this point, my expectation would be this is the last business meeting of this task force, subject to call by the legislature should they request the Department of Health to continue this work and address any other questions.

So with that, if there are no further comments, at 10 minutes after 12:00, I'd like to thank you all for your participation. I think we have a report which we can be proud of as being adequately responsive to the legislature and very informative to the community. I hope you all have a happy and healthy holiday season, and we are adjourning this meeting of the Red Hill Task Force.

```
1
        Thank you.
 2
                    (Meeting adjourned at 12:10 p.m.)
 3
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

| 1  | CERTIFICATE  |
|----|--|
| 2  | STATE OF HAWAII )  |
| 3  | ) ss.<br>CITY AND COUNTY OF HONOLULU )   |
| 4  |  |
| 5  | I, LAURA SAVO, a Certified Shorthand<br>Reporter in and for the State of Hawaii, do hereby   |
| 6  | certify:   |
| 7  | That the foregoing proceedings were taken down by me in machine shorthand at the time and place herein stated, and was thereafter reduced to typewriting under my supervision; |
| 9  | That the foregoing is a full, true   |
| 10 | and correct transcript of said proceedings;  |
| 11 | I further certify that I am not of   |
|    | counsel or attorney for any of the parties to this case, nor in any way interested in the outcome  |
| 12 | hereof, and that I am not related to any of the parties hereto.  |
| 13 | Dated this 18th day of December, 2014, in  |
| 14 | Honolulu, Hawaii.  |
| 15 |  |
| 16 | LAURA SAVO, RPR, CSR NO. 347   |
| 17 |  |
| 18 |  |
| 19 |  |
| 20 |  |
| 21 |  |
| 22 |  |
| 23 |  |
| 24 |  |
| 25 |  |
|    |  |

| \$                                | 07 000 to E7.1E                                |  |  |   |
|-----------------------------------|--|--|--|---|
|                                   | <b>27,000</b> [1] - 57:15                      | <b>abandoned</b> [1] - 67:1                | addressing [2] -                           | 55:16                                     |
| ·                                 |  | abandoning [1] -                           | 47:12, 89:10                               | ahead [6] - 26:3,                         |
| <b>\$1.05</b> [3] - 56:11,        | 3  | 67:6                                       | adequate [2] - 36:24,                      | 26:5, 54:22, 66:11,                       |
| 56:12, 56:13                      | •  | <b>ability</b> [2] - 40:6,                 | 65:22                                      | 73:22, 74:9                               |
|                                   | <b>3</b> [6] - 7:18, 26:8,                     | 40:16                                      | adequately [2] -                           | <b>air</b> [1] - 75:7                     |
| '                                 | 26:14, 53:12, 58:15                            | <b>able</b> [9] - 17:25, 18:2,             | 41:21, 92:22                               | aircraft [1] - 78:24                      |
| 140 77.0                          | <b>3(d</b> [1] - 9:3                           | 22:19, 27:15, 35:15,                       | adheres [2] - 40:2,                        | <b>Ala</b> [1] - 1:4                      |
| <b>'40s</b> [1] - 77:3            | <b>300</b> [1] - 15:2                          | 43:5, 64:13, 64:22,                        | 44:15                                      | Aliamanu [1] - 2:23                       |
|                                   | 31st [1] - 54:1                                | 80:2                                       | adjective [1] - 48:14                      | allocated [1] - 56:20                     |
| 0                                 | <b>347</b> [1] - 94:16                         | ably [1] - 74:18                           | adjourned [1] - 93:2                       | allocation [1] - 57:1                     |
| <b>009</b> [1] - 34:7             | 4  | absolute [1] - 21:21                       | adjourning [1] -                           | <b>allow</b> [2] - 39:11,                 |
| 500 [1] 5 m                       | 4  | accelerated [1] -<br>88:8                  | 92:25 administration [1] -                 | 48:22 <b>allowance</b> [2] - 39:4,        |
| 1                                 | <b>4</b> [3] - 53:16, 55:13,                   | accept [1] - 73:14                         | 1:13                                       | 41:6                                      |
| •                                 | 55:15  | acceptable [1] - 33:8                      | <b>Admiral</b> [2] - 30:16,                | allowed [5] - 25:15,                      |
| <b>10</b> [5] - 54:1, 68:7,       | <b>46</b> [2] - 57:23, 62:24                   | access [4] - 14:13,                        | 30:23                                      | 41:14, 41:15, 42:10,                      |
| 77:11, 77:14, 92:19               | <b>47</b> [1] - 57:23                          | 30:19, 87:11, 89:11                        | adopt [2] - 7:22,                          | 76:20                                     |
| <b>10.6</b> [1] - 63:11           |  | account [1] - 25:12                        | 80:13                                      | allowing [6] - 30:8,                      |
| <b>100</b> [1] - 10:4             | 5  | accuracy [2] - 22:20,                      | Advertiser [1] - 4:11                      | 39:15, 39:19, 39:23,                      |
| <b>10:12</b> [1] - 1:3            |  | 43:5                                       | advocate [1] - 39:23                       | 40:11, 41:25                              |
| <b>10th</b> [2] - 76:9, 76:21     | <b>5</b> [2] - 37:8, 56:11                     | accurately [1] -                           | advocating [1] -                           | <b>alluded</b> [1] - 18:20                |
| 10th-of-an-inch-                  | <b>5,000</b> [1] - 78:24                       | 72:17                                      | 56:13                                      | almost [2] - 61:9,                        |
| thick [1] - 76:9                  | <b>50</b> [1] - 85:9                           | act [1] - 52:8                             | afford [1] - 75:15                         | 67:20                                     |
| <b>11</b> [3] - 1:2, 43:6,        | <b>57</b> [1] - 10:2                           | Action [6] - 10:4,                         | age [3] - 10:10,                           | altered [2] - 36:3,                       |
| 43:10                             |  | 10:15, 32:17, 40:19,                       | 21:21, 38:25                               | 54:8                                      |
| <b>12</b> [6] - 58:21, 58:22,     | 6  | 41:18, 71:10                               | agencies [4] - 6:8,                        | amended [1] - 73:7                        |
| 59:2, 70:20, 76:11,<br>77:11      | <b>6</b> [3] - 9:18, 10:6,                     | action [3] - 10:18,                        | 6:11, 11:6, 90:3                           | amendments [4] -                          |
| <b>120,000</b> [1] - 26:15        | 10:8   | 39:3, 44:19                                | agency [3] - 7:7,                          | 73:3, 73:7, 73:13,                        |
| <b>12:00</b> [1] - 92:19          | <b>6.0</b> [1] - 10:14                         | actions [3] - 32:3,                        | 90:4, 91:3                                 | 73:22                                     |
| <b>12:10</b> [2] - 1:3, 93:2      | <b>6.4</b> <sub>[1]</sub> - 10:12              | 39:7, 64:21                                | <b>agenda</b> [8] - 5:13,                  | amortized [1] - 77:7                      |
| <b>13</b> [2] - 70:20, 70:25      | <b>60</b> [1] - 56:14                          | active [3] - 68:23,                        | 7:18, 8:8, 9:3, 17:16,                     | amount [3] - 29:14,                       |
| <b>15</b> [4] - 55:20, 55:21,     | <b>653</b> [1] - 80:13                         | 88:15, 89:25                               | 84:7, 91:21, 92:8                          | 41:1, 41:13                               |
| 56:12, 57:4                       | <b>66</b> [1] - 10:2                           | <b>activities</b> [3] - 20:14, 69:6, 69:12 | agendas [1] - 8:6                          | <b>analysis</b> [2] - 9:24,<br>35:22      |
| <b>16</b> [2] - 59:9, 59:18       |  | <b>actual</b> [3] - 20:16,                 | ages [1] - 90:8<br>aging [1] - 90:10       | <b>AND</b> [1] - 94:3                     |
| <b>18</b> [3] - 2:22, 61:15,      | 7  | 27:6, 72:11                                | ago [1] - 5:14                             | Angeles [1] - 90:16                       |
| 61:16                             |  | add [8] - 28:10,                           | agree [29] - 1:22, 6:9,                    | Annex [1] - 68:6                          |
| <b>18th</b> [1] - 94:13           | <b>7</b> [4] - 9:21, 10:1,                     | 58:17, 59:4, 65:24,                        | 6:20, 6:25, 24:9,                          | annex [1] - 63:10                         |
| <b>1990</b> [1] - 66:9            | 10:6, 10:13                                    | 66:7, 66:11, 70:21,                        | 25:16, 25:20, 29:20,                       | Anti [2] - 28:2, 30:3                     |
| <b>1994</b> [1] - 23:13           | <b>70</b> [8] - 21:8, 21:15,                   | 83:6                                       | 30:6, 44:3, 44:6, 44:7,                    | Anti-Terrorism [2] -                      |
| <b>1997</b> [1] - 23:13           | 21:17, 21:18, 21:21,                           | added [2] - 53:18,                         | 44:16, 44:18, 44:24,                       | 28:2, 30:3                                |
| <b>1999</b> [1] - 82:11           | 76:19, 85:6, 88:15<br><b>70-year-old</b> [1] - | 83:17                                      | 45:7, 45:16, 46:11,                        | anytime [1] - 86:15                       |
|                                   | 21:11  | addendum [4] -                             | 47:5, 47:8, 50:6, 50:7,                    | apart [1] - 21:11                         |
| 2                                 | =::::  | 20:11, 32:10, 33:4,                        | 50:9, 50:24, 52:11,                        | <b>API</b> [1] - 80:13                    |
| <b>2</b> [3] - 42:21, 43:9,       | 8  | 34:5                                       | 52:16, 53:1, 53:3,                         | appear [1] - 39:1                         |
| 43:10                             |  | adding [1] - 70:12                         | 60:17                                      | appendices [3] -                          |
| 43.10<br><b>2-</b>                | <b>8</b> [3] - 38:8, 77:11,                    | additional [10] -                          | agreeable [1] - 27:20                      | 61:8, 61:10, 70:19                        |
| methylnaphthalene                 | 77:14  | 19:6, 22:18, 35:24,                        | <b>agreed</b> [7] - 42:16,                 | Appendix [11] -                           |
| [2] - 10:7, 10:20                 | <b>8.4</b> [1] - 10:13                         | 39:6, 42:17, 44:4,<br>45:8, 57:1, 62:17,   | 43:15, 46:13, 50:11,<br>52:16, 53:6, 53:13 | 33:11, 33:14, 61:10,                      |
| <b>2.6</b> <sub>[1]</sub> - 91:10 |  | 45.8, 57.1, 62.17,<br>65:25                | <b>Agreed</b> [2] - 44:25,                 | 61:24, 62:6, 62:23,                       |
| <b>20</b> [2] - 17:24, 89:12      | 9  | additionally [1] -                         | 70:25                                      | 63:25, 69:1, 71:6,                        |
| <b>2008</b> [6] - 26:9,           | 010 (1) 4:4                                    | 10:5                                       | agreed-upon [1] -                          | 71:15 <b>appendix</b> [3] - 33:16,        |
| 26:15, 26:22, 27:13,              | 919 [1] - 1:4                                  | address [7] - 20:4,                        | 52:16                                      | <b>appendix</b> [3] - 33:16, 38:16, 61:13 |
| 28:21, 70:15                      | <b>96814</b> [1] - 1:5                         | 45:11, 62:22, 82:9,                        | agreeing [1] - 44:15                       | apples [1] - 85:10                        |
| <b>2014</b> [3] - 1:2, 26:18,     | ٨  | 84:25, 89:20, 92:17                        | agreement [8] - 7:13,                      | application [1] -                         |
| 94:13                             | Α  | addressed [3] -                            | 14:7, 31:23, 54:3,                         | 16:16                                     |
| <b>2015</b> [1] - 92:8            | <b>a.m</b> [1] - 1:3                           | 42:23, 82:13, 82:14                        | 54:10, 60:9, 60:23,                        | appreciate [10] -                         |
| <b>2024</b> [1] - 54:1            | <b>Aaron</b> [4] - 2:16,                       | addresses [1] -                            | 73:2                                       | 30:15, 31:11, 37:2,                       |
| <b>25</b> [1] - 66:12             | 2:17, 9:13, 68:24                              | 51:16                                      | agrees [2] - 47:1,                         | 55:4, 61:5, 71:24,                        |
|                                   |  |  |  |   |

74:24, 78:15, 88:12, 89:19 approach [2] - 28:17, appropriate [6] -13:1. 33:17. 69:15. 83:25, 91:3, 92:4 appropriately [3] -13:2, 14:8, 60:25 approval [2] - 5:21, aguifer [8] - 40:5, 40:12, 41:5, 42:5, 68:18, 68:19, 68:20, 90:19 aquifers [1] - 76:13 area [10] - 9:9, 13:23, 32:7, 42:1, 42:6, 63:13, 68:9, 87:1, 90:16, 91:14 areas [3] - 19:11, 65:8. 80:17 argumentative [1] -23:24 arrived [2] - 31:1, 31:4 as-builts [2] - 16:4, 16:19 as-needed [1] - 28:8 aspect [1] - 74:16 assert [1] - 46:12 asserting [1] - 23:6 assess [1] - 9:8 assessing [1] - 12:4 assessment [2] -42:24, 67:8 assessor [1] - 33:22 Association [1] assume [2] - 16:5, 22:14 assumes [2] - 35:20 assuming [1] - 48:10 assumptions [5] -35:5, 35:6, 35:10, 35:13, 35:16 assure [1] - 67:8 **ASTs** [1] - 53:20 attached [4] - 32:10, 33:4, 33:16, 35:3 attempt [2] - 21:18, attend [1] - 55:2 attendance [1] - 7:19 attorney [2] - 11:25, 94.11 audience [4] - 1:21, 17:4, 74:2, 84:5 **authority** [1] - 89:20 authorization [1] -

14:13

available [28] 11:23, 12:7, 15:13,
15:16, 15:18, 18:18,
27:11, 28:7, 28:11,
28:17, 30:8, 33:24,
37:25, 38:2, 44:4,
47:14, 48:13, 49:19,
50:4, 50:7, 51:18,
52:14, 68:11, 70:16,
72:23, 73:11, 73:23,
91:24

avoid [1] - 45:21

awarded [1] - 83:2

aware [2] - 81:22,

## В

91:4

backed [2] - 23:14, 24:10 background [8] -20:10, 20:19, 23:9, 24:18, 24:22, 25:6, 25:10, 25:23 backing [1] - 58:22 bail [1] - 13:3 bailing [1] - 13:7 barely [1] - 10:9 Barracks [1] - 64:1 barrel [11] - 55:25, 56:2, 56:3, 56:5, 56:6, 56:11, 56:14, 56:16, 56:22, 58:5, 58:6 barriers [1] - 82:4 Barry [1] - 5:8 based [10] - 9:24, 32:9, 32:18, 35:6, 35:22, 35:23, 36:19, 48:15, 48:16, 72:23 basis [7] - 12:12, 28:8, 87:15, 87:18, 87:20, 88:1, 88:3 batch [1] - 8:13 Becky [2] - 14:16, becky [1] - 4:4 becomes [2] - 47:13, **becoming** [1] - 75:14 begin [2] - 1:19, 2:1 beginning [1] - 25:14 begs [1] - 47:11 belabor [2] - 41:19, 56:25 belongs [1] - 85:13 below [4] - 10:4, 10:15, 10:17, 10:21

benchmarks [1] -

14:3

best [31] - 24:21, 41:12, 43:17, 43:24, 44:20, 45:17, 45:23, 46:6, 47:3, 47:16. 47:24, 48:1, 48:6, 48:11, 48:14, 49:10, 49:11, 49:19, 49:25, 50:4, 50:7, 51:12, 52:14, 52:19, 75:9, 76:12, 76:22, 76:23, 77:1, 79:14 **better** [9] - 13:20, 32:25, 35:24, 51:7, 51:22, 80:4, 81:22, 81:23, 83:24 beyond [8] - 9:9, 29:24, 62:21, 73:14, 79:23, 81:14, 87:1, 90:21 bicycles [1] - 88:2 big [4] - 19:16, 62:4, 89:18 bigger [2] - 42:6, 42:7 biggest [1] - 18:20 bill [1] - 58:6  $\textbf{billion}~\text{\small{[4]}} - 10\text{\small{:}}3,$ 10:12, 17:12, 34:23 bioventing [1] -68:23 **bit** [5] - 20:19, 58:14, 74:23, 83:24, 90:21 blue [6] - 32:7, 38:12, 38:20, 64:5, 64:7, 70:18 blue-shaded [1] -70:18 blunt [1] - 74:23 Board [28] - 2:11, 2:22, 5:1, 5:7, 5:8, 19:17, 19:20, 19:23, 28:23, 37:13, 37:20, 37:23, 38:5, 38:9, 40:1, 41:3, 52:20, 53:8, 54:12, 59:11, 59:25, 60:14, 61:11, 71:1, 74:10, 75:13, 85:8, 85:18 Bob [2] - 4:20, 74:21 body [2] - 1:22, 73:18 book [1] - 86:24 boring [1] - 16:4 boss [1] - 79:11 bosses [2] - 79:9, 79:12

beneath [1] - 9:10

Boulevard [3] - 1:4, 85:4, 88:23 Branch [3] - 3:16, 3:21. 62:9 Brandon [2] - 4:24, 5.4 **brief** [1] - 32:11 bring [6] - 2:14, 5:21, 7:2, 29:22, 64:15, 84:14 broader [1] - 42:4 broken [2] - 7:6, 55:22 brought [3] - 65:3, 84:13, 85:24 budget [1] - 77:12 build [1] - 82:7 built [1] - 77:3 builts [2] - 16:4, 16:19 bullet [11] - 24:14, 34:5, 43:9, 43:10, 45:3, 53:23, 54:6, 54:21, 58:19, 60:18, 70:24 **bullets** [1] - 60:5 bunch [1] - 37:9 bundled [1] - 7:14 buried [2] - 90:25, 91:13 business [1] - 92:14 **busy** [1] - 22:13 buy [1] - 59:24 buy-in [1] - 59:24 BWS [13] - 14:8, 16:13, 19:5, 19:20, 25:3, 28:20, 46:19, 46:20, 68:4, 72:9, 73:20, 91:1, 91:16 C California [1] - 90:5 cameras [1] - 87:21

cameras [1] - 87:21
cannot [2] - 44:24,
58:7
cap [3] - 82:20, 82:25
Captain [7] - 43:22,
47:23, 48:10, 53:23,
84:24, 87:6, 89:9
captain [1] - 50:24
CAPTAIN [44] - 2:4,
10:17, 12:14, 13:12,
13:24, 14:10, 20:23,
21:2, 21:5, 23:11,
25:18, 27:23, 28:12,
28:22, 29:9, 29:12,
29:15, 29:21, 30:18,
30:24, 31:3, 31:9,
31:14, 43:20, 43:23,

44:11, 45:4, 45:24, 46:3, 46:7, 48:15, 48:22, 50:6, 52:1, 52:5, 56:1, 56:24, 57:8, 57:11, 57:21, 68:21, 78:14, 82:9, 87:8 capture [1] - 42:9 care [1] - 74:18 carrier [2] - 78:24, case [6] - 30:1, 40:23, 57:19, 76:25, 94:11 cases [2] - 79:23, 90:16 CASEY [14] - 2:25, 15:10, 15:22, 16:1, 16:17, 34:4, 34:9, 46:22, 58:13, 58:21, 59:1, 59:6, 71:22, 72:14 Casey [1] - 2:25 catastrophic [7] -75:22, 76:8, 82:10, 82:13, 82:21, 83:7, 83:21 catch [1] - 81:9 cathodic [1] - 67:24 Center [1] - 4:14 center [2] - 9:22, 15:1 central [1] - 91:5 cents [4] - 56:11, 56:12, 56:14, 57:4 century [2] - 86:12, 86:16 certain [10] - 20:25, 24:3, 24:8, 35:5, 35:6, 35:16, 41:14, 45:15, 65:1, 72:25 certainly [3] - 23:22, 28:7, 87:8 certainty [1] - 75:11 Certified [1] - 94:4 certify [2] - 94:5, 94:10 cetera [2] - 13:17, Chairman [1] - 54:24 chance [1] - 20:20 change [13] - 34:2, 37:15, 53:21, 54:21, 55:25, 59:8, 59:13, 59:25, 60:5, 72:21, 74:7, 77:19, 77:21 changed [4] - 31:18, 61:10, 61:18, 61:20 changes [18] - 18:7, 18:14, 18:18, 31:21,

**bottom** [5] - 8:6,

32:6, 63:25, 83:13,

83:18

37:6, 37:11, 37:19, 38:3, 53:17, 62:4, 70:12, 70:18, 71:18, 72:18, 73:14, 74:3, 92:2, 92:4 **check** [1] - 54:13 Chenet [1] - 3:24 **CHENET** [1] - 3:24 chief [1] - 77:4 **children** [1] - 50:15 Chris [2] - 3:4, 62:14 circle [1] - 42:8 circulated [3] - 5:13, 33:15, 37:24 cities [1] - 76:15 City [1] - 5:4 city [2] - 78:24, 85:15 **CITY** [1] - 94:3 civil [1] - 4:14 clarification [5] -41:24, 58:2, 58:11, 71:23, 92:2 clarifications [6] -71:5, 71:14, 71:19, 73:4, 73:13, 74:4 clarify [4] - 20:3, 34:21, 36:13, 70:1 clarifying [1] - 56:9 clause [2] - 47:3, 47.5 **clean** [1] - 91:8 cleaned [1] - 87:18 cleaning [1] - 86:14 cleanup [5] - 41:7, 66:24, 69:12, 86:22, clear [15] - 14:15, 17:2, 18:25, 21:25, 32:14, 35:3, 35:19, 36:7, 36:25, 39:25, 41:3, 41:12, 48:1, 56:2, 56:9 clearly [1] - 24:22 **CLEMENTS** [1] - 4:6 **Clements** [1] - 4:6 clip [1] - 22:15 clipped [1] - 22:17 close [5] - 68:8, 68:13, 85:22, 88:18, 91:19 closed [3] - 67:23, closely [1] - 68:17 Closing [1] - 53:16 closing [2] - 55:15, closure [2] - 67:5, code [1] - 40:10 collaborative [1] -

19:19 collection [1] - 16:10 combination [1] -49:25 combined [1] - 68:7 86:16 comfortable [2] -23:6, 73:4 53:20 coming [2] - 39:10, 83:13 command [3] -51:17 77:18, 77:20, 77:23 Comment [1] - 19:3 69:20 comment [25] - 5:25, 6:23, 7:2, 17:5, 17:14, 18:25, 19:7, 19:11, 13:22 19:17, 22:18, 25:2, 34:24, 36:6, 37:17, 87:13 38:8, 43:4, 43:20, 52:17, 53:6, 53:7, 82:20 53:13, 53:24, 55:25, 84:6, 84:9 68:13 comments [30] -6:21, 7:2, 7:7, 7:10, 7:21, 19:4, 19:13, 19:15, 19:25, 32:4, 37:14, 37:20, 37:23, 42:14, 43:15, 46:16, 58:12, 58:17, 70:9, 71:5, 72:18, 72:23, 74:6, 74:8, 74:22, 78:11, 78:15, 80:12, 91:19, 92:19 Comments [11] -19:6, 22:18, 22:24, 91:16 44:12, 44:25, 54:12, 58:24, 59:4, 70:23, 39:21 70:25 commercial [1] -67:13 Commission [7] -53:23 3:1, 3:25, 4:2, 15:10, 16:15, 74:10, 74:14 commission [1] -75:18 commissioned [1] -74:19 commissioner [2] -4:1, 74:13 **committed** [4] - 45:6, 45:13, 80:23, 81:17 communications [1] - 53:13 91:13 communities [2] -2:23, 65:9 Community [1] community [12] -13:11, 75:10, 84:13,

84:17, 84:18, 85:4,

85:12, 85:18, 85:20,

85:21, 85:25, 92:23 community's [3] -55:5, 84:11, 88:13 companies [1] comparisons [1] complete [1] - 24:24 completed [2] - 9:11, completely [1] comply [1] - 40:9 comprehensive [1] compromised [1] conceivably [1] concentration [1] concentrations [3] -10:2, 10:7, 10:8 concepts [1] - 20:11 concern [26] - 12:17, 26:2, 41:2, 42:12, 62:19, 75:1, 75:17, 75:23, 77:9, 82:18, 84:11, 84:16, 84:17, 84:18, 84:23, 85:12, 85:24, 88:13, 88:14, 88:18, 88:19, 89:6, 89:17, 91:1, 91:9, concerned [1] concerns [10] - 24:7, 28:2, 30:3, 34:1, 38:23, 39:15, 45:12, concurred [1] - 19:4 **condition** [1] - 79:20 confidence [1] confirm [2] - 17:10, conflicting [1] - 24:1 confusing [2] -32:24, 41:10 **confusion** [1] - 69:21 connected [1] **consensus** [3] - 7:8, 19:12, 55:13 consent [1] - 54:3 consequences [1] consider [1] - 64:22 considered [2] -67:20, 68:1

consistent [2] -69:20, 70:5 consists [1] - 2:22 constancy [1] -79:17 constraints [1] -76:2 construct [1] - 9:7 constructed [5] -62:10, 62:18, 62:25, 71:16, 74:25 construction [5] -12:22, 16:7, 16:15, 53:20, 62:19 consumer [1] - 89:3 contact [1] - 14:12 contained [2] -21:24, 51:24 containment [26] -26:9, 26:16, 27:14, 42:21, 43:18, 43:25, 44:1, 44:2, 44:6, 44:8, 44:12, 44:18, 44:20, 45:19, 45:22, 47:4, 47:12, 47:18, 48:4, 49:23, 50:3, 50:25, 52:18, 53:19, 53:25, 70:16 contaminant [1] -13:16 contamination [20] -9:8, 15:6, 32:20, 33:7, 39:4, 39:16, 39:24, 40:13, 40:15, 41:6, 41:13, 41:15, 42:1, 42:9, 51:9, 66:24, 67:18, 86:14, 86:21, 90:19 content [2] - 18:4, 73:2 contention [1] -50:11 context [2] - 35:22, 36:20 contingency [4] -36:22, 42:24, 42:25, 43:1 continuation [1] -92:7 continue [16] - 26:5, 42:19, 48:23, 53:14, 58:7, 60:15, 64:25, 67:12, 67:15, 67:25, 68:1, 79:4, 84:1, 85:3, 86:3, 92:16 contract [2] - 83:1, contributed [1] -

controversial [1] conversation [6] -29:16, 31:11, 80:15, 80:18, 81:21, 84:4 cooperative [1] -14.7 copies [2] - 29:7, copy [1] - 11:3 corner [1] - 67:14 corner-gas-stationtype [1] - 67:14 Correct [1] - 13:24 correct [13] - 8:20, 11:9, 12:23, 13:9, 15:4, 22:2, 27:8, 35:2, 38:13, 63:5, 65:18, 67:19, 94:9 corrected [1] - 63:23 corrections [2] -70:8, 71:19 corrective [1] - 39:7 correctly [1] - 65:14 corridor [1] - 86:13 corrosion [1] - 88:8 costs [2] - 20:25, 28:1 Council [2] - 4:22, **COUNCIL** [1] - 5:3 counsel [1] - 94:11 counted [1] - 30:22 country [1] - 90:8 **COUNTY** [1] - 94:3 county [1] - 85:15 couple [3] - 19:18, 20:8, 78:18 course [2] - 44:19, 84:12 **court** [1] - 7:20 create [2] - 54:19, created [2] - 6:3, 23:25 creating [1] - 69:21 critical [5] - 29:18, 79:17, 81:18, 81:24, 83:8 **CSR** [1] - 94:16 **culture** [1] - 79:13 cure [1] - 44:8 cure-all [1] - 44:8 **CWRM** [1] - 72:8 cycle [1] - 77:12 D

**dangerous** [1] - 32:20

18:15

control [1] - 48:6

data [7] - 16:10, 35:7, 35:25, 47:9, 62:3, 71:25, 72:11 date [4] - 47:10, 66:5, 66:7, 72:25 Dated [1] - 94:13 dates [1] - 20:25 David [1] - 2:21 days [2] - 17:24, 19:18 deal [1] - 45:5 dealt [1] - 6:14 dean [1] - 3:19 decade [1] - 86:20 December [3] - 1:2, 53:25, 94:13 **decide** [1] - 6:10 decided [3] - 5:17, 6:17, 39:7 decision [2] - 12:1, 60:2 decisions [2] - 6:5, 81:23 deep [2] - 72:8, 77:9 defense [2] - 80:24, definitely [1] - 40:23 definition [3] - 21:8, 39:8. 44:22 degradation [4] -34:18, 35:8, 39:20, 75:16 degrade [1] - 65:3 degraded [1] - 40:13 degrades [1] - 40:16 degree [1] - 85:12 deliberate [1] - 67:23 demonstrate [1] -81:16 department [3] -13:6, 15:20, 27:18 Department [36] -1:14, 4:16, 6:12, 6:23, 7:24, 10:16, 11:1, 11:8, 12:2, 18:1, 20:1, 30:21, 43:4, 45:17, 50:10, 52:21, 53:8, 56:17, 58:16, 59:3, 60:22, 61:11, 63:5, 65:17, 69:13, 70:22, 70:25, 71:1, 73:8, 74:17, 85:8, 86:6, 86:10, 90:2, 92:16 **departure** [2] - 46:9, 46:11 deputies [1] - 1:14 deputy [2] - 1:11, 11:25 described [1] - 10:16 description [3] -

62:8, 70:14, 71:11 designation [3] -64:9, 65:6, 65:14 designed [1] - 48:17 desire [1] - 77:17 desk [1] - 29:11 destroy [1] - 76:14 detail [4] - 23:8, 24:6, 58:15, 84:25 detailed [2] - 32:21, 88:10 details [4] - 5:20, 15:12, 21:19, 33:6 detects [2] - 9:25, 12:19 determine [2] - 12:6, 32:19 determined [1] -24:13 **develop** [1] - 36:22 developed [1] - 79:2 development [3] -21:6, 56:22, 83:19 diagrams [1] - 16:17 dialogue [1] - 30:8 dicing [1] - 50:12 diesel [1] - 41:1 different [13] - 6:20, 6:21, 18:17, 26:20, 39:9, 41:11, 55:23, 78:21, 86:17, 89:2, 89:5, 90:3 difficult [2] - 18:16, 25:16 Dion [1] - 4:24 directed [1] - 20:13

direction [2] - 75:14,

directly [5] - 9:10,

45:20, 54:5, 56:23,

director [2] - 1:11,

disadvantages [1] -

disagree [4] - 46:8,

49:8, 49:14, 50:10

disagreeing [1] -

discuss [1] - 86:3

discussion [10] -

17:17, 23:2, 23:16,

54:20, 56:2, 62:16,

69:15, 80:10, 81:13,

discussions [1] -

discussed [1] -

discovery [1] - 52:13

75:15

82:22

38:25

51:20

13:13

84:23

82:24

52:8, 52:12, 52:24, 52:25, 53:3, 57:2, 70:11, 73:24 documentation [5] -9:2, 11:16, 23:20, 23:23, 69:11 documented [1] -91:5 documents [18] -7:19, 8:3, 16:6, 23:14, 24:11, 24:12, 24:13, 24:14, 29:5, 29:8, 29:24, 29:25, 30:2, 30:7, 30:12, 30:20, 31:6 **DOH** [19] - 3:8, 11:10, 19:5, 19:20, 22:19, 27:25, 32:8, 37:20, 38:9, 46:16, 46:20, 53:24, 54:6, 54:7, 56:11, 58:3, 59:12, 59:25, 60:14 **DOH's** [1] - 55:24 DOH/UST [1] - 3:10 done [13] - 20:2, 24:21, 25:7, 25:13, 35:22, 43:17, 43:19, 76:24, 80:7, 82:12, 83:25, 87:4, 90:1 door[1] - 28:25 doors [1] - 83:6 double [12] - 46:1, 48:16, 48:24, 49:2, 49:4, 49:6, 50:25, 51:5, 51:13, 51:22, 51:23, 83:17 double-hull [1] -Down [1] - 53:16 down [7] - 7:6, 28:25, 29:4, 49:3, 77:14, 82:22, 94:7 draft [9] - 5:24, 14:22, 17:18, 17:22, 38:4, 38:15, 72:22, 84:6, 91:24 draft's [1] - 25:24 drafting [1] - 61:6 drafts [2] - 5:17, 53:5

dispensed [1] - 6:15

dissolved [1] - 34:6

distribute [1] - 42:4

**DLNR** [6] - 3:2, 15:9,

**Division** [1] - 3:12

46:22, 46:23, 53:7

document [21] -

6:14, 7:5, 7:9, 7:15,

17:22, 17:25, 21:6,

27:21, 28:5, 52:6,

21:24, 27:16, 27:17,

dramatically [1] -22:6 draw [1] - 42:7 dress [1] - 2:14 driller [2] - 12:24, 12:25 drilling [4] - 12:18, 12:21, 15:14, 15:20 drink [6] - 39:10, 39:12, 39:22, 40:25, 75:20, 78:3 **Drinking** [2] - 3:16, 62:9 drinking [27] - 13:1, 39:19, 40:3, 40:5, 40:12, 40:16, 40:21, 41:4, 41:12, 41:15, 58:9, 60:16, 60:25, 62:7, 63:2, 64:2, 68:9, 68:14, 68:20, 75:8, 75:19, 81:8, 81:10, 82:23, 83:8, 83:9, 90:19 due [2] - 76:3, 77:19 dug [1] - 88:25 duplicate [2] - 10:3, 10:14 during [3] - 18:13, 21:6, 65:9 duty [1] - 76:24 F

EAL [2] - 33:1, 42:10 EALs [3] - 32:8, 38:10, 39:1 early [1] - 81:3 Earth [5] - 75:9, 76:13, 76:23, 78:2, 78:3 easier[1] - 83:24 easily [1] - 19:1 ebbs [1] - 75:18 edit[1] - 54:6 edited [2] - 19:22, editing [1] - 22:16 educate [1] - 29:22 **education** [1] - 83:22 effect [3] - 20:6, 25:14, 48:12 effects [3] - 37:5, 37:11, 42:5 **efficiency** [1] - 5:18 effort [9] - 13:23, 14:11, 16:10, 19:10, 19:14, 19:19, 30:1, 54:5, 90:17 efforts [4] - 13:16, 15:21, 60:15, 91:8

eight [1] - 65:15 either [5] - 14:1. 14:5, 32:16, 46:16, 67:5 **ELEFANTE** [1] - 5:3 **Elefante** [1] - 5:4 Elefante's [1] - 4:25 elements [2] - 45:16, 82:12 elevation [1] - 14:1 **eliminate** [1] - 51:1 emergency [2] -56:18, 58:10 emphasize [1] -31:22 empowered [3] -1:16, 1:24, 73:8 empty [2] - 50:22, 84:22 encourage [6] -34:12, 34:25, 60:14, 60:19, 60:22, 91:25 end [4] - 39:17, 76:5, 91:21, 92:8 energy [2] - 56:20, 86:13 enforce [1] - 51:5 enforceable [1] -54:10 enforcement [1] -12:5 engage [1] - 52:13 engaged [1] - 86:13 engineer [2] - 4:14, engineering [4] -77:3, 82:3, 83:12, 83:20 Engineering [1] enhance [2] - 81:18, 81:24 enhanced [1] - 13:14 enhancement [2] -45:11, 83:19 ensure [1] - 14:8 enter[1] - 80:10 entertain [1] - 78:12 entertaining [1] -38:3 entire [6] - 5:21, 41:5, 51:3, 52:22, 55:16, 87:22 entirely [1] - 50:19 entities [3] - 6:8, 6:13, 86:17 entity [3] - 6:24, 7:1, 46:21 environment [7] -

12:6, 39:2, 39:5,

45:21, 51:25, 75:7, 86:22 environmental [3] -32:17, 41:7, 56:18 Environmental [7] -1:12, 3:11, 10:4, 10:15, 40:19, 41:18, 71:10 **EPA**[21] - 3:19, 3:21, 4:19, 4:20, 11:8, 11:9, 16:2, 22:19, 27:25, 30:21, 32:2, 36:11, 59:11, 59:25, 60:14, 60:22, 64:9, 86:9, 86:10, 89:20, 89:24 **EPA's** [1] - 49:18 equal [1] - 23:25 **equipment** [1] - 77:1 era [1] - 62:18 Ernie [16] - 2:11, 10:24, 16:13, 25:1, 25:3, 28:14, 28:22, 33:9, 36:5, 54:13, 63:14, 66:17, 69:14, 75:3, 82:16, 90:22 Ernie's [1] - 45:11 Erwin [1] - 5:1 especially [4] -18:12, 34:12, 71:25, 85:4 essentially [4] -49:25, 50:1, 64:25, 67:19 establish [1] - 14:3 established [3] -32:9, 32:16, 64:11 et [2] - 13:17, 19:6 evaluation [1] -42:22 event [3] - 1:21, 83:16, 83:21 eventually [1] -45:20 exactly [8] - 8:25, 14:18, 46:10, 54:7, 58:1, 59:1, 78:16, 80:19 **example** [5] - 67:24, 70:12, 86:10, 86:18, **exceeded** [1] - 39:3 excellence [2] -76:24, 76:25 excellent [2] - 74:20, **exception** [1] - 19:16 exchange [1] - 30:9 excuse [2] - 2:5, 84:8 Excuse [1] - 54:24

excused [1] - 55:1

exist [3] - 39:4, 39:16, 39:24 existed [1] - 51:7 existence [1] - 92:10 existing [2] - 36:24, 56:6 exists [1] - 77:2 expand [2] - 32:8, 38:10 expanded [2] - 22:7, 81:14 expanding [1] -81:16 expect [4] - 72:1, 72:6, 72:10, 75:10 expectation [1] -92:13 expectations [1] -50:13 expediency [2] -5:18, 25:20 expeditious [1] -29:22 **experience** [1] - 82:2 expertise [1] - 34:13 experts [1] - 80:2 explain [3] - 33:3, 62:3, 68:25 explained [1] - 41:22 explanation [2] -32:25, 34:15 express [2] - 39:14, **expressed** [3] - 75:3, 75:24, 76:3 expression [1] -74:25 extend [1] - 92:12

### F

**extensive** [1] - 43:17

extent [3] - 45:8,

45:9, 75:5

facilities [2] - 69:24, 86:19

Facilities [1] - 4:18

facility [30] - 9:9,
20:19, 21:7, 21:9,
21:11, 21:20, 25:6,
28:3, 30:4, 36:14,
39:13, 39:20, 49:21,
50:2, 50:19, 53:25,
54:18, 57:15, 60:24,
68:11, 69:5, 69:10,
79:6, 80:20, 81:4,
84:21, 87:22, 87:24,
88:2, 91:4

Facility [1] - 61:21

facility-wide [1] -

fact [9] - 23:4, 23:6, 23:11, 24:1, 24:2, 24:14, 51:12, 52:9, 58:13 Fact [2] - 18:24, 23:18 factors [1] - 12:4 facts [6] - 7:7, 23:13, 23:15, 23:19, 23:25, 24:1 factual [1] - 24:7 factually [1] - 24:23 fail [1] - 46:1 fair [3] - 35:18, 36:4, 92:3 fall [1] - 19:3 falling [1] - 21:11 falls [1] - 74:17 **false** [1] - 49:3 familiar [1] - 18:3 far [3] - 9:2, 10:17, 88:25 farm [1] - 53:20 fashion [2] - 12:7, 40:9 fate [1] - 13:16 feasibility [1] - 53:19 feasible [2] - 48:3, 51:14 feature [1] - 18:14 federal [2] - 6:13, feed [2] - 84:20, feet [3] - 15:2, 40:15, 85:9 fell [1] - 78:4 felt [3] - 21:5, 62:12, 75:1 **few** [1] - 70:18 **field** [5] - 35:7, 62:9, 62:18, 62:25, 71:16 field-constructed [2] 62:25, 71:16 Fifth [1] - 1:4 fight [1] - 24:20 fill [3] - 10:3, 10:14, 84:22 filled [1] - 91:12 filling [1] - 67:7 final [13] - 1:9, 5:20, 5:24, 5:25, 37:15,

53:25

findings [2] - 7:7, 52:9 fine [7] - 38:7, 44:13, 45:15, 46:12, 47:25, 66:2. 84:9 finer [1] - 73:3 fire [3] - 48:18, 83:3, 90:6 first [10] - 2:9, 6:10, 19:18, 19:23, 21:15, 22:1, 35:19, 35:21, 63:16, 87:9 fiscal [1] - 83:2 fix [1] - 36:8 fixes [1] - 71:13 flaw [1] - 44:3 fleet [4] - 76:18, 76:19, 77:1, 77:8 Fleet [1] - 4:13 **flexibility** [4] - 40:7, 40:11, 41:25, 42:2 Floor [1] - 1:4 flow [3] - 19:13, 82:22, 83:7 foam [2] - 12:18, 12:21 focus [1] - 39:21 folks [8] - 6:4, 21:7, 56:25, 57:22, 69:24, 80:3, 83:24, 87:11 follow [5] - 13:16, 30:22, 35:13, 65:1, 78:8 **follow-on** [1] - 13:16 follow-up [1] - 30:22 following [3] - 5:15, 31:17, 59:17 food [2] - 56:20, 56:21 foolproof [4] - 46:4, 46:5, 50:20, 51:6 footnote [1] - 65:23 FORCE [1] - 1:1 Force [9] - 11:2, 28:2, 30:3, 45:1, 59:13, 59:21, 60:1, 69:16, 92:25 force [52] - 1:9, 1:20, 2:2, 3:5, 5:15, 5:16, 5:19, 5:22, 6:2, 10:24, 16:24, 17:17, 19:19, 19:22, 23:2, 24:6, 25:11, 34:2, 34:25, 42:17, 43:16, 44:14, 44:15, 46:13, 52:22, 53:7, 53:14, 54:16, 54:19, 55:16, 56:10, 59:15, 60:4, 60:7, 60:8, 61:4, 62:12,

62:16, 71:19, 72:24,

73:11, 73:25, 74:12, 78:12, 86:3, 90:22, 91:20, 92:3, 92:8, 92:10, 92:14 foregoing [2] - 94:6, 94:9 **forever** [1] - 51:2 forget [3] - 48:18, 48:19. 51:3 **form** [1] - 28:19 formal [1] - 30:16 format [3] - 6:6, 7:5, 7:15 forward [11] - 5:21, 5:25, 7:2, 7:10, 12:12, 45:8, 76:16, 77:10, 79:18, 80:11, 82:1 Foster [1] - 2:23 four [3] - 14:1, 63:3, 91:10 fourth [2] - 32:6, 58:19 framework [4] -18:21, 23:17, 23:19, 39:17 free [1] - 53:2 freely [1] - 57:14 frequency [1] - 87:16 freshwaters [1] -74:15 front [1] - 17:18 fuel [38] - 31:19, 34:6, 51:10, 53:20, 57:17, 60:24, 63:8, 63:10, 68:8, 76:11, 80:25, 81:2, 82:7, 82:21, 83:7, 84:15, 84:16, 84:17, 84:19, 84:21, 85:5, 85:11, 85:22, 86:8, 86:12, 87:3, 87:5, 88:25, 89:3, 89:10, 89:12, 89:17, 90:25, 91:5, 91:14, 91:17 fuels [1] - 90:20 Fukunaga's [1] full [1] - 94:9 fund [3] - 56:15, 56:23, 58:5 **funded** [1] - 58:5 funding [1] - 45:8 future [10] - 28:16, 49:21, 49:22, 61:2, 65:20, 75:22, 78:4, 80:8, 80:21, 81:23

# G

Gabbard [1] - 3:4

38:15, 72:22, 72:24,

73:11, 73:12, 91:24

18:7, 60:23, 73:9

23:18

finalize [4] - 17:25,

finalized [1] - 9:23

Findings [2] - 18:24,

gallon [1] - 91:10 gallons [5] - 57:15, 63:12, 68:7, 76:11, 84:15 game [1] - 82:4 Gary [13] - 1:11, 9:16, 10:25, 18:20, 20:6, 25:21, 32:12, 41:8, 43:3, 43:21, 46:25, 52:5, 74:18 Gary's [1] - 25:9 gas [2] - 67:14, 89:3 geared [1] - 24:8 general [6] - 11:25, 37:17, 43:2, 45:25, 56:15, 56:23 generalize [1] -35:16 generally [4] - 38:24, 72:5, 86:7, 89:21 generation [1] -77:16 Geodetic [1] - 14:2 geologist [2] - 2:25, 3:24 Gerald [1] - 4:13 GIBBONS [3] - 4:13, 46:25, 47:19 Gibbons [2] - 4:13, 46:25 GILL [146] - 1:7, 2:16, 3:3, 4:12, 5:12, 8:7, 8:14, 8:18, 8:23, 9:1, 10:22, 11:12, 11:20, 12:2, 12:9, 13:10, 14:15, 14:20, 14:22, 15:5, 16:23, 17:14, 20:7, 21:25, 22:3, 22:6, 22:9, 22:22, 22:25, 23:5, 23:24, 25:22, 26:12, 26:21, 26:25, 27:6, 27:9, 27:20, 28:9, 28:13, 31:11, 31:15, 32:13, 33:14, 33:18, 34:1, 34:8, 34:11, 34:20, 35:11, 35:14, 35:18, 36:2, 36:11, 36:16, 36:23, 37:7, 37:16, 37:22, 38:2, 38:12, 38:14, 39:25, 40:18, 41:2, 41:10, 41:20, 42:13, 43:7, 43:10, 43:12, 43:22, 44:10, 44:22, 45:15, 46:2, 46:5, 46:10, 46:20, 46:23, 47:7, 47:22, 48:21, 49:13, 50:9, 52:3, 53:2, 53:22,

54:22, 54:25, 55:3,

55:8, 55:14, 55:20, 56:8, 57:6, 57:10, 57:20, 58:1, 58:20, 58:22, 59:2, 59:7, 59:16, 59:19, 59:23, 60:3, 60:13, 61:13, 61:15, 61:17, 61:23, 62:1, 62:5, 62:11, 63:7, 63:14, 63:19, 63:24, 64:6, 64:12, 65:13, 65:22, 66:3, 66:7, 66:10, 69:14, 69:22, 70:4, 70:7, 71:9, 72:12, 72:16, 73:21, 74:8, 78:10, 84:3, 84:10, 84:19, 86:1, 88:21, 89:2, 89:9, 89:18, 90:21, 91:18

**Gill** [3] - 1:11, 54:24, 74:18

given [2] - 9:24, 14:13 glad [2] - 2:15, 14:6 glyco [2] - 12:18,

12:21 **glyco-drilling** [2] -12:18, 12:21 **goal** [3] - 18:25,

50:4, 50:5

92:1

Gordon [1] - 4:10 government [4] -85:13, 88:16, 91:3 Grace [1] - 3:17 graded [1] - 34:6 gradient [1] - 68:8 grammatical [1] -

grandchildren [1] - 50:15

great [7] - 14:14, 28:13, 34:23, 42:13, 76:14, 77:3, 87:9 greater [1] - 84:25 greatest [4] - 75:23, 76:15, 78:2, 78:3 gritty [1] - 18:10 gross [1] - 68:16 ground [3] - 48:19, 51:2, 81:2

Groundwater [1] - 36:18

groundwater [8] -13:17, 42:1, 47:17, 50:15, 50:18, 50:21, 51:9, 60:16

group [2] - 8:3, 76:1 guarantee [1] - 50:17 guess [6] - 28:14, 30:21, 39:8, 63:13, 64:17, 68:6 **Gulch** [2] - 63:8, 63:10 **guys** [3] - 47:25, 85:16, 85:17

Н Halawa [1] - 81:15 half [2] - 76:11, 87:25 handful [1] - 57:24 handing [1] - 30:6 handled [2] - 89:23, 90:15 hands [1] - 74:5 happy [5] - 2:9, 58:2, 86:5, 88:9, 92:24 Harbor [6] - 65:15, 68:18, 68:19, 84:20, 86:13, 87:1 harbor [1] - 91:14 harbors [1] - 86:18 hard [5] - 6:13, 10:11, 68:15, 74:12, 81:1 Hawaii [14] - 1:5, 4:2, 4:5, 4:7, 5:11, 17:10, 62:10, 71:16, 74:16, 77:23, 90:4, 90:15, 94:5, 94:14 **HAWAII** [1] - 94:2 Hawaii's [1] - 60:15 **Hazardous** [1] - 3:18 head [1] - 27:5 heading [7] - 19:5, 31:24, 44:25, 45:3, 58:24, 61:20, 75:14 Health [29] - 1:12, 1:15, 4:16, 6:12, 6:24, 7:24, 10:16, 11:2, 11:8, 12:2, 18:1, 20:1, 30:21, 43:4, 45:17, 50:10, 53:8, 60:22, 61:11, 63:5, 65:17, 69:13, 71:1, 73:8, 74:17, 85:8, 86:6, 86:10, 92:16 Health's [2] - 52:21, healthy [1] - 92:24 hear [1] - 90:24 heard [1] - 69:24 heart [1] - 77:17 heater [1] - 89:4 HEER [4] - 63:6, 63:7, 69:4, 69:12 **held** [1] - 54:20

13:19, 21:24, 33:5
helpful [1] - 14:19
hereby [1] - 94:5
herein [1] - 94:7
hereof [1] - 94:12
hereto [1] - 94:12
Hickam [5] - 63:3,
63:15, 63:20, 68:5
high [2] - 39:15,
40:24
higher [2] - 39:23,
41:6

highlight [3] - 31:25, 42:16, 43:15 highlighted [1] -32:7

**Highway** [1] - 91:6 HIGUCHI [1] - 3:19 Higuchi [1] - 3:19 HILL [1] - 1:1 Hill [43] - 1:9, 9:9, 11:2, 13:20, 15:1, 32:9, 42:7, 44:8, 49:12, 49:21, 50:1, 50:18, 50:19, 50:20, 53:17, 55:15, 57:2, 57:5, 57:15, 58:3, 58:4, 60:24, 61:21, 62:19, 62:21, 69:16, 79:18, 79:20, 79:21, 79:22, 79:25, 80:4, 81:12, 82:19, 82:23, 83:5, 84:21, 86:4, 87:4, 89:11, 89:12, 92:25

historic [1] - 86:23 history [1] - 31:18 hold [1] - 84:15 holdover [1] - 1:15 holiday [2] - 1:18, 92:24

home [1] - 89:3 homes [3] - 85:10, 85:22, 88:19 HOMMON [4] - 4:4, 14:18, 14:21, 27:5

Hommon [1] - 4:4 Honolulu [8] - 1:5, 2:11, 5:4, 76:14, 86:9, 86:13, 87:1, 94:14 HONOLULU [1] -

94:3 **hope** [7] - 1:8, 3:3, 17:25, 74:23, 76:16, 80:8, 92:23

**hopefully** [2] - 1:22, 5:25

hour [1] - 84:4 House [1] - 1:10 HUETTEMAN [1] -

60:2

3:20 Huetteman [1] - 3:20 hull [2] - 46:1, 76:20 hydrocarbons [2] -10:1, 34:6 hydrologists [1] -

74:20

idea [3] - 6:15, 25:5, 31:24 identified [3] - 20:24, 62:16, 80:17 identifies [1] - 62:24 identify [4] - 7:1, 65:23, 70:13, 86:21 illustration [2] -9:19, 69:2 imagine [1] - 22:12 immediate [1] - 39:3 **imperative** [1] - 50:8 implementation [1] -44:17 implementing [1] -52:14 implications [1] -55:15 Implications [1] -53:16 implies [1] - 66:14 important [14] - 7:11, 13:12, 16:19, 21:6, 21:23, 32:14, 52:12, 52:23, 55:10, 57:22, 66:16, 81:1, 83:11, 87:9 **imposed** [1] - 78:9 impression [2] -24:3, 24:8 **improve** [6] - 45:11, 50:1, 77:7, 78:7, 80:5, 83:3 **improved** [1] - 88:5 improvement [4] -18:21, 20:25, 49:7, improvements [5] -21:1, 21:14, 21:17, 21:22, 79:21 inception [1] - 56:17 inch [2] - 76:9, 76:21 include [13] - 6:22, 6:25, 18:17, 18:22, 19:11, 20:18, 27:1, 29:6, 31:12, 53:15, 53:19, 60:18, 62:7 included [5] - 14:17, 19:7, 25:24, 27:7,

**Hello** [1] - 4:8

help[4] - 13:15,

includes [2] - 3:22, including [3] - 6:7, 48:13. 51:5 inclusion [2] - 38:16, 70.19 incorporate [1] -19:15 increase [3] - 56:3, 56:5, 57:16 increased [1] - 56:13 increasingly [1] independence [2] -56:20, 56:21 indicated [4] - 9:16, 9:18, 64:4, 64:7 indication [1] - 27:3 individual [1] - 32:22 industry [5] - 48:4, 51:7, 51:8, 64:24, 67:14 infer [1] - 39:4 inform [2] - 8:2, information [27] -11:5, 11:22, 12:5, 14:5, 15:6, 15:15, 17:1, 23:3, 23:9, 24:22, 25:10, 25:23, 26:1, 27:19, 28:7, 28:19. 29:2. 30:7. 30:9. 35:23. 35:24. 52:7, 62:23, 65:19, 65:25, 68:11, 69:8 informative [1] -92:23 informed [1] - 60:20 infrastructure [3] -76:23, 90:10 inhibits [1] - 40:15 initiated [1] - 15:20 initiative [1] - 9:7 injected [1] - 50:18 input [2] - 6:7, 37:2 inside [1] - 87:24 inspect [6] - 48:25, 49:1, 51:4, 85:17, 90:11, 91:1 inspected [9] -67:15, 68:2, 85:7, 85:19, 87:15, 87:16, 87:17, 88:17, 88:20 inspecting [1] -85:16 inspection [2] -80:13, 88:10 inspections [1] -87:5 installation [2] -

9:11, 15:12 installed [4] - 9:17, 14:25, 16:18, 82:25 instead [2] - 2:13, 59:20 integrated [3] - 43:1, 44:5, 51:19 **integrity** [1] - 52:2 intended [2] - 23:8, 23:15 intent [6] - 33:5, 52:6, 52:11, 56:8, 80:19, 80:20 intention [1] - 12:15 interested [3] -18:19, 62:15, 94:11 interference [2] -12:18, 12:20 internal [1] - 12:3 interpret [1] - 33:6 introduce [2] - 2:2, 3:6 introduction [4] -22:3, 22:21, 25:24, 70:13 introductions [1] -1:19 investigating [1] -90:17 investigation [6] -39:6. 63:4. 66:4. 66:21, 66:23, 67:18 investigations [1] -69:10 invitation [3] - 29:3, 31:9, 31:10 invite [2] - 29:23, involve [1] - 50:3 involved [3] - 57:25, 89:25, 90:15 involvement [2] -55:5 island [1] - 75:4 issue [6] - 6:17, 20:9. 32:14, 62:21, 86:3, 89:19 issues [4] - 6:12, 34:2, 54:17, 82:15 item [5] - 7:18, 9:3, 17:6, 58:15, 84:6 items [3] - 17:22, 24:15, 92:8

J

**January** [2] - 12:16,

iterative [1] - 5:17

itself [1] - 20:16

IX [2] - 3:21, 4:21

31:21

Jerry [1] - 46:25

Joanna [1] - 3:15

joined [1] - 3:3

joining [1] - 1:8

Jonathan [3] - 4:1,

74:9, 74:13

Julia [1] - 4:15

jump [1] - 53:9

jumps [1] - 35:1

June [3] - 5:10, 17:7,

17:9

jurisdiction [1] 
69:4

jury [1] - 49:24

K

Kam [1] - 91:6 KANAGY [1] - 4:15 Kanagy [1] - 4:15 **KAWATA** [1] - 5:1 Kawata [1] - 5:1 keep [2] - 60:19, 85:18 keeping [1] - 7:21 Kess [1] - 4:22 key [4] - 28:24, 41:24, 82:17, 82:18 kick [1] - 77:14 kilogram [1] - 34:9 kind [13] - 16:4, 19:19, 25:5, 25:9, 32:24, 39:14, 64:21, 66:14, 67:21, 86:9, 89:4, 90:14, 92:5 kinds [4] - 11:21, 15:15, 32:4, 41:11 Kipapa [7] - 63:8, 63:10, 63:14, 63:16, 63:21, 68:6, 91:10 knowingly [1] -40:13 knowledge [4] -29:17, 45:25, 47:10, 85:7 knowledgeable [1] -

L

KWAN [7] - 3:13,

8:22, 11:24, 64:14,

65:18, 66:2, 66:9

Kwan [1] - 3:13

66:19

lab [1] - 72:4 label [5] - 24:18, 33:12, 34:20, 46:18, 70:24 labeled [5] - 23:10, 33:14, 33:15, 69:23, 70:3 laid [1] - 23:18 Lake [3] - 2:23, 85:4, 88:23 land [1] - 86:18 Land [5] - 58:16, 59:3, 70:22, 71:2, 74:10 language [15] - 5:20, 24:17, 36:24, 38:4, 43:2, 46:11, 46:13, 46:15, 46:17, 49:15, 51:16, 53:4, 56:9, 69:19 large [3] - 36:21, 75:5, 90:19 largest [1] - 68:20 last [18] - 5:14, 8:13, 8:19, 14:20, 18:6, 19:8, 19:17, 20:16, 20:18, 21:16, 21:18, 25:4, 36:17, 47:3, 59:9, 59:19, 92:13 last-minute [1] - 18:6 latest [1] - 17:18 IAU [1] - 2:11 LAU [55] - 10:25, 11:7, 11:10, 12:8, 12:10, 12:21, 12:24, 13:2, 13:8, 14:2, 14:6, 14:14, 16:12, 20:5, 25:3, 25:19, 26:17, 28:14, 29:6, 29:10, 29:13, 29:20, 30:15, 30:19, 31:2, 31:7, 33:10, 33:17, 35:4, 35:12, 35:15, 36:1, 36:9, 38:7, 38:18, 38:23, 40:4, 40:23, 41:8, 41:19, 42:3, 46:19, 53:11, 54:15, 63:8, 63:18, 63:22, 66:18, 67:10, 67:17, 68:4, 69:8, 69:18, 73:20, 90:23 Lau [3] - 2:11, 75:3, 75:16 **LAURA** [2] - 94:4, 94:16 law [2] - 32:16, 58:8 layer [2] - 80:24, 83:6 layered [1] - 82:1 layperson [1] - 33:5 lead [1] - 49:3 leaders [1] - 78:21 leading [1] - 77:10 leak [5] - 45:20,

75:22, 80:21, 91:5

leakage [4] - 85:19,

88:20, 88:24 leaking [1] - 88:6 leaks [4] - 31:19, 45:20, 51:23, 90:18 learn [2] - 68:10, 81.20 learned [2] - 79:3, 80:6 learning [2] - 78:17, 80:1 least [5] - 10:20, 16:2, 25:12, 46:21, 73:23 leave [4] - 24:1, 24:19, 29:13, 79:5 leaving [1] - 77:16 Lee [2] - 3:4, 62:14 left [1] - 9:21 legislative [3] - 32:3, 55:17, 55:22 legislator [1] - 27:15 Legislature [2] -59:11, 59:14 legislature [22] -1:25, 5:22, 7:3, 7:12, 7:14, 17:21, 17:23, 18:2, 19:9, 27:12, 45:6, 52:7, 52:17, 53:1, 60:22, 62:13, 73:1, 73:25, 86:4, 92:12, 92:15, 92:22 length [2] - 15:13, 87:24 lessons [1] - 79:3 letter [6] - 11:19, 20:6, 30:23, 30:24, 71:9, 73:17 Level [3] - 10:4, 40:19, 41:18 level [14] - 10:7, 30:1, 33:1, 39:19, 40:20, 40:21, 41:6, 41:7, 41:12, 41:17, 49:1, 80:9, 80:16 Levels [3] - 10:15, 32:17, 71:10 levels [19] - 10:18, 15:7, 32:9, 32:18, 33:6, 36:15, 36:20, 39:15, 39:23, 39:24, 40:24, 41:11, 41:14, 41:20, 42:10, 42:11, 71:11 light [1] - 23:16 likelihood [1] - 77:20 likely [2] - 40:20, 67:7 limit [2] - 39:2, 42:2

**limits** [1] - 49:20

LINDER [14] - 2:7,

13:21, 16:2, 36:12, 41:23, 49:17, 64:8, 64:17, 67:3, 67:11, 67:19, 70:2, 70:6, Linder [2] - 2:7, 64:9 line [9] - 9:22, 15:1, 24:24, 37:21, 37:25, 72:25, 86:12, 90:12 lines [24] - 26:7, 28:15, 66:18, 84:16, 84:17, 84:19, 84:21, 85:1, 85:5, 85:11, 85:16, 85:17, 85:22, 86:8, 86:14, 87:3, 87:5, 88:14, 88:25, 89:3, 89:11, 89:12, 89:17, 90:25 lion's [1] - 56:22 list [3] - 7:18, 7:19, 71:15 listed [1] - 54:12 litigation [1] - 12:4 live [4] - 75:4, 77:25, 78:1. 78:2 local [2] - 56:21, 88:16 **locate** [1] - 62:9 located [4] - 63:12, 63:20, 63:21, 68:8 location [5] - 11:3, 40:17, 51:15, 68:19, 85:14 locations [2] - 12:13, 85:15 Logistics [1] - 4:14 logs [3] - 15:15, 16:4, 16:9 long-term [1] - 37:10 look [14] - 8:7, 10:9, 11:14, 29:10, 31:6, 36:23, 66:14, 68:17, 69:1, 76:17, 81:1, 81:14, 86:5 looked [1] - 87:19 looking [5] - 9:13, 9:19, 12:19, 81:11, 90:18 looks [5] - 32:23, 63:9, 63:11, 82:4 loophole [1] - 78:5 Los [1] - 90:16 loud [1] - 90:24 louder [1] - 68:5 love [1] - 31:7 low [2] - 10:6, 15:6 lower [2] - 39:23, luck [1] - 55:8

М machine [1] - 94:7 magnitude [4] -10:18, 10:21, 49:7, 49:9 main [3] - 1:21, 6:17, 17:16 maintain [3] - 40:11, 52:3, 79:6 maintained [3] -52:4, 67:13, 67:24 maintenance [1] -65:1 major [4] - 7:4, 76:10, 76:18, 76:19 maker [1] - 6:23 man [1] - 77:4 manage [3] - 2:8, 3:21, 57:17 Management [6] -3:1, 3:12, 3:25, 4:3, 15:11, 74:14 management [1] -84:25 manner [2] - 67:23, 79:6 **map** [6] - 14:16, 63:9, 68:16, 69:20, 70:2. 70:5 maps [1] - 62:8 Mark [1] - 4:8 marking [1] - 37:9 marshal's [1] - 90:6 marvels [1] - 77:3 material [1] - 67:7 MATSUMOTO [1] -Matsumoto [1] - 5:6 MATSUNAGA [1] -4:8 **Matsunaga** [1] - 4:8 matter [2] - 24:4, 84:23 Maui [1] - 77:5 **maximum** [1] - 61:19 MCL [1] - 40:24 mean [14] - 23:12, 23:14, 35:19, 35:20, 41:14, 42:2, 45:4, 49:17, 49:18, 57:3, 66:11, 67:1, 69:23, 88.25

means [2] - 18:23,

mechanism [1] -

MEETING [1] - 1:1

Meeting [1] - 93:2

meeting [22] - 1:9,

52:14

77:12

1:16, 2:10, 5:16, 7:25, 8:17, 8:18, 17:16, 18:8, 20:17, 24:25, 25:4, 55:2, 73:5, 73:8, 73:15, 84:14, 86:25, 92:5, 92:9, 92:14, 92:25 meetings [4] - 8:9, 18:13, 22:14 Member [2] - 4:23, 55:3 member [3] - 27:12, 74:2, 74:9 **MEMBER** [1] - 5:3 members [29] - 1:20, 1:21, 2:2, 3:5, 10:23, 13:11, 16:24, 23:2, 23:20, 24:5, 34:2, 34:25, 44:15, 46:13, 54:18, 59:15, 60:2, 60:4, 62:12, 71:20, 72:20, 72:24, 73:12, 73:25, 76:2, 78:12, 85:25, 91:19, 92:2 **Members** [1] - 45:1 mental [2] - 21:10, 21:12 mentioned [3] -23:17, 34:14, 63:15 **MESTA** [1] - 4:24 Mesta [1] - 4:24 met [2] - 5:15, 5:23 method [2] - 13:5, 81:7 methodology [3] -11:21, 13:3, 80:14 mid [1] - 68:18 midpoint [1] - 68:18 might [16] - 14:19. 19:12, 24:6, 27:10, 28:18, 41:17, 42:9, 49:1, 62:13, 62:20, 63:11, 66:13, 68:11, 80:5, 80:17, 88:19 Mike [8] - 2:5, 3:4, 4:17, 10:25, 29:6, 31:8, 50:24, 78:14 Mike's [1] - 53:23 mile [1] - 87:25 military [1] - 86:17 million [5] - 34:22, 63:12, 68:7, 76:11, 91:10 millions [1] - 84:15 mind [2] - 47:15, 48:1 **minds** [1] - 49:12 minimize [1] - 49:22 minimizes [1] - 61:1 minor [1] - 75:16

minute [1] - 18:6 minutes [3] - 7:23, 8:9, 92:19 misinterpret [1] -57:3 mislabeling [1] -68:25 misleading [1] -65:12 missed [2] - 71:3, 71:17 mitigate [1] - 36:20 mitigated [1] - 43:14 Moana [1] - 1:4 Moanalua [2] - 2:20, 81:14 model [3] - 21:10, 21:12, 35:15 modeling [2] - 34:17, 35:24 models [1] - 35:5 modernized [1] -21:21 modify [1] - 54:21 money [1] - 58:7 monitor [1] - 12:11 monitoring [14] -9:5, 9:7, 9:18, 9:21, 10:1, 10:6, 10:8, 10:13, 13:14, 13:17, 42:18, 42:19, 72:1, 82:5 month [1] - 72:5 morning [6] - 1:7, 2:4, 2:5, 4:4, 5:3, 74:11 most [6] - 18:3, 29:21, 48:12, 62:25, 76:12, 90:1 move [12] - 7:17, 17:4, 17:15, 31:16, 36:11, 36:25, 37:3, 42:14, 53:10, 81:7, 88:2, 89:15 movement [1] -83:18 moving [7] - 37:4, 42:20, 50:10, 76:4, 76:16, 80:25, 82:1 MR [287] - 1:7, 2:7, 2:11, 2:16, 2:17, 2:19, 2:21, 2:25, 3:3, 3:8, 3:11, 3:19, 3:20, 3:24, 4:1, 4:6, 4:8, 4:10, 4:12, 4:13, 4:17, 4:19, 4:20, 4:24, 5:1, 5:8, 5:12, 8:7, 8:14, 8:18, 8:23, 9:1, 9:15, 10:19, 10:22, 10:25, 11:4, 11:7, 11:9, 11:10,

11:12, 11:18, 11:20, 12:2, 12:8, 12:9, 12:10, 12:15, 12:21, 12:23, 12:24, 12:25, 13:2, 13:5, 13:8, 13:9, 13:10, 13:21, 13:25, 14:2, 14:4, 14:6, 14:12, 14:14, 14:15, 14:20, 14:22, 15:4, 15:5, 15:10, 15:17, 15:22, 15:24, 16:1, 16:2, 16:9, 16:12, 16:17, 16:21, 16:23, 17:14, 20:5, 20:7, 21:25, 22:3, 22:6, 22:9, 22:22, 22:25, 23:5, 23:24, 25:3, 25:19, 25:22, 26:12, 26:17, 26:21, 26:25, 27:6, 27:9, 27:17, 27:20, 28:9, 28:13, 28:14, 29:6, 29:10, 29:13, 29:20, 30:15, 30:19, 31:2, 31:7, 31:11, 31:15, 32:13, 33:10, 33:14, 33:17, 33:18, 34:1, 34:4, 34:8, 34:9, 34:11, 34:20, 35:4, 35:11, 35:12, 35:14, 35:15, 35:18, 36:1, 36:2, 36:9, 36:11, 36:12, 36:16, 36:23, 37:7, 37:16, 37:22, 38:2, 38:7, 38:12, 38:14, 38:18, 38:23, 39:25, 40:4, 40:18, 40:23, 41:2, 41:8, 41:10, 41:19, 41:20, 41:23, 42:3, 42:13, 43:7, 43:10, 43:12, 43:22, 44:10, 44:22, 45:15, 46:2, 46:5, 46:10, 46:19, 46:20, 46:22, 46:23, 46:25, 47:7, 47:19, 47:22, 48:9, 48:21, 49:13, 49:17, 50:9, 52:3, 53:2, 53:11, 53:22, 54:15, 54:22, 54:24, 54:25, 55:1, 55:3, 55:7, 55:8, 55:14, 55:20, 56:8, 57:6, 57:10, 57:20, 58:1, 58:13, 58:20, 58:21, 58:22, 59:1, 59:2, 59:6, 59:7, 59:16, 59:19, 59:23, 60:3, 60:13, 61:13, 61:15, 61:17, 61:23, 62:1, 62:5, 62:11, 63:7, 63:8, 63:14,

63:18, 63:19, 63:22, 63:24, 64:6, 64:8, 64:12, 64:17, 65:13, 65:22, 66:3, 66:5, 66:7, 66:10, 66:18, 67:3, 67:10, 67:11, 67:17, 67:19, 68:4, 68:24, 69:8, 69:11, 69:14, 69:18, 69:22, 70:2, 70:4, 70:6, 70:7, 71:9, 71:22, 72:12, 72:14, 72:16, 73:20, 73:21, 74:6, 74:8, 74:11, 78:10, 81:25, 84:3, 84:8, 84:10, 84:11, 84:19, 85:2, 86:1, 88:12, 88:21, 88:22, 89:2, 89:7, 89:9, 89:15, 89:18, 89:22, 90:21, 90:23, 91:18 MS [77] - 3:10, 3:13,

3:15, 3:17, 4:4, 4:15, 4:22, 5:6, 5:10, 8:5, 8:12, 8:16, 8:21, 8:22, 8:25, 11:24, 14:18, 14:21, 17:9, 18:9, 20:8, 20:24, 21:4, 22:2, 22:5, 22:8, 22:17, 22:23, 23:1, 26:7, 26:14, 26:19, 26:23, 27:3, 27:5, 27:8, 31:17, 33:12, 33:19, 34:16, 36:17, 37:4, 37:8, 37:17, 37:25, 38:8, 38:13, 38:17, 38:22, 42:15, 43:9, 43:11, 43:13, 53:12, 55:12, 55:19, 55:21, 59:8, 59:18, 59:20, 59:24, 60:12, 61:9, 61:14, 61:16, 61:18, 61:24, 62:2, 62:6, 63:6, 64:4, 64:7, 64:14, 65:18, 66:2, 66:9, 71:8 multiple [3] - 6:16, 79:12, 89:2 must [1] - 55:10

#### N

mystical [1] - 51:22

name [3] - 17:8, 17:9, 82:3 Nancy [1] - 5:6 naphthalene [1] -17:11 narrative [2] - 20:19, 62:3 narrow [1] - 39:21 nation's [1] - 79:7 National [1] - 14:2 natural [1] - 75:6 Natural [5] - 58:16, 59:3, 70:22, 71:2, 74:10 nature [1] - 20:9

nature [1] - 20:9 Naval [1] - 4:18 NAVFAC [3] - 5:10, 17:10, 91:8 Navy [63] - 2:6, 2:18,

4:5. 4:6. 4:9. 4:13. 9:3. 9:6. 9:11. 9:16. 12:10, 20:18, 22:10, 23:9, 24:17, 24:19, 25:5, 25:11, 25:12, 26:1, 27:16, 27:20, 28:3, 31:22, 32:2, 33:24, 43:17, 43:25, 44:23, 47:1, 47:19, 49:15, 53:3, 53:24, 54:10, 57:14, 57:17, 59:10, 59:22, 60:6, 60:15, 60:19, 60:23, 61:6, 61:25, 65:17, 70:15, 72:9, 76:4, 76:16, 76:18, 76:22, 77:17, 77:23, 78:21, 78:23, 79:13, 79:15, 87:3, 87:4

**Navy's** [5] - 24:21, 43:1, 49:19, 52:13, 69:24

necessarily [5] -44:7, 48:24, 52:22, 57:18, 77:22 necessary [3] - 29:5,

45:9, 72:18

need [29] - 11:24,
13:19, 17:19, 23:2,
26:24, 28:6, 30:10,
30:11, 31:12, 34:20,
39:6, 54:13, 57:16,
65:4, 66:21, 67:1,
67:17, 69:25, 76:25,
77:1, 78:4, 78:7,
79:17, 80:3, 81:5,
81:6, 82:1, 83:23

needed [3] - 28:8,

32:25, 57:1 **needs** [8] - 12:3, 36:6, 43:19, 51:17, 67:25, 68:2, 69:9, 76:3

negotiate [1] - 60:23 negotiated [2] -31:23, 54:9 negotiation [1] -54:9 negotiations [1] -54:3

neighbor [1] - 77:5 Neighborhood [1] -2:22

network [1] - 83:14 new [12] - 1:12, 9:4, 9:7, 17:1, 35:24, 38:3, 53:20, 70:19, 71:6, 71:25, 79:9, 82:7 news [1] - 66:11

**next** [4] - 31:20, 77:16, 91:23, 92:9 **Next** [1] - 91:22

NGS [1] - 14:2 nice [1] - 2:12 nitty [1] - 18:10 nitty-gritty [1] -

18:10

NO [1] - 94:16 none [1] - 84:7 nonfirm [1] - 46:23 nonsubstantive [1] -

92:1 noon [1] - 84:4 north [3] - 9:17, 15:3, 81:15

northeast [1] - 9:20 northwest [4] - 9:22, 10:2, 10:13, 15:1 note [4] - 32:1,

63:24, 68:22, 70:15 noted [1] - 22:21 notes [3] - 7:25,

29:14, 71:4 **nothing** [3] - 40:21, 43:14, 51:4

notice [2] - 7:6, 7:20 number [10] - 5:16, 31:19, 40:14, 53:5, 58:2, 68:9, 70:12, 86:11, 87:4, 87:10

86:11, 87:4, 87:10 **numbers** [4] - 10:9, 36:3, 61:18

numeric [1] - 32:15 numerous [1] -21:22

0

O'HALLORAN [1] - 4:22 O'Halloran [1] - 4:22 Oahu [4] - 63:9, 68:20, 75:13, 91:5 objection [2] - 26:2, 27:9 objectionable [1] - 48:10 73:21

obtaining [1] - 29:7 obvious [1] - 87:11 occurring [1] - 68:23 oceans [1] - 75:7 October [1] - 72:9 OF [2] - 94:2, 94:3 offer [1] - 78:13 office [8] - 4:23, 4:25, 63:6, 63:7, 69:4, 69:12, 90:6 officers [1] - 77:21

official [1] - 77:21 official [1] - 11:22 officially [1] - 7:23 offline [1] - 73:5 oil [4] - 76:11, 80:21, 83:6, 86:16 oil-type [1] - 83:6

old [4] - 21:8, 21:22, 76:20, 86:12 older [1] - 90:8

Omer [1] - 30.8 Omer [1] - 4:19 on-line [2] - 37:25, 72:25

**onboard** [3] - 46:19, 61:3, 79:5

once [1] - 75:17 one [34] - 6:24, 12:8, 19:16, 21:12, 24:1, 36:12, 41:23, 45:4, 47:3, 49:17, 58:13, 60:21, 63:2, 63:11, 63:15, 63:16, 63:19, 69:18, 71:7, 73:10, 73:12, 73:23, 76:12, 76:14, 77:2, 78:13, 79:1, 79:11, 80:12, 82:10, 82:18, 83:10,

one-week [2] -73:10, 73:23 ones [1] - 90:9 ongoing [3] - 54:

ongoing [3] - 54:2, 69:6, 86:23

**ONOUE** [4] - 2:19, 54:24, 55:1, 55:7 **Onoue** [2] - 2:19, 55:3

**open** [3] - 28:25, 29:3, 74:1

**operate** [3] - 79:4, 79:7, 79:21

operated [2] - 65:8, 67:25 operating [2] -

67:20, 76:19 operation [2] -47:16, 63:1 operational [3] -

28:3, 30:4, 65:1

**operations** [1] - 81:4 **opinion** [5] - 49:10, 52:18, 52:20, 52:21, 52:22

**opinions** [3] - 18:22, 52:9, 52:10

**Opinions** [1] - 44:13 **opposed** [5] - 39:19, 40:11, 41:5, 41:17, 66:25

option [1] - 48:12 options [1] - 53:21 orally [1] - 11:14 oranges [1] - 85:10 order [7] - 18:22, 49:7, 49:9, 68:1, 74:8, 78:20, 79:20 orders [1] - 10:20

organization [2] - 76:23, 78:22 original [4] - 7:4, 20:1, 37:14, 37:23

originally [1] - 60:6 out-of-use [4] -64:25, 65:4, 65:14, 67:12

**outcome** [2] - 57:14, 94:11

outer [2] - 52:1, 52:2 outlined [1] - 91:22 overlies [1] - 39:13 overpump [1] - 40:8 oversight [2] - 57:5,

**overview** [2] - 18:5, 32:11

own [4] - 31:23, 77:24, 77:25, 90:5 owned [3] - 86:12, 86:19, 88:16

**owner** [2] - 66:1, 91:3

owns [1] - 86:18

Р

**p.m** [2] - 1:3, 93:2 **package** [2] - 15:23, 16:19

**packaging** [1] - 11:4 **Page** [2] - 59:18, 61:16

26:7, 26:8, 26:14, 32:6, 36:17, 37:7, 37:8, 37:16, 38:8, 43:6, 43:7, 43:10, 55:20, 55:21, 58:21, 58:22, 59:2, 59:19, 61:15, 70:20,

page [25] - 14:20,

**objections** [2] - 61:4,

70:25 pages [1] - 22:1 PALLERINO [1] -Pallerino [1] - 4:20 panel [1] - 74:20 PANG [1] - 4:10 Pang [1] - 4:10 paperwork [1] paragraph [4] -31:18, 47:2, 47:6, 47:9 parenthesis [1] -63:16 part [13] - 12:16, 13:22, 15:22, 16:5, 16:9, 16:15, 16:18, 27:18, 52:15, 62:15, 67:4, 89:23, 90:6 participants [1] -7:11 participation [1] -92:20 particular [3] -32:22, 55:6, 89:23 particularly [1] -62:14 parties [8] - 18:23, 19:4, 45:7, 55:23, 60:19, 86:11, 94:11, 94:12 parts [8] - 10:2, 10:3, 10:12, 10:14, 17:11, 17:12, 34:22, 65:10 party [1] - 18:15 passed [2] - 1:10, past [4] - 28:16, 86:11, 86:15, 91:11 path [3] - 49:3, 77:10, 78:8 Patrick [8] - 2:25, 15:9, 15:10, 16:16, 34:3, 58:20, 71:21, 74:20  $\pmb{\text{pause}} \ [3] \ -8{:}1, \ 17{:}3,$ 32:13 Pearl [4] - 65:15, 68:18, 68:19, 84:20 people [18] - 6:20, 17:1, 18:3, 39:10, 39:12, 39:22, 40:25, 57:23, 71:5, 74:19, 75:5, 75:12, 75:19, 77:21, 78:20, 79:1, 79:5 **per** [7] - 10:3, 10:12, 10:14, 17:11, 17:12, 34:9, 34:22

percent [2] - 34:9, 34:22 perfect [2] - 37:10, perfection [1] -75:19 perhaps [3] - 48:11, 82:14, 87:5 77:12 period [5] - 65:6, 71:24, 73:10, 73:23, periodic [1] - 42:19 **periods** [1] - 39:5 permanently [6] -1:13, 64:3, 69:3, 69:5, 69:25, 70:3 PERRY [59] - 3:10, 8:5, 8:12, 8:16, 8:21, 8:25, 18:9, 20:8, 20:24, 21:4, 22:2. 22:5, 22:8, 22:17, 68:24 22:23, 23:1, 26:7, 26:14, 26:19, 26:23, 27:3, 27:8, 31:17, 33:12, 33:19, 34:16, 36:17, 37:4, 37:8, 37:17, 37:25, 38:8, 38:13, 38:17, 38:22, 42:15, 43:9, 43:11, 43:13, 53:12, 55:12, 55:19, 55:21, 59:8, 59:18, 59:20, 59:24, 60:12, 61:9, 61:14, 61:16, 61:18, 61:24, 82:17 62:2, 62:6, 63:6, 64:4, 64:7, 71:8 Perry [2] - 3:10, 8:2 person [3] - 2:9, 82:17 2:13, 66:19 personally [2] - 23:5, 90:16 32:16 perspective [6] -29:17, 39:9, 43:25, 32:19 49:18, 55:24, 81:12 **petroleum** [4] - 9:25, 50:17, 51:9, 61:1 **phone** [2] - 2:13 **picked** [1] - 60:5 picking [1] - 13:4 picture [1] - 13:20 **piece** [1] - 83:14 **pipeline** [3] - 89:18, 90:5, 91:5 pipelines [6] - 88:21, 90:1, 90:7, 90:18, 91:14, 91:17 piping [7] - 83:12, 83:14, 87:12, 87:14, 87:19, 88:7, 88:10 place [8] - 78:2, 78:3,

78:21, 81:5, 81:9, 61:2, 76:8, 83:15 81:21, 90:12, 94:7 **PPB**[1] - 17:13 placed [1] - 65:16 **PPT**[1] - 17:12 **plan** [4] - 13:17, practicable [1] -42:25, 43:2, 85:17 49:20 **Plan** [1] - 36:18 **practical** [1] - 48:2 planning [2] - 12:11, precisely [2] - 35:13, 68:15 plans [4] - 16:3, precision [1] - 43:5 36:22, 65:20 predecision [1] play [1] - 84:16 11:18 **POENTIS** [21] - 2:17, preface [2] - 25:14, 9:15, 10:19, 11:4, 70:13 11:9, 12:15, 12:23, prefaced [1] - 25:25 12:25, 13:5, 13:9, prefacing [1] - 25:9 13:25, 14:4, 14:12, **prefer** [1] - 40:10 15:4, 15:17, 15:24, 16:9, 16:21, 27:17, 68:24, 69:11 presentation [2] -Poentis [2] - 2:17, 24:4, 26:6 presented [1] - 17:2 point [31] - 7:8, 7:9, presently [1] - 91:11 26:21, 26:23, 30:11, preserve [2] - 39:18, 34:8, 40:18, 41:19, 80:21 45:5, 46:9, 46:10, press [1] - 32:23 47:19, 54:8, 55:15, presupposes [1] -56:25, 60:10, 60:11, 92:9 66:15, 69:18, 72:19, pretty [2] - 8:12, 79:8, 79:9, 79:16, 68:16 79:17, 79:19, 81:19, prevent [2] - 82:25, 82:10, 82:18, 83:12, 86:1, 92:13 previous [3] - 8:17, pointed [2] - 75:17, 8:18, 30:23 previously [5] pointing [1] - 14:16 13:13, 15:25, 32:1, **points** [5] - 6:19, 38:5, 51:7 6:20, 6:25, 18:11,  $\boldsymbol{pristine}\, [{\scriptscriptstyle 1}] - 81 {:} 10$ private [2] - 85:14, **Pol** [1] - 68:5 88:17 policy [2] - 6:15, **privy** [2] - 25:7, 25:15 policymakers [1] problem [3] - 19:12, 85:20, 88:23 **pollute** [1] - 50:21 **populated** [1] - 65:9 **population** [1] - 76:6 proceed [1] - 23:4 **portion** [3] - 34:17, proceedings [2] -40:12, 57:8 94:6, 94:9 position [3] - 22:20, process [6] - 12:3, 40:14, 44:21 13:7, 67:5, 78:18, possibility [3] -80:1, 82:19 12:20, 29:7, 75:21 **processes** [1] - 79:2 possible [6] - 18:8, produce [2] - 1:24, 19:15, 24:23, 47:11, 29:24 47:16, 67:18 produced [1] - 5:14 **possibly** [1] - 39:5 product [6] - 1:23, post [1] - 11:10 6:1, 50:17, 90:1, 90:7, posted [4] - 7:24, 8:9, 11:1, 11:17 productive [1] potential [4] - 49:8, 76:12

profuse [1] - 29:14 51:3, 58:10, 65:7, 89:24 **Program** [4] - 2:8, 3:14, 3:23, 58:9 propagation [1] -81:7 properly [3] - 29:7, 30:2, 57:18 **propose** [1] - 73:6 protect [5] - 52:15, 60:15, 81:18, 84:2, 84:12 preference [1] - 41:4 protecting [1] -50:14 prescribed [1] - 13:6 30:3, 36:18 protection [9] -29:18, 47:17, 49:2, 56:19, 65:2, 67:25, 74:16, 83:3, 83:17 protections [1] -48:12 proud [1] - 92:21 24:21, 25:6, 26:10, 29:25, 36:9, 40:16, 49:9, 52:7, 62:13, 62:23, 65:19 24:19, 25:11, 26:1, 26:24, 27:18, 30:20, 62:8, 65:25, 70:15, 75:12 **provides** [1] - 49:7 prudent [1] - 44:19 problems [2] - 48:20, 27:21, 32:23, 38:19, 45:10, 51:21, 53:15, 54:19, 57:3, 60:20, 74:2, 75:2, 89:7 **public's** [1] - 45:25 pump[1] - 42:4 **pumped** [1] - 13:8 54:15, 56:16, 79:18 pursue [2] - 45:7,

purview [2] - 76:1, 90:22 put [24] - 6:6, 11:25, 12:1, 17:20, 24:15, 24:16, 34:14, 35:21, 40:2, 40:7, 42:11, 43:3, 45:3, 46:16, 47:12, 47:24, 48:18, 52:25, 59:14, 63:13, 67:21, 80:24, 81:9, 91:23 putting [3] - 33:21, 64:20, 65:11

#### Q

qualify [1] - 40:20 quality [7] - 39:18, 40:1, 40:6, 41:4, 74:16, 75:6, 75:18 quarter [1] - 76:21 quarterly [2] - 12:12, 13:15 questions [8] - 9:1, 10:23, 13:10, 15:8, 16:23, 18:7, 42:14, 92:17 quickly [2] - 90:22, 90:23 quite [2] - 20:19, 27:10

## R

raise [1] - 24:7 raising [2] - 86:2, 89:19 rate [3] - 34:7, 34:18, 35:8 rather [3] - 45:1, 48:13, 78:8 **RCRA** [1] - 3:21 read [12] - 10:10, 18:16, 20:21, 20:23, 22:11, 22:14, 23:21, 24:6, 27:12, 34:13, 35:1, 73:12 reader [3] - 21:20, 25:12, 35:9 reading [4] - 7:5, 34:21, 35:9, 73:3 ready [3] - 5:24, 31:15, 53:10 real [1] - 35:12 reallocation [1] really [10] - 39:8, 50:3, 50:4, 50:5, 60:3, 62:3, 76:16, 78:8, 83:11, 90:13

reason [4] - 6:2, 28:5, 75:4, 75:5 reasonable [1] -25:18 reasons [2] - 30:5, 87:12 receive [4] - 11:12, 11:16, 56:12, 91:7 received [1] - 65:17 receives [1] - 56:11 recently [5] - 14:25, 56:19, 80:6, 80:15, 88:4 recommend [1] -60:21 recommendation [11] - 20:13, 25:1, 25:21, 25:23, 26:3, 33:2, 52:25, 55:14, 60:13, 73:16, 73:19 Recommendations [8] - 58:18, 58:25, 59:5, 59:10, 59:13, 59:21, 59:22, 60:1 recommendations [20] - 6:21, 18:22, 19:6, 19:8, 19:25, 20:12, 32:2, 32:5, 42:16, 54:8, 54:18, 55:18, 55:22, 58:15, 58:19, 60:6, 60:8, 61:5, 70:21, 92:11 record [5] - 2:3, 7:22,

8:10, 23:12, 87:6 **RED** [1] - 1:1 Red [43] - 1:9, 9:9, 11:2, 13:20, 15:1, 32:9, 42:7, 44:8, 49:12, 49:21, 50:1, 50:18, 50:19, 50:20, 53:16, 55:15, 57:2, 57:5, 57:15, 58:3, 58:4. 60:24. 61:21. 62:19, 62:21, 69:15, 69:16, 79:18, 79:20, 79:21, 79:22, 79:24, 80:3, 81:12, 82:19, 82:23, 83:5, 84:21, 86:4, 87:4, 89:11, 89:12, 92:25 redact [1] - 30:2 redacted [9] - 27:24, 28:4, 28:5, 28:10, 28:19, 29:8, 30:12, 30:20, 69:9

redaction [1] - 27:25

reduce [4] - 42:5,

50:25, 51:8, 76:20

reduced [2] - 80:8,

25:8, 26:19, 26:24, 27:7 references [3] -33:11, 43:1, 44:12 refined [2] - 34:15, 79:2 reflect [2] - 49:16, 54:5 reflected [1] - 72:17 reflective [2] - 6:18, 6:22 regard [1] - 49:6 regarding [8] - 8:3, 10:24, 43:4, 45:13, 65:20, 71:10, 71:15, 87:3 regardless [1] - 58:6 regards [1] - 51:17 Region [4] - 3:21, 4:5, 4:6, 4:21 regular [5] - 87:15, 87:18, 87:20, 88:1, 88:3 regularly [2] - 67:15, 68:2 regulate [2] - 51:4, 91:1 regulation [2] - 86:8, 89:25 regulations [2] -64:19, 79:24 regulators [3] -32:19, 67:16, 79:24 regulatory [4] - 11:5, 39:16, 57:5, 78:5 related [2] - 72:13, 94:12 relative [1] - 15:14 relatively [1] - 65:6 release [7] - 31:20, 42:20, 61:1, 82:10, 82:13, 82:21, 89:24 Releases [1] - 61:21 releases [10] - 31:19, 36:21, 49:22, 49:23, 61:2, 66:22, 67:9, 67:10, 68:12, 69:7 remain [2] - 45:18, 47:16 remains [1] - 48:4 remediation [1] -

reducing [1] - 50:23

redundant [4] - 82:4,

reference [6] - 9:17,

26:8, 26:22, 27:1,

referenced [4] -

28:15, 33:2

redundancy [1] -

82:3

82:5

87:2 remind [1] - 6:4 removal [1] - 67:5 remove [1] - 50:19 removed [1] - 38:15 repair [1] - 43:17 replace [2] - 67:6, 77:8 replaced [2] - 38:15, 77:9 report [48] - 1:23, 5:17, 6:6, 6:12, 6:18, 6:22, 7:1, 10:24, 14:17, 14:23, 17:5, 17:6, 17:18, 17:21, 17:23, 18:10, 18:11, 18:21, 19:14, 20:16, 25:20, 27:2, 28:11, 29:10, 31:13, 32:15, 33:3, 34:17, 38:21, 44:23, 49:16, 54:16, 59:9, 72:13, 72:18, 73:9, 73:11, 73:18, 74:4, 74:7, 83:11, 84:6, 84:9, 85:24, 89:16, 92:6, 92:21 reported [3] - 17:11, 61:1, 61:19 **Reporter** [1] - 94:5 reporter [2] - 7:20, 17:8 reports [8] - 11:22, 25:8, 25:16, 33:24, 56:3, 88:10, 91:7 Representative [2] -3:4, 62:14 representatives [2] -76:6, 77:21 represented [1] -74:19 representing [5] -2:6, 2:17, 2:19, 2:21, 7:8 request [7] - 27:19, 28:11, 28:12, 30:16, 69:9, 70:17, 92:15 requested [3] - 1:24, 29:2, 65:19 requesting [1] - 29:3 require [3] - 67:8, 78:11, 79:24 required [5] - 55:9, 57:4, 58:8, 66:23, 78:23 requirement [2] -16:16, 48:25 requirements [2] -40:1, 66:20 requires [1] - 39:3

requiring [1] - 6:19

research [5] - 43:19, 44:4, 47:13, 51:17, researching [2] -26:15, 27:13 reserving [1] - 19:8 residential [2] - 85:9, 85:22 resolution [1] - 1:10 resort [1] - 65:8 resource [14] -29:19, 39:11, 39:12, 39:18, 40:8, 40:17, 63:3, 64:2, 74:15, 81:18, 81:24, 82:23, 84:12, 85:21 Resource [5] - 3:1, 3:25, 4:2, 15:11, 74:14 Resources [5] -58:17, 59:3, 70:23. 71:2, 74:10 resources [5] - 45:9, 60:16, 60:25, 74:17, 75:6 respect [5] - 49:13, 53:5, 53:22, 76:4, 77:19 respected [1] - 52:19 responds [1] - 60:25 response [9] - 11:19, 30:25, 31:4, 31:5, 42:20, 43:14, 56:18, 58:10, 78:11 responsibility [1] -91:2 responsible [3] -74:15, 77:24, 86:11 responsive [1] -92:22 rest [3] - 47:5, 77:25, 83:24 restoration [1] - 69:6 restored [2] - 19:25, 37:14 restoring [1] - 38:4 result [5] - 76:8. 81:13, 82:23, 83:10, 83:16 results [10] - 9:4, 9:12, 9:23, 11:1, 15:23, 17:11, 72:1, 72:4, 72:6, 72:10 reuse [1] - 66:15 review [10] - 17:17, 17:19, 22:15, 25:15, 29:4, 30:12, 71:24, 72:24, 73:25, 91:24 reviewed [2] - 11:24, 24:12

reword [1] - 20:12 rewritten [1] - 56:4 RHMW06 [1] - 14:23 RHMW07 [1] - 14:23 Richard [1] - 3:8 ring [1] - 78:19 risk [7] - 32:9, 32:18, 33:21, 36:19, 49:22, 50:23, 51:9 risk-based [3] - 32:9, 32:18, 36:19 risks [1] - 51:1 road [1] - 77:14 roadway [1] - 89:8 Robert [1] - 3:24 **ROCHA** [1] - 4:17 Rocha [1] - 4:17 room [2] - 54:2, 58:3 rooted [1] - 49:11 roughly [1] - 15:5 row [1] - 4:12 Roxanne [4] - 3:13, 8:21, 64:13, 65:13 RPR[1] - 94:16 run [4] - 1:16, 23:6, 23:7, 58:6 rupture [1] - 76:10

# S

safe [1] - 85:18 Safe [2] - 3:15, 62:9 safeguards [2] -87:10, 88:13 safely [2] - 79:4, 79:7 safety [3] - 84:13. 89:18. 90:5 sake [2] - 5:18, 25:19 **salinity** [1] - 42:5 Salt [3] - 2:23, 85:4, 88:22 sample [3] - 12:16, 13:4, 72:10 sampled [1] - 72:8 samples [2] - 72:4, sampling [4] - 9:4, 9:12, 13:5, 13:15 SAVO [2] - 94:4, 94:16 **saw** [2] - 16:17, 19:22 scale [3] - 68:16, 85:3. 90:19 scenario [2] - 34:18, schedule [1] - 24:25 scheduled [1] -12:16

Schofield [1] - 64:1

science [3] - 42:18, 48:16, 49:12 scientific [1] - 20:10 **scope** [1] - 64:19 screened [1] - 15:13 sea [1] - 82:7 season [2] - 1:18, 92:24 seasonal [1] - 65:7 seasonally [1] - 65:8 second [4] - 4:12, 17:3, 46:1, 60:18 secondary [26] -26:9, 26:15, 27:13, 42:21, 43:18, 43:24, 44:1, 44:2, 44:6, 44:8, 44:12, 44:18, 44:19, 45:19, 45:22, 47:4, 47:12, 47:17, 48:4, 49:23, 50:3, 50:25, 52:18, 53:18, 53:25, 70:16 secondly [1] - 24:10 secret [1] - 54:1 Section [1] - 3:9 section [22] - 15:13, 18:15, 18:24, 19:1, 19:3, 19:7, 19:8, 19:11, 22:4, 22:18, 22:24, 26:20, 31:23, 42:4, 42:17, 42:25, 43:16, 44:13, 53:18, 68:22, 70:13 **sections** [1] - 7:8 sector [2] - 85:14, 88:17 secure [1] - 86:22 **security** [1] - 49:3 see [22] - 7:18, 8:8, 14:23, 16:20, 17:3, 18:6, 18:15, 27:14, 27:15, 28:5, 29:5, 35:1, 48:15, 54:13, 57:14, 62:3, 72:6, 72:10, 77:10, 77:24, 87:22, 89:17 seeing [4] - 10:11, 49:5, 74:4, 84:7 seismic [1] - 83:16 selected [1] - 1:13 Senate [1] - 1:10 **Senator** [1] - 3:4 send [2] - 11:19, 73:17 sent [2] - 7:14, 30:23 sentence [2] - 35:19,

separate [4] - 31:23,

37:19, 73:17, 89:13

separately [1] -

15:23 serious [2] - 75:17, Service [1] - 4:18 service [11] - 50:22, 63:1, 64:3, 64:24, 65:3, 66:8, 66:12, 69:3, 69:23, 69:25, 70:3 **session** [1] - 17:24 set [2] - 21:24, 31:5 **SETO** [1] - 3:15 Seto [1] - 3:15 setting [1] - 36:14 shaded [2] - 38:12, 70:18 shading [1] - 38:20 **shaft** [3] - 81:12, 82:17, 82:19 **SHALEV** [1] - 4:19 **Shalev** [1] - 4:19 shape [1] - 23:15 share [8] - 9:13, 21:19, 29:1, 56:22, 80:2, 80:7, 88:9 shared [3] - 11:14, 27:24, 28:6 sharing [1] - 27:21 sheer [1] - 83:16 shift [1] - 7:4 SHIMABUKU [2] -5:10, 17:9 Shimabuku [2] -5:10, 17:9 ship [1] - 79:1 **shoes** [1] - 78:16 shore [1] - 80:19 **short** [3] - 37:5, 50:22, 65:6 **short-term** [1] - 37:5 **shorthand** [1] - 94:7 Shorthand [1] - 94:4 **shortly** [1] - 14:5 show [1] - 7:10 sign [3] - 6:11, 46:17, 49:15 sign-off [1] - 6:11 **signature** [1] - 6:10 signatures [1] - 6:16 significant [1] - 89:5 signing [1] - 6:14 **SIMMONS** [1] - 3:17 **Simmons** [1] - 3:17 simple [1] - 17:20 **simply** [5] - 7:1, 24:22, 25:25, 42:11, 46:15 single [2] - 78:25, 83:13

sit [2] - 28:25, 29:4 site [22] - 9:7, 13:20, 32:8, 32:17, 32:22, 33:1, 36:3, 36:19, 39:6, 39:20, 40:15, 40:20, 41:7, 41:17, 42:11, 42:24, 66:21, 66:23, 67:17, 71:11, 91:6, 91:9 site-specific [9] -32:8, 32:17, 33:1, 36:3, 36:19, 40:20, 41:7, 42:11, 71:11 **situation** [3] - 32:21, 32:22, 75:11 size [1] - 90:12 slicing [1] - 50:13 slightly [1] - 55:25 small [1] - 36:21 smaller [3] - 64:24, 85:3. 85:11 solid [2] - 52:2, 83:14 **Solid** [1] - 3:17 solution [13] - 43:18, 43:24, 44:2, 44:20, 45:18, 45:23, 46:6, 47:3, 48:1, 49:11, 51:2, 51:22, 52:19 solved [1] - 48:19 **someone** [1] - 21:10 sometime [1] - 27:11 sometimes [1] - 24:1 soon [1] - 72:1 sorry [6] - 8:16, 26:12, 58:21, 59:16, 70:20, 72:14 sort [5] - 18:5, 48:17, 66:18, 78:18, 79:13 sought [1] - 80:15 sounded [1] - 41:24 source [6] - 52:15, 80:22, 81:8, 81:10, 83:9, 84:2 south [1] - 81:15 specific [18] - 28:1, 32:8, 32:17, 33:1, 34:4, 36:3, 36:14, 36:19, 40:20, 41:7, 41:18, 42:11, 51:15, 58:18, 71:11, 89:10, 89:12 specifically [2] -55:18, 62:22 **specified** [1] - 20:13 speed [1] - 29:23 spent [2] - 26:15, 90:17 spill [2] - 58:5, 86:23 **spills** [1] - 57:15

spin [1] - 24:3 spirit [1] - 74:24 spread [1] - 9:9 spreading [1] - 42:6 **ss**[1] - 94:2 stab [1] - 33:21 staff [7] - 3:6, 18:5, 22:14, 28:25, 29:4, 58:3, 64:12 stage [1] - 21:24 stakeholder [1] -28:24 stand [1] - 63:22 standard [6] - 41:16, 48:5. 51:7. 51:8. 51:12, 51:13 standards [1] - 65:1 standing [2] - 31:9, 31:10 standpoint [1] - 68:4 Star [1] - 4:11 Star-Advertiser [1] -4:11 starr [1] - 78:10 STARR [4] - 4:1, 74:6, 74:11, 81:25 Starr [3] - 4:1, 74:9, 74:13 start [3] - 13:19, 42:9, 76:17 started [1] - 82:19 state [11] - 6:14, 26:11, 32:3, 40:10, 67:15, 67:21, 85:16, 86:17, 86:18, 90:3, **STATE** [1] - 94:2 State [2] - 4:2, 94:5 statement [6] -25:10, 25:25, 54:3, 54:5, 55:13, 65:16 **station** [2] - 64:24, 67:14 **status** [3] - 1:15, 62:20, 69:3 stay [1] - 52:23 staying [1] - 52:24 steel [3] - 67:24, 76:9, 82:25 Steps [1] - 91:22 steps [1] - 91:23 Steve [5] - 2:7, 2:12, 16:2, 37:2, 64:9 Steven [1] - 2:19 stick [1] - 51:2 sticking [1] - 52:11 still [8] - 1:12, 1:16, 1:17, 41:13, 49:24, 51:24, 88:15, 92:10 Storage [4] - 2:8,

3:14, 3:22, 58:9 storage [11] - 51:5, 51:10, 60:24, 62:17, 62:25, 63:9, 63:10, 63:12, 68:7, 91:15, 91:17 story [2] - 79:25, 83:23 **stove** [1] - 89:4 **strategies** [1] - 42:20 strict [2] - 40:1, 40:22 strictest [1] - 41:16 **stronger** [1] - 75:25 structure [9] - 9:22, 44:23, 77:18, 77:23, 83:4, 83:5, 87:12, 87:13, 88:5 structured [1] -18:11 **struggling** [1] - 6:5 **Stuart** [2] - 3:11, 48:8 studies [10] - 25:8, 25:16, 26:23, 27:1, 27:2, 27:6, 28:16, 28:17, 53:19, 69:10 study [13] - 26:9, 26:17, 26:22, 27:10, 27:11, 27:13, 28:21, 42:22, 44:16, 47:20, 70:16, 82:11, 82:12 style [1] - 24:4 **Subbase** [1] - 65:15 subgroup [7] - 5:19, 5:23, 8:18, 18:12, 18:13, 20:17, 25:4 subheading [1] -70:21 **subject** [5] - 1:9, 24:5, 65:24, 86:24, 92:14 submit [3] - 18:2, 71:5, 73:1 **submittal** [5] - 5:22, 16:6, 73:9, 73:10, 73:24 submitted [10] -15:19, 15:25, 16:11, 16:12, 16:14, 16:22, 23:16, 38:5, 61:24, 91:25 submitting [2] -11:5, 11:7 substantive [3] -73:14, 73:18, 92:4 **success** [1] - 29:18 successful [1] -79:14 successfully [2] -

44:5, 51:19 sudden [1] - 57:16 suggest [7] - 35:4, 45:2, 47:1, 49:14. 56:5, 71:20, 74:3 suggested [4] - 25:5, 32:7, 70:12, 73:7 suggesting [4] -38:9, 38:14, 46:14, 60:7 suggestion [6] -19:23, 24:16, 25:9, 48:9, 72:21, 73:12 suggestions [1] -36:10 suit [2] - 2:14, 55:10 summarizing [1] -41:8 summary [2] - 31:20, 61:20 superfund [1] - 91:6 supervision [1] -94:8 **supplies** [1] - 75:8 Supply [23] - 2:12, 5:2, 5:7, 5:9, 19:17, 19:21, 19:24, 28:23, 37:20, 37:23, 38:6, 38:9, 40:2, 53:8, 54:12, 59:12, 59:25, 60:14, 61:11, 71:1, 75:13, 85:8, 85:19 **Supply's** [3] - 37:13, 41:3, 52:20 support [7] - 24:14, 44:6, 56:17, 57:1, 57:2, 57:4, 57:23 supported [1] -23:19 supporting [1] -23:22 supports [1] - 44:17 suppose [1] - 12:4 surrounding [1] -54:17 surveyed [3] - 13:22, 13:25, 14:8 **surveying** [1] - 13:22 sustainable [2] -40:9. 56:21 system [2] - 40:3, 83:14 **systems** [11] - 22:21, 43:6, 49:6, 81:5, 82:6,

# Т

table [8] - 1:20,

82:8, 83:3, 87:13,

87:14, 88:7, 88:11

15:14, 63:17, 63:25, 65:11, 69:1, 69:19, tainted [1] - 75:14 TAKABA [3] - 3:8, 11:18, 66:5 **Takaba** [1] - 3:8 talks [3] - 28:1, 34:17, 59:11 tampering [1] -87:23 tank [24] - 9:22, 48:17, 48:24, 48:25, 51:22, 51:23, 52:1, 52:2, 64:1, 65:7, 65:25, 66:15, 67:4, 67:6, 67:12, 67:20, 68:2, 69:16, 76:9, 80:14, 83:12, 83:19, 87:14, 87:21 Tank [4] - 2:8, 3:14, 3:22, 58:9 tanks [48] - 9:10, 9:20. 15:1. 15:2. 21:14, 21:17, 25:13, 44:6, 45:18, 46:1, 47:16, 49:1, 50:25, 51:5, 51:6, 51:10, 51:13, 51:15, 62:10, 62:17, 62:20, 62:25, 63:3, 64:10, 64:22, 64:25, 65:2, 65:5, 65:8, 65:15, 65:20, 65:21, 67:14, 67:24, 68:6, 70:14, 71:16, 75:22, 83:13, 84:15, 84:20, 84:22, 85:11, 89:12, 91:10, 91:12, 91:15 tap [1] - 39:11 Task [7] - 11:2, 44:25, 59:13, 59:21, 60:1, 69:16, 92:25 **TASK** [1] - 1:1 task [53] - 1:9, 1:20, 2:2, 3:5, 5:14, 5:16, 5:19, 5:21, 6:1, 10:23, 16:24, 17:17, 19:19, 19:22, 23:2, 24:6, 25:11, 34:2, 34:25, 42:17, 43:16, 44:14, 44:15, 46:13, 52:22, 53:7, 53:13, 54:16, 54:19, 55:16, 56:10, 59:15, 60:4, 60:7, 60:8, 62:12, 62:16, 71:19, 72:24, 73:11, 73:25, 74:12, 76:1, 78:12, 86:3, 86:4,

92:8, 92:9, 92:14 tasked [1] - 6:2 tasks [1] - 61:4 tax [10] - 55:25, 56:2, 56:4, 56:6, 56:7, 56:14, 56:16, 56:22, 58:5, 58:7 taxes [1] - 57:16 technical [7] - 20:9, 33:6, 34:13, 71:13, 73:3, 73:13, 92:1 technically [1] -51:14 technicians [1] techniques [2] -20:25, 90:11 technologically [1] -48:3 technologies [2] -44:4, 51:18 technology [7] -44:17, 47:13, 48:6, 49:20, 49:25, 50:4, 50:7 temporarily [6] -64:9, 65:5, 66:8, 67:11, 68:1, 68:3 temporary [11] -64:23, 64:24, 65:4, 65:14, 65:23, 66:13, 66:20, 66:22, 67:22, 69:23 term [3] - 37:5, 37:10, 50:2 terminology [1] -20:11 terms [7] - 17:20, 39:9, 49:24, 61:19, 64:20, 75:25, 89:25 Terrorism [2] - 28:2, 30:3 test [1] - 42:4 tested [1] - 14:25 text [5] - 22:9, 36:7, 38:12, 70:14, 70:19 theirs [1] - 37:19 themselves [1] - 3:7 thereafter [1] - 94:7 therefore [1] - 39:1 thick [1] - 76:9 thickness [2] -76:20, 87:19 thinking [2] - 50:5, 82:2 third [5] - 34:5, 53:23, 54:6, 60:21, 70:24

threat [2] - 61:2,

76:15

three [5] - 5:23, 10:20, 60:5, 78:25, 87:25 three-and-a-halfmile [1] - 87:25 throughout [1] - 41:5 throw [1] - 73:15 Thu [10] - 3:10, 8:2, 23:17, 26:3, 31:15, 34:13, 37:16, 42:14, 59:16, 60:10 Thursday [1] - 1:2 tie [1] - 2:15 timetable [1] - 72:2 today [14] - 1:22, 5:25, 7:16, 8:13, 8:20, 18:1, 24:25, 30:6, 31:8, 48:5, 48:6, 51:8, 52:21, 87:6 today's [5] - 8:14, 8:16, 8:24, 51:13, 72:23 together [9] - 6:6, 7:14, 17:21, 33:21, 34:14, 53:14, 86:13, 86:21, 91:23 Tom [2] - 3:20, 4:6 took [4] - 19:21, 32:3, 33:20, 82:16 **top** [3] - 26:8, 26:14, 37:1 total [3] - 9:25, 62:24, 65:15 **TOU** [1] - 65:23 touch [2] - 18:10, 73:17 tour [1] - 79:12 towards [1] - 32:6 toxicologist [1] -33:23 track [2] - 7:21, 18:14 trained [1] - 79:6 transcript [5] - 8:14, 8:17, 8:19, 8:24, 94:9 transcripts [3] -7:19, 8:4, 8:8 transport [2] - 13:17, 82:7 Transportation [1] -90:2 tried [1] - 20:12 trillion [3] - 10:14, 17:12, 34:23 troops [1] - 78:25 true [12] - 47:20, 47:22, 48:21, 52:8, 52:11, 52:24, 53:2, 58:1, 69:22, 78:19, 94:9

90:22, 91:20, 92:2,

trust [1] - 24:20 try [5] - 5:19, 19:14, 36:7, 68:5, 91:18 trying [4] - 39:18, 39:22, 40:18, 81:16 tunnel [10] - 82:22, 83:4, 87:11, 87:12, 87:25, 88:5, 89:11 turn [2] - 60:7, 78:23 turnaround [1] - 72:3 turned [1] - 79:1 turning [1] - 78:20 turns [1] - 71:9 **two** [10] - 9:4, 9:7, 9:17, 10:5, 12:12, 12:22, 14:24, 22:1, 62:8, 72:3 two-week [1] - 72:3 **type** [10] - 43:24, 47:4, 67:14, 83:6, 83:16, 83:18, 83:20, 88:6, 88:8, 88:24 types [1] - 64:20 typewriting [1] - 94:8 typical [1] - 17:24 typically [7] - 11:17, 41:16, 64:23, 65:4, 65:7, 67:4, 67:12 typos [1] - 37:9

## U

ultimately [2] - 54:4, 77:15 uncertainty [2] -76:5, 80:9 unclear [1] - 71:12 under [16] - 14:7, 26:19, 39:16, 44:24, 45:3, 46:16, 54:12, 55:12, 63:4, 66:3, 69:4, 76:2, 87:2, 89:7, 94:8 undergoing [1] -Underground [4] -2:8, 3:14, 3:22, 58:9 underground [10] -51:5, 51:10, 62:17, 62:25, 80:14, 84:20, 85:6, 88:15, 90:25, 91:13 underneath [1] -88:11 understood [2] -21:13, 65:13 unfortunately [1] -55:12 unintended [1] -49:5

unit [3] - 76:18, 77:2, 77:8 units [3] - 34:7, 34:16, 76:19 unknown [1] - 80:9 unless [4] - 25:14, 48:5, 53:9, 69:8 unlike [1] - 78:22 up [29] - 23:14, 23:22, 24:10, 29:22, 30:22, 31:5, 32:12, 36:25, 47:24, 55:23, 56:10, 58:14, 58:23, 60:5, 68:8, 70:11, 74:1, 80:19, 81:11, 83:11, 84:13, 84:14, 84:21, 85:25, 86:14, 89:1, 91:8, 91:15, 91:19 **update** [1] - 9:4

61:10, 61:12, 61:17, 61:25, 62:7 **upgrade** [2] - 49:21, 83:6 **upload** [1] - 8:24 **uploaded** [2] - 8:13, 8:20

updated [6] - 8:12,

urged [1] - 62:22 USAGAWA [1] - 5:8 Usagawa [1] - 5:8 useful [1] - 36:13 USEPA [1] - 2:7 USGS [1] - 14:7 UST [2] - 3:8, 66:19 utility [1] - 62:7

#### V

validated [2] - 19:1,

validation [1] - 23:3 Valley [1] - 2:20 values [2] - 32:15, 61:19 valve [1] - 83:17 various [5] - 6:7, 6:19, 7:7, 90:2 varying [1] - 90:8 venue [1] - 54:19 verbal [1] - 65:16 verbatim [1] - 19:15 verbiage [1] - 69:19 verify [2] - 15:18, 43:5 version [12] - 18:16, 19:18, 19:21, 20:2, 27:24, 28:4, 28:10, 35:3, 37:10, 37:15, 38:19, 38:21

versions [2] - 18:17, 30:20
versus [1] - 29:8
vetted [1] - 33:22
view [4] - 6:19, 6:25, 7:9, 81:19
vigorously [1] - 76:5
Village [1] - 2:24
VIPs [1] - 78:7
visit [1] - 75:5
visually [1] - 87:17
voice [1] - 2:13
vulnerability [2] 80:18, 83:15

# Waikele [1] - 63:13

Waipahu [1] - 63:13

Waipahu-Waikele

[1] - 63:13

wait [1] - 70:20 walk [1] - 21:10 walked [1] - 87:25 wall [12] - 48:17, 48:24, 49:2, 49:4, 49:6, 50:25, 51:6, 51:13, 51:22, 51:23, 87:19, 88:5 walls [2] - 52:4, 82:5 wants [1] - 46:17 war [1] - 88:20 warranted [1] - 44:16 Waste [1] - 3:18 water [46] - 13:1, 15:14, 29:19, 39:10, 39:12, 39:19, 40:2, 40:3, 40:5, 40:10, 40:12, 40:16, 40:21, 40:25, 41:4, 41:12, 41:15, 45:13, 52:15, 58:10, 60:16, 60:25, 62:7, 63:2, 64:2, 68:9, 68:14, 68:20, 74:16, 74:17, 75:8, 75:12, 75:18, 75:19, 78:3, 80:22, 81:8, 81:10, 82:23, 83:8, 83:9, 84:12, 85:21, 88:6, 89:4, 90:19 Water [35] - 2:12, 3:1, 3:16, 3:25, 4:2, 5:1, 5:7, 5:9, 15:11, 16:14, 19:17, 19:20, 19:23, 28:23, 37:13, 37:20, 37:23, 38:5, 38:9, 40:2, 41:3, 52:20, 53:8, 54:12, 59:12, 59:25, 60:14, 61:11, 62:9, 71:1,

8:5, 11:2, 11:11, 11:17, 18:18, 28:20, 33:25, 38:20, 38:21 week [6] - 8:19, 8:23, 72:3, 73:10, 73:23, 91:25 weeks [1] - 5:14 welcome [4] - 14:10, 14:11, 23:22, 29:16 wells [29] - 9:5, 9:8, 9:11, 9:17, 9:20, 10:5, 10:6, 12:22, 13:1, 13:3, 13:13, 13:19, 13:21, 13:23, 14:24, 15:7, 16:7, 16:8, 17:6, 36:1, 36:2, 40:7, 40:14, 42:8, 42:18, 68:9, 68:14, 72:1, 72:7 whole [6] - 7:13, 37:9, 40:5, 51:13, 86:25, 89:5 **wholly** [2] - 82:14 wide [1] - 53:25 **Willbros** [1] - 82:12 Williams [2] - 30:17, 30:23 WILLIAMSON [44] -2:4, 10:17, 12:14, 13:12, 13:24, 14:10, 20:23, 21:2, 21:5, 23:11, 25:18, 27:23, 28:12, 28:22, 29:9, 29:12, 29:15, 29:21, 30:18, 30:24, 31:3, 31:9, 31:14, 43:20, 43:23. 44:11. 45:4. 45:24, 46:3, 46:7, 48:15, 48:22, 50:6, 52:1, 52:5, 56:1, 56:24, 57:8, 57:11, 57:21, 68:21, 78:14, 82:9, 87:8 Williamson [4] - 2:5, 47:23, 78:15, 89:10 willing [1] - 30:13 willingness [1] -52:13 wish [2] - 74:22, 75:24 withdrawal [1] - 42:6 wonderful [3] - 1:17,

74:9, 74:14, 75:13,

weaken [1] - 47:8

wear [1] - 55:9

weapon [1] - 48:18

website [10] - 7:24,

85:8, 85:18

10:25, 72:6
word [9] - 20:21,
20:22, 20:23, 22:11,
22:12, 35:20, 47:24,
48:10
wording [2] - 7:13,
31:18
words [1] - 50:13
wordsmithing [1] 45:2
world [4] - 35:13,
78:1, 78:22, 79:15
worried [1] - 89:13
write [1] - 86:24
writing [1] - 11:13

#### Υ

**YAMADA** [2] - 3:11, 48:9 Yamada [1] - 3:11 year [2] - 65:10, 83:2 years [18] - 21:8, 21:14, 21:16, 21:17, 21:18, 21:21, 54:1, 66:13, 76:19, 77:11, 77:14, 77:15, 78:25, 81:3, 85:6, 88:15 **YOMES** [8] - 2:21, 84:8, 84:11, 85:2, 88:12, 88:22, 89:7, 89:15 Yomes [1] - 2:21 yourself [1] - 2:2

# Ζ

zone [1] - 42:8

77:6

wondering [2] -