

# Improved Recovery of Outbreak-Associated Escherichia coli O157:H7 from Farm Environmental Samples in Hawaii Using Recirculating Immunomagnetic Separation System (RIMS)

R.H. Sciulli<sup>1</sup>, S. Himathongkham<sup>2</sup>, P.S Calimlim<sup>1</sup>, R.B. Gose<sup>1</sup>, D.M. Sato<sup>1</sup>, P.S. O'Brien<sup>1</sup>, M.T. Nagata<sup>1</sup>, M.S. Honda<sup>1</sup>, S.C.H. Ginoza<sup>1</sup>, S.M.W. Nakamatsu<sup>1</sup>, K.K. Kang<sup>1</sup>, S.M.Y. Lee<sup>1</sup>, A.C. Whelen<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Hawaji State Laboratory Division Pearl City, HI, 96782; <sup>2</sup>California Department of Public Health, Richmond, CA 94804

### Abstract

A novel use of the Pathatrix Recirculating Immunomagnetic Separation System (RIMS) coupled with optimal enrichment conditions was demonstrated in the recovery of low levels of E. coli O157;H7 from leafy produce. Moore swabs and surface water samples during the 2006 trace-back investigations in California. The recovery of E. coli O157:H7 from highly suspect materials using the standard U.S. Food and Drug Administration Bacteriological Analytical Manual (BAM) method proved to be difficult in the early part of an E. coli O157:H7 outbreak investigations that occurred in Hawaii in May 2007. Building on the success of the RIMS in these types of specimens, the Hawaii State Laboratories Division adapted the system to analyze farm soil and manure specimens that were believed to be the source of the E, coli O157;H7 outbreak in Hawaii. Out of a total of 168 samples of soil, sediment, surface water, cow and pig manure analyzed, E. coli O157:H7 was found in 18 out of 168 (11%) cow manure samples tested using the RIMS method in contrast to 1 (0.6%) sample detected by the BAM method. The RIMS led to superior isolation of E. coli O157;H7 from manure, which was identified by both real-time PCR and conventional culture methods. Molecular typing data by Pulsed-Field-Gel Electrophoresis (PFGE) confirmed that environmental isolates were indistinguishable from outbreak-related clinical isolates. This investigation validates the application of the RIMS method in improving the recovery of outbreak-associated E. coli O157:H7 from farm environmental samples.

### Introduction

Cattle are generally considered the primary reservoir of Escherichia coli O157:H7, although this bacteria has also been isolated from the intestines of healthy deer, goats and sheep. Further, E. coli O157:H7 has been shown to remain viable in bovine feces for up to 9 weeks, depending on environmental conditions (1)(2). In the 2006 California outbreaks, clinical cases of E. coli O157:H7 were associated with consumption of contaminated baby spinach that was traced back to farms in California.

Genetic analysis of isolates confirmed that the environmental E. coli O157:H7 was the likely source of the outbreaks, although mechanisms of contaminations were still unclear (3).

The California investigation set the stage for the first use of RIMS for the recovery of E. coli O157:H7 in environmental samples. The Food and Drug Laboratory from the California Department of Public Health demonstrated that this method was a simple and sensitive alternative to BAM for the recovery of E. coli O157:H7 in fresh leafy produce. Moore swabs and surface water (4).

In March of 2007, seven U.S. mainland visitors to Kauai and one resident became ill after eating locally grown organic greens from area restaurants. Although denied permission to sample gardens directly, investigators collected feral pig, horse, and cow manure, compost fertilizer, surface water, soil, and lettuce, from regions near the farms that supplied the restaurants. One hundred and sixty-eight (168) samples were tested at the Hawaii State Laboratories Division (SLD). Standard BAM methods with and without RIMS technology were used in attempts to recover the outbreak strain of E. coli.

# Materials and Methods

#### Sample processing and enrichment:

Sample processing and enrichment of 168 environmental swabs and fecal material were conducted using the BAM methods (5) and the California RIMS Protocol for the Detection and Isolation of E. coli O157:H7 (4) with some modifications. Briefly, samples were enriched overnight in EHEC Enrichment Broth supplemented with Cefixime. Cefsulodin and Vancomvcin (EEB) for BAM, while modified Buffered Peptone Water with Acriflavin, Cefsulodin and Vancomycin (mBPW + ACV) was used for the RIMS protocol. The strength of mBPW used was dependent on the type of matrix (2x for surface water; 1 X for produce, manure, environmental swabs, and Moore swab samples). Prior to the RIMS concentration, homogenates were incubated with constant shaking at 42°C for exactly 5 hours. For the BAM method, EEB was used to incubate the homogenates overnight (18-24 hours) at 35°C without agitation.

# Recirculating Immunomagnetic Separation (RIMS) using Pathatrix:

Following enrichment, E. coli O157 cells were further concentrated by re-circulating the cells via an immunomagnetic bead-capture system on the Pathatrix instrument for 30 minutes. The beads were washed and re-suspended in 80uL of mBPW. Aliguots of the suspension were screened for STEC by rti PCR, and plated onto Rainbow Agar and Sorbitol-MacConkey agar with potassium tellurite and cefixime (TC-SMAC).



#### Detection by Multiplex Real-Time (rti) PCR:

Approximately 25uL of the RIMS bead suspension was centrifuged at 12000 x g for 3 minutes. The supernatant was removed and the remaining pellet was re-suspended in sterile water. DNA extraction was a simple boil preparation. Multiplex rti PCR detection of stx1, stx2, and the +93 uidA genes were modified for the Smart Cycler II (Cepheid) using Texas Red (instead of Rox) on the stx1 probe, a PCR reagent bead (containing polymerase, dNTPs, Mg ++ and buffer), and an internal control (IC) (6). BAM-enriched samples were screened by real-time BAX.

## Isolation of E. coli O157:H7:

Table 2, PCR Cycle Threshold (Ct) values.

cow

COW

cow

COW

COW

PFGE ID TxR FAM TET Cy5 TxR FAM TET Cy5 stx1 stx2 uid IC stx1 stx2 uid IC

N07 141 0.00 0.00 0.00 26.65 0.00 0.00 0.00 26.49

N07 129 0.00 0.00 0.00 26.89 25.73 25.56 27.40 25.58

N07 132 0.00 34.50 0.00 26.69 17.10 17.00 18.90 0.00

N07 134 0.00 36.45 0.00 26.54 19.57 19.69 21.29 0.00

N07 135 0.00 34.55 0.00 26.68 24.36 24.19 26.03 25

N07 142 0.00 0.00 0.00 26.65 19.62 19.28 21.07 0.0

COW N07 136 0.00 0.00 0.00 26.48 22.69 22.49 24.32 0.00

COW N07 143 32.40 30.70 0.00 26.38 17.76 17.50 19.47 0.00

COW N07 144 30.15 28.19 35.69 26.30 18.21 18.09 19.74 0. HORSE N07 145 25.81 25.03 29.53 25.81 17.95 17.57 19.90 0.00

 COW
 N07 146
 27.52
 26.84
 29.97
 26.13
 19.11
 18.56
 20.50
 0.00

 COW
 N07 147
 0.00
 33.35
 0.00
 26.78
 18.94
 18.57
 21.15
 0.00

 COW
 N07 148
 39.94
 36.91
 0.00
 26.51
 18.16
 17.94
 19.89
 0.00

 COW
 N07 149
 0.00
 36.87
 0.00
 26.22
 17.67
 17.62
 19.32
 0.00

COW N07 150 0.00 34.72 0.00 26.21 18.77 18.32 20.37 0.00

N07 133 30.98 30.11 34.29 26.40 23.95 24.14 25.97 25

COW N07 130 32.49 31.47 0.00 26.41 19.89 19.74 21.40 0.00

COW N07 131 0.00 34.84 0.00 25.99 25.23 25.09 27.34 24.48

Following BAM or RIMS enrichment, and in parallel with rti PCR screening, isolation of E, coli O157:H7 was performed by BAM or Food Emergency Response Network (FERN) Methods respectively (6). Typical colonies were confirmed biochemically and serologically using standard methods. Pulsed-Field-Gel Electrophoresis (PFGE):

Molecular DNA fingergrinting by PEGE was performed on the confirmed isolates using standard PulseNet protocols (7).

# **Results and Discussion**



Type

Cattle Manure

Horse Manure

Bird

Turkey Manure

Lettuce 5

Pos Pos

17

0% 0%

0% 0%

0% 0%

0% 0%

0% 0%

56 0% 0%

atercress 3 0% 0%

Silt 2 0% 0%

RAM

0%

0%

PFGE Match

(EXHX01.1860) 2(EXHX01.0975

EXHX01.4080

Homogenates incubated at higher temperatures (42°C) overnight using mBPW +ACV for enrichment, followed by Immunomagnetic Separation (IMS) has been shown to increase the recovery of E. coli Q157:H7 in the presence of competing microflora (4.8.9). Our results indicate that these combined methods can be further enhanced if broths were shaken, if even for the shorter (5 hours) incubation time. Further, using a larger sample volume (225 mL) for the RIMS step may have contributed to the improved recovery of the captured target, compared with other IMS systems that use

> 1mL of the enrichment broth (10), Although recovery of E. coli O157:H7 was successful in 17 of 42 cow and a single horse manure samples, we were not able to recover the bacteria in other environmental samples tested (Table 1). Importantly, BAM methods only detected 1 positive sample whereas modified **RIMS** detected 18.

In the 2006 California outbreaks, investigators linked the outbreak strains of E. coli Q157:H7 to consumption of fresh produce (2.4). Our inability to isolate E. coli from epidemiologically associated lettuce grown from regions near the Plot by goat 2 0% 0% farms that supplied the restaurants may be attributed to sampling limitations rather than a false negative. Improved recovery of E. coli in produce (lettuce. 
 Soil
 29
 0%

 Water
 2
 0%
 sprouts, spinach) has been reported when incubation time was increased to 24-hours (8). A six-month study conducted by Himathongkham et.al. (4)

described a 5-hour enrichment at 42°C followed by rti PCR detection of RIMS-enriched samples had potential use as a rapid screening tool.

Although rti PCR was positive for 7/18 (39%) samples obtained from the 5-hour enrichment/RIMS, only 4/7 (57%) were positive for all three target markers (stx1, stx2 and uidA; Table 2). When PCR was conducted on typical colonies, 17/18 (94%) of the isolates were positive for all 3 target genes. The PCR results from the 5-hour samples reinforces the California study indicating the potential use of stx1 and stx2 genes for screening E. coli O157:H7 in environmental samples.

1	P PG 8-X641						Pres		P FG E-Biel						
iure 1															
the second se						Figure	2								
		and the second s	«IN 07-148	Manure .	E. 6980 187 H 7	EXHX01.4080				<b>B B B B B B B B B B</b>		CA 07800898	Ate el	E. coll Q 1 87 H 7	EXHA26.0265
and the second se				2 10 11	E. 4980 187 H 7	EXHX01.4080			2 315	3) ) ) ) ) )	10	CA 07801108	X to ol	E. coll Q 1 57 H 7	EXHA26.0265
			«IN 07-130			EXHX01.0975				20. h - h - h	and the second second	HI N 07 -085	X to ol	E. coll Q 1 57 H 7	EXHA26.0265
			«IN 07-133	Manure .	E. 4980 187 H 7	EXHX01.0975			1 101	ALC: N. 1.		HI N 07 -098	X to ol	E. coll Q 1 57 H 7	EXHA26.0265
	1.	and the second s	CA 07 X 0 089 8	2 10 11	E. 4980 187 H 7	EXHX01.1860			1 111		COLUMN TO A DESCRIPTION OF	HI N 07-128	Manura	E. coll Q 1 87 H 7	EXHA26.0265
			CA 07 X 0 110 8	2 10 11	E. 4980 187 H 7	EXHX01.1860			and the second second			HI N 07-131	Manute	E. coll Q 1 87 H 7	EXHA26.0265
	10.00		41N07-088	S to all	E. 49 E O 187 H 7	EXHX01.1860			1 111			H IN 07-132			EXHA26.0245
	12.20	And a state of the	**N07*0##						1 11			HI	MANUTE	E. coli 0 187 H 7	EXHA26.0265
			*1N07*12*									HIN07-142	Manure	E. coli 0 187 H 7	EXHA26.0265
			**N 07****						1000	11 1 1 1	10000	HI	Manure	E. coli 0 187 H 7	EXHA26.0265
		ALC: NO.	N 0 7 1 3 2						1 111	10 1 1 1 1	A REAL PROPERTY.	HIN07-144	Manure	E. coli O 187 H 7	EXHA26.0265
			NOT 135						1 101		COLUMN TWO IS NOT	HIN07-148	Manure	E. coli O 187 H 7	EXHA26.0265
rt 1	1.0.00	ALC: NO. OF THE OWNER OF THE OWNE							1 10		100000	HIN07-146	Manure	E. coli O 187 H 7	EXHA26.0265
		ALC: NOT THE OWNER OF THE OWNER OWNE	NOT 141	March 10	E (0.0.0.107.007	EX. X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X			1 111		10000	HIN07-147	Manure	E. coli O 187 H 7	EXHA26.0265
			NOT-143	Manage	E				COLUMN TWO IS NOT	11 1 1 1	100000	HIN07-148	Manure	E. coli O 187 H 7	EXHA26.0265
		10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	NOT-144	Manage	E 100 0 107 m 7				Distance in the second		<b>HEARING</b>	HIN07-148	Manure	E. coli O 187 H 7	EXHA26.0265
	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		AL NOT-148	Manage	E				1000		100000	HIN07-180	Manure	E. coli O 187 H 7	EXHA26.0265
			AL NOT-147	Manage	E				1 10			IA 20 07 042 17 7	Ste el	E. coli O 187 H 7	EXHA26.0265
			AL NOT-148	Manage	E			-				er0 07 -0 30 3	Ste el	E. coli O 187 H 7	EXHA26.0265
			NOT-148	Manage	E			d		<b>B</b>		er0 07 -0 32 8	Ste el	E. eeli O 1 87 H 7	EXHA26.0265
			AL NOT-185	Manage	E (0.0.0.107.007			d				NDA07018012	3 to e1	E. coli O 187 H 7	EXHA26.0265
				The st	E (0.0.0.107.007							HIN07-130			EXHA26.0265
				The st	E						And in case	HIN07-138			EXHA26.0265
	141 412	48111-0-1		The st	E			_	-	1	ALC: NOTE: N	HIN07-133	Manure	E. eeli O 187 H 7	EXHA26.0265
		and the second second									10000	HIN07-136			EXHA26.0265

Molecular typing by Pulsed-Field-Gel Electrophoresis (PFGE) proved that E. coli O157:H7 isolates from manure were the source of the outbreak strains isolated from clinical cases (Figures 1 & 2). CDC identified 3 patterns with Xbal (Fig 1) and 1 pattern with Avrll/Blnl enzymes (Fig 2).

#### Conclusions

1. A combination of enrichment buffer (mBPW + ACV), incubation at higher temperature (42°C) for a shorter time (5 hrs vs overnight) in a shaking incubator, followed by RIMS, outperformed BAM in the recovery of E, coli O157:H7 in environmental manure samples,

- Environmental isolates were critical to locate the source of the outbreak strain.
- 3. The RIMS protocol followed by rti PCR in screening for E. coli O157:H7 has potential to improve recovery of organisms during outbreak source or other environmental investigations.

4. Additional studies are needed to assess the performance characteristics of the RIMS protocol in various matrices.

References	<ol> <li>Guodong, W. et. al., 1996. Applied and Environmental Microbiology, p 2567-2570</li> <li>Johannessen, G. S. et al., 2005 Applied and Environmental Microbiology, p 2221-2225</li> <li>Jay, M. T. et al., 2007: Enreging Infectous Diseases, Vol 13, p 1908-1911</li> <li>Himathonghkam, S. et al. Journal of Food protection Vol 67 p 1365-1370</li> <li>U S FDA Biological Analytical Manual, Chapter An-Diarhegenic E. coli revised Sep 2002</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>Weagant S. et al., 2004 (FERN Methods FS 150 V. 10 2004)</li> <li>Pubsekie - E. coll Of SF147, Saimonelia serutypes and Shigelia sonnei-PNL05- Effective Date 05/25/07</li> <li>Weagant, S. et al., 2001. International Journal of Food Microbiology Vol 71 p. 87-92</li> <li>Dogan, H. et al., 2003. International Journal of Food Microbiology Vol 87 p.29-34</li> <li>Odgan, H. et al., 2003. International Applied Krobiology Vol 87 p.39-34</li> </ol>						
Supported by the USDA Cooperative	Agreement No. FSIS-C-35-2005/02, CDC Cooperative Agreement PHEP, Opinions, findings, co	onclusions, or recommendations expressed are those of the authors, and do not reflect the views						
of the USDA, CDC, or the State of Hawaii. We would also like to thank Dr. Paul Fox for all his help in editing this poster.								