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## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Hawaii Department of Health (DOH) has requested that a demonstration project and human health risk assessment be performed to evaluate the safety of using AES conditioned coal ash for various soil replacement operational uses at PVT Landfill. According to the DOH the demonstration project and assessment should include all uses for which ash is being considered for beneficial reuse. Beneficial reuses evaluated in this assessment include:

- Daily cover,
- Void space fill,
- Interim daily cover and;
- Liquid adsorption

The demonstration project consisted of ambient air monitoring for respirable dust during actual operational use of AES ash for void space fill and daily cover. Respirable dust concentrations (PM10) were measured by Active Air Monitoring and Real-Time Personal DataRAM ( pDR ). The respirable particulate data measured in the demonstration project was used in conjunction with chemical analytical data of AES ash samples collected from 2008 to 2009 to estimate chemical concentrations at specific receptor locations at the work site and in the adjacent community. Forty-two (42) composite conditioned ash samples (analyzed for antimony, arsenic, barium, beryllium, boron, cadmium, chromium, copper, iron, lead, mercury, molybdenum, nickel, selenium, silver, thallium, and zinc) were included in the analysis. The UCL 95 percentile mean concentration was assumed to be representative of future conditioned ash chemical concentrations to be used at PVT for operational uses. Utilization of such a robust historical dataset ensured that inter- and intra-batch variability was not a significant contributor to uncertainty. All respirable dust measured in this study was assumed to be ash-derived.

Potential health risks were estimated for landfill workers directly working with ash who may inhale ash-derived dust and ingest and dermally absorb metals in ash. Potential health risks via the inhalation pathway were also estimated for hypothetical adult and child
residents who live approximately $1 / 4$ mile downwind from the demonstration project site. Potential estimated lifetime cancer risks were compared to the USEPA and DOH regulatory level of concern of $1 \times 10^{-5}$ for commercial and industrial workers and $1 \times 10^{-6}$ for residential receptors. Estimated noncarcinogenic risks are presented as total site Hazard Indices that sum the Hazard Quotients of each Chemical of Potential Concern at the site. A total Hazard Index of 1 was considered to be the regulatory level of concern.

Although not specifically evaluated in the demonstration project and risk assessment, the use of AES conditioned ash for other operational uses such as interim cover and liquid adsorption is qualitatively addressed below and is also considered acceptable practice. The use of AES ash as interim cover was considered for analysis but was deemed Not Required because PVT standard operating procedures require that any ash used as soil replacement be covered by a minimum of 6 inches of soil within 1 month of application (i.e., there are no true interim cover scenarios anticipated). Quantitative risk evaluation of AES ash for liquid adsorption was also deemed Not Required. The addition of any liquids to coal ash was presumed to increase percent moisture and for all practical purposes reduce dust and airborne particulate generation. Any risk associated with ash further wetted for the purposes of liquid adsorption was assumed to be lower than uses evaluated in the current assessment.

## WORKER RESULTS

Two worker scenarios were evaluated. The first scenario assumed a worker is in the immediate vicinity of ash dumping and ash use, 8 hours per day, 250 days a year, for 25 years and contacts ash and inhales chemicals in ash-derived dust. The second worker scenario assumed a worker is in the immediate vicinity of ash use during final daily end cap activities 1 hour per day, 250 days a year, for 25 years. Cumulative carcinogenic and noncarcinogenic risks to both worker scenarios were below regulatory levels of concern. For the 8 hour worker, the total cumulative carcinogenic risk and noncarcinogenic hazard index was $1 E-05$ and 0.8 respectively. Cumulative carcinogenic risk and noncarcinogenic hazards for the 1 hour daily end cap worker were $1 E-05$ and 0.3 , respectively.

Date: February 2010

## HYPOTHETICAL RESIDENT RESULTS

The residential scenario assumed fugitive dust is generated during ash dumping, ash handling activities and wind erosion. The residential scenario assumed migration of fugitive dust ( $24 \mathrm{hrs} /$ day) to residential areas located approximately $1 / 4$ mile away from the site. Residents were assumed to inhale site-derived dust $24 \mathrm{hrs} /$ day, 350 days/year for 30 years. Carcinogenic and noncarcinogenic risks due to inhalation pathways only were 5 E 08 and .01 , respectively.

## SECTION 1 <br> INTRODUCTION

PVT Landfill has retained AMEC Earth and Environmental (AMEC) to quantify potential human health risks associated with various operational uses of AES conditioned ash at PVT Landfill. This document presents the results of the beneficial ash reuse demonstration project and corresponding human health risk assessment (HHRA). The methodology and approach to this study have been previously described in the Sampling and Analysis Plan (AMEC, 2009) and are discussed herein. Deviations from the sampling plan are noted in this report.

According to the DOH the demonstration project and assessment should include all uses for which ash is being considered for beneficial reuse. Beneficial reuses evaluated in this assessment include:

- Daily cover,
- Void space fill,
- Interim daily cover and;
- Liquid adsorption

The HHRA evaluated the impact to workers at the Site during delivery, movement and handling of coal ash. The risk assessment assumed workers would directly contact coal ash as well as inhale airborne particulates containing heavy metals present in ash generated from movement and use of AES ash. The HHRA also evaluated risks to nearby residents (in a residential scenario). Residents were assumed to be exposed to metals in fugitive dust generated by operational uses of ash.

### 1.1 Site and Sampling Area Location

The PVT Landfill Site is located at 87-2020 Farrington Highway on the western side of the island of O'ahu, in Nanakuli, Hawai'i (Figure 1). The PVT Landfill Site consists of an irregularly shaped 15.44-acre parcel of land (Latitude/Longitude: $21^{\circ} 23^{\prime} 50^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N} / 158^{\circ} 09^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$ ). The Site is bounded by residential areas at its southern and western borders.

Demonstration Project Section: 1
Date: February 2010

### 1.2 Approach

This investigation was performed in 2 phases:

Phase 1: Ambient Air Monitoring (Section 2)

- Respirable dust concentrations (PM10) were measured by Active Air Monitoring and Real-Time Personal Data Rams (PDR)

Phase 2: Human Health Risk Assessment (Section 3)

- Conditioned ash analytical lab data for metals were combined with fugitive dust data measured in Phase 1 to assess the potential for human health risks to workers and nearby residents.

Respirable particulate data was used in conjunction with ash analytical data (provided by PVT Landfill) to estimate COPC concentrations at specific receptor locations at the site and in the adjacent community. Ash analytical data (from AES Hawaii through PVT Landfill) provided historical metals data for AES Coal Ash. Mean historic metals concentrations were assumed to represent future ash concentrations. All dust generated was assumed to be ash-derived.


## SECTION 2 <br> AIR MONITORING

Air monitoring was performed in order to determine the respiratory risk associated with the delivery, movement and handling of ash. AMEC utilized two monitoring methods, active air sampling and real-time air monitoring, to determine the amount of respirable particulates (PM10) generated during operational use of AES ash. Air monitoring for respirable dust was conducted at the landfill on October 26, 2009. Air sampling locations are shown on Figure 2 and in Appendix B, photos. Following is a description of the two air monitoring methods used:

## Active Air Sampling

Active air sampling was utilized to collect air particulates during different landfill activities. Five (5) sets of low-flow air pumps were positioned at different areas of the landfill face. The pumps were placed at the following locations: 1) by the ash pile, 2) at the road above the ash pile, 3) high area above the ash pile, 4) east of the ash pile, and 5) during end cap activities. Pumps ran for the duration of ash handling activities during delivery and use of fresh AES coal ash. The pumps were set at an air collection rate appropriate for total dust and PM10 particulates. Air samples were submitted to the laboratory for total dust and PM10 analysis.

## Real-Time Air Monitoring

Real-time air monitoring, via Personal DataRAM (pDR), was the second method used to determine if nuisance dust was being generated during specific landfill activities (delivery of ash, movement of ash in between delivery of waste, movement of ash at the end of the day). PM10 data was collected using a pDR with cyclone to determine respirable dust concentrations associated with the above listed specific activities.

Results from both the active and real-time sampling events were evaluated and the maximum concentration from either of the data sets was used in the air dispersion model, SCREEN3. SCREEN3 is a single source Gaussian plume model which provides maximum ground-level concentrations for point, area, flare, and volume sources, as well as concentrations in the cavity zone, and concentrations due to inversion break-up and shoreline fumigation. SCREEN3 is a screening version of the ISC3 model.

As previously mentioned, the active sampling data provides dust concentrations from a specific landfill activity (ash handling activities during delivery and use of fresh AES coal ash). This concentration is collected over an abbreviated period of time and does not represent an 8 -hour time weighted average (TWA). The pDR real time data better represents the 8 -hour TWA as it was collected over the course of the work day and therefore higher dust generation periods are offset by periods of lower dust generation. A summary of dust data for the active sampling event and pDR readings are presented in Tables 2-1 and 2-2. Again, in an effort to be health protective, this assessment has utilized the highest dust concentrations in evaluating potential risk.

TABLE 2-1
PM10 Active Air Monitoring Results

| Sample ID - Location | Concentration <br> $\left(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}\right)$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| PVT-D1 PM10 - Ash Pile | 0.3 |
| PVT-D2 PM10 - Road Above Ash Pile | 0.59 |
| PVT-D3 PM10 - High Area Above Ash Pile | 0.34 |
| PVT-U PM10 - East of Ash Pile | 0.05 |
| PVT-End Cap PM10 - Ash Pile | 1.1 |

TABLE 2-2
Personal DataRAM (PDR) PM10 Ambient Air Monitoring Results

| Location | Maximum <br> Concentration <br> $\left(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}\right)$ | Average <br> Concentration <br> $\left(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}\right)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| PDR-1 - Followed Active Samples D1-2-3-End Cap | 1.67 | 0.044 |
| PDR-2 - Upwind Location by PVT-U | 2.88 | 0.055 |
| PDR-3 - Rover | 3.584 | 0.051 |



## SECTION 3 HUMAN HEALTH RISK ASSESSMENT

A human health risk assessment was conducted to quantify potential risks to workers at the facility and for adult and children residents who might breathe site-related chemicals associated with ash handling activities. Chemicals of Potential Concern (COPCs) included all metals analyzed by AES. Workers were assumed to directly contact ash and inhale dust generated during operational activities, specifically, during the application of ash as daily cover and void space fill. Residential receptors were evaluated assuming they would inhale fugitive dust only.

As described in Section 2 above, AMEC collected fugitive dust data to determine realistic emission rates for specific operational uses. Emission rates were then used as inputs into SCREEN3 to conservatively estimate maximum ground-level concentrations of respirable dust at the nearest residential receptor point. Respirable particulate data was used in conjunction with ash analytical data (provided by PVT Landfill) to estimate COPC concentrations at specific receptor locations at the site and in the adjacent community. Potential health risks via the inhalation pathway were estimated for adult and child residents who reside approximately $1 / 4$ mile from disposal site. Potential health risks were also estimated for workers at the facility which may inhale ash derived dust and directly contact the ash.

The phases of the risk process are described herein. The protocol adopted is consistent with the approach recommended by the National Research Council (NRC). The NRC, established by the National Academy of Sciences (NAS) to further scientific knowledge and to advise the federal government, has established a four-step paradigm for conducting health-based risk assessments (NAS 1983). This paradigm has been adopted by USEPA as well as many federal and state regulatory agencies. In accordance with the NRC recommendations, this risk assessment is organized into the following four steps:

- Hazard Identification;
- Toxicity Assessment;
- Exposure Assessment; and
- Risk Characterization.

Each of these steps is detailed in the section below.

### 3.1 Hazard Identification

In this step, compounds assumed to be of concern are selected for inclusion in the quantitative risk assessment. These compounds are designated as COPCs. The selection of COPCs for this investigation is based upon historical information regarding the chemical composition of AES conditioned ash.

Analytical data for metals were provided for ash samples collected bi-monthly at AES for the years 2008 and 2009. A total of forty-two (42) composite conditioned ash samples were included in this risk assessment. Metals analyzed include antimony, arsenic, barium, beryllium, boron, cadmium, chromium, copper, iron, lead, mercury, molybdenum, nickel, selenium, silver, thallium, and zinc. Valence state of chromium was not available and was assumed present in a 1:6 chromium VI to chromium III ratio. All chemicals listed above were included as COPCs for evaluation in the human health risk assessment.

### 3.2. Toxicity Assessment

The USEPA states that the purpose of the Toxicity Assessment is to "weigh available evidence regarding the potential for particular contaminants to cause adverse effects in exposed individuals and to provide, where possible, an estimate of the relationship between the extent of exposure to a contaminant and the increased likelihood and/or severity of adverse effects" (USEPA 1989a)." In essence, the Toxicity Assessment can also be described as a Dose-Response Assessment. A Dose-Response Assessment is used to identify both the types of adverse health effects a COPC may potentially cause, as well as the relationship between the amount of COPCs to which receptors may be exposed (dose) and the likelihood of an adverse health effect (response). The USEPA characterizes adverse health effects as either carcinogenic or noncarcinogenic and dose-response relationships are defined for oral and inhalation routes of exposure. Dermal exposure toxicity criteria are estimated based on oral criteria. The results of the toxicity assessment, when combined with the results of the exposure assessment provide an estimate of potential risk.

The most current USEPA-verified dose-response criteria were used in this assessment. Doseresponse information was obtained from the following sources, in order of priority:
U.S. EPA's Integrated Risk Information System (IRIS) (USEPA, 2009a);
U.S. EPA's Provisional Peer Reviewed Toxicity Values (PPRTV) (USEPA, 2009b);

Agency for Toxicity Substances and Disease Registry (ASTDR, 2009)
U.S. EPA's Health Effects Assessment Summary Tables (HEAST) (USEPA, 1997);

In the case of lead, there is no U.S. EPA-verified Reference Dose. However, because lead was only detected at concentrations below Hawaii Department of Health Environmental Action Levels (EALs), and U.S. EPA Regional Screening Levels (RSLs), it was not considered for further quantitative analysis.

Noncarcinogenic dose-response information for both oral and inhalation routes of exposure were used when available. To evaluate inhalation exposure, U.S. EPA has derived reference concentrations (RfCs) for certain compounds. For use in estimating intake, these RfCs (in units of $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ ) are converted to reference doses (RfDs) (in units of $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$-day) by multiplying by a 20 $\mathrm{m}^{3} /$ day inhalation rate and dividing by the adult body weight of 70 kg (USEPA 1997b). This conversion allows the risk assessment to consider activity-specific inhalation rates described in the exposure assessment.

To evaluate carcinogenic risks from oral exposures, the U.S. EPA has derived cancer slope factors expressed in terms of ( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$-day $)^{-1}$. Carcinogenic dose-response values for inhalation exposures are generally provided as inhalation unit risk (IUR) values expressed in terms of $\left(\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{m}^{3}\right)^{-1}$. For this assessment, IUR values are converted to an inhalation CSF correcting for body weight, inhalation rates, and units using the following equation:

$$
C S F_{i n h}=\frac{I U R \times 70 \mathrm{~kg}}{20 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \text { day }} \times 1000 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mg}
$$

where:

| CSF $_{\text {inh }}$ | $=$ | inhalation cancer slope factor $(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$-day $)-1$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| IUR | $=$ | inhalation unit risk $\left(\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{m}^{3}\right)$ |
| 70 kg | $=$ | body weight |


| $1000 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mg}$ | $=$ | conversion factor; and |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $20 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{day}$ | $=\quad$ inhalation rate. |  |

### 3.3 Exposure Assessment

In the Exposure Assessment, the magnitude and frequency of a receptors' potential exposure to COPCs is quantified. Exposure factors including length and duration of exposure, inhalation and ingestion rates, body weights, and absorption adjustment factors are designated during this phase of work. Based on the results of above-described tasks, the final phase of the exposure assessment is the derivation of exposure point concentrations and the calculation of average daily doses. The results of the exposure assessment are described in the following subsections.

### 3.3.1 Identification of Receptors

Potential human receptors for this investigation are adult workers at the facility and adult and children residents who may breathe fugitive dust containing COPCs. Adult and child residents were identified based on characteristics of the site and surrounding area and the specific concerns of the neighboring community.

### 3.3.2 Identification of Potential Exposure Pathways

Potential exposure pathways are the mechanisms by which the receptors in the study area may be exposed to compounds emitted from the landfill during disposal events. According to U.S. EPA (1989), four elements must be present in order for a potential human exposure pathway to be complete:

- a source and mechanism of compound release to the environment ;
- an environmental transport medium;
- an exposure point, or point of potential contact with the potentially impacted medium; and
- a receptor with a route of exposure at the point of contact.

The pathways examined in this risk assessment include:

- Direct contact for the workers on site;
- Inhalation for the workers to dust onsite; and,
- Inhalation of fugitive dust offsite to neighboring communities.


### 3.3.3 Identification of Exposure Scenarios

Exposure scenarios describe the frequency and magnitude of exposure to chemicals as they relate to specific receptors and exposure pathways. The exposure scenarios evaluated in this risk assessment include the following:

- Industrial Workers presumed to be exposed to contaminants in ash via direct contact and onsite dust generation during ash handling operations 8 hours/day, 250 days/year for a 25 year period;
- Industrial (daily endcap) workers presumed to be exposed to contaminants in ash via direct contact and onsite dust generation during daily end capping operations 1 hour/day, 250 days/year for a 25 year period;
- Resident Adults presumed to be exposed to contaminants in ash via fugitive dust generation. Ash handling operations are assumed to occur 24 hrs/day for a 24 year period;
- Resident Children presumed to be exposed to contaminants in ash via fugitive dust generation. Ash handling operations are assumed to occur 24 hrs/day for a 6 year period;

The two residential scenarios are summed to create a total 30 year residential scenario including 6 years as a child and 24 years as an adult.

### 3.3.4 Estimation of Exposure Point Concentrations in Ash

Exposure point concentrations for constituents detected in the ash were estimated using all relevant analytical data provided to AMEC from AES Hawaii. Exposure point concentrations (UCL 95 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ percentile on the mean) were derived in accordance with USEPA guidance (USEPA, 2002a) using USEPA's ProUCL software (USEPA, 2004c). Results are presented in Appendix E. In calculating exposure point concentrations, a value equal to one-half the limit of detection reported by the laboratory was used as a surrogate concentration for those constituents that were not detected in a particular sample as specified by U.S. EPA (1989a). Table 2-3 presents the EPCs calculated in this assessment.

TABLE 2-3
Exposure Point Concentrations in Ash

| Constituent | EPC <br> Concentration in Ash (mg/kg) |
| :--- | ---: |
| METALS |  |
| Antimony | 0.719 |
| Arsenic | 18.17 |
| Barium | 645.2 |
| Beryllium | 3.121 |
| Boron | 769.7 |
| Cadmium | 0.606 |
| Chromium VI (1:6 VI:III Ratio) | 8.232 |
| Copper | 35.39 |
| Iron | 25350 |
| Lead | 21.64 |
| Mercury, Divalent | 0.404 |
| Molybdenum | 6.741 |
| Nickel | 95.72 |
| Selenium | 1.931 |
| Silver | 0.772 |
| Thallium | 0.651 |
| Zinc | 596.7 |

### 3.3.5 Estimation of Exposure Point Concentrations in Fugitive Dust

In order to estimate the concentration of chemicals transported by fugitive dust to resident locations it was first necessary to estimate the respirable dust concentration at receptor locations. This process required the derivation of two scenario-specific PM10 emission rates (Q). The first emission rate (hereafter called Ash Handling Activities Emission Rate) estimated via the Box Model (Stern 1984) describes the dust generating potential caused by various human activities at the landfill (i.e., dumping, pushing, compacting). The second emission rate is based on the unlimited erosion model (hereafter called the Unlimited Erosion Model Emission Rate) and estimates the PM10 emission rate due to atmospheric dispersion generated from wind erosion of site ash (assuming contaminated ash is left uncovered).

## Ash Handling Activities Emission Rate

PM10 emissions would be generated by several landfill ash handling activities. The PM10 emission rate $(Q)$ during these activities was determined using a Box Model (Stern, 1984).

Estimation of the ash handling activities PM10 emission rate could either be based on the maximum PM10 concentration at any monitoring location during active air sampling or on the maximum average PM10 concentration collected from the PDR data sets. The maximum PM10 concentration from any monitoring location ( $1100 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ ) which occurred during the final end cap activities was significantly higher than the average PDR data ( $55 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ ) and was conservatively chosen as the PM10 concentration for modeling purposes. Health risks estimated using the average PM10 concentration from the PDR would be significantly lower than estimated in this assessment for inhalation pathways.

The Box Model is presented as below:
or

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E_{10}=\left(L \times Q /\left(h \times u_{\text {mean }}\right)\right) \times 10^{6} \\
& Q=\left(E_{10} \times h \times u_{\text {mean }}\right) /\left(L \times 10^{6}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where:
Q: PM10 emission rate ( $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{s}-\mathrm{m}^{2}$ )
$\mathrm{E}_{10}$ : PM10 concentration (ug/m)
h : mixing height
$u_{\text {mean }}$ : mean wind speed ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s}$ ), and
L: landfill length.

The PM10 concentration ( $\mathrm{E}_{10}$ ) was derived from site-specific data obtained during the air monitoring sampling. The maximum onsite PM10 concentration for any of the five monitoring locations was $1100 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$. This occurred during the end cap activities and was used for emission rate calculations for the fugitive dust emission rates. The emission rate based on this value is $1.4 \mathrm{E}-04 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{s}-\mathrm{m}^{2}$. Calculations are presented below.

$$
Q=\left(E_{10} \times h \times u_{\text {mean }}\right) /\left(L \times 10^{6}\right)
$$

| Parameters | Value | Reference |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Q: $\quad$ PM10 emission rate $\left(\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{s}-\mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$ |  | calculated |
| E10: | PM10 concentrations $\left(\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{m}^{3}\right)$ | 1100 |
| $\mathrm{~h}:$ | mixing height | 2 |
| umean: | mean wind speed $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s})$ | 2.8 |
| L: $\quad$ landfill length | 45 | site-specific |

$$
Q=
$$

### 1.4E-0 4

## Unlimited Erosion Model Emission Rate

The second emission rate was derived using the unlimited erosion factor. The unlimited erosion factor equation is used to determine the emission rate due to atmospheric dispersion generated from wind erosion of soil (assumes ash erosion is equivalent and left uncovered). Site-specific PM10 data are not required. The equation used to estimate the emission rate assuming wind dispersion of uncovered ash is provided below.

$$
Q=0.036 \times(1-V) \times\left(u_{\text {mean }} / u_{t}\right)^{3} \times F(y) \times(1 / 3600)
$$

where:
Q: PM10 emission factor ( $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{s}-\mathrm{m}^{2}$ )
V : fraction of surface vegetative cover, $\mathrm{V}=0$ (assumption)
$\mathrm{u}_{\text {mean }}$ : mean annual wind speed ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s}$ ), $\mathrm{u}_{\text {mean }}=2.8 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ (site-specific data)
ut: threshold value of wind speed at $7 \mathrm{~m}(\mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s})$
$y: \quad y=0.886 u_{t} / u_{\text {mean }}$ (dimensionless ratio), and
$F(y)$ : function of $y$ (USEPA 1985).
For this equation, the fraction of surface vegetative cover was assumed to be zero. As mentioned above, the site-specific wind speed is $2.8 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}(6.2 \mathrm{mph})$. Parameters for $u_{t}$ and $F(y)$ were obtained from USEPA (2004a) and are equal to 11.32 and $0.194 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$, respectively. Using these variables and the above equation, the emission factor for PM10 (PM10 emission rate, or Q) was calculated as $2.9 \mathrm{E}-08 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{s}-\mathrm{m}^{2}$. Calculations are presented below.
$Q=0.036 \times(1-V) \times\left(u_{\text {mean }} / u_{t}{ }^{3} \times F(y) \times(1 / 3600)\right.$

| Parameters | Value | Reference |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Q: | PM10 emission factor $\left(\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{s}-\mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$ | 0 | calculated |
| $\mathrm{V}:$ | fraction of surface vegetative cover | 2.8 | site-specific |
| umean: | mean annual wind speed $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s})$ | 0.194 | default <br> (USEPA 2004a) |
| $F(\mathrm{y}):$ | function of y $[0.886$ ut $/$ umean (dimensionless <br> ratio) | default |  |
| ut: | threshold value of wind speed at $7 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{(m/s)}$ | 11.32 | (USEPA 2004a) |

$$
Q=\quad 2.9 \mathrm{E}-08
$$

## SCREEN3 PM10 Concentrations

The SCREEN3 air dispersion model (Version 96043) (USEPA 1995) was used to predict off-site ambient PM10 concentrations for various scenarios based on the calculated emission rates for both ash handling operations and wind erosion of the landfill surface. SCREEN3 determines 1hour maximum chemical concentrations under worst-case wind conditions. It assumes that fugitive dust blows in the direction of the receptor continuously, $100 \%$ of the time. The model does not allow for an adjustment to be made to the percentage of time wind blows in the direction of the residents over a longer averaging time. To account for this, U.S. EPA states that annual average PM10 concentrations should be calculated by multiplying the 1-hour maximum concentration by a factor of 0.08 (USEPA 1992). However, this assessment utilized a Hawaii-specific value of 0.2 (Personal Communication with Dr. Barbara Brooks, HEER Office). 0.2 is a health protective adjustment factor which considers Hawaii-specific wind and meteorological conditions.

The source areas at the ash disposal area of the landfill site were modeled as ground-level sources of $45 \times 45$ square meters ( 0.5 acre). 0.5 acres is the USEPA Region 9 default source size as well as the approximate area of ash handling at PVT Landfill. The receptors were deployed using the SCREEN3 receptor distance array ranging from 402 meters ( $1 / 4$ mile) out to 8,047 meters with a receptor height of 1.8 m . It was assumed that the entire area was an emission source.

SCREEN3 calculations were based on the following assumptions:

| Parameter | Value |
| :--- | :---: |
| Source type | area |
| Source release height | 0.1 m |
| Length of larger side for area | 45 m |
| Length of smaller side of area | 45 m |
| Receptor height above ground | 1.8 m |
| Urban or Rural Area | Rural |
| Meteorology |  |
| Stability class | Anemometer height wind |
| Unstable/Turbulent |  |

As noted above, air dispersion modeling was conducted for both dust generated in ash handling activities and due to wind erosion, in order to conservatively estimate the amount of wind blown dust to nearby residential areas.

1. SCREEN3 air dispersion modeling results for ash handling activities resulted in a maximum respirable dust concentration of $4.669 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ at a distance of $1 / 4$ mile away for dust generating activities. After applying the 0.2 adjustment factor, the annual average respirable dust concentration is $0.934 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ at a distance of $1 / 4$ mile away for dust generating activities. This annual average is significantly lower than the National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) PM10 annual limit of $50 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$.
2. SCREEN3 air dispersion modeling results for the wind erosion data set result in a maximum respirable dust concentration of $0.00099 \mathrm{ug}^{2} \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ at a distance of $1 / 4$ mile away for dust generating activities. After applying the 0.2 adjustment factor, the annual average respirable dust concentration is $0.0002 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ at a distance of $1 / 4$ mile away from the demonstration project site. This annual average is significantly lower than the National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) PM10 annual limit of $50 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$.

The SCREEN3 air dispersion model calculations are presented in Appendix F. Table 2-4 lists the measured pm10 concentration at the site and SCREEN3 results at $1 / 4$ mile.

TABLE 2-4
PM10 Respirable Dust Concentrations

|  | Measured Concentration <br> $\left(\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{m}^{3}\right)$ | Estimated Concentration at $1 / 4$ mile* <br> $\left(\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{m}^{3}\right)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Ash Handling Activities | 1100 | 0.934 |
| PVT- End CapPM10 | NA | 0.00099 |
| Unlimited Erosion Model |  |  |

## Estimation of COPC Concentrations in Dust at Offsite Locations

Estimated dust concentrations, both via ash handling activities as well as the unlimited erosion model, as determined by the SCREEN3 were multiplied by the exposure point concentration of the COPCs in the ash (Table 2-3) to estimate the concentration of COPCs in the fugitive dust which migrates to neighborhoods approximately $1 / 4$ mile offsite to the potential residential receptors.

## Estimation of COPC Concentrations in Dust at Onsite Locations

Measured PM10 concentrations, the maximum measured during the course of the day and during end cap activities, were multiplied by the exposure point concentration of the COPCs in ash (Table 2-3) to estimate the concentration of COPCs in the dust for inhalation pathway to the workers onsite. Maximum PM10 concentration measured during the course of the day was 590 $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$. Maximum PM10 concentration measured during end cap activities was $1100 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$, which was also conservatively used in the SCREEN3 analysis for modeling dust migration off site.

### 3.3.6 Exposure Dose Calculations

This section describes the equations and assumptions used to evaluate a receptor's potential exposure to compounds. The equation used to calculate Chronic Average Daily Dose (CADD) estimates a receptor's potential daily intake from exposure to compounds with potential noncarcinogenic effects. According to USEPA (1989), the exposure dose is calculated by averaging over the period of time for which the receptor is assumed to be exposed. The CADD for each compound via each route of exposure is compared to the noncarcinogenic reference dose for that compound in order to estimate the potential noncarcinogenic hazard index due to exposure to that compound via that route of exposure.

For compounds with potential carcinogenic effects, the equation for Lifetime Average Daily Dose (LADD) is employed to estimate potential exposures. In accordance with USEPA (1989), the LADD is calculated by averaging the assumed exposure over the receptor's entire lifetime (assumed to be 70 years). The LADD for each compound via each route of exposure is combined with the cancer slope factor for that compound in order to estimate the potential carcinogenic risk due to exposure to that compound via that route of exposure.

The equations for estimating a receptor's average daily dose (both lifetime and chronic) are presented in the following subsections. The exposure parameters used in each potential exposure pathway are also discussed in the following subsections.

## Estimation of Potential Exposure via Inhalation

Calculations of potential risk resulting from the inhalation of the respirable fraction of particulates in air (i.e., particles < 10 pm in diameter) are presented in Appendix $G$. The equation used to calculate the CADD and LADD due to inhalation exposure is as follows:

$$
A=\frac{B \times C \times D \times E \times F \times G \times H}{I \times J}
$$

where:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{A}= & \text { Average Daily Dose following Inhalation }(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}-\mathrm{day}) \\
\mathrm{B}= & \text { Compound Concentration in Ash(mg/kg) } \\
\mathrm{C}= & \text { Concentration of Respirable Particulates in Air }\left(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}\right) \\
\mathrm{D}= & \text { Inhalation Rate }\left(\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{hr}\right) \\
\mathrm{E}= & \text { Exposure Time (hr/day) } \\
\mathrm{F}= & \text { Exposure Frequency (days/year) } \\
\mathrm{G}= & \text { Exposure duration (years) } \\
\mathrm{H}= & \text { Inhalation Absorption Adjustment Factor (unitless) } \\
\mathrm{I}= & \text { Body Weight (kg) } \\
\mathrm{J}= & \text { Averaging Time (days) }
\end{array}
$$

## Estimation of Potential Exposure via Direct Contact

Ash Ingestion

$$
A=\frac{B \times C \times D \times E \times F \times G \times H}{I \times J}
$$

where:
$A=$ Average Daily Dose Due to Ash Ingestion (mg/kg-day)
$B=$ Constituent Concentration in Ash ( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ )
$C=$ Unit Conversion Factor $\left(1 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{mg}\right)$
$\mathrm{D}=$ Ingestion Rate (mg/day)
$E=$ Exposure Frequency (days/year)
$F=$ Exposure Duration (years)
$G=$ Oral-Soil Absorption Adjustment Factor (unitless)
$H=$ Area Use Factor (unitless)
$1=$ Body Weight (kg)
$J=$ Averaging Time (days)

Dermal Contact with Ash

$$
A=\frac{B \times C \times D \times E \times F \times G \times H \times I}{J \times K}
$$

where:
$A=$ Average Daily Dose Due to Dermal Contact (mg/kg-day)
$B=$ Constituent Concentration in Ash ( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ )
$C=$ Unit Conversion Factor ( $1 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{mg}$ )
$D=$ Skin Adherence Factor ( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$ )
$E=$ Skin Surface Area Exposed ( $\mathrm{cm}^{2} /$ day)
F = Exposure Frequency (days/year)
$\mathrm{G}=$ Exposure Duration (years)
H = Dermal-Soil Absorption Adjustment Factor (unitless)
I = Area Use Factor (unitless)
$J=$ Body Weight (kg)
$K=$ Averaging Time (days)

Each of the parameters in these equations is described below.

## Chemical Concentration in Ash

The data used in this risk assessment are provided in Appendix D. EPCs were calculated using the $95 \%$ UCL of the analytical data (Table 2-3).

## Concentration of Respirable Particulates in Air

Respirable particulate concentrations in air at offsite locations for the residential scenarios were calculated in the SCREEN3 analysis. Respirable particulate concentrations in air onsite for the worker scenarios were the measured PM10 concentrations. It was assumed that $100 \%$ of the respirable particles are ash-derived.

## Inhalation Rate

Inhalation of particulate matter is a function of the ambient concentration of particulate matter, inhalation rate, relative bioavailability, and human body weight.

It is assumed that the average inhalation rate is age and activity dependent. The average daily inhalation rate for children was assumed to be $10 \mathrm{~m}^{3} /$ day. The average daily inhalation rate for adults was assumed to be $20 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{day}$.

## Exposure Time and Frequency

Assuming that dust is generated only during ash handling activities, offsite residents would be exposed to contaminants only for the duration of these operations. However, for this assessment it was assumed that ash handling operations are occurring $24 \mathrm{hrs} /$ day for the entire exposure duration period. Accordingly, offsite adult and children residents were also assumed to be continuously exposed to fugitive dust generated from the site 24 hours/day, 350 days/year. Workers were assumed to be on site for an 8 hours/day, 250 days/year. End cap workers were assumed to be exposed for only 1 hour/day, 250 days/year.

## Exposure Duration

As previously described, the risk assessment assumes that potential offsite residential receptors are exposed for a 30 year period. This 30 year duration is split between 6 years as a child and 24 years as an adult. The worker receptor assumes a 25 year employment tenure.

## Absorption Adjustment Factors

Absorption is assumed to be $100 \%$ via the inhalation route of exposure for all COPCs. The oral and dermal absorption adjustment factors were taken from the Hawaii Department of Health EALs, U.S. EPA RSLs, or derived by AMEC. In cases where no absorption factor was found, a default of 1 was used.

## Body Weight

The body weights assumed in this risk assessment are 15 kg for the child and 70 kg for the adult receptors (USEPA 2001c).

## Averaging Time

The average daily dose of COPCs used to calculate noncarcinogenic risks must be averaged over the duration which the receptor is assumed to be exposed (USEPA 1989). Therefore, in the CADD calculations, the averaging time is equal to the exposure duration (above).

The average daily dose used to determine potential carcinogenic effects, however, must be averaged over the entire lifetime ( 70 years), regardless of the length of time which the receptor is assumed to be exposed (USEPA 1989).

TABLE 2-5
Exposure Assumptions

| Receptor | Parameter (units) | Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adult Resident | Exposure Duration (hr/d) <br> Exposure Frequency ( $\mathrm{d} / \mathrm{y}$ ) <br> Exposure Period (y) <br> Body Weight (kg) <br> Averaging Period - Lifetime (d) <br> Averaging Period - Chronic Noncancer (d) <br> Inhalation Rate <br> Respirable particulate concentration in air ( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ ) <br> Fraction from Site (unitless) | 24 350 24 70 25550 8760 $0.833 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{hr}$ $9.34 \mathrm{E}-04 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ 1 |
| Child Resident | Exposure Duration (hr/d) <br> Exposure Frequency ( $\mathrm{d} / \mathrm{y}$ ) <br> Exposure Period (y) <br> Body Weight (kg) <br> Averaging Period - Lifetime (d) <br> Averaging Period - Noncancer (d) <br> Inhalation Rate <br> Respirable particulate concentration in air ( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m} 3$ ) <br> Fraction from Site (unitless) | 24 365 6 15 25550 2190 $0.417 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{hr}$ $9.34 \mathrm{E}-04 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ 1 |
| Worker | Exposure Duration (hr/d) <br> Exposure Frequency ( $\mathrm{d} / \mathrm{y}$ ) <br> Exposure Period (y) <br> Body Weight (kg) <br> Averaging Period - Lifetime (d) <br> Averaging Period - Noncancer (d) <br> Inhalation Rate <br> Ingestion Rate <br> Skin Surface Area <br> Adherence Factor <br> Respirable particulate concentration in air ( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m} 3$ ) <br> Fraction from Site (unitless) | 8 250 25 70 25550 9125 $0.833 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{hr}$ $100 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{day}$ $3300 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$ $0.29 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{cm}^{2} / \mathrm{event}$ $5.90 \mathrm{E}-01 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ 1 |


| End Cap Worker | Exposure Duration (hr/d). | 1 |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
|  | Exposure Frequency (d/y) | 250 |
|  | Exposure Period (y) | 25 |
|  | Body Weight (kg) | 70 |
|  | Averaging Period - Lifetime (d) | 25550 |
|  | Averaging Period - Noncancer (d) | 9125 |
|  | Inhalation Rate | $0.833 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{hr}$ |
|  | Ingestion Rate | $100 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{day}$ |
|  | Skin Surface Area | $3300 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$ |
|  | Adherence Factor | $0.29 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{cm}^{2} / \mathrm{event}$ |
|  | Respirable particulate concentration in air (mg/m3) | $1.10 \mathrm{E}+00 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ |
|  | Fraction from Site (unitless) | 1 |

### 3.4 Risk Characterization

The Risk Characterization combines the results of the Exposure Assessment with the results of the Toxicity Assessment to derive quantitative estimates of the potential for adverse health effects to occur as a result of potential exposure to AES coal ash. The potential for both noncarcinogenic and carcinogenic effects are estimated for each receptor for each potential exposure pathway identified in the Exposure Assessment. The risks from each exposure pathway are summed to obtain an estimate of total risk for each receptor.

The risk characterization is the step in the risk assessment process that combines the results of the exposure assessment and the toxicity assessment for each compound of concem in order to estimate the potential for carcinogenic and noncarcinogenic human heath effects from chronic exposure to that compound. This section summarizes the results of the risk characterization for each receptor evaluated in the risk assessment.

### 3.4.1 Noncarcinogenic Risk Characterization

The potential for exposures to COPCs to result in adverse noncarcinogenic health effects is estimated for each receptor by comparing the Chronic Average Daily Dose (CADD) for each compound with the Reference Dose for that compound. The resulting ratio, which is unitless, is known as the Hazard Quotient (HQ) for that compound. The HQ is calculated using the following formula:

$$
A=\frac{B}{C}
$$

where:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
A= & \text { Hazard Quotient (unitless); } \\
B= & \text { Chronic Average Daily Dose (mg/kg-day); and } \\
C= & \text { Reference Dose (mg/kg-day). }
\end{array}
$$

When the Hazard Quotient for a given compound does not exceed 1, the Reference Dose has not been exceeded, and no adverse noncarcinogenic health effects are expected to occur as a result of exposure to that compound via that route. The HQs for each compound are summed to yield the Hazard Index (HI) for that pathway. An HI is calculated for each receptor for each pathway by which the receptor is assumed to be exposed. A Total Hazard Index for a chemical is then calculated for each receptor by summing the pathway-specific HIs. A Total HI for a chemical that does not exceed 1 for a given receptor indicates that no adverse noncarcinogenic health effects are expected to occur as a result of that receptor's potential exposure to a chemical in the environmental media. The His calculated for this assessment are presented in Table 2-7. All HIs were lower than the U.S. EPA and HDOH criterion goal of 1 .

TABLE 2-7
Noncarcinogenic Risk

| RECEPTOR | HAZARD QUOTIENT |
| :--- | :---: |
| Worker, 8-hour inhalation exposure | $6 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| Worker, 1 -hour end cap inhalation exposure | $1 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| Worker, dermal and ingestion exposure | $2 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| Adult Resident, inhalation exposure | $4 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| Child Resident, inhalation exposure | $9 \mathrm{E}-03$ |

### 3.4.2 Carcinogenic Risk Characterization

The purpose of carcinogenic risk characterization is to estimate the likelihood, over and above the background cancer rate, that a receptor will develop cancer in his or her lifetime as a result of facility-related exposures to COPCs in various environmental media. This likelihood is a function of
the dose of a compound and the Cancer Slope Factor (CSF) for that compound. The relationship between the Excess Lifetime Cancer Risk (ELCR) and the estimated Lifetime Average Daily Dose (LADD) of a compound may be expressed by the exponential equation:

$$
A=1-e^{-B C}
$$

where:

$$
A=\quad \text { Excess Lifetime Cancer Risk (unitless); }
$$

$B=\quad$ Cancer Slope Factor ( $1 /(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$-day $)$ ); and
$\mathrm{C}=\quad$ Lifetime Average Daily Dose (mg/kg-day).

When the product of the CSF and the LADD is much greater than 1, the ELCR approaches 1 (i.e., $100 \%$ probability). When the product is less than $0.01\left(10^{-2}\right)$, the equation can be closely approximated by the linear equation:

$$
A=B \times C
$$

where:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
A= & \text { Excess Lifetime Cancer Risk (unitless); } \\
B= & \text { Cancer Slope Factor }(1 /(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg} \text {-day })) \text {; and } \\
C= & \text { Lifetime Average Daily Dose }(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg} \text {-day }) .
\end{array}
$$

The product of the CSF and the LADD is unitless, and provides an estimate of the potential carcinogenic risk associated with a receptor's exposure to that compound via that pathway. ELCRs are calculated for each potentially carcinogenic compound. For each receptor, the ELCRs for each pathway by which the receptor is assumed to be exposed are calculated by summing the potential risks derived for each compound. A Total Excess Lifetime Cancer Risk is then calculated by summing the pathway-specific ELCRs. The ELCRs calculated for this assessment are presented in Table 2-8. All risks to the offsite residential receptors were substantially lower than the USEPA and HDOH point of departure value of 1 E-06. Risks to the two worker scenarios
exceeded the point of departure value of 1E-06, but were below the USEPA and DOH regulatory level of concern of 1E-05 for commercial and industrial workers.

TABLE 2-8
Carcinogenic Risk

| RECEPTOR | CANCER RISK |
| :--- | :---: |
| Worker, 8-hour inhalation exposure | $5 \mathrm{E}-06$ |
| Worker, 1-hour end cap inhalation exposure | $1 \mathrm{E}-06$ |
| Worker, dermal and ingestion exposure | $5 \mathrm{E}-06$ |
| Adult Resident, inhalation exposure | $3 \mathrm{E}-08$ |
| Child Resident, inhalation exposure | $2 \mathrm{E}-08$ |

TABLE 2-9
Final Risk Results
Human Health Risk Assessment

| RECEPTOR | Hazard Index | Cancer Risk |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| End Cap Worker Total (End Cap Inhalation + Direct Contact) | $3 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $6 \mathrm{E}-06$ |
| Worker Total (Worker Inhalation + Direct Contact) | $8 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| Residential Total (Child Inhalation + Adult Inhalation) | $1 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $5 \mathrm{E}-08$ |

## SECTION 4 <br> UNCERTAINTY ANALYSIS

The risk assessment for the beneficial reuse of AES coal ash at PVT Landfill contains many assumptions that lead to significant uncertainty. The assumptions that introduce the greatest amount of uncertainty in this risk assessment are discussed in this section. They are discussed in general terms, because for most of the assumptions there is not enough information to assign a numerical value that can be factored into the calculation of risk.

Within any of the four steps of the risk assessment process, assumptions must be made due to a lack of absolute scientific knowledge. Some of the assumptions are supported by considerable scientific evidence, while others have less support. Every assumption introduces some degree of uncertainty into the risk assessment process. Conservative assumptions are made throughout the risk assessment to ensure that the health of local residents is protected. Therefore, when all of the assumptions are combined, it is much more likely that actual risks, if any, are overestimated rather than underestimated.

### 4.1 Hazard Identification

During the Hazard Identification step, compounds are selected for inclusion in the quantitative risk assessment. For this assessment all 17 metals analyzed for in AES coal ash were selected as COPCs. As such the level of uncertainty in selecting COPCs is also assumed low. Accordingly, little uncertainty is introduced by the Hazard Identification step.

### 4.2 Toxicity Assessment

Dose-response values are usually based on limited toxicological data. For this reason, a margin of safety is built into estimates of both carcinogenic and noncarcinogenic risk, and actual risks are lower than those estimated. The two major areas of uncertainty introduced in the dose-response assessment are: (1) animal to human extrapolation; and (2) high to low dose extrapolation.

Human dose-response values are often extrapolated, or estimated, using the results of animal studies. Extrapolation from animals to humans introduces a great deal of uncertainty in the risk assessment because in most instances, it is not known how differently a human may react to the chemical compared to the animal species used to test the compound. The procedures used to extrapolate from animals to humans involve conservative assumptions and incorporate several uncertainty factors that overestimate the adverse effects associated with a specific dose. As a result, overestimation of the potential for adverse effects to humans is more likely than underestimation.

Predicting potential health effects from the facility emissions requires the use of models to extrapolate the observed health effects from the high doses used in laboratory studies to the anticipated human health effects from low doses experienced in the environment. The models contain conservative assumptions to account for the large degree of uncertainty associated with this extrapolation (especially for potential carcinogens) and therefore, tend to be more likely to overestimate than underestimate the risks.

This risk assessment also took a very conservative approach regarding the bioaccessible fraction of COPCs available to be absorbed by the body. These relative absorption factors (RAFs) estimate the amount a chemical that is absorbed by the body through different routes of exposure. Hawaii Department of Health EAL Table and U.S. EPA RSL Table have recommended dermal and gastro-intestinal absorption fractions for different compounds. This risk assessment utilized these fractions for the direct contact oral and dermal pathways. For the inhalation pathway the most conservative default value of 1 was assumed for these fractions meaning the entire concentration of chemicals would be available for absorption by the body. More realistic bioaccessible fractions for this pathway could be derived and would most likely reduce the portrayed risk in this assessment.

### 4.3 Exposure Assessment

During the exposure assessment, exposure point concentrations are estimated, and exposure doses are calculated. Exposure point concentrations are the estimated concentrations of compounds to which humans may be exposed. Because ambient air chemical concentrations do not exist at the remote receptor locations at levels which would most likely exceed analytical detection limits, and direct measurement of would be confounded by non-relevant sources,
exposure point concentrations were estimated using models containing numerous assumptions, such as the amount of compound released from the site, the dispersion of the compound in air and its fate and transport in the environment, and the location of people potentially exposed to released compounds. Once the concentrations in an environmental medium such as air have been predicted, the calculation of human exposure and dose involves making additional assumptions. The major sources of uncertainty associated with these assumptions are discussed below.

### 4.3.1 Estimation of Particulate Emission Factors

Offsite concentrations of COPCs for this risk assessment were either derived from a single ambient airmonitoring event. Maximum dust monitored during this event was used to model fugitive dust concentration to offsite receptors. This assumption is extremely health-protective because it most certainly would overestimate the amount of dust that could result from ash handling operations to occur on site. For example, the particulate emission factor was derived from the PM10 concentration from the location with the maximum particulate reading. Had the average at all monitoring locations been used, PM10 concentrations would have been significantly lower. Similarly, the PM10 concentration was also monitored using real time personal data rams (PDR). The average PM10 concentration over the course of the day from the PDR was significantly lower than the measured PM10 concentration from the air pumps. To be health protective, the cassette data from the active air sampling was carried forward in the human health risk assessment. Use of the PDR data would significantly lower the quantified human health risks.

### 4.3.2 Estimation of Airborne Dust Concentrations Offsite

There is some uncertainty in the estimation of airbome dust concentrations, because the risk assessment does not separately consider dust concentrations on days when winds are high. This uncertainty is minimal, however, as described below. The current risk assessment utilizes an EPA screening air dispersion model that assumes winds are blowing towards residential receptors 24 hours a day, 365 days a year at $2.8 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ for either a 1 -year or 30 -year period. The USEPA states that a 0.08 times multiplication factor should be used to convert the $1-\mathrm{hr}$ maximum average to an annual average. This was not done in this evaluation. Instead, an adjustment factor of 0.2 was applied to estimate the annual average (personal communication with Dr. Barbara Brooks, HEER

Office). Had a more realistic air dispersion model been used, the ambient dust concentrations at remote receptor locations would have been lower.

### 4.3.3 Estimation of Exposure Dose

Exposure point concentrations are estimated values of what is a Reasonable Maximum Exposure across the entire site. Given that these are estimates, a significant amount of uncertainty can be introduced into the assessment. A 95\% UCL was used as the exposure point concentration in AES coal ash. Implementation of the $95 \%$ UCL estimates that the value calculated is greater than or equal to the true mean $95 \%$ of the time when calculated for a random data set. This assumption therefore introduces significant uncertainty as it relates to the true risk and almost certainly overestimates both site concentrations and risk. Additional uncertainty is also introduced by assuming non-detect laboratory results as present at $1 / 2$ the sample reporting limit. In reality this may over or under estimate the actual concentration of the contaminant in the sample. As analytical methods have a limit to their accuracy at very low concentrations, this introduces uncertainty in the assessment.

Once the concentrations of the potentially released compounds in air have been predicted through modeling, the extent of human exposure must be estimated. This requires making assumptions about the frequency and duration of human exposure.

Uncertainty may be associated with some of the assumptions used to estimate how often exposure occurs. Such assumptions include location, accessibility, and use of an area. With this in mind, the receptor, or person who may potentially be exposed, and the location of exposure were defined for this risk assessment. The locations where certain activities were assumed to take place have been purposely selected because chemical concentrations and frequency of exposure are expected to be high (i.e., use of the maximally affected areas). In this assessment, residential receptors were assumed to live in the neighboring communities for 30 years and be present 24 hours per day, 350 days per year. The workers were assumed to be present at the site 8 hours per day, 250 days per year, and have a employment tenure of 25 years. However, actual frequencies and durations of exposure are likely to be much lower than assumed, because residents are not likely to stay in one place and may, for instance, work far away or move to another location. Furthermore the remaining lifetime of the landfill will probably not approach the estimated duration of lifetime, residence, or employment. In these cases, the person's potential exposure would be reduced, and the health risks discussed in this assessment would be overestimated.

### 4.4 Risk Characterization

The risk of adverse human health effects depends on estimated levels of exposure and dose-response relationships. Once exposure to and risk from each of the selected compounds is calculated, the total risk posed by disposal operations is determined by combining the health risk contributed by each compound. For virtually all combinations of compounds present in chemicals evaluated in this assessment, there is little or no evidence of interaction. Hówever, in order not to understate the risk, it is assumed that the effects of different compounds may be added together.

## SECTION 5 REFERENCES

Agency for Toxicity Substances and Disease Registry (ASTDR). 2009

AMEC Earth \& Environmental, Inc. (AMEC). 2009. Sampling and Analysis Plan and Human Health Risk Assessment Protocol, PVT Landfill, O'ahu, Hawai'i. January.

Anderson, E., N. Browne, S. Duletsky, J. Ramig, and T. Warn. 1985. Development of Statistical Distributions or Ranges of Standard Factors Used in Exposure Assessments. EPA Contract 68-02-3510/ 68-02-3997.

Cowherd, C., G. Muleski, P. Engelhart, and D. Gillette. 1985. Rapid Assessment of Exposure to Particulate Emissions from Surface Contamination. Prepared for U.S. EPA, Office of Health and Environmental Assessment, Washington, DC. EPA/600/8-85/002.

Land, C.E. 1975. Tables of confidence limits for linear functions of the normal mean and variance. Selected Tables in Mathematical Statistics, 3:385-419. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI.

NRC. 1983. Risk Assessment in the Federal Government: Managing the Process. Committee on the Institutional Means for assessment of Risks to Public Health. National Research Council. National Academy Press. Washington, D.C.

Rohlf, F. James and Robert R. Sokal. 1981. Statistical Tables. W.H. Freeman and Company. San Francisco. p. 81.

Stern, Arthur C. 1984. Fundamentals of Air Pollution. Academic Press, Inc.
U.S. EPA. 1986. Superfund Public Health Evaluation Manual. Office of Emergency and Remedial Response. EPA 540/1-86/060.
U.S. EPA, 1989. Risk Assessment Guidance for Superfund. Volume I: Human Health Evaluation Manual. Part A. Interim Final. Office of Emergency and Remedial Response.
U.S. EPA. 1991a. Human Health Evaluation Manual, Supplemental Guidance: "Standard Default Exposure Factors." OSWER Directive 9285.6-03. March 25, 1991.
U.S. EPA. 1991b. Risk Assessment Guidance for Superfund: Volume I - Human Health Evaluation Manual (Part B, Development of Risk-based Preliminary Remediation Goals). Office of Emergency and Remedial Response. Washington, DC. PB92-963333.

USEPA. 1995. SCREEN3 Model User's Guide. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards. Research Triangle Park, NC. EPA-454/B-95004. September.
U.S. EPA. 1997a. Health Effects Assessment Summary Tables. Fiscal Year 1997. Office of Health and Environmental Assessment. EPA 540/R-97/036.
U.S. EPA 1997b. Exposure Factors Handbook. Volume 1. General Factors, Office of Research and Development. Washington D.C. U.S. EPA/600/P-95/002Fa.
U.S. EPA. 2009a. Integrated Risk Information System (IRIS). Office of Health and Environmental Assessment, Environmental Criteria and Assessment Office, U.S. EPA. Cincinnati, OH.
U.S. EPA. 2009b. Provisional Peer Reviewed Toxicity Values (PPRTV)


Ambient Air Monitoring Field Notes

## PVT Dust Monitoring

1050- Up wind location cassettes (PVT-U-PM10, PVT-U-TD) and dataRam set up and activated to run until EOD.
1110- Sampling cassettes PVT-D1-PM10 and PVT- D1-TD set up about 20-25 feet SE from ash pile and activated near beginning of ash dump.
1115- Rover (Amec dataRam) activated about 35 feet SE of ash pile.
1135- Ash Dump. Wind strong to east.
1145- D1 off.
1150- Moved Rover 20' east and 10' north.
1158- D2 (PVT-D2-PM10 and PVT- D2-TD) set up and awaiting ash dump.
1203- Dozer piling ash pile.
1208- Dozer pau.
****- dataRam at D2 had pump turned off after D1 sampling.
1230- dataRam at D2 pump turned on.
1245- Moved Rover $\sim 25^{\prime}$ south (wind direction a steady SE). Checked on upwind pumps-OK.
****- Debris trucks deliver and dump debris all day. Water truck waters various areas of road and debris pile all day.
1312- ash truck onsite. Samplers at D2 turned on.
1315- ash dump. Could not get attention of spotter. Other vehicles onsite continue to work while ash is dumped. Wind still towards SE.
1325- D2 samplers off.
1330- D3 (PVT-D2-PM10 and PVT- D2-TD) samplers set up. Solid SE winds.
1349- Moved Rover $20^{\circ}$ south.
1350- ash truck onsite.
1351-pumps at D3 on.
1405-pumps off. dataRam left on. Debris trucks continue to dump and the water truck continues to make its rounds.
1428- ash truck dumps.
1431- debris pile capping begins.
1435- Debris pile capping samplers (PVT-End Cap-PM10 and PVT- End Cap-TD) turned on.
1542- Moved samplers to north side due to steady north wind.
1545- Upwind samplers uprighted.
1547- Rover to north side
1555- capping is pau. Samplers off. MB de-mobs and offsite.

On October 26, 2009, Amec performed air monitoring and sampling for Total Dust and Respirable Dust (PM10) at the PVT Land Company Landfill, Nanakuli, Hawaii. Inalab Laboratory of Honolulu provided Amec with pre-weighed 37 mm PVC cassettes installed with 0.8um MCE filters. Sampling consisted of two (2) pre-weighed cassettes, each attached by tubing to a personal pump. One of the two cassettes was fitted into a Gilian Cyclone cassette holder that separates respirable dust from particulate matter of 10 microns or more and the other cassette drew unfiltered air to collect total dust. Both samples were collected at a rate of $1.7 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{min}$. Monitoring of respirablc dust consisted of a personal pump attached to a Thermo Electro Corporation personal DataRam 1200 (pDR 1200) with cyclone attachment. Air was pumped through the pDR 1200 at the rate of $1.2 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{min}$. per manufacturer's instructions for PM10 monitoring. Sampling and monitoring coincided with 3 ash deliveries and the capping of the debris pile at EOD. Samples and air monitoring data were collected at five (5) pre-determined locations:

1. Upwind of the ash pile, approximately $500^{\prime}$ E side. (Samples PVT-U-TD, PVT-U-PM10)
2. Adjacent to the ash pile, SE side. (Samples PVT-D1-TD, PVT-D1-PM10)
3. Approximately $20^{\prime}$ above the debris pile, W side. (Samples PVT-D2-TD, PVT-D2-PM10)
4. Approximately $100^{\prime}$ above the debris pile on upper soil plateau, W side. (Samples PVT-D3-TD, PVT-D3-PM10)
5. Adjacent to the ash pile during EOD capping of the debris pile, SE and N side. (Samples PVT-End Cap-TD, PVT-End Cap-PM10)

In addition to the 5 pre-determined locations, a pDR 1200 monitor measured the concentration of respirable dust from various downwind locations onsite.

Sample collection times are as follows:

1. Upwind: 1050-1555
2. D1: 1110-1145
3. D2: 1158-1208
4. D3: 1351-1405
5. End Cap: 1435-1555

The End Cap sample was collected from two locations according to the wind direction.
The pictures are provided and show sampling locations


## Appendix $\mathbf{B}$

## Ambient Air Monitoring Photographs



Page 1 of 9


Page 2 of 9


Page 3 of 9


Page 4 of 9


Page 5 of 9



Page 7 of 9


Page 8 of 9


Page 9 of 9

## Appendix $C$

## Ambient Air Monitoring Analytical Results

| Mr. Russell Okoji | Phone Number | (808) $545-2462$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| AMEC Earth \& Environmental | Facsimile: | (808) $528-5379$ |
| 3049 Ualena Street |  |  |
| Suite 1100 |  |  |
| Honolulu H H $\quad 96819$ |  |  |


| Analytical Results |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| INALAB JOB NO: $\quad 20092608$ |  |
| CLIENT REFERENCE: | PVT Landfill -947/000002.0002 (10/26/09) - Total Dust |



INALAB, Inc. is an AIHA IHLAP ACCREDITED LABORATORY (Accreditation No. 101812) with scope of accreditation including metals, solvents, fiber counts and bulk asbestos. INALAB, Inc. is a participant in the Compressed Air Proficiency Test (CAPT) program.

3049 Ualena Street Suite 1100
Honolulu HI 96819

## Analytical Results

| INALAB JOB NO: | 20092608 |
| :--- | :--- |
| CLIENT REFERENCE: | PVT Landfill -947/000002.0002 (10/26/09) - Total Dust |



All analysts participate in interlaboratory quality control testing to continueously document profiency. *The samples) analysis(ses) subject of this analytical report was (were) conducted in general accordance with the procedures associated with the"analytical method" referenced above. Modifications to this methodology may have been made based upon the analyst's professional judgment and / or sample matrix effects encountered. 1. The analysis of sample relates only to the sample analyzed, and may or may not be representative of the original source of the material submitted for our analysis. 2. UNK refers to the sample submitted for this evaluation/ analysis. 3. DUP means a duplicate analysis of the Unk sample. 4. REP refers to a second preparation of the Ink sample. 5. Tr means TRACE, i.e., the analyte of interest was, to a reasonable degree of scientific certainty present, but was BELOW the quantifiable limits of this determination (stated). 6. " $>$ " means greater than the numerical value listed. 7. "<" means less than the numerical value listed. 8. ND = NOT DETECTED which means the analyte, if present below our stated detection limit/ level. 9. RPD $=$ Relative Percent Deviation [unk-dup]/ave(unk,dup)*100. 10. This report is not to be duplicated except in full without the expressed written permission of INALAB, Inc. 11. This report should not be construed as an endorsement for a product or a service by the AHA or any affiliated organizations. 12. Sample and associated sampling/ collection data is reported as provided by client. 13. For air samples, results are calculated based on the reported air volumes. 14. Analytical methods marked with an "\#" are not within our AltA Scope of Accreditation. 15. Results have not been corrected for blank determinations unless noted in remarks.


DID INALAB FORENSIC DIVISION COLLECT THESE SAAPLES? No

Approved Signatory Laboratory Manager

INALAB, Inc. is an AIHA IHLAP ACCREDITED LABORATORY (Accreditation No. 101812) with scope of accreditation including metals, solvents, fiber counts and bulk asbestos. INALAB, Inc. is a participant in the Compressed Air Proficiency Test (CAPT) program.


Analysis Company Name: INALAB, inc.
Street Address: 3615 Harding Avenue, Suite 308
City/State/Zip: Honolulu, HI 96816
Telephone No: (808) 735-0422 FAK: (808) 735-0047
Were Do Not White in Shaded Areas. Thank you:-)
INALAB JOB \#: 2009

INALAB, Inc.
Experts in Environmental, Forensic, Occupational and Laboratory Services
OFFICIAL LABORATORY CHAIN OF CUSTODY


INALAB CLIENT ID. 4 :

Date:
Inalab's CLIENT/Co. Name:
Telephone Number:
Project Name / Job \#:
Delivered By (print name):
$\frac{10-27-09}{4 m 06 E+E}$
$\frac{808783-6840}{11919401711}$
$\frac{\text { mask Big9lon }}{800002002}$

$\qquad$ of $\qquad$ 1
pDR-1000 S/N: 06082
User ID: 6114
Tag Number: 01
Number of logged points: 577
Start time and date: 18:08:09 07-Mar
Elapsed time: 04:48:30
bgging period (sec): 30
Glibration Factor (\%): 100
Max Display Concentration: $1.670 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$
Time at maximum: 18:44:10 Mar 07
Max STEL Concentration: $0.138 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$
Time at max STET: 18:46:09 Mar 07
Overall Avg Conc: $0.044 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$
Logged Data:
Point, Date , Time , Avg. (mg/ma
1, 07 Mar, 18:08:39, 0.027
2, 07 Mar, 18:09:09, 0.022
3, 07 Mar, 18:09:39, 0.021
4, $07 \mathrm{Mar}, 18: 10: 09,0.038$
5, 07 Mar, $18: 10: 39$, 0.034
6, 07 Mar, 18:11:09, 0.029
7, 07 Mar, 18:11:39, 0.025.
8, $07 \mathrm{Mar}, 18: 12: 09,0.022$
9, 07 Mar, 18:12:39, 0.022
10, 07 Mar, 18:13:09, 0.023
11, 07 Mar, 18:13:39, 0.019
12, 07 Mar, 18:14:09, 0.020
13, 07 Mar, 18:14:39, 0.023
14, 07 Mar, $18: 15: 09,0.030$
15, 07 Mar, 18:15:39, 0.027
16, 07 Mar, $18: 16: 09$, 0.023
17, 07 Mar, $18: 16: 39,0.024$
18, 07 Mar, $18: 17: 09,0.030$
19, 07 Mar, 18:17:39, 0.023
20, 07 Mar, $18: 18: 09,0.061$
21, 07 Mar, 18:18:39, 0.041
22, 07 Mar, 18:19:09, 0.026
23, 07 Mar, $18: 19: 39,0.037$
24, 07 Mar, $18: 20: 09,0.134$
25, 07 Mar, $18: 20: 39$, 0.052

26, 07 Mar, 18:21:09, 0.038
27, 07 Mar, $18: 21: 39$, 0.056
28, 07 Mar, $18: 22: 09,0.049$
29, 07 Mar, 18:22:39, 0.054
30, 07 Mar, 18:23:09, 0.044
31, 07 Mar, 18:23:39, 0.052
32, 07 Mar, 18:24:09, 0.055
33, 07 Mar, $18: 24: 39,0.042$
34,07 Mar, $18: 25: 09,0.065$
35, 07 Mar, 18:25:39, 0.112
36, 07 Mar, $18: 26: 09,0.095$
37, 07 Mar, $18: 26: 39,0.142$
38, 07 Mar, $18: 27: 09,0.116$
39, 07 Mar, 18:27:39, 0.145
40, 07 Mar, $18: 28: 09,0.168$
41, 07 Mar, 18:28:39, 0.121
42, 07 Mar, 18:29:09, 0.030
43, 07 Mar, 18:29:39, 0.022
44, 07 Mar, 18:30:09, 0.023
45, 07 Mar, $18: 30: 39,0.063$
46, 07 Mar, $18: 31: 09,0.050$
47, 07 Mar, 18:31:39, 0.023
48, 07 Mar, $18: 32: 09,0.029$
49, 07 Mar, $18: 32: 39, \quad 0.043$
50, 07 Mar, 18:33:09, 0.023
51, 07 Mar, $18: 33: 39,0.026$
52, 07 Mar, 18:34:09, 0.075
53, 07 Mar, 18:34:39, 0.062
54, 07 Mar, 18:35:09, 0.028
55, 07 Mar, 18:35:39,. 0.094
56. 07 Mar, 18:36:09, 0.133

57, 07 Mar, $18: 36: 39,0.059$

| 58 , | 07 Mar, | 18:37:09, | 0.173 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 59, | 07 Max, | 18:37:39, | 0.080 |
| 60, | 07 Mar, | 18:38:09, | 0.047 |
| 61. | 07 Mar, | 18:38:39, | 0.152 |
| 62, | 07 Mar, | 18:39:09, | 0.196 |
| 63 , | 07 Mar, | 18:39:39, | 0.079 |
| 64 , | 07 Mar, | 18:40:09, | 0.159 |
| 65 , | 07 Mar, | 18:40:39r | 0.307 |
| 66 , | 07 Mar, | 18:41:09, | 0.294 |
| 67. | 07 Mar, | 18:41:39, | 0.250 |
| 68, | 07 Mar, | 18:42:09, | 0.098 |
| 69. | 07 Mar , | 18:42:39, | 0.026 |
| 70, | 07 Mar, | 18:43:09, | 0.023 |
| 71 r | 07 Mar, | 18:43:39, | 0.122 |
| 72. | 07 Mar, | 18:44:09, | 0.816 |
| 73, | 07 Mar, | 18:44:39, | 0.191 |
| 74, | 07 Mar, | 18:45:09, | 0.073 |
| 75, | 07 Mar, | 18:45:39, | 0.126 |
| 76, | 07 Mar , | 18:46:09, | 0.330 |
| 77, | 07 Mar , | 18:46:39, | 0.025 |
| 78. | 07 Mar, | 18:47:09, | 0.024 |
| 79, | 07 Mar, | 18:47:39, | 0.030 |
| 80, | 07 Mar , | 18:48:09, | 0.011 |
| 81, | 07 Mar, | 18:48:39, | 0.014 |
| 82, | 07 Mar, | 18:49:09, | 0.009 |
| 83, | 07 Mar , | 18:49:39, | 0.035 |
| 84 , | 07 Mar, | 18:50:09, | 0.011 |
| 85. | 07 Mar, | 18:50:39, | 0.010 |
| 86, | 07 Mar , | 18:51:09, | 0.017 |
| 87. | 07 Max, | 18:51:39, | 0.012 |
| 88. | 07 Mar, | 18:52:09, | 0.016 |
| 89 , | 07 Mar, | 18:52:39, | 0.015 |
| 90, | 07 Mar, | 18:53:09, | 0.011 |
| 91, | 07 Mar , | 18:53:39, | 0.012 |
| 92. | 07 Mar, | 18:54:09, | 0.010 |
| 93. | 07 Mar, | 18:54:39, | 0.015 |
| 94, | 07 Mar , | 18:55:09, | 0.013 |
| 95, | 07 Mar, | 18:55:39, | 0.024 |
| 96, | 07 Mar, | 18:56:09, | 0.076 |
| 97, | 07 Mar, | 18:56:39, | 0.053 |
| 98, | 07 Mar , | 18:57:09, | 0.027 |
| 99, | 07 Mar, | 18:57:39, | 0.011 |
| 100, | 07 Mar, | 18:58:09, | 0.022 |
| 101, | 07 Mar, | 18:58:39, | 0.043 |
| 102, | 07 Mar , | 18:59:09, | 0.034 |
| 103, | 07 Mar, | 18:59:39, | 0.046 |
| 104, | 07 Mar , | 19:00:09, | 0.019 |
| 105, | 07 Mar, | 19:00:39, | 0.064 |
| 106, | 07 Mar , | 19:01:09, | 0.041 |
| 107, | 07 Mar , | 19:01:39, | 0.016 |
| 108, | 07 Mar, | 19:02:09, | 0.039 |
| 109, | 07 Mar, | 19:02:39, | 0.035 |
| 110, | 07 Mar, | 19:03:09, | 0.055 |
| 111, | 07 Mar, | 19:03:39, | 0.038 |
| 112, | 07 Mar, | 19:04:09, | 0.025 |
| 113, | 07 Mar, | 19:04:39, | 0.013 |
| 114 , | 07 Mar, | 19:05:09, | 0.022 |
| 115. | 07 Mar, | 19:05:39, | 0.013 |
| 116. | 07 Mar, | 19:06:09, | 0.033 |
| 117, | 07 Mar, | 19:06:39, | 0.045 |
| 118, | 07 Mar, | 19:07:09, | 0.046 |
| 119, | 07 Mar, | 19:07:39, | 0.035 |
| 120, | 07 Mar, | 19:08:09, | 0.028 |
| 121, | 07 Mar . | 19:08:39, | 0.007 |
| 122, | 07 Mar, | 19:09:09, | 0.006 |
| 123, | 07 Mar , | 19:09:39, | 0.014 |
| 124, | 07 Mar, | 19:10:09, | 0.014 |
| 125, | 07 Mar , | 19:10:39, | 0.017 |
| 126 , | 07 Mar, | 19:11:09, | 0.036 |
| 127, | 07 Mar, | 19:11:39, | 0.008 |
| 128, | 07 Mar , | 19:12:09, | 0.009 |
| 129, | 07 Mar, | 19:12:39, | 0.007 |


| 130, | 07 Ma | 19:13:09, | 0. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 131 | 07 Mar, | 19:13:39, | 0.007 |
| 132, | 07 Mar , | 19:14:09, | 0.009 |
| 133, | 07 Mar, | 19:14:39, | 0.007 |
| 134, | 07 Mar, | 19:15:09, | 0.005 |
| 135, | 07 Mar, | 19:15:39, | 0.023 |
| 136. | 07 Mar, | 19:16:09, | 0.026 |
| 137 | 07 Mar | 19:16:39, | 0.032 |
| 138, | 07 Mar | 19:17:09, | 0.037 |
| 139, | 07 Mar , | 19:17:39, | 0.032 |
| 140, | 07 Mar, | 19:18:09, | 0.033 |
| 141, | 07 Mar, | 19:18:39, | 0.035 |
| 142, | 07 Mar , | 19:19:09, | 0.028 |
| 143, | 07 Mar , | 19:19:39, | 0.012 |
| 144, | 07 Mar , | 19:20:09, | 0.038 |
| 145, | 07 Mar | 19:20:39, | 0.035 |
| 146, | 07 Mar, | 19:21:09, | 0.037 |
| 147, | 07 Mar, | 19:21:39, | 0.035 |
| 148, | 07 Mar, | 19:22:09, | 0.062 |
| 149 | 07 Mar, | 19:22:39, | 0.027 |
| 150, | 07 Mar, | 19:23:09, | 0.032 |
| 151, | 07 Mar, | 19:23:39, | 0.018 |
| 152. | 07 Mar , | 19:24:09, | 0.023 |
| 153, | 07 Mar, | 19:24:39, | 46 |
| 154, | 07 Mar , | 19:25:09, | 0.022 |
| 155, | 07 Mar , | 19:25:39, | 0.028 |
| 156, | 07 Mar, | 19:26:09, | 11 |
| 157, | 07 Mar , | 19:26:39, | 0.035 |
| 158, | 07 Mar , | 19:27:09, | 0.046 |
| 159, | 07 Mar , | 19:27:39, | 0.042 |
| 160, | 07 Mar, | 19:28:09, | 0.049 |
| 161, | 07 Mar | 19:28:39, | 0.034 |
| 162, | 07 Mar, | 19:29:09, | 0.026 |
| 163, | 07 Mar , | 19:29:39, | 0.029 |
| 164 , | 07 Mar | 19:30:09 | 0.033 |
| 165, | 07 Mar, | 19:30:39, | 0.029 |
| 166 , | 07 Mar, | 19:31:09, | 0.029 |
| 167. | 07 Ma | 19:31:39, | . 092 |
| 168, | 07 Mar , | 19:32:09, | 0.081 |
| 169, | 07 Mar , | 19:32:39, | 0.045 |
| 170, | 07 Mar | 19:33:09, | 0.040 |
| 171, | 07 Mar, | 19:33:39, | 0.033 |
| 172, | 07 Mar, | 19:34:09, | 0.056 |
| 173, | 07 Mar, | 19:34:39, | 0.051 |
| 174, | 07 Mar, | 19:35:09, | 0.076 |
| 175, | 07 Mar, | 19:35:39, | 0.054 |
| 176, | 07 Mar, | 19:36:09, | 0.045 |
| 177 r | 07 Mar, | 19:36:39, | 0.042 |
| 178, | 07 Mar, | 19:37:09, | 0.041 |
| 179, | 07 Mar, | 19:37:39, | 40 |
| 180, | 07 Mar, | 19:38:09, | 0.019 |
| 181 | 07 Mar , | 19:38:39, | 0.019 |
| 18 | 07 Mar , | 19:39:09, | 0.026 |
| 183, | 07 Mar, | 19:39:39, | 0.052 |
| 184, | 07 Mar, | 19:40:09, | 0.040 |
| 185, | 07 Mar, | 19:40:39, | 0.025 |
| 186, | 07 Max, | 19:41:09, | 0.047 |
| 187, | 07 Mar, | 19:41:39, | 0.041 |
| 188, | 07 Mar, | 19:42:09 | 0.046 |
| 189, | 07 Mar, | 19:42:39, | 0.056 |
| 190, | 07 Mar, | 19:43:09, | 0.022 |
| 191, | 07 Mar, | 19:43:39r | 0.020 |
| 192, | 07 Mar , | 19:44:09, | 0.018 |
| 193, | 07 Max, | 19:44:39, | 0.020 |
| 194, | 07 Mar. | 19:45:09, | 0.054 |
| 195, | 07 Mar, | 19:45:39, | 0.046 |
| 196, | 07 Mar , | 19:46:09, | 0.038 |
| 197, | 07 Mar, | 19:46:39, | 0.063 |
| 198, | 07 Mar, | 19:47:09, | 0.036 |
| 199, | 07 Mar , | 19:47:39, | 0.045 |
| 200, | 07 Mar , | 19:48:09, | 0.141 |
| 201 | 07 Mar | 19:48:39 | 0.124 |


| 202, | 07 Mar, | 19:49:09, | 0.042 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 203, | 07 Mar, | 19:49:39, | 0.046 |
| 204, | 07 Mar, | 19:50:09, | 0.041 |
| 205, | 07 Mar, | 19:50:39, | 0.045 |
| 206, | 07 Mar, | 19:51:09, | 0.034 |
| 207, | 07 Mar, | 19:51:39, | 0.052 |
| 208, | 07 Mar, | 19:52:09, | 0.083 |
| 209, | 07 Mar, | 19:52:39, | 0.037 |
| 210, | 07 Mar, | 19:53:09r | 0.030 |
| 211. | 07 Mar, | 19:53:39, | 0.044 |
| 212, | 07 Mar, | 19:54:09, | 0.043 |
| 213, | 07 Mar, | 19:54:39, | 0.044 |
| 214, | 07 Mar , | 19:55:09, | 0.078 |
| 215, | 07 Mar , | 19:55:39, | 0.050 |
| 216, | 07 Mas, | 19:56:09, | 0.051 |
| 217, | 07 Mar , | 19:56:39, | 0.031 |
| 218, | 07 Mar , | 19:57:09, | 0.064 |
| 219, | 07 Mar, | 19:57:39, | 0.069 |
| 220, | 07 Mar, | 19:58:09, | 0.027 |
| 221, | 07 Mar , | 19:58:39, | 0.061 |
| 222, | 07 Mar, | 19:59:09, | 0.027 |
| 223, | 07 Mar, | 19:59:39, | 0.052 |
| 224, | 07 Mar , | 20:00:09, | 0.033 |
| 225, | 07 Mar, | 20:00:39, | 0.061 |
| 226, | 07 Mar, | 20:01:09, | 0.034 |
| 227, | 07 Mar, | 20:01:39, | 0.056 |
| 228, | 07 Mar, | 20:02:09, | 0.034 |
| 229, | 07 Mar , | 20:02:39, | 0.067 |
| 230, | 07 Mar, | 20:03:09, | 0.070 |
| 231, | 07 Mar, | 20:03:39, | 0.044 |
| 232, | 07 Mar, | 20:04:09, | 0.032 |
| 233, | 07 Mar, | 20:04:39, | 0.014 |
| 234, | 07 Mar, | 20:05:09, | 0.058 |
| 235, | 07 Mar, | 20:05:39, | 0.031 |
| 236, | 07 Mar, | 20:06:09, | 0.030 |
| 237, | 07 Mar, | 20:06:39, | 0.038 |
| 238, | 07 Mar , | 20:07:09, | 0.028 |
| 239, | 07 Mar, | 20:07:39, | 0.063 |
| 240, | 07 Mar, | 20:08:09, | 0.052 |
| 241, | 07 Mar , | 20:08:39, | 0.028 |
| 242, | 07 Mar, | 20:09:09, | 0.054 |
| 243, | 07 Mar, | 20:09:39, | 0.033 |
| 244, | 07 Mar, | 20:10:09, | 0.037 |
| 245, | 07 Mar, | 20:10:39, | 0.030 |
| 246, | 07 Mar, | 20:11:09, | 0.061 |
| 247, | 07 Mar , | 20:11:39, | 0.239 |
| 248, | 07 Mar, | 20:12:09, | 0.050 |
| 249, | 07 Mar, | 20:12:39, | 0.055 |
| 250, | 07 Mar, | 20:13:09, | 0.054 |
| 251, | 07 Mar, | 20:13:39, | 0.039 |
| 252, | 07 Mar, | 20:14:09, | 0.049 |
| 253, | 07 Mar, | 20:14:39, | 0.017 |
| 254, | 07 Mar, | 20:15:09, | 0.041 |
| 255. | 07 Mar, | 20:15:39, | 0.062 |
| 256, | 07 Mar , | 20:16:09 | 0.049 |
| 25?, | 07 Mar, | 20:16:39, | 0.157 |
| 258, | 07 Mar, | 20:17:09, | 0.033 |
| 259, | 07 Mar , | 20:17:39, | 0.028 |
| 260 , | 07 Mar, | 20:18:09, | 0.025 |
| 261, | 07 Mar, | 20:18:39, | 0.052 |
| 262, | 07 Mar, | 20:19:09, | 0.028 |
| 263, | 07 Mar, | 20:19:39, | 0.024 |
| 264, | 07 Mar, | 20:20:09, | 0.021 |
| 265 , | 07 Mar, | 20:20:39, | 0.021 |
| 266, | 07 Mar, | 20:21:09, | 0.021 |
| 267 , | 07 Mar, | 20:21:39, | 0.027 |
| 268, | 07 Mar, | 20:22:09, | 0.025 |
| 269, | 07 Mar, | 20:22:39, | 0.020 |
| 270, | 07 Mar, | 20:23:09, | 0.023 |
| 271, | 07 Mar, | 20:23:39, | 0.021 |
| 272, | 07 Mar, | 20:24:09, | 0.059 |
| 273, | 07 Max | 20:24:39, | 0.063 |


|  | 07 Mar, | 20:25:09, | 0.018 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 275, | 07 Ma |  | 0.028 |
| 76, | 07 Mar | 20:26:09, | 41 |
| 7 | 07 Mar, | 20:26:39, | 0.023 |
| 78, | 07 Mar | 20:27:09 | 5 |
| 279, | 07 Mar | 20:27:39, | 0.076 |
| 280 | 07 Ma | 20:28:09, | 0.024 |
| 81 | 07 Ma | 20:28:39 | 23 |
| 282, | 07 Mar | 20:29:09, | 0.055 |
| 3. | 07 Mar | 20:29:39, | 0.023 |
| , | 07 Mar | 20:30:09 | 0.085 |
| 5, | 07 Mar, | 20:30:39, | 0.027 |
| , | 07 Ma | 20:31:09, | 0.025 |
| 7, | 07 Ma | 20:31:39, | 0.022 |
| 288, | 07 Mar, | 20:32:09, | 0.022 |
|  | 07 Ma | 20:32:39 | 0.022 |
| 90, | 07 Mar, | 20:33:09 | 0.022 |
| 291, | 07 Mar, | 20:33:39 | 0.023 |
|  | 07 Ma | 20:34:09, | 0.024 |
| 93, | 07 Mar, | 20:34:39, | 0.023 |
| 94, | 07 Mar, | 20:35:09, | 0.023 |
|  | 07 Ma | 20:35:39, | 0.022 |
| 296, | 07 Mar, | 20:36:09, | 0.023 |
| 297. | 07 Mar, | 20:36:39, | 2 |
| 298, | 07 Ma | 20:37:09, | 0.023 |
| 299, | 07 Mar | 20:37:39, | 0.022 |
| 300, | 07 Mas, | 20:38:09, | 2 |
|  | 07 Mar | 20:38:39 | 0.020 |
| 302, | 07 Mar, | 20:39:09 | 0.024 |
| 303, | 07 Mar | 20:39:39, | 1 |
| , | 07 Ma | 20:40:09, | 0.023 |
| 5, | 07 Mar, | 20:40:39, | 0.026 |
| 306, | 07 Mar, | 20:41:09, | . 31 |
| 307 , | 07 Mar | 20:41:39, | 0.023 |
| 308, | 07 Mar | 20:42:09 | . 21 |
| 309, | 07 Mar | 20:42:39, | 0.021 |
| 310, | 07 Mar | 20:43:09, | . 22 |
| 311, | 07 Mar, | 20:43:39, | 4 |
| 312, | 07 Mar , | 20:44:09, | 0.024 |
| 3, | 07 Mar, | 20:44:39, | 0.024 |
| 14 | 07 Mar | 20:45:09, | 0.026 |
| 315, | 07 Mar, | 20:45:39, | 0.024 |
|  | 07 Mar, | 20:46:09, | 0.025 |
| 7 | 07 Ma | 20:46:39, | 25 |
| 318, | 07 Mar, | 20:47:09, | 0.023 |
| 319, | 07 Mar, | 20:47:39, | 0.029 |
| 20, | 07 Mar, | 20:48:09 | 0.023 |
| 221, | 07 Mar, | 20:48:39, | 0.022 |
| 2 | 07 Mar, | 20:49:09 | 0.021 |
| 323, | 07 Mar, | 20:49:39 | 0.025 |
| 324, | 07 Mar, | 20:50:09, | 0.025 |
| 5 | 07 Mar | 20:50:39, | 0.026 |
| 326, | 07 Mar, | 20:51:09 | 0.022 |
| 327. | 07 Mar, | 20:51:39, | 0.027 |
| 28 | 07 Mar | 20:52:09 | 0.025 |
| 329, | 07 Mar, | 20:52:39, | 21 |
| 330, | 07 Mar, | 20:53:09, | 23 |
|  | 07 Mar | 20:53:39, | 0.026 |
| 332, | 07 Mar, | 20:54:09, | 0.024 |
| 333, | 07 Mar, | 20:54:39, | 0.024 |
| 334 | 07 Mar, | 20:55:09, | 4 |
| 335, | 07 Mar, | 20:55:39, | 0.024 |
| 336. | 07 Mar | 20:56:09, | 0.023 |
| 337, | 07 Mar. | 20:56:39, | 0.024 |
| 338, | 07 Mar, | 20:57:09, | 0.026 |
| 339, | 07 Mar, | 20:57:39, | 0.028 |
| 340, | 07 Mar, | 20:58:09, | 0.021 |
| 41. | 07 Mar | 20:58:39 | 0.029 |
| 342, | 07 Mar, | 20:59:09, | 0.031 |
| 43, | 07 Mar, | 20:59:39, | 0.024 |
|  | 07 Mar, | 21:00:09, | 0.038 |
| 345, | 07 Mar, | 21:00:39, | 7 |


| 6 | 07 Mar, |  | 0.044 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 347, | 07 Mar, | 21:01:39, | 0.032 |
| 48 | 07 Mar, | 21:02:09, | 0.039 |
| 49, | 07 Mar, | 21:02:39, | 0.037 |
| 350, | 07 Mar, | 21:03:09, | 0.025 |
| 51, | 07 Mar, | 21:03:39, | 0.040 |
| 352, | 07 Mar , | 21:04:09, | 0.037 |
| 353, | 07 Mar, | 21:04:39, | 0.033 |
| 354, | 07 Mar | 21:05:09, | 0.149 |
| 55 | 07 Mar, | 21:05:39, | 0.026 |
| 356 , | 07 Mar, | 21:06:09, | 0.031 |
| 357 , | 07 Mar, | 21:06:39, | 0.039 |
| 358 , | 07 Mar, | 21:07:09, | 0.026 |
| 359, | 07 Mar, | 21:07:39, | 0.032 |
| , | 07 Mar | 21:08:09, | 0.053 |
| 361, | 07 Mar, | 21:08:39, | 0.098 |
| 362, | 07 Mar, | 21:09:09, | 0.079 |
| 3 | 07 Ma | 21:09:39, | 0.080 |
| 364 | 07 Mar, | 21:10:09, | 0.074 |
| 65 | 07 Mar, | 21:10:39, | 0.051 |
| 366, | 07 Ma | 21:11:09r | 0.070 |
| 367, | 07 Mar , | 21:11:39, | 0.100 |
| 368, | 07 Mar , | 21:12:09, | 0.088 |
| 69, | 07 Mar , | 21:12:39, | 0.058 |
| 370, | 07 Mar, | 21:13:09, | 0.422 |
| 371, | 07 Mar, | 21:13:39, | 0.032 |
|  | 07 Mar, | 21:14:09, | 0.071 |
| 373, | 07 Mar, | 21:14:39, | 0.053 |
| 374, | 07 Mar, | 21:15:09, | 0.056 |
| 5. | 07 Mar, | 21:15:39, | 0.062 |
| 376 , | 07 Mar, | 21:16:09, | 0.062 |
| 377, | 07 Mar, | 21:16:39, | 0.063 |
| 8 , | 07 Mar, | 21:17:09, | 0.051 |
| 379, | 07 Mar, | 21:17:39, | 0.045 |
| 380, | 07 Mar, | 21:18:09, | 0.043 |
| 381. | 07 Mar , | 21:18:39, | 0.038 |
| 382, | 07 Mar , | 21:19:09, | 0.017 |
| 383, | 07 Mar , | 21:19:39, | 0.027 |
| 384, | 07 Mar , | 21:20:09, | 0.021 |
| 385, | 07 Mar, | 21:20:39, | 015 |
| 386, | 07 Mar, | 21:21:09, | 0.016 |
| 87 , | 07 Mar , | 21:21:39, | 0.024 |
| 388, | 07 Mar, | 21:22:09, | 0.019 |
| 389, | 07 Mar, | 21:22:39, | 0.019 |
| 390, | 07 Mar, | 21:23:09, | 0.026 |
| 391, | 07 Mar, | 21:23:39, | . 020 |
| 392, | 07 Mar. | 21:24:09, | 0.036 |
| 393r | 07 Mar , | 21:24:39, | 0.061 |
| 394, | 07 Mar, | 21:25:09, | 0.200 |
| 395, | 07 Mar, | 21:25:39, | 0.040 |
| 396, | 07 Mar, | 21:26:09, | 0.032 |
| 397, | 07 Mar , | 21:26:39, | 0.027 |
| 398, | 07 Mar, | 21:27:09, | 0.031 |
| 399, | 07 Mar, | 21:27:39, | 0.030 |
| 400, | 07 Mar, | 21:28:09, | 0.028 |
| 401. | 07 Mar, | 21:28:39, | 0.026 |
| 402, | 07 Mar , | 21:29:09, | 0.064 |
| 403. | 07 Mar, | 21:29:39r | 0.032 |
| 404, | 07 Mar, | 21:30:09, | 0.039 |
| 05, | 07 Mar, | 21:30:39, | 0.040 |
| 406 , | 07 Mar, | 21:31:09, | 0.046 |
| 407, | 07 Mar, | 21:31:39, | 0.049 |
| 408, | 07 Mar, | 21:32:09, | 0.149 |
| 409, | 07 Mar, | 21:32:39, | . 0.255 |
| 10, | 07 Mar, | 21:33:09, | 0.169 |
| 411 , | 07 Mar, | 21:33:39, | 0.084 |
| 412, | 07 Mar, | 21:34:09, | 0.043 |
| 413, | 07 Mar, | 21:34:39, | 0.046 |
| 414, | 07 Mar, | 21:35:09, | 0.031 |
| 415, | 07 Mar, | 21:35:39, | 0.024 |
| 416, | 07 Mar, | 21:36:09 ${ }_{\text {r }}$ | 0.019 |
| 417. | 07 Mar | 21:36:39, | 0.060 |


|  | 07 |  | 0.099 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19 , | 07 Mar , | 21:37:39, | 0.042 |
| 420, | 07 Mar, | 21:38:09, | 0.036 |
| 1. | 07 Mar, | 21:38:39, | 0.020 |
| 422, | 07 Mar, | 21:39:09, | 0.034 |
| 423, | 07 Mar, | 21:39:39, | 0.023 |
| 424, | 07 Mar, | 21:40:09, | 0.035 |
| 425 | 07 Mar, | 21:40:39, | 0.040 |
| 26 | 07 Mar, | 21:41:09, | 0.216 |
| 27 | 07 Mar, | 21:41:39 | 0.229 |
| 28, | 07 Mar, | 21:42:09, | 0.149 |
| 9 | 07 Mar, | 21:42:39, | 0.071 |
| 430, | 07 Mar | 21:43:09, | 0.115 |
| 31, | 07 Mar, | 21:43:39, | 0.065 |
| 32. | 07 Mar, | 21:44:09, | 0.0 .60 |
| 33 | 07 Mar , | 21:44:39 | 0.046 |
| 34, | 07 Mar, | 21:45:09, | 0.038 |
| 435, | 07 Mar, | 21:45:39, | 0.078 |
| 436, | 07 Mar, | 21:46:09, | 0.023 |
| 437. | 07 Mar, | 21:46:39, | 0.115 |
| 38 | 07 Mar | 21:47:09, | 0.079 |
| 439, | 07 Mar | 21:47:39, | 0.103 |
| 440, | 07 Mar, | 21:48:09, | 3 |
| 441, | 07 Mar, | 21:48:39, | 0.054 |
| 442, | 07 Mar, | 21:49:09, | 0.015 |
| 443, | 07 Mar | 21:49:39, | 0.017 |
|  | 07 Mar | 21:50:09, | 0.071 |
| 445, | 07 Mar | 21:50:39, | 0.031 |
| 446 , | 07 Mar, | 21:51:09, | 0.037 |
| 447, | 07 Mar , | 21:51:39, | 0.110 |
| 448 , | 07 Mar, | 21:52:09, | 0.137 |
| 449, | 07 Mar, | 21:52:39, | 70 |
| 450, | 07 Mar , | 21:53:09, | 0.037 |
| 51, | 07 Mar, | 21:53:39, | 0.071 |
| 452, | 07 Mar, | 21:54:09, | 0.137 |
| 53, | 07 Mar , | 21:54:39, | 0.150 |
| 454 , | 07 Mar | 21:55:09, | 0.277 |
| 455, | 07 Mar , | 21:55:39, | 0.542 |
| 456, | 07 Mar, | 21:56:09, | 0.093 |
| 457, | 07 Mar, | 21:56:39, | 0.019 |
| 458, | 07 Mar, | 21:57:09, | 0.040 |
| 459, | 07 Mar, | 21:57:39, | 0.024 |
| 460, | 07 Mar, | 21:58:09, | 41 |
| 461, | 07 Mar, | 21:58:39r | 1 |
| 62, | 07 Mar , | 21:59:09, | 0.019 |
| 3 | 07 Mar | 21:59:39, | 0.018 |
| 64. | 07 Mar , | 22:00:09, | 0.017 |
| 65, | 07 Mar , | 22:00:39, | 0.018 |
| 466, | 07 Mar, | 22:01:09, | . 67 |
| 67. | 07 Mar, | 22:01:39, | 0.046 |
| 68. | 07 Mar, | 22:02:09, | 0.019 |
| 69. | 07 Mar , | 22:02:39, | 0.024 |
| $70^{\text {, }}$ | 07 Mar, | 22:03:09, | 0.017 |
| 471, | 07 Mar, | 22:03:39, | 0.019 |
| 472, | 07 Mar, | 22:04:09, | 0.030 |
| 473, | 07 Mar, | 22:04:39, | 0.016 |
| 74 | 07 Mar , | 22:05:09, | 0.034 |
| 75. | 07 Mar, | 22:05:39, | 0.019 |
| 76, | 07 Mar, | 22:06:09, | 0.022 |
|  | 07 Mar, | 22:06:39, | 0.018 |
| 78 , | 07 Mar, | 22:07:09, | 0.025 |
| 479, | 07 Mar, | 22:07:39, | 0.021 |
| 480, | 07 Mar, | 22:08:09, | 0.022 |
| 481, | 07 Mar, | 22:08:39, | 0.036 |
| 82, | 07 Mas , | 22:09:09, | 0.028 |
| 483, | 07 Mar, | 22:09:39, | 0.140 |
| 484, | 07 Mar , | 22:10:09, | 0.065 |
| 485, | 07 Mar, | 22:10:39, | 0.082 |
| 486, | 07 Mar, | 22:11:09r | 0.077 |
| 487, | 07 Mar. | 22:11:39, | 0.016 |
| 88, | 07 Mar, | 22:12:09, | 0.020 |
| 489 , | 07 Mar, | 22:12:39, | 0.018 |


| 490, | 07 Mar, | 22:13:09, | 0.022 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 491, | 07 Mar, | 22:13:39, | 0.032 |
| 492. | 07 Mar, | 22:14:09, | 0.020 |
| 493, | 07 Mar, | 22:14:39, | 0.017 |
| 494. | 07 Mar , | 22:15:09, | 0.019 |
| 495. | 07 Mar, | 22:15:39, | 0.020 |
| 496, | 07 Mar, | 22:16:09, | 0.022 |
| 497. | 07 Mar, | 22:16:39, | 0.020 |
| 498, | 07 Mar , | 22:17:09, | 0.023 |
| 499 , | 07 Mar, | 22:17:39, | 0.037 |
| 500 , | 07 Mar, | 22:18:09, | 0.019 |
| 501. | 07 Mar, | 22:18:39, | 0.028 |
| 502 , | 07 Mar, | 22:19:09, | 0.019 |
| 503. | 07 Mar, | 22:19:39, | 0.021 |
| 504 , | 07 Mar, | 22:20:09, | 0.017 |
| 505, | 07 Mar, | 22:20:39, | 0.022 |
| 506, | 07 Mar , | 22:21:09, | 0.015 |
| 507 , | 07 Mar, | 22:21:39, | 0.020 |
| 508, | 07 Mar, | 22:22:09, | 0.019 |
| 509, | 07 Mar , | 22:22:39, | 0.029 |
| 510, | 07 Mar, | 22:23:09, | 0.017 |
| 511, | 07 Mar, | 22:23:39, | 0.135 |
| 512, | 07 Mar, | 22:24:09, | 0.034 |
| 513, | 07 Mar, | 22:24:39, | 0.018 |
| 514, | 07 Mar, | 22:25:09, | 0.025 |
| 515, | 07 Mar, | 22:25:39, | 0.022 |
| 516, | 07 Mar, | 22:26:09, | 0.021 |
| 517, | 07 Mar, | 22:26:39, | 0.020 |
| 518; | 07 Mar, | 22:27:09, | 0.019 |
| 519, | 07 Mar, | 22:27:39, | 0.021 |
| 520, | 07 Mar, | 22:28:09, | 0.019 |
| 521, | 07 Mar, | 22:28:39, | 0.019 |
| 522, | 07 Mar, | 22:29:09, | 0.015 |
| 523, | 07 Mar, | 22:29:39, | 0.013 |
| 524, | 07 Mar, | 22:30:09, | 0.017 |
| 525, | 07 Mar, | 22:30:39, | 0.013 |
| 526, | 07 Mar, | 22:31:09, | 0.017 |
| 527, | 07 Mar , | 22:31:39, | 0.015 |
| 528. | 07 Mar, | 22:32:09, | 0.013 |
| 529, | 07 Mar, | 22:32:39, | 0.017 |
| 530, | 07 Mar, | 22:33:09, | 0.016 |
| 531, | 07 Mar, | 22:33:39, | 0.016 |
| 532, | 07 Mar | 22:34:09, | 0.013 |
| 533, | 07 Mar , | 22:34:39, | 0.018 |
| 534, | 07 Mar , | 22:35:09, | 0.016 |
| 535, | 07 Mar, | 22:35:39, | 0.014 |
| 536, | 07 Mar, | 22:36:09, | 0.013 |
| 537, | 07 Mar, | 22:36:39, | 0.014 |
| 538, | 07 Mar , | 22:37:09, | 0.026 |
| 539, | 07 Mar, | 22:37:39, | 0.024 |
| 540, | 07 Mar, | 22:38:09, | 0.025 |
| 541, | 07 Mar, | 22:38:39, | 0.090 |
| 542, | 07 Mar, | 22:39:09, | 0.081 |
| 543, | 07 Mar, | 22:39:39, | 0.117 |
| 544, | 07 Mar, | 22:40:09, | 0.176 |
| 545, | 07 Mar , | 22:40:39, | 0.211 |
| 546, | 07 Mar, | 22:41:09, | 0.345 |
| 547, | 07 Mar, | 22:41:39, | 0.141 |
| 548, | 07 Mar, | 22:42:09, | 0.117 |
| 549, | 07 Mar, | 22:42:39, | 0.190 |
| 550, | 07 Mar, | 22:43:09, | 0.141 |
| 551, | 07 Mar, | 22:43:39, | 0.112 |
| 552, | 07 Mar , | 22:44:09, | 0.061 |
| 553, | 07 Mar , | 22:44:39, | 0.032 |
| 554, | 07 Mar, | 22:45:09, | 0.042 |
| 555. | 07 Mar, | 22:45:39, | 0.041 |
| 556, | 07 Mar, | 22:46:09, | 0.074 |
| 557. | 07 Mar, | 22:46:39, | 0.063 |
| 558. | 07 Mar, | 22:47:09, | 0.060 |
| 559, | 07 Mar, | 22:47:39, | 0.053 |
| 560, | 07 Mar, | 22:48:09, | 0.042 |
| 561, | 07 Mar, | 22:18:39, | 0.050 |


|  | 07 | Ma | 22:49:09, | 0.040 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 563, | 07 | Mar, | 22:49:39, | 0.025 |
| 564, | 07 | Mar, | 22:50:09, | 0.033 |
| 565, | 07 | Mar, | 22:50:39r | 0.070 |
| 566. | 07 | Mar, | 22:51:09, | 0.018 |
| 567, | 07 | Mar, | 22:51:39, | 0.038 |
| 568, | 07 | Mar, | 22:52:09, | 0.078 |
| 569, | 07 | Mar, | 22:52:39, | 0.047 |
| 570, | 07 | Mar, | 22:53:09, | 0.090 |
| 571, | 07 | Mar, | 22:53:39, | 0.032 |
| 572, | 07 | Mar, | 22:54:09, | 0.032 |
| 573, | 07 | Mar, | 22:54:39, | 0.063 |
| 574, | 07 | Mar, | 22:55:09, | 0.035 |
| 575, | 07 | Mar, | 22:55:39, | 0.027 |
| 576, | 07 | Mar, | 22:56:09, | 0.059 |
| 577, | 07 | Mar, | 22:56:39, | 0.080 |

pDR-1000 S/N: 06082 / Tag \# $01 /$ Start time: Mar 07, 18:08:09



Time ( $p D R$ )

PDR-1000 S/N: 06082
User ID: 6082
Tag Number: 01
Number of logged points: 620
Start time and date: 19:02:25 07-Mar
Elapsed time: 05:10:00
pgging period (sec): 30
alibration Factor (\%): 100
Max Display Concentration: $2.880 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$
Time at maximum: 21:30:52 Mar 07
Max STEL Concentration: $0.105 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$
Time at max STEL: 21:42:55 Mar 07
Overall Avg Conc: $0.055 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$
Logged Data:
Point, Date , Time , Avg. ( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ )
1, 07 Mar, 19:02:55, 0.011
2, 07 Mar, 19:03:25, 0.023
3, 07 Mar, 19:03:55, 0.009
4, 07 Mar, $19: 04: 25_{\text {r }} 0.018$
5, 07 Mar, 19:04:55, 0.020
6, $07 \mathrm{Mar}, 19: 05: 25,0.038$
7, 07 Mar, 19:05:55, 0.047
8, 07 Mar, 19:06:25, 0.040
9, 07 Mar, 19:06:55, 0.028
10, 07 Mar, 19:07:25, 0.020
11, 07 Mar, 19:07:55, 0.016
12, 07 Mar, 19:08:25, 0.017
13, 07 Mar, $19: 08: 55,0.018$
14, 07 Mar, 19:09:25, 0.019
15, 07 Mar, 19:09:55, 0.015
16, 07 Mar, $19: 10: 25,0.014$
17, 07 Mar, 19:10:55, 0.013
18, 07 Mar, 19:11:25, 0.014
19, 07 Mar, 19:11:55, 0.015
20, 07 Mar, $19: 12: 25,0.012$
21, 07 Mar, 19:12:55, 0.013
22, 07 Mar, 19:13:25, 0.011
23, 07 Mar, 19:13:55, 0.012
24, 07 Mar, 19:14:25, 0.013
25, $07 \mathrm{Mar}, 19: 14: 55,0.017$
26, 07 Mar, 19:15:25, 0.020
27, 07 Mar, $19: 15: 55,0.015$
28, 07 Mar, $19: 16: 25,0.011$
29, 07 Mar, 19:16:55, 0.014
30, 07 Mar, 19:17:25, 0.013
31, 07 Mar, 19:17:55, 0.011
32, 07 Mar, 19:18:25, 0.016
33, $07 \mathrm{Mar}, 19: 18: 55, \quad 0.015$
34, 07 Mar, 19:19:25, 0.022
35, 07 Mar, 19:19:55, 0.036
36. 07 Mar, 19:20:25, 0.014

37, 07 Mar, 19:20:55, 0.013
38, $07 \mathrm{Mar}, 19: 21: 25,0.018$
39, 07 Mar, $19: 21: 55,0.012$
40, 07 Mar, $19: 22: 25,0.013$
41, 07 Mar, $19: 22: 55,0.010$
42, 07 Mar, 19:23:25, 0.013
43, 07 Mar, $19: 23: 55,0.011$
44, 07 Mar, $19: 24: 25,0.016$
45, 07 Mar, 19:24:55, 0.050
46, 07 Mar, 19:25:25, 0.076
47, 07 Mar, 19:25:55, 0.031
48, 07 Mar, $19: 26: 25,0.020$
49, 07 Mar, $19: 26: 55,0.016$
50, 07 Mar, 19:27:25, 0.011
51, 07 Mar, $19: 27: 55,0.017$
52, 07 Mar, 19:28:25, 0.016
53, 07 Mar, 19:28:55, 0.022
54, 07 Mar, 19:29:25, 0.023
55, 07 Mar, $19: 29: 55,0.012$
56, 07 Mar, $19: 30: 25,0.009$
57, 07 Mar, $19: 30: 55,0.014$

|  | 07 Mar, | 19:31:25, | 0.010 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 59, | 07 Mar , | 19:31:55, | 0.091 |
| 60, | 07 Mar, | 19:32:25, | 0.054 |
| 61 , | 07 Mar , | 19:32:55, | 0.023 |
| 62. | 07 Mar, | 19:33:25, | 0.016 |
| 63. | 07 Mar, | 19:33:55, | 0.014 |
| 64. | 07 Mar, | 19:34:25, | 0.023 |
| 65. | 07 Mar , | 19:34:55, | 0.054 |
| 66, | 07 Mar, | 19:35:25, | 0.045 |
| 67. | 07 Mar, | 19:35:55, | 0.070 |
| 68, | 07 Mar, | 19:36:25, | 0.074 |
| 69, | 07 Mar, | 19:36:55, | 0.020 |
| 70, | 07 Mar | 19:37:25, | 0.011 |
| 71, | 07 Mar, | 19:37:55, | 0.012 |
| 72, | 07 Max, | 19:38:25, | 0.021 |
| 73, | 07 Mar, | 19:38:55, | 0.030 |
| 74, | 07 Mar, | 19:39:25, | 0.015 |
| 75, | 07 Max, | 19:39:55, | 0.024 |
| 76, | 07 Mar , | 19:40:25, | 0.021 |
| 77. | 07 Mar, | 19:40:55, | 0.016 |
| 78, | 07 Mar, | 19:41:25, | 0.011 |
| 79, | 07 Mar , | 19:41:55, | 0.021 |
| 80 , | 07 Mar , | 19:42:25, | 0.053 |
| 81, | 07 Mar , | 19:42:55, | 0.030 |
| 82, | 07 Mar , | 19:43:25, | 0.029 |
| 83 , | 07 Mar , | 19:43:55, | 0.081 |
| 84, | 07 Mar , | 19:44:25, | 0.056 |
| 85, | 07 Mar, | 19:44:55, | 0.028 |
| 86, | 07 Mar, | 19:45:25, | 0.112 |
| 87, | 07 Mar, | 19:45:55, | 0.031 |
| 88, | 07 Mar, | 19:46:25, | 0.059 |
| 89, | 07 Mar, | 19:46:55, | 0.092 |
| 90, | 07 Mar , | 19:47:25, | 0.108 |
| 91. | 07 Mar , | 19:47:55, | 0.026 |
| 92. | 07 Mar , | 19:48:25, | 0.038 |
| 93, | 07 Mar, | 19:48:55, | 0.076 |
| 94, | 07 Mar, | 19:49:25, | 0.104 |
| 95, | 07 Mar , | 19:49:55, | 0.073 |
| 96, | 07 Mar , | 19:50:25, | 0.030 |
|  | 07 Mar, | 19:50:55, | 0.018 |
| 98, | 07 Mar, | 19:51:25, | 0.036 |
| 99, | 07 Mar, | 19:51:55, | 0.055 |
| 100, | 07 Mar, | 19:52:25, | 0.273 |
| 101, | 07 Mar, | 19:52:55, | 0.216 |
| 102, | 07 Max , | 19:53:25, | 0.050 |
| 103, | 07 Mar, | 19:53:55, | 0.154 |
| 104, | 07 Mar, | 19:54:25, | 0.203 |
| 105, | 07 Mar, | 19:54:55, | 0.113 |
| 106, | 07 Mar, | 19:55:25, | 0.076 |
| 107, | 07 Mar , | 19:55:55, | 0.175 |
| 108, | 07 Mar, | 19:56:25, | 0.068 |
| 109, | 07 Max, | 19:56:55, | 0.098 |
| 110, | 07 Mar, | 19:57:25, | 0.017 |
| 111, | 07 Mar , | 19:57:55, | 0.029 |
| 112. | 07 Mar , | 19:58:25, | 0.065 |
| 113, | 07 Mar, | ].9:58:55, | 0.061 |
| 114, | 07 Mar, | 19:59:25, | 0.041 |
| 115, | 07 Mar, | 19:59:55, | 0.055 |
| 116, | 07 Mar, | 20:00:25, | 0.070 |
| 117, | 07 Mar, | 20:00:55, | 0.071 |
| 118, | 07 Mar, | 20:01:25, | 0.151 |
| 119. | 07 Mar, | 20:01:55, | 0.150 |
| 120, | 07 Mar, | 20:02:25, | 0.029 |
| 121, | 07 Mar, | 20:02:55, | 0.064 |
| 122, | 07 Max, | 20:03:25, | 0.026 |
| 123, | 07 Mar, | 20:03:55, | 0.225 |
| 124, | 07 Mar, | 20:04:25, | 0.035 |
| 125, | 07 Mar, | 20:04:55, | 0.024 |
| 126, | 07 Mar, | 20:05:25, | 0.019 |
| 127, | 07 Mar, | 20:05:55, | 0.096 |
| 128, | 07 Mar , | 20:06:25, | 0.043 |
| 129, | 07 Mar, | 20:06:55, | 0.067 |


| 130, | 07 Mar, | 20:07:25, | 0.087 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 131, | 07 Mar, | 20:07:55, | 0.017 |
| 132 | 07 Mar , | 20:08:25, | 0.095 |
| 133, | 07 Mar , | 20:08:55, | 0.038 |
| 134, | 07 Mar, | 20:09:25, | 0.052 |
| 135, | 07 Mar , | 20:09:55, | 0.109 |
| 136, | 07 Mar, | 20:10:25, | 0.100 |
| 137, | 07 Mar, | 20:10:55, | 0.032 |
| 138 | 07 Mar | 20:11:25, | 0.175 |
| 139. | 07 Mar , | 20:11:55, | 0.149 |
| 140, | 07 Mar, | 20:12:25, | 0.135 |
| 141 | 07 Mar , | 20:12:55, | 0.025 |
| 142, | 07 Mar, | 20:13:25, | 0.021 |
| 143, | 07 Mar, | 20:13:55, | 0.022 |
| 144 | 07 Mar | 20:14:25, | 0.028 |
| 145, | 07 Max, | 20:14:55, | 0.028 |
| 146, | 07 Mar, | 20:15:25, | 0.089 |
| 147, | 07 Mar, | 20:15:55, | 0.051 |
| 148, | 07 Mar, | 20:16:25, | 0.018 |
| 149, | 07 Mar, | 20:16:55, | 0.028 |
| 150, | 07 Mar, | 20:17:25, | 0.040 |
| 151, | 07 Mar, | 20:17:55, | 0.088 |
| 152, | 07 Mar, | 20:18:25, | 0.261 |
| 153, | 07 Mar, | 20:18:55, | 0.135 |
| 154, | 07 Mar , | 20:19:25, | 0.101 |
| 155, | 07 Mar, | 20:19:55, | 0.189 |
| 156. | 07 Mar, | 20:20:25, | 0.086 |
| 157, | 07 Mar, | 20:20:55, | 0.122 |
| 158, | 07 Mar, | 20:21:25, | 0.079 |
| 159, | 07 Mar, | 20:21:55, | 0.038 |
| 160, | 07 Mar, | 20:22:25, | 0.096 |
| 161, | 07 Mar , | 20:22:55, | 0.050 |
| 162, | 07 Mar, | 20:23:25, | 0.071 |
| 163, | 07 Mar, | 20:23:55, | 0.085 |
| 164, | 07 Mar, | 20:24:25, | 0.045 |
| 165, | 07 Mar, | 20:24:55, | 0.048 |
| 166, | 07 Mar , | 20:25:25, | 0.016 |
| 167, | 07 Mar , | 20:25:55, | 0.020 |
| 168, | 07 Mar, | 20:26:25, | 0.015 |
| 169, | 07 Mar, | 20:26:55, | 0.036 |
| 170, | 07 Mar, | 20:27:25, | 0.022 |
| 171, | 07 Mar, | 20:27:55, | 0.024 |
| 172, | 07 Mar, | 20:28:25, | 0.019 |
| 173, | 07 Mars | 20:28:55, | 0.017 |
| 174, | 07 Mar , | 20:29:25, | 0.017 |
| 175, | 07 Mar , | 20:29:55, | 0.045 |
| 176, | 07 Mar, | 20:30:25, | 0.102 |
| 177, | 07 Mar , | 20:30:55, | 0.069 |
| 178, | 07 Mar, | 20:31:25, | 0.056 |
| 179, | 07 Mar, | 20:31:55, | 0.113 |
| 180, | 07 Mar, | 20:32:25, | 0.033 |
| 181, | 07 Mar, | 20:32:55, | 0.094 |
| 182, | 07 Mar, | 20:33:25, | 0.066 |
| 183, | 07 Mar, | 20:33:55, | 0.071 |
| 184, | 07 Max, | 20:34:25, | 0.025 |
| 185, | 07 Mar, | 20:34:55, | 0.024 |
| 186, | 07 Mar , | 20:35:25, | 0.036 |
| 187, | 07 Mar, | 20:35:55, | 0.023 |
| 188, | 07 Mar, | 20:36:25, | 0.021 |
| 189, | 07 Mar, | 20:36:55, | 0.039 |
| 190, | 07 Mar, | 20:37:25, | 0.055 |
| 191, | 07 Mar, | 20:37:55, | 0.021 |
| 192, | 07 Mar, | 20:38:25, | 0.021 |
| 193. | 07 Mar, | 20:38:55, | 0.023 |
| 194, | 07 Mar; | 20:39:25, | 0.040 |
| 195, | 07 Mar, | 20:39:55, | 0.031 |
| 196, | 07 Mar, | 20:40:25, | 0.038 |
| 197, | 07 Mar , | 20:40:55, | 0.066 |
| 198, | 07 Mar, | 20:41:25, | 0.050 |
| 199, | 07 Mar, | 20:41:55, | 0.031 |
| 200, | 07 Mar , | 20:42:25, | 0.023 |
| 201, | 07 Mar , | 20:42:55, | 0.032 |


| 2 | 07 Mar, | 20:43:25, | 0.058 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 203, | 07 Mar, | 20:43:55, | 0.019 |
| 204, | 07 Mar, | 20:44:25, | 0.032 |
| 205, | 07 Mar, | 20:44:55, | 0.019 |
| 206, | 07 Mar, | 20:45:25, | 0.100 |
| 207, | 07 Mar, | 20:45:55, | 0.118 |
| 208, | 07 Mar, | 20:46:25, | 0.083 |
| 209, | 07 Mar, | 20:46:55, | 0.091 |
| 210, | 07 Mar, | 20:47:25, | 0.056 |
| 211, | 07 Mar, | 20:47:55, | 0.064 |
| 212, | 07 Mar, | 20:48:25, | 0.077 |
| 213, | 07 Mar, | 20:48:55, | 0.046 |
| 214, | 07 Mar, | 20:49:25, | 0.019 |
| 215, | 07 Mar, | 20:49:55, | 0.123 |
| 216 , | 07 Mar, | 20:50:25, | 0.073 |
| 217 | 07 Mar, | 20:50:55, | 0.123 |
| 218. | 07 Mar . | 20:51:25, | 0.044 |
| 219, | 07 Mar, | 20:51:55, | 0.054 |
| 220, | 07 Mar, | 20:52:25, | 0.050 |
| 221, | 07 Mar, | 20:52:55, | 0.049 |
| 222, | 07 Mar , | 20:53:25, | 0.061 |
| 223, | 07 Mar, | 20:53:55, | 0.046 |
| 224. | 07 Mar, | 20:54:25, | 0.052 |
| 225, | 07 Mar , | 20:54:55, | 0.032 |
| 226, | 07 Mar, | 20:55:25, | 0.046 |
| 227, | 07 Mar, | 20:55:55, | 0.055 |
| 228, | 07 Mar, | 20:56:25, | 0.178 |
| 229, | 07 Mar, | 20:56:55, | 0.022 |
| 230, | 07 Mar, | 20:57:25, | 0.134 |
| 231, | 07 Mar, | 20:57:55, | 0.063 |
| 232, | 07 Mar, | 20:58:25, | 0.052 |
| 233, | 07 Mar, | 20:58:55, | 0.023 |
| 234, | 07 Mar, | 20:59:25, | 0.023 |
| 235, | 07 Mar , | 20:59:55, | 0.021 |
| 236. | 07 Mar, | 21:00:25; | 0.023 |
| 237 , | 07 Mar, | 21:00:55, | 0.026 |
| 238. | 07 Mar, | 21:01:25, | 0.022 |
| 239, | 07 Mar, | 21:01:55, | 0.082 |
| 240, | 07 Mar, | 21:02:25, | 0.042 |
| 241, | 07 Mar, | 21:02:55, | 0.043 |
| 242, | 07 Mar , | 21:03:25, | 0.058 |
| 243. | 07 Mar , | 21:03:55, | 0.077 |
| 244, | 07 Mar, | 21:04:25, | 0.137 |
| 245, | 07 Mar, | 21:04:55, | 0.052 |
| 246, | 07 Mar , | 21:05:25, | 0.040 |
| 247, | 07 Mar, | 21:05:55, | 0.037 |
| 248, | 07 Mar, | 21:06:25, | 0.085 |
| 249, | 07 Mar, | 21:06:55, | 0.037 |
| 250, | 07 Mar, | 21:07:25, | 0.107 |
| 251, | 07 Mar, | 21:07:55, | 0.057 |
| 252, | 07 Mar, | 21:08:25, | 0.066 |
| 253, | 07 Mar, | 21:08:55, | 0.421 |
| 254, | 07 Mar. | 21:09:25, | 0.217 |
| 255, | 07 Mar , | 21:09:55, | 0.076 |
| 256, | 07 Mar, | 21:10:25, | 0.212 |
| 257 , | 07 Mar , | 21:10:55, | 0.073 |
| 258, | 07 Mar, | 21:11:25, | 0.085 |
| 259, | 07 Mar , | 21:11:55, | 0.046 |
| 260, | 07 Mar, | 21:12:25, | 0.032 |
| 261, | 07 Mar, | 21:12:55, | 0.030 |
| 262, | 07 Mar, | 21:13:25, | 0.040 |
| 263, | 07 Mar , | 21:13:55, | 0.081 |
| 264, | 07 Mar , | 21:14:25, | 0.063 |
| 265, | 07 Mar ; | 21:14:55, | 0.135 |
| 266, | 07 Mar, | 21:15:25, | 0.139 |
| 267, | 07 Mar, | 21:15:55, | 0.039 |
| 268, | 07 Mar, | 21:16:25, | 0.035 |
| 269, | 07 Mar, | 21:16:55, | 0.059 |
| 270, | 07 Mar, | 21:17:25, | 0.033 |
| 271, | 07 Mar, | 21:17:55, | 0.042 |
| 272, | 07 Mar, | 21:18:25, | 0.070 |
| 273, | 07 Mar; | 21:18:55, | 0.066 |


| 274, | 07 Mar, | 21:19:25, | 0.037 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 275, | 07 Mar, | 21:19:55, | 0.042 |
| 276 , | 07 Mar, | 21:20:25, | 0.041 |
| 277. | 07 Mar. | 21:20:55, | 0.040 |
| 278, | 07 Mar, | 21:21:25, | 0.036 |
| 279 . | 07 Mar, | 21:21:55, | 0.046 |
| 280, | 07 Max, | 21:22:25, | 0.111 |
| 281 | 07 Mar, | 21:22:55, | 0.051 |
| 282, | 07 Mar, | 21:23:25, | 0.032 |
| 283, | 07 Mar, | 21:23:55, | 0.035 |
| 284, | 07 Mar, | 21:24:25, | 0.034 |
| 285, | 07 Mar, | 21:24:55, | 0.040 |
| 286, | 07 Mar , | 21:25:25, | 0.036 |
| 287 | 07 Mar, | 21:25:55, | 0.031 |
| 288, | 07 Mar , | 21:26:25, | 0.027 |
| 289, | 07 Mar, | 21:26:55, | 0.032 |
| 290, | 07 Mar, | 21:27:25, | 0.031 |
| 291, | 07 Mar , | 21:27:55, | 0.043 |
| 292, | 07 Mar, | 21:28:25, | 0.172 |
| 293, | 07 Mar , | 21:28:55, | 0.056 |
| 294, | 07 Mar, | 21:29:25, | 0.031 |
| 295, | 07 Mar, | 21:29:55, | 0.025 |
| 296, | 07 Mar, | 21:30:25, | 0.044 |
| 297, | 07 Mar, | 21:30:55, | 1.087 |
| 298, | 07 Mar, | 21:31:25, | 0.063 |
| 299, | 07 Mar, | 21:31:55, | 0.033 |
| 300, | 07 Mar, | 21:32:25, | 0.047 |
| 301, | 07 Mar, | 21:32:55, | 0.076 |
| 302, | 07 Mar, | 21:33:25, | 0.036 |
| 303, | 07 Mar , | 21:33:55, | 0.083 |
| 304, | 07 Mar, | 21:34:25, | 0.063 |
| 305, | 07 Mar, | 21:34:55, | 0.094 |
| 306, | 07 Mar, | 21:35:25, | 0.083 |
| 307. | 07 Mar, | 21:35:55, | 0.086 |
| 308, | 07 Mar, | 21:36:25, | 0.040 |
| 309, | 07 Mar, | 21:36:55, | 0.030 |
| 310, | 07 Mar, | 21:37:25, | 0.069 |
| 311, | 07 Mar , | 21:37:55, | 0.088 |
| 312, | 07 Mar | 21:38:25, | 0.051 |
| 313, | 07 Mar, | 21:38:55, | 0.080 |
| 314 , | 07 Mar, | 21:39:25, | 0.055 |
| 315, | 07 Mar , | 21:39:55, | 0.066 |
| 316, | 07 Mar , | 21:40:25, | 0.042 |
| 317. | 07 Mar, | 21:40:55, | 0.045 |
| 318. | 07 Mar , | 21:41:25, | 0.033 |
| 319, | 07 Mar , | 21:41:55, | 0.030 |
| 320 , | 07 Mar, | 21:42:25, | 0.226 |
| 321, | 07 Mar, | 21:42:55, | 0.216 |
| 322, | 07 Mar, | 21:43:25, | 0.033 |
| 323. | 07 Mar, | 21:43:55, | 0.032 |
| 324, | 07 Mar , | 21:44:25, | 0.035 |
| 325, | 07 Mar, | 21:44:55, | 0.194 |
| 326, | 07 Mar, | 21:45:25, | 0.047 |
| 327, | 07 Mar, | 21:45:55, | 0.039 |
| 328, | 07 Mar , | 21:46:25, | 0.074 |
| 329, | 07 Mar, | 21:46:55, | 0.036 |
| 330 , | 07 Mar , | 21:47:25, | 0.032 |
| 331, | 07 Mar, | 21:47:55, | 0.225 |
| 332, | 07 Mar, | 21:48:25, | 0.041 |
| 333, | 07 Mar, | 21:48:55, | 0.037 |
| 334 , | 07 Mar , | 21:49:25, | 0.032 |
| 335, | 07 Mar, | 21:49:55, | 0.030 |
| 336, | 07 Mar, | 21:50:25, | 0.032 |
| 337, | 07 Mar, | 21:50:55, | 0.078 |
| 338, | 07 Mar, | 21:51:25, | 0.036 |
| 339, | 07 Mar, | 21:51:55, | 0.034 |
| 340, | 07 Mar, | 21:52:25, | 0.029 |
| 341 , | 07 Mar , | 21:52:55, | 0.028 |
| 342, | 07 Mar, | 21:53:25, | 0.028 |
| 343, | 07 Mar, | 21:53:55, | 0.029 |
| 344, | 07 Mar, | 21:54:25, | 0.031 |
| 345, | 07 Mar, | 21:54:55, | 0.030 |



| 418, | 07 Mar, | 22:31:25, | 0.043 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 419, | 07 Mar, | 22:31:55, | 0.073 |
| 420, | 07 Mar, | 22:32:25, | 0.070 |
| 421, | 07 Mar, | 22:32:55, | 0.043 |
| 422. | 07 Mar, | 22:33:25, | 0.037 |
| 423. | 07 Mar, | 22:33:55, | 0.044 |
| 424, | 07 Mar, | 22:34:25, | 0.041 |
| 425 , | 07 Mar, | 22:34:55, | 0.046 |
| 426, | 07 Mar, | 22:35:25, | 0.051 |
| 427, | 07 Mar, | 22:35:55, | 0.150 |
| 428, | 07 Mar, | 22:36:25, | 0.040 |
| 429, | 07 Mar, | 22:36:55, | 0.146 |
| 430, | 07 Mar, | 22:37:25, | 0.091 |
| 431, | 07 Mar, | 22:37:55, | 0.063 |
| 432, | 07 Mar, | 22:38:25, | 0.082 |
| 433. | 07 Mar, | 22:38:55, | 0.107 |
| 434, | 07 Mar, | 22:39:25, | 0.062 |
| 435, | 07 Mar, | 22:39:55, | 0.106 |
| 436 , | 07 Mar, | 22:40:25, | 0.094 |
| 437, | 07 Mar, | 22:40:55, | 0.054 |
| 438 , | 07 Mar, | 22:41:25, | 0.049 |
| 439, | 07 Mar, | 22:41:55, | 0.038 |
| 440, | 07 Mar, | 22:42:25, | 0.053 |
| 441, | 07 Max, | 22:42:55, | 0.070 |
| 442 , | 07 Mar, | 22:43:25, | 0.048 |
| 443, | 07 Mar, | 22:43:55, | 0.651 |
| 444, | 07 Mar, | 22:44:25, | 0.157 |
| 445, | 07 Mar, | 22:44:55, | 0.043 |
| 446, | 07 Mar, | 22:45:25, | 0.077 |
| 447. | 07 Mar, | 22:45:55, | 0.054 |
| 448, | 07 Mar, | 22:46:25, | 0.048 |
| 449 , | 07 Mar, | 22:46:55, | 0.048 |
| 450, | 07 Mar, | 22:47:25, | 0.039 |
| 451, | 07 Mar, | 22:47:55, | 0.036 |
| 452, | 07 Mar, | 22:48:25, | 0.042 |
| 453, | 07 Mar, | 22:48:55, | 0.064 |
| 454, | 07 Mar, | 22:49:25, | 0.117 |
| 455, | 07 Mar, | 22:49:55, | 0.132 |
| 456, | 07 Mar, | 22:50:25, | 0.037 |
| 457, | 07 Mar, | 22:50:55, | 0.066 |
| 458, | 07 Mar, | 22:51:25, | 0.114 |
| 459, | 07 Mar, | 22:51:55, | 0.069 |
| 460, | 07 Mar , | 22:52:25, | 0.048 |
| 461, | 07 Mar, | 22:52:55, | 0.061 |
| 462, | 07 Mar , | 22:53:25, | 0.079 |
| 463, | 07 Mar, | 22:53:55, | 0.076 |
| 464, | 07 Max, | 22:54:25, | 0.041 |
| 465, | 07 Mar, | 22:54:55, | 0.071 |
| 466, | 07 Mar, | 22:55:25, | 0.061 |
| 467 , | 07 Mar, | 22:55:55, | 0.091 |
| 468, | 07 Mar, | 22:56:25, | 0.045 |
| 469, | 07 Mar, | 22:56:55, | 0.046 |
| 470, | 07 Mar, | 22:57:25, | 0.035 |
| 471. | 07 Mar , | 22:57:55, | 0.035 |
| 472, | 07 Mar, | 22:58:25, | 0.047 |
| 473, | 07 Mar, | 22:58:55, | 0.035 |
| 474, | 07 Mar, | 22:59:25, | 0.055 |
| 475, | 07 Mar, | 22:59:55, | 0.073 |
| 476, | 07 Mar, | 23:00:25, | 0.069 |
| 477, | 07 Mar, | 23:00:55, | 0.067 |
| 478, | 07 Mar, | 23:01:25, | 0.040 |
| 479, | 07 Max, | 23:01:55, | 0.041 |
| 480, | 07 Mar, | 23:02:25, | 0.046 |
| 481, | 07 Mar, | 23:02:55, | 0.059 |
| 482, | 07 Mar, | 23:03:25 | 0.154 |
| 483, | 07 Mar, | 23:03:55, | 0.138 |
| 484, | 07 Mar, | 23:04:25, | 0.040 |
| 485, | 07 Mar, | 23:04:55, | 0.036 |
| 486, | 07 Mar, | 23:05:25, | 0.120 |
| 487, | 07 Mar, | 23:05:55, | 0.115 |
| 488, | 07 Mar, | 23:06:25, | 0.202 |
| 489, | 07 Mar, | 23:06:55, | 0.033 |



| 562, | 07 Mar, | 23:43:25, | 0.037 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 563, | 07 Mar, | 23:43:55, | 0.036 |
| 564, | 07 Mar, | 23:44:25, | 0.059 |
| 565 r | 07 Mar, | 23:44:55, | 0.130 |
| 566 , | 07 Mar, | 23:45:25, | 0.032 |
| 567, | 07 Mar, | 23:45:55, | 0.029 |
| 568 , | 07 Mar, | 23:46:25, | 0.030 |
| 569 , | 07 Mar , | 23:46:55, | 0.032 |
| 570, | 07 Mar, | 23:47:25, | 0.051 |
| 571 | 07 Mar . | 23:47:55, | 0.035 |
| 572, | 07 Mar, | 23:48:25, | 0.034 |
| 573; | 07 Mar, | 23:48:55, | 0.031. |
| 574, | 07 Mar, | 23:49:25, | 0.028 |
| 575, | 07 Mar, | 23:49:55, | 0.029 |
| 576, | 07 Mar, | 23:50:25, | 0.029 |
| 577, | 07 Mar, | 23:50:55, | 0.031 |
| 578, | 07 Mar, | 23:51:25, | 0.031 |
| 579, | 07 Mar, | 23:51:55, | 0.046 |
| 580, | 07 Mar, | 23:52:25, | 0.067 |
| 581, | 07 Mar, | 23:52:55, | 0.047 |
| 582, | 07 Mar, | 23:53:25, | 0.051 |
| 583, | 07 Mar, | 23:53:55, | 0.047 |
| 584, | 07 Max, | 23:54:25, | 0.041 |
| 585, | 07 Mar , | 23:54:55, | 0.041 |
| 586, | 07 Mar, | 23:55:25, | 0.039 |
| 587, | 07 Mar , | 23:55:55, | 0.046 |
| 588, | 07 Mar , | 23:56:25, | 0.042 |
| 589, | 07 Mar, | 23:56:55, | 0.040 |
| 590, | 07 Mar , | 23:57:25, | 0.042 |
| 591. | 07 Mar, | 23:57:55, | 0.038 |
| 592. | 07 Mar, | $23: 58: 25$, | 0.042 |
| 593, | 07 Mar , | 23:58:55, | 0.042 |
| 594, | 07 Mar, | 23:59:25, | 0.037 |
| 595, | 07 Mar , | 23:59:55, | 0.040 |
| 596, | 08 Mar , | 00:00:25, | 0.044 |
| 597, | 08 Mar, | 00:00:55, | 0.037 |
| 598, | 08 Mar , | 00:01:25, | 0.050 |
| 599, | 08 Mar , | 00:01:55, | 0.078 |
| 600 , | 08 Mar, | 00:02:25, | 0.039 |
| 601. | 08 Mar, | 00:02:55, | 0.061 |
| 602, | 08 Mar, | 00:03:25, | 0.041 |
| 603. | 08 Mar, | 00:03:55, | 0.033 |
| 604 , | 08 Mar, | 00:04:25, | 0.035 |
| 605, | 08 Mar , | 00:04:55, | 0.035 |
| 606, | 08 Mar , | 00:05:25, | 0.035 |
| 607, | 08 Mar , | 00:05:55, | 0.041 |
| 608, | 08 Mar , | 00:06:25, | 0.032 |
| 609, | 08 Mar. | 00:06:55, | 0.037 |
| 610, | 08 Mar, | 00:07:25, | 0.034 |
| 611, | 08 Mar, | 00:07:55, | 0.031 |
| 612, | 08 Mar, | 00:08:25, | 0.032 |
| 613, | 08 Mar, | 00:08:55, | 0.032 |
| 614, | 08 Mar, | 00:09:25, | 0.039 |
| 615. | 08 Mar, | 00:09:55, | 0.409 |
| 616, | 08 Mar, | 00:10:25, | 0.065 |
| 617, | 08 Mar, | 00:10:55, | 0.064 |
| 618, | 08 Mar, | 00:11:25, | 0.053 |
| 619, | 08 Mar , | 00:11:55, | 0.048 |
| 620, | 08 Mar, | 00:12:25, | 0.040 |

$m g / m^{3}$
$m g / m^{3}$

7-Mar 22:55

Date \& Time ( $p D R$ )

$m g m m^{3}$

| pDR-1000 S/N: 05567 RoV CS |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| User ID: 5338 |  |
| Tag Number: 01 |  |
| Number of logged points: 569 |  |
| Start time and date: 19 | 18:59 07-Mar |
| Elapsed time: 04:44:30 |  |
| Lalibration Factor (\%): 100 |  |
| Max Display Concentratio | . $3.584 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ |
| Time at maxirmum 21:47:01 Mar 07 |  |
| Max STEL Concentration: $0.164 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ |  |
| Time at max STEL: 20:03:29 Mar 07 |  |
| Overall Avg Conc: $0.051 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ |  |
| Logged Data: |  |
| Point, Date , Time | Avg. (mg/m ${ }^{3}$ ) |
| 1, 07 Mar, 19:19:29, | 0.014 |
| 2, 07 Mar, 19:19:59, | 0.012 |
| 3, 07 Mar, 19:20:29, | 0.012 |
| 4, 07 Mar, 19:20:59, | 0.011 |
| 5, 07 Mar, 19:21:29, | 0.011 |
| 6, 07 Mar, 19:21:59, | 0.010 |
| 7, 07 Mar, 19:22:29, | 0.011 |
| 8, 07 Mar, 19:22:59, | 0.015 |
| 9, 07 Mar, 19:23:29, | 0.013 |
| 10, 07 Mar, 19:23:59, | 0.011 |
| 11, $07 \mathrm{Mar}, 19: 24: 29$, | 0.010 |
| 12, 07 Mar, 19:24:59, | 0.013 |
| 13, 07 Mar, 19:25:29, | 0.013 |
| 14, 07 Mar , 19:25:59, | 0.040 |
| 15, 07 Mar, 19:26:29, | 0.030 |
| 16, 07 Mar, 19:26:59, | 0.010 |
| 17, 07 Mar, 19:27:29, | 0.024 |
| 18, 07 Max, 19:27:59, | 0.022 |
| 19, 07 Mar, 19:28:29, | 0.011 |
| 20, 07 Mar, 19:28:59, | 0.050 |
| 21, 07 Mar, 19:29:29, | 0.055 |
| 22, 07 Mar, 19:29:59, | 0.032 |
| 23, 07 Mar, 19:30:29, | 0.029 |
| 24, 07 Mar, 19:30:59, | 0.020 |
| 25, 07 Mar, 19:31:29, | 0.026 |
| 26, 07 Mar, 19:31:59, | 0.027 |
| 27, 07 Mar, 19:32:29, | 0.024 |
| 28, 07 Mar, 19:32:59, | 0.030 |
| 29, 07 Mar, 19:33:29, | 0.043 |
| 30, 07 Mar, 19:33:59, | 0.062 |
| 31, 07 Mar, 19:34:29, | 0.070 |
| 32, 07 Mar, 19:34:59, | 0.065 |
| 33, 07 Mar, 19:35:29, | 0.105 |
| 34, 07 Mar, 19:35:59, | 0.085 |
| 35, 07 Mar, 19:36:29, | 0.069 |
| 36, 07 Mar, 19:36:59, | 0.016 |
| 37, 07 Mar, 19:37:29, | 0.009 |
| 38, 07 Mar, 19:37:59, | 0.017 |
| 39, 07 Mar, 19:38:29, | 0.036 |
| 40, 07 Mar, 19:38:59, | 0.032 |
| 41, $07 \mathrm{Mar}, 19: 39: 29$, | 0.012 |
| 42, 07 Mar, 19:39:59, | 0.015 |
| 43, 07 Mar, 19:40:29, | 0.015 |
| 44, 07 Mar, 19:40:59, | 0.011 |
| 45, 07 Mar, 19:41:29, | 0.014 |
| 46, 07 Max, 19:41:59, | 0.036 |
| 47, 07 Mar, 19:42:29, | 0.035 |
| 48, 07 Mar, 19:42:59, | 0.013 |
| 49, 07 Mar, 19:43:29, | $0.044{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| 50, 07 Mar, 19:43:59, | $0.078^{\circ}$ |
| 51, 07 Mar, 19:44:29, | 0.050 |
| 52, 07 Mar, 19:44:59, | 0.117 |
| 53, 07 Mar, 19:45:29, | 0.058 |
| 54, 07 Mar, 19:45:59, | 0.030 |
| 55, 07 Mar, 19:46:29, | 0.094 |
| 56, 07 Mar, 19:46:59, | 0.134 |
| 57, 07 Mar, 19:47:29, | 0.050 |


|  | 07 Ma | 19:47:59, | 0.146 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 59, | 07 Mar, | 19:48:29, | 0.182 |
| 60, | 07 Mar, | 19:48:59, | 0.194 |
| 61, | 07 Mar | 19:49:29, | 0.132 |
| 62. | 07 Mar, | 19:49:59, | 0.043 |
| 63. | 07 Mar, | 19:50:29, | 0.013 |
| 64, | 07 Mar, | 19:50:59, | 0.019 |
| 65, | 07 Mar, | 19:51:29, | 0.092 |
| 66, | 07 Mar, | 19:51:59, | 0.577 |
| 67. | 07 Mar, | 19:52:29, | 0.186 |
| 68, | 07 Mar, | 19:52:59, | 0.046 |
| 69, | 07 Mar, | 19:53:29, | 0.088 |
| 70, | 07 Mar, | 19:53:59, | 0.210 |
| 71. | 07 Mar, | 19:54:29, | 0.544 |
| 72 | 07 Max, | 19:54:59, | 0.101 |
| 73 | 07 Mar, | 19:55:29, | 0.196 |
| 74. | 07 Mar, | 19:55:59r | 0.130 |
| 75 | 07 Mar, | 19:56:29, | 0.133 |
| 76, | 07 Mar, | 19:56:59, | 0.250 |
| 77. | 07 Mar, | 19:57:29, | 0.058 |
| 78. | 07 Mar, | 19:57:59, | 0.081 |
| 79, | 07 Mar, | 19:58:29, | 0.080 |
| 80 , | 07 Mar, | 19:58:59, | 0.050 |
| 81, | 07 Max, | 19:59:29, | 0.028 |
| 82, | 07 Mar, | 19:59:59, | 0.254 |
| 83, | 07 Mar, | 20:00:29, | 0.053 |
| 84, | 07 Mar , | 20:00:59, | 0.289 |
| 85 , | 07 Mar, | 20:01:29, | 0.417 |
| 86, | 07 Mar, | 20:01:59, | 0.083 |
| 87, | 07 Mar , | 20:02:29, | 0.127 |
| 88, | 07 Mar, | 20:02:59, | 0.208 |
| 89, | 07 Mar, | 20:03:29, | 0.234 |
| 90, | 07 Mar , | 20:03:59, | 0.020 |
| 91, | 07 Mar, | 20:04:29, | 0.026 |
| 92, | 07 Mar , | 20:04:59, | 0.021 |
| 93, | 07 Mar, | 20:05:29, | 0.031 |
| 94, | 07 Mar, | 20:05:59, | 0.030 |
| 95, | 07 Mar, | 20:06:29, | 0.107 |
| 96 , | 07 Mar, | 20:06:59, | 0.078 |
| 97. | 07 Mar , | 20:07:29, | 0.087 |
| 98. | 07 Mar, | 20:07:59, | 0.026 |
| 99, | 07 Mar, | 20:08:29, | 0.010 |
| 100, | 07 Mar, | 20:08:59, | 0.047 |
| 101, | 07 Mar, | 20:09:29, | 0.050 |
| 102, | 07 Mar, | 20:09:59, | 0.123 |
| 103, | 07 Mar, | 20:10:29, | 0.696 |
| 104, | 07 Mar, | 20:10:59, | 0.119 |
| 105, | 07 Mar, | 20:11:29, | 0.017 |
| 106, | 07 Mar, | 20:11:59, | 0.049 |
| 107, | 07 Mar, | 20:12:29, | 0.090 |
| 108, | 07 Mar, | 20:12:59, | 0.076 |
| 109, | 07 Mar, | 20:13:29 | 0.030 |
| 110, | 07 Mar, | 20:13:59, | 0.034 |
| 111, | 07 Mar, | 20:14:29, | 0.018 |
| 112, | 07 Mar, | 20:14:59, | 0.027 |
| 113, | 07 Mar, | 20:15:29, | 0.108 |
| 114, | 07 Mar, | 20:15:59, | 0.091 |
| 115, | 07 Mar, | 20:16:29, | 0.201 |
| 116, | 07 Mar, | 20:16:59, | 0.058 |
| 117 , | 07 Mar, | 20:17:29, | 0.071 |
| 118, | 07 Mar, | 20:17:59, | 0.063 |
| 119, | 07 Mar, | 20:18:29, | 0.171 |
| 120, | 07 Mar, | 20:18:59, | 0.019 |
| 121, | 07 Mar, | 20:19:29, | 0.132 |
| 122. | 07 Mar, | 20:19:59, | 0.468 |
| 123, | 07 Mar, | 20:20:29, | 0.091 |
| 124, | 07 Mar, | 20:20:59, | 0.123 |
| 125, | 07 Mar, | 20:21:29, | 0.132 |
| 126. | 07 Mar, | 20:21:59, | 0.132 |
| 127. | 07 Max , | 20:22:29, | 0.131 |
| 128, | 07 Max , | 20:22:59, | 0.078 |
| 129, | 07 Mar, | 20:23:29, | 0.056 |


|  | 07 Ma | 20:23:59, | 0.058 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 131, | 07 Mar, | 20:24:29, | 0.039 |
| 132, | 07 Mar, | 20:24:59, | 0.048 |
| 133, | 07 Mar, | 20:25:29, | 0.042 |
| 134, | 07 Mar, | 20:25:59, | 0.085 |
| 135, | 07 Mar, | 20:26:29, | 0.081 |
| 136, | 07 Mar, | 20:26:59, | 0.018 |
| 137. | 07 Mar, | 20:27:29, | 0.020 |
| 138, | 07 Mar, | 20:27:59, | 0.069 |
| 139, | 07 Mar | 20:28:29, | 0.053 |
| 140, | 07 Mar, | 20:28:59, | 0.038 |
| $1{ }^{1}$ | 07 Mar, | 20:29:29, | 0.066 |
| 2 | 07 Mar | 20:29:59, | 0.156 |
| 143, | 07 Mar | 20:30:29, | 0.1 .23 |
| 144, | 07 Mar. | 20:30:59, | 0.122 |
| 5 | 07 Mar | 20:31:29, | 0.157 |
| 146 r | 07 Mar, | 20:31:59, | 0.045 |
| 147 r | 07 Mar, | 20:32:29, | 0.081 |
| 148, | 07 Mar, | 20:32:59, | 0.053 |
| 149, | 07 Mar, | 20:33:29, | 0.012 |
| 150, | 07 Mar, | 20:33:59, | 0.065 |
| 151 | 07 Mar, | 20:34:29, | 0.041 |
| 152. | 07 Mar, | 20:34:59, | 0.024 |
| 153. | 07 Mar, | 20:35:29r | 0.019 |
| 154, | 07 Mar, | 20:35:59, | 0.017 |
| 155, | 07 Mar, | 20:36:29, | 0.041 |
| 156, | 07 Mar, | 20:36:59, | 0.078 |
| 157. | 07 Mar, | 20:37:29, | 0.049 |
| 158, | 07 Mar, | 20:37:59, | 0.036 |
| 159, | 07 Mar, | 20:38:29r | 0.020 |
| 160, | 07 Mar, | 20:38:59, | 0.014 |
| 161, | 07 Mar | 20:39:29, | 0.021 |
| 162, | 07 Mar, | 20:39:59, | 0.033 |
| 163, | 07 Mar, | 20:40:29, | 0.067 |
| 164 , | 07 Mas | 20:40:59, | 0.035 |
| 165 , | 07 Mar, | 20:41:29, | 0.099 |
| 166 r | 07 Mar, | 20:41:59, | 0.036 |
| 167, | 07 Mar | 20:42:29, | 0.053 |
| 168, | 07 Mar, | 20:42:59, | 0.024 |
| 169, | 07 Mar, | 20:43:29, | 0.020 |
| 0, | 07 Mar | 20:43:59, | 0.019 |
| 71. | 07 Mar, | 20:44:29, | 0.068 |
| 72, | 07 Mar , | 20:44:59, | 0.087 |
| 3 | 07 Mar , | 20:45:29, | 0.087 |
| 174, | 07 Mar, | 20:45:59, | 0.098 |
| 175, | 07 Mar, | 20:46:29, | 0.123 |
| 6, | 07 Mar | 20:46:59, | 0.088 |
| 177, | 07 Mar, | 20:47:29, | 0.089 |
| 178, | 07 Mar, | 20:47:59, | 0.062 |
| 79 | 07 Mar, | 20:48:29, | 0.143 |
| 80, | 07 Mar, | 20:48:59, | 0.065 |
| 181, | 07 Mar, | 20:49:29, | 0.070 |
| 182, | 07 Mar, | 20:49:59, | 0.013 |
| 183, | 07 Mar, | 20:50:29, | 0.010 |
| 184, | 07 Mar, | 20:50:59, | 0.008 |
| 185, | 07 Mar , | 20:51:29, | 0.027 |
| 186, | 07 Mar, | 20:51:59, | 0.116 |
| 187. | 07 Mar, | 20:52:29, | 0.024 |
| 188, | 07 Mar, | 20:52:59, | 0.014 |
| 189, | 07 Mar, | 20:53:29, | 0.080 |
| 190, | 07 Mar, | 20:53:59, | 0.048 |
| 191, | 07 Mar, | 20:54:29, | 0.055 |
| 192, | 07 Mar, | 20:54:59, | 0.101 |
| 193. | 07 Mar. | 20:55:29, | 0.037 |
| 194, | 07 Mar, | 20:55:59, | 0.024 |
| 195, | 07 Mar, | 20:56:29, | 0.025 |
| 196, | 07 Mar, | 20:56:59, | 0.037 |
| 197. | 07 Mar, | 20:57:29, | 0.034 |
| 198, | 07 Mar, | 20:57:59, | 0.024 |
| 199, | 07 Mar, | 20:58:29, | 0.008 |
| 200, | 07 Mar, | 20:58:59, | 0.009 |
| 201 | 07 Mar | 20:59:29 | 0.008 |



|  | 07 Ma | 21:35:59, | 0.011 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 275, | 07 Mar, | 21:36:29, | 0.011 |
| 276, | 07 Mar, | 21:36:59, | 0.012 |
| 277, | 07 Mar, | 21:37:29, | 0.018 |
| 278, | 07 Mar, | 21:37:59, | 0.047 |
| 279, | 07 Mar , | 21:38:29, | 0.041 |
| 280, | 07 Mar , | 21:38:59, | 0.062 |
| 281, | 07 Mar, | 21:39:29, | 0.044 |
| 282, | 07 Mar, | 21:39:59, | 0.063 |
| 283, | 07 Mar , | 21:40:29, | 0.064 |
| 284, | 07 Mar, | 21:40:59, | 0.029 |
| 285, | 07 Mar, | 21:41:29, | 0.013 |
| 286, | 07 Mar, | 21:41:59, | 0.035 |
| 287, | 07 Mar, | 21:42:29, | 0.042 |
| 288, | 07. Mar, | 21:42:59, | 0.056 |
| 289, | 07 Mar, | 21:43:29, | 0.010 |
| 290, | 07 Mar, | 21:43:59, | 0.056 |
| 291, | 07 Mar, | 21:44:29, | 0.139 |
| 292, | 07 Mar, | 21:44:59, | 0.205 |
| 293. | 07 Mar, | 21:45:29, | 0.017 |
| 294 , | 07 Mar, | 21:45:59, | 0.090 |
| 295, | 07 Max, | 21:46:29, | 0.020 |
| 296, | 07 Mar, | 21:46:59, | 1.268 |
| 297, | 07 Mar, | 21:47:29, | 1.440 |
| 298, | 07 Mar, | 21:47:59, | 0.272 |
| 299, | 07 Mar, | 21:48:29, | 0.118 |
| 300, | 07 Mar, | 21:48:59, | 0.086 |
| 301, | 07 Mar, | 21:49:29, | 0.035 |
| 302, | 07 Mar , | 21:49:59, | 0.036 |
| 303, | 07 Mar, | 21:50:29, | 0.038 |
| 304, | 07 Mar, | 21:50:59, | 0.047 |
| 305, | 07 Mar, | 21:51:29, | 0.016 |
| 306, | 07 Mar, | 21:51:59, | 0.012 |
| 307, | 07 Mar, | 21:52:29, | 0.013 |
| 308, | 07 Mar, | 21:52:59, | 0.013 |
| 309, | 07 Mar, | 21:53:29, | 0.010 |
| 310, | 07 Mar, | 21:53:59, | 0.011 |
| 311 r | 07 Mar, | 21:54:29, | 0.009 |
| 312, | 07 Mar, | 21:54:59, | 0.010 |
| 313, | 07 Mar, | 21:55:29, | 0.013 |
| 314, | 07 Mar , | 21:55:59, | 0.010 |
| 315, | 07 Mar, | 21:56:29, | 0.016 |
| 316, | 07 Mar, | 21:56:59, | 0.011 |
| 317, | 07 Mar, | 21:57:29, | 0.009 |
| 318, | 07 Mar, | 21:57:59, | 0.086 |
| 319, | 07 Mar, | 21:58:29, | 0.049 |
| 320, | 07 Mar, | 21:58:59, | 0.024 |
| 321, | 07 Mar, | 21:59:29, | 0.014 |
| 322, | 07 Mar, | 21:59:59, | 0.014 |
| 323, | 07 Mar, | 22:00:29, | 0.014 |
| 324, | 07 Mar, | 22:00:59, | 0.030 |
| 325 , | 07 Mar, | 22:01:29, | 0.026 |
| 326 , | 07 Mar, | 22:01:59, | 0.011 |
| 327. | 07 Mar, | 22:02:29, | 0.016 |
| 328, | 07 Mar, | 22:02:59, | 0.011 |
| 329, | 07 Mar, | 22:03:29, | 0.012 |
| 330, | 07 Mar , | 22:03:59, | 0.012 |
| 331. | 07 Mar, | 22:04:29, | 0.008 |
| 332, | 07 Mar, | 22:04:59, | 0.009 |
| 333, | 07 Mar, | 22:05:29, | 0.010 |
| 334, | 07 Mar, | 22:05:59, | 0.007 |
| 335, | 07 Mar, | 22:06:29, | 0.013 |
| 336, | 07 Mar, | 22:06:59, | 0.006 |
| 337, | 07 Mar, | 22:07:29, | 0.008 |
| 338, | 07 Mar, | 22:07:59, | 0.011 |
| 339, | 07 Mar, | 22:08:29, | 0.010 |
| 340 , | 07 Mar, | 22:08:59, | 0.276 |
| 341, | 07 Mar, | 22:09:29, | 0.152 |
| 342, | 07 Mar, | 22:09:59, | 0.010 |
| 343, | 07 Mar, | 22:10:29, | 0.013 |
| 344, | 07 Mar, | 22:10:59, | 0.024 |
| 345, | 07 Mar, | 22:11:29, | 0.016 |


|  | 07 Ma | 22:11:59, | 0.009 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 47 , | 07 Mar, | 22:12:29, | 0.008 |
| 348, | 07 Mar, | 22:12:59, | 0.007 |
| 49 | 07 Mar | 22:13:29, | 0.008 |
| 350, | 07 Mar, | 22:13:59, | 0.008 |
| 351, | 07 Mar, | 22:14:29, | 0.008 |
| 352, | 07 Mar | 22:14:59 | 0.008 |
| 353, | 07 Mar, | 22:15:29, | 0.011 |
| 354 , | 07 Mar, | 22:15:59, | 0.007 |
| 355, | 07 Mar | 22:16:29, | 0.006 |
| 356, | 07 Mar, | 22:16:59, | 0.034 |
| 57, | 07 Mar, | 22:17:29, | 0.019 |
| 358, | 07 Mar | 22:17:59, | 0.009 |
| 359, | 07 Mar, | 22:18:29, | 0.030 |
| 60, | 07 Mar | 22:18:59, | 0.020 |
| 361, | 07 Mar | 22:19:29, | 0.016 |
| 362, | 07 Mar, | 22:19:59, | 0.059 |
| 363, | 07 Mar, | 22:20:29, | 0.031 |
| 364, | 07 Mar | 22:20:59, | 0.016 |
| 365 , | 07 Mar, | 22:21:29, | 0.018 |
| 366, | 07 Mar, | 22:21:59, | 0.033 |
| 367, | 07 Mar | 22:22:29, | 0.042 |
| 368 , | 07 Mar, | 22:22:59, | 16 |
| 369, | 07 Mar , | 22:23:29, | 0.004 |
| 370, | 07 Mar, | 22:23:59, | 0.005 |
| 371, | 07 Mar, | 22:24:29, | 7 |
| 372, | 07 Mar, | 22:24:59, | 0.006 |
| 373, | 07 Mar , | 22:25:29, | 0.008 |
| 374 , | 07 Max, | 22:25:59, | 09 |
| 375, | 07 Mar, | 22:26:29, | 0.007 |
| 376, | 07 Mar, | 22:26:59, | 0.02 .7 |
| 377 , | 07 Mar, | 22:27:29, | 0.014 |
| 378 , | 07 Mar , | 22:27:59, | 0.015 |
| 379, | 07 Mar | 22:28:29, | 0.035 |
| 380, | 07 Mar , | 22:28:59, | 0.012 |
| 381 r | 07 Mar, | 22:29:29, | 0.017 |
| 382 , | 07 Ma | 22:29:59, | 0.108 |
| 383. | 07 Mar, | 22:30:29, | 66 |
| 384, | 07 Mar, | 22:30:59, | 0.008 |
| 385 , | 07 Mar | 22:31:29, | 10 |
| 386, | 07 Mar, | 22:31:59, | 0.015 |
| 387, | 07 Mar, | 22:32:29, | 0.008 |
| 388, | 07 Mar | 22:32:59, | 0.009 |
| 389. | 07 Mar, | 22:33:29, | 0.006 |
| 390, | 07 Mar. | 22:33:59, | 0.009 |
| , | 07 Max, | 22:34:29, | 0.009 |
| 392, | 07 Mar, | 22:34:59, | 0.009 |
| 393, | 07 Mar , | 22:35:29, | 0.011 |
| 394, | 07 Mar, | 22:35:59, | 014 |
| 395, | 07 Mar, | 22:36:29, | 0.038 |
| 396, | 07 Mar, | 22:36:59, | 0.038 |
| 397, | 07 Mar, | 22:37:29, | 0.034 |
| 398, | 07 Mar. | 22:37:59, | 0.041 |
| 399, | 07 Mar, | 22:38:29, | 0.028 |
| , | 07 Mar | 22:38:59, | 0.041 |
| 01 , | 07 Mar, | 22:39:29, | 0.022 |
| 402 r | 07 Mar, | 22:39:59, | 0.018 |
| 403, | 07 Mar, | 22:40:29; | 0.011 |
| 404, | 07 Mar, | 22:40:59, | 0.010 |
| 405, | 07 Mar | 22:41:29, | 0.008 |
| 406, | 07 Mar, | 22:41:59, | 0.020 |
| 407, | 07 Mar, | 22:42:29, | 0.014 |
| 408, | 07 Mar, | 22:42:59, | 0.013 |
| 409, | 07 Mar. | 22:43:29, | 0.009 |
| 410, | 07 Mar, | 22:43:59, | 0.012 |
| 411, | 07 Mar, | 22:44:29, | 0.014 |
| 412, | 07 Mar, | 22:44:59, | 0.079 |
| 413, | 07 Mar, | 22:45:29, | 0.027 |
| 414, | 07 Mar, | 22:45:59, | 0.016 |
| 415, | 07 Mar, | 22:46:29, | 0.009 |
| 416, | 07 Mar, | 22:46:59, | 0.008 |
| 417, | 07 Mar, | 22:47:29, | 0.007 |


|  | 07 Max, 22 | 0.012 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 419, | 07 Mar, 22:48:29, | 0.015 |
| 420, | 07 Mar, 22:48:59, | 0.009 |
| 421, | 07 Mar, 22:49:29, | 0.052 |
| 422, | 07 Mar, 22:49:59, | 0.079 |
| 423, | 07 Mar, 22:50:29, | 0.036 |
| 4. | 07 Mar, 22:50:59, | 0.048 |
| 425, | 07 Mar, 22:51:29, | 0.033 |
| 426, | 07 Mar, 22:51:59, | 0.023 |
| 42 | 07 Mar, 22:52:29, | 0.016 |
| 428, | 07 Mar, 22:52:59, | 0.009 |
| 429, | 07 Mar, 22:53:29, | 0.023 |
| 10 | 07 Mar, 22:53:59, | 0.011 |
| 431, | 07 Mar, 22:54:29, | 0.042 |
| 432, | 07 Mar, 22:54:59, | 0.052 |
| 33 | 07 Mar, 22:55:29, | 0.062 |
| 434, | 07 Mar, 22:55:59, | 0.039 |
| 435, | $07 \mathrm{Mar}, 22: 56: 29$, | 0.022 |
|  | 07 Mar, 22:56:59, | 0.010 |
| 437, | 07 Mar, 22:57:29, | 0.008 |
| 438, | 07 Mar , 22:57:59, | 0.011 |
| 439, | 07 Mar, 22:58:29, | 0.012 |
| 440, | 07 Mar, 22:58:59, | 0.013 |
| 441, | 07 Mar, 22:59:29, | 0.038 |
|  | 07 Mar, 22:59:59, | 0.078 |
| 443, | 07 Mar, 23:00:29, | 0.040 |
| 444, | 07 Mar, 23:00:59, | 0.028 |
| 45, | 07 Mar, 23:01:29, | 0.023 |
| 446 , | $07 \mathrm{Mar}, 23: 01: 59$, | 0.045 |
| 447 , | 07 Mar, 23:02:29, | 0.047 |
| 448, | 07 Mar, 23:02:59, | 0.111 |
| 449, | 07 Mar, 23:03:29, | 0.173 |
| 450, | 07 Mar, 23:03:59, | 0.023 |
| 451. | 07 Mar, 23:04:29, | 0.011 |
| 452, | 07 Mar, 23:04:59, | 0.037 |
| 453; | 07 Mar, 23:05:29, | 0.013 |
| 454, | 07 Mar, 23:05:59, | 0.034 |
| 455, | $07 \mathrm{Mar}, 23: 06: 29$, | 0.009 |
| 456, | 07 Mar, 23:06:59, | 0.010 |
| 457, | 07 Mar, 23:07:29, | 0.009 |
| 458, | 07 Mar, 23:07:59, | 0.010 |
| 459, | $07 \mathrm{Mar}, 23: 08: 29$, | 0.011 |
| 460, | $07 \mathrm{Mar}, 23: 08: 59$, | 0.013 |
| 461, | $07 \mathrm{Mar}, 23: 09: 29$ r | 0.015 |
| 462, | 07 Mar, 23:09:59, | 0.012 |
| 463, | 07 Mar, 23:10:29, | 0.011 |
| 464, | 07 Mar, 23:10:59, | 0.010 |
| 465, | $07 \mathrm{Mar}, 23: 11: 29$, | 0.007 |
| 466, | 07 Mar, 23:11:59, | 0.009 |
| 467, | 07 Mar, 23:12:29, | 0.011 |
| 468, | 07 Mar, 23:12:59, | 0.013 |
| 469, | $07 \mathrm{Mar}, 23: 13: 29$, | 0.013 |
| 470, | 07 Mar, 23:13:59, | 0.012 |
| 471, | $07 \mathrm{Mar}, 23: 14: 29$, | 0.007 |
| 72, | 07 Mar 23:14:59, | 0.015 |
| 473, | $07 \mathrm{Mar}, 23: 15: 29$, | 0.012 |
| 474, | 07 Mar, 23:15:59, | 0.011 |
| ブ, | $07 \mathrm{Mar}, 23: 16: 29$, | 0.010 |
| 476 , | $07 \mathrm{Mar}, 23: 16: 59$, | 0.019 |
| 477 , | 07 Mar, 23:17:29, | 0.024 |
| 478. | 07 Mar, 23:17:59, | 0.024 |
| 479, | 07 Mar, 23:18:29, | 0.014 |
| 480, | 07 Mar, 23:18:59, | 0.021 |
| 481, | $07 \mathrm{Mar}, 23: 19: 29$, | 0.012 |
| 482, | 07 Mar, 23:19:59, | 0.010 |
| 483, | 07 Mar, 23:20:29, | 0.011 |
| 484, | $07 \mathrm{Mar}, 23: 20: 59$, | 0.014 |
| 485, | 07 Mar, 23:21:29, | 0.016 |
| 486, | 07 Mar, 23:21:59, | 0.011 |
| 487, | $07 \mathrm{Mar}, 23: 22: 29$, | 0.009 |
| 488, | 07 Mar, 23:22:59, | 0.011 |
| 489, | $07 \mathrm{Mar}, 23: 23: 29$, | 0.012 |


|  | 07 M | 23:23:59, | 0.012 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 491, | 07 Mar, | 23:24:29, | 0.014 |
| 492, | 07 Mar , | 23:24:59, | 0.009 |
| 493 | 07 Mar, | 23:25:29, | 0.015 |
| 494, | 07 Mar, | 23:25:59, | 0.015 |
| 495, | 07 Mar, | 23:26:29, | 0.009 |
| 496, | 07 Mar | 23:26:59, | 0.011 |
| 497 , | 07 Mar, | 23:27:29, | 0.015 |
| 498, | 07 Mar, | 23:27:59, | 0.011 |
| 499. | 07 Mar, | 23:28:29, | 0.009 |
| 500 , | 07 Mar, | 23:28:59, | 0.009 |
| 501. | 07 Mar , | 23:29:29, | 0.009 |
| 502, | 07 Mar , | 23:29:59, | 0.039 |
| 503, | 07 Mar , | 23:30:29, | 0.031 |
| 504, | 07 Mar , | 23:30:59, | 0.007 |
| 505, | 07 Mar , | 23:31:29, | 0.051 |
| 506, | 07 Mar , | 23:31:59, | 0.062 |
| 507, | 07 Mar, | 23:32:29, | 0.011 |
| 508, | 07 Mar , | 23:32:59, | 0.012 |
| 509, | 07 Mar . | 23:33:29, | 0.012 |
| 510, | 07 Mar, | 23:33:59, | 0.012 |
| 511, | 07 Max , | 23:34:29, | 0.010 |
| 512, | 07 Mar, | 23:34:59, | 0.013 |
| 513, | 07 Mar, | 23:35:29, | 0.013 |
| 514, | 07 Mar, | 23:35:59, | 0.015 |
| 515, | 07 Mar, | 23:36:29, | 0.011 |
| 516, | 07 Mar, | 23:36:59, | 0.008 |
| 517. | 07 Mar, | 23:37:29, | 0.008 |
| 518, | 07 Mar, | 23:37:59, | 0.008 |
| 519, | 07 Mar , | 23:38:29, | 0.011 |
| 520, | 07 Mar, | 23:38:59, | 0.013 |
| 521, | 07 Mar, | 23:39:29, | 0.009 |
| 522, | 07 Mar, | 23:39:59, | 0.012 |
| 523, | 07 Mar, | 23:40:29, | 0.009 |
| 524, | 07 Mar, | 23:40:59, | 0.008 |
| 525, | 07 Mar, | 23:41:29, | 0.009 |
| 526 , | 07 Mar | 23:41:59, | 0.007 |
| 527, | 07 Mar, | 23:42:29, | 0.007 |
| 528, | 07 Mar, | 23:42:59, | 0.008 |
| 529, | 07 Mar | 23:43:29, | 0.008 |
| 530, | 0.7 Mar, | 23:43:59, | 0.007 |
| 531, | 07 Mar , | 23:44:29, | 0.012 |
| 532, | 07 Mar, | 23:44:59, | 0.014 |
| 533, | 07 Mar, | 23:45:29, | 0.007 |
| 534, | 07 Mar, | 23:45:59, | 0.010 |
| 535. | 07 Mar | 23:46:29, | 0.013 |
| 536, | 07 Mar, | 23:46:59, | 0.020 |
| 537, | 07 Mar, | 23:47:29, | 0.007 |
| 538. | 07 Mar, | 23:47:59, | 0.005 |
| 539. | 07 Mar, | 23:48:29, | 0.007 |
| 540, | 07 Mar , | 23:48:59, | 0.008 |
| 541, | 07 Mar, | 23:49:29, | 0.008 |
| 542, | 07 Mar, | 23:49:59, | 0.009 |
| 543, | 07 Mar, | 23:50:29, | 0.006 |
| 544 , | 07 Mar, | 23:50:59, | 0.006 |
| 545, | 07 Mar, | 23:51:29, | 0.008 |
| 546 , | 07 Mar , | 23:51:59, | 0.008 |
| 547 , | 07 Mar, | 23:52:29, | 0.014 |
| 548, | 07 Mar, | 23:52:59, | 0.011 |
| 549, | 07 Mar, | 23:53:29, | 0.123 |
| 550, | 07 Mar, | 23:53:59, | 0.038 |
| 551, | 07 Mar, | 23:54:29, | 0.034 |
| 552, | 07 Mar, | 23:54:59, | 0.133 |
| 553, | 07 Mar, | 23:55:29, | 0.008 |
| 554, | 07 Mar, | 23:55:59, | 0.013 |
| 555, | 07 Mar, | 23:56:29, | 0.021 |
| 556, | 07 Max, | 23:56:59, | 0.010 |
| 557 , | 07 Mar, | 23:57:29, | 0.015 |
| 558, | 07 Mar, | 23:57:59, | 0.016 |
| 559, | 07 Mar, | 23:58:29, | 0.012 |
| 560, | 07 Mar, | 23:58:59, | 0.016 |
| 561, | 07 Mar, | 23:59:29, | 0.028 |

562, 07 Mar, 23:59:59, 0.006
563, 08 Mar, 00:00:29, 0.011
564, 08 Mar, 00:00:59, 0.017
565, 08 Mar, 00:01:29, 0.007
566, 08 Mar, 00:01:59, 0.008
567, $08 \mathrm{Mar}_{\mathrm{r}} 00: 02: 29,0.016$
568, 08 Mar, 00:02:59, 0.014
569, 08 Mar, $00: 03: 29,0.010$




## Appendix $D$

## AES Ash Analytical Results Table

AES Hawaii, Inc. Conditioned Ash Results and Statistics


AES Hawaii, Inc. Conditioned Ash Results and Statistics

| Composite Sample Limit | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Cr} \text { (Chromium) } \\ \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg} \\ 58 \end{gathered}$ | Cu (Copper) $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ 3100 | Fe (Iron) $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ N/A | Pb (Lead) $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ 400 | Hg (Mercury) $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ 13 |  | Mo (Molybdenum) $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ 390 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 17-3-1-1 | 36.5 | 32.8 | 26300 | 18.2 |  | 0.292 |  | 9.88 |
| 17-3-1-2 | 30.2 | 39.3 | 26000 | 28.6 | ND | 0.0913 |  | 12.0 |
| 17-3-2-1 | 27.7 | 24.1 | 28800 | 14.9 |  | 0.376 |  | 6.01 |
| 17-3-2-2 | 29.2 | 21.1 | 31800 | 14.8 |  | 0.430 | ND | 3.16 |
| 17-3-3-1 | 25.7 | 16.2 | 31000 | 10.0 |  | 0.423 |  | 4.67 |
| 17-3-3-2 | 35.3 | 31.9 | 28500 | 16.3 |  | 0.329 |  | 7.20 |
| 17-4-4-1 | 35.3 | 25.8 | 27300 | 17.1 |  | 0.244 |  | 6.84 |
| 17-4-4-2 | 17.1 | 14.5 | 22400 | 9.85 |  | 0.335 |  | 4.28 |
| 17-4-5-1 | 33.1 | 29.9 | 18800 | 18.1 |  | 0.366 |  | 7.84 |
| 17-4-5-2 | 23.3 | 20.9 | 10900 | 17.2 |  | 0.303 |  | 6.84 |
| 17-4-6-1 | 16.5 | 14.8 | 17900 | 7.1 |  | 0.348 |  | 3.83 |
| 17-4-6-2 | 22.8 | 22.2 | 17700 | 13.0 |  | 0.472 |  | 7.87 |
| 18-1-7-1 | 19.0 | 18.6 | 19600 | 11.0 |  | 0.418 |  | 6.56 |
| 18-1-7-2 | 32.9 | 28.5 | 15800 | 12.8 |  | 0.282 |  | 3.29 |
| 18-1-8-1 | 33.4 | 42.1 | 17200 | 18.0 | ND | 0.117 | ND | 31.3 |
| 18-1-8-2 | 36.9 | 41.5 | 18500 | 15.1 | ND | 0.117 | ND | 32.3 |
| 18-1-9-1 | 33.9 | 40.0 | 27100 | 20.3 |  | 0.586 |  | 4.94 |
| 18-1-9-2 | 34.7 | 36.8 | 34500 | 24.7 |  | 0.613 |  | 5.43 |
| 18-2-10-1 | 32.4 | 30.0 | 38000 | 23.5 |  | 0.589 |  | 4.71 |
| 18-2-10-2 | 32.6 | 33.5 | 30900 | 25.7 |  | 0.305 |  | 4.01 |
| 18-2-11-1 | 37.8 | 37.3 | 29700 | 21.2 | ND | 0.125 |  | 6.86 |
| 18-2-11-2 | 31.4 | 34.8 | 32600 | 16.7 |  | 0.262 |  | 6.95 |
| 18-2-12-1 | 34.6 | 49.1 | 28700 | 33.3 |  | 0.278 |  | 9.37 |
| 18-2-12-2 | 32.2 | 50.4 | 21000 | 33.9 |  | 0.336 |  | 6.19 |
| 18-3-1-1 | 47.1 | 59.8 | 17000 | 18.5 |  | 0.327 |  | 2.28 |
| 18-3-1-2 | 36.5 | 54.1 | 16200 | 36.2 | ND | 1.150 |  | 4.82 |
| 18-3-2-1 | 50.3 | 34.0 | 12400 | 15.1 | ND | 1.080 |  | 4.03 |
| 18-3-2-2 | 25.5 | 35.8 | 11300 | 35.4 | ND | 0.856 |  | 4.53 |
| 18-3-3-1 | 45.8 | 34.3 | 13400 | 12.9 | ND | 0.856 |  | 4.36 |
| 18-3-3-2 | 73.3 | 25.7 | 16000 | 14.9 | ND | 1.100 |  | 4.00 |
| 18-4-4-1 | 33.2 | 28.5 | 21000 | 20.5 |  | 0.355 |  | 3.93 |
| 18-4-4-2 | 36.0 | 39.2 | 16900 | 25.1 |  | 0.438 |  | 2.68 |
| 18-4-5-1 | 22.1 | 30.9 | 12600 | 15.1 |  | 0.296 |  | 2.84 |
| 18-4-5-2 | 22.0 | 30.0 | 11700 | 17.5 |  | 0.337 |  | 0.98 |
| 18-4-6-1 | 41.4 | 37.6 | 10600 | 17.5 |  | 0.448 |  | 3.57 |
| 18-4-6-2 | 36.5 | 27.5 | 12000 | 11.6 |  | 0.261 |  | 3.50 |
| 19-1-7-1 | 57.8 | 29.2 | 24600 | 19.0 |  | 0.352 |  | 4.19 |
| 19-1-7-2 | 240.0 | 54.1 | 58600 | 51.5 |  | 0.939 |  | 10.50 |
| 19-1-8-1 | 85.2 | 23.3 | 23700 | 14.0 |  | 0.301 |  | 4.27 |
| 19-1-8-2 | 40.4 | 28.1 | 30400 | 17.1 | ND | 0.287 |  | 6.87 |
| 19-1-9-1 | 43.1 | 47.3 | 31200 | 20.1 |  | 0.307 |  | 7.50 |
| 19-1-9-2 | 14.3 | 17.8 | 19900 | 13.7 |  | 0.332 |  | 3.12 |
| Mean $+95 \%$ Confidence | 50.6 | 36.1 | 25795 | 22.1 |  |  |  |  |


| Composite Sample Limit | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ni (Nickel) } \\ \text { mg/kg } \\ 1600 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Se} \text { (Selinium) }) \\ \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg} \\ 390 \end{gathered}$ | Ag (Silver) $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ 390 | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{TI}(\text { Thallium }) \\ \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg} \\ 5.2 \end{gathered}$ | Zn (Zinc) $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ 23000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 17-3-1-1 | 50.2 | 1.88 | ND 0.608 | 0.614 | 800 |
| 17-3-1-2 | 28.7 | 2.42 | ND 0.678 | ND 0.678 | 659 |
| 17-3-2-1 | 34.3 | 1.60 | ND 0.490 | ND 0.490 | 463 |
| 17-3-2-2 | 32.7 | 1.66 | ND 0.631 | ND 0.631 | 212 |
| 17-3-3-1 | 30.2 | 1.17 | ND 0.515 | ND 0.515 | 105 |
| 17-3-3-2 | 44.7 | 2.84 | ND 0.727 | ND 0.727 | 98 |
| 17-4-4-1 | 38.8 | 2.60 | ND 0.634 | ND 0.634 | 502 |
| 17-4-4-2 | 22.5 | 1.50 | ND 0.661 | ND 0.661 | 301 |
| 17-4-5-1 | 47.3 | 4.82 | 0.212 | 0.750 | 315 |
| 17-4-5-2 | 24.5 | 3.56 | ND 0.515 | 0.603 | 84.8 |
| 17-4-6-1 | 21.6 | 1.90 | ND 0.706 | 0.360 | 93.1 |
| 17-4-6-2 | 28.3 | 3.62 | ND 0.495 | 0.837 | 293 |
| 18-1-7-1 | 23.7 | 2.30 | ND 0.485 | 0.704 | 379 |
| 18-1-7-2 | 43.8 | 1.48 | ND 0.628 | ND 0.628 | 276 |
| 18-1-8-1 | 51.4 | ND 6.25 | ND 6.25 | 0.563 | 414 |
| 18-1-8-2 | 53.0 | ND 6.47 | ND 6.47 | ND 0.388 | 607 |
| 18-1-9-1 | 48.5 | 2.30 | ND 0.678 | ND 0.407 | 959 |
| 18-1-9-2 | 45.2 | 2.38 | ND 0.698 | 0.559 | 787 |
| 18-2-10-1 | 41.0 | 2.07 | ND 0.695 | ND 3.47 | 688 |
| 18-2-10-2 | 39.3 | 2.16 | ND 0.661 | 0.727 | 330 |
| 18-2-11-1 | 50.5 | 2.83 | ND 0.64 | 0.514 | 143 |
| 18-2-11-2 | 44.3 | 1.89 | ND 0.68 | ND 0.68 | 565 |
| 18-2-12-1 | 54.7 | 2.23 | 0.259 | 0.49 | 707 |
| 18-2-12-2 | 64.8 | 1.78 | ND 0.134 | 0.46 | 457 |
| 18-3-1-1 | 69.2 | ND 0.12 | ND 0.115 | 0.97 | 88.3 |
| 18-3-1-2 | 45.8 | 2.07 | 2.280 | 1.190 | 465 |
| 18-3-2-1 | 81.1 | ND $\quad 1.08$ | ND 1.08 | ND 1.080 | 287 |
| 18-3-2-2 | 28.4 | 1.89 | ND 0.86 | ND 0.86 | 176 |
| 18-3-3-1 | 122 | ND 0.86 | ND 0.856 | ND 0.86 | 370 |
| 18-3-3-2 | 134.0 | ND 1.10 | ND 1.100 | ND $\quad 1.10$ | 177 |
| 18-4-4-1 | 54.5 | 0.30 | 2.280 | 0.61 | 905 |
| 18-4-4-2 | 40.7 | 0.09 | ND 1.395 | 0.682 | 479 |
| 18-4-5-1 | 35.5 | 0.43 | 0.41 | 0.422 | 830 |
| 18-4-5-2 | 40.6 | 0.45 | 0.27 | 0.53 | 272 |
| 18-4-6-1 | 60.7 | 0.53 | 0.402 | 0.47 | 331 |
| 18-4-6-2 | 49.0 | 0.40 | 0.528 | 0.33 | 263 |
| 19-1-7-1 | 116.0 | 0.61 | ND 0.262 | 0.63 | 871 |
| 19-1-7-2 | 609 | ND 0.29 | 1.050 | 1.650 | 1630 |
| 19-1-8-1 | 236.0 | ND 0.29 | 0.41 | 0.524 | 146 |
| 19-1-8-2 | 63.3 | 0.88 | ND 0.29 | 0.47 | 895 |
| 19-1-9-1 | 122 | 0.78 | ND 0.288 | 0.54 | 1670 |
| 19-1-9-2 | 29.8 | 0.86 | ND 0.233 | 0.53 | 779 |
| Mean +95\% Confidence | 98.4 | 1.99 | 0.796 | 0.66 | 612 |

AES Hawaii, Inc. Conditioned Ash Results and Statistics

| Composite Sample Limit | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Sb} \text { (Antimony) } \\ \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg} \\ 31 \end{gathered}$ | As (Arsenic) $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ 75 | Ba (Barium) $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ 5400 | Be (Berylium) $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ 150 | B (Boron) 12000 | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Cd} \text { (Cadmium) } \\ \mathrm{mg}_{37} \mathrm{~kg} \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17-3-1-1 | 0.741 | 23.8 | 1060 | 2.25 | 1030 | 0.30 |
| 17-3-1-2 | 1.200 | 27.1 | 1450 | 3.33 | 716 | 0.34 |
| 17-3-2-1 | 0.519 | 16.3 | 462 | 2.45 | 1100 | 0.25 |
| 17-3-2-2 | 0.316 | 18.5 | 517 | 6.30 | 1020 | 0.32 |
| 17-3-3-1 | 0.258 | 18.1 | 326 | 1.29 | 766 | 0.26 |
| 17-3-3-2 | 0.364 | 13.9 | 797 | 1.54 | 1170 | 0.36 |
| 17-4-4-1 | 0.317 | 16.4 | 873 | 1.62 | 830 | 0.32 |
| 17-4-4-2 | 0.331 | 10.6 | 442 | 1.02 | 660 | 0.33 |
| 17-4-5-1 | 0.219 | 14.7 | 806 | 2.16 | 863 | 0.54 |
| 17-4-5-2 | 0.258 | 11.7 | 1250 | 1.48 | 297 | 0.60 |
| 17-4-6-1 | 0.353 | 11.5 | 535 | 0.96 | 513 | 0.35 |
| 17-4-6-2 | 0.248 | 16.0 | 893 | 1.24 | 528 | 0.52 |
| 18-1-7-1 | 0.243 | 14.9 | 587 | 1.22 | 521 | 0.24 |
| 18-1-7-2 | 0.314 | 9.9 | 400 | 3.14 | 385 | 0.31 |
| 18-1-8-1 | 3.125 | 10.8 | 424 | 3.13 | 534 | 3.13 |
| 18-1-8-2 | 3.235 | 16.4 | 560 | 3.24 | 515 | 3.24 |
| 18-1-9-1 | 0.780 | 14.4 | 540 | 0.34 | 562 | 0.34 |
| 18-1-9-2 | 0.349 | 18.1 | 556 | 3.49 | 596 | 0.35 |
| 18-2-10-1 | 0.348 | 17.9 | 485 | 3.48 | 653 | 0.35 |
| 18-2-10-2 | 0.331 | 14.1 | 445 | 1.63 | 616 | 0.33 |
| 18-2-11-1 | 0.318 | 21.7 | 431 | 2.53 | 490 | 0.32 |
| 18-2-11-2 | 0.675 | 21.4 | 495 | 2.20 | 859 | 0.34 |
| 18-2-12-1 | 0.506 | 28.8 | 421 | 5.06 | 659 | 0.06 |
| 18-2-12-2 | 0.184 | 23.7 | 318 | 4.90 | 451 | 0.07 |
| 18-3-1-1 | 0.990 | 9.2 | 1310 | 1.73 | 151 | 0.06 |
| 18-3-1-2 | 1.200 | 29.2 | 735 | 6.80 | 492 | 0.58 |
| 18-3-2-1 | 1.330 | 23.5 | 791 | 4.20 | 404 | 0.54 |
| 18-3-2-2 | 0.428 | 15.0 | 193 | 3.59 | 188 | 0.43 |
| 18-3-3-1 | 0.428 | 14.9 | 333 | 3.19 | 488 | 0.43 |
| 18-3-3-2 | 0.550 | 9.9 | 407 | 2.45 | 372 | 0.55 |
| 18-4-4-1 | 0.395 | 10.9 | 369 | 1.05 | 500 | 0.10 |
| 18-4-4-2 | 0.178 | 12.0 | 386 | 2.71 | 528 | 0.14 |
| 18-4-5-1 | 0.127 | 6.7 | 288 | 1.39 | 426 | 0.13 |
| 18-4-5-2 | 0.102 | 2.5 | 334 | 1.24 | 360 | 0.10 |
| 18-4-6-1 | 0.141 | 7.6 | 493 | 4.05 | 921 | 0.14 |
| 18-4-6-2 | 0.344 | 11.3 | 347 | 1.41 | 658 | 0.12 |
| 19-1-7-1 | 0.131 | 14.9 | 446 | 2.02 | 955 | 0.13 |
| 19-1-7-2 | 0.313 | 45.0 | 908 | 7.86 | 2190 | 0.14 |
| 19-1-8-1 | 0.143 | 12.5 | 280 | 2.37 | 699 | 0.14 |
| 19-1-8-2 | 0.144 | 22.7 | 484 | 3.55 | 1140 | 0.14 |
| 19-1-9-1 | 0.144 | 13.2 | 470 | 2.72 | 1200 | 0.14 |
| 19-1-9-2 | 0.117 | 11.3 | 317 | 1.24 | 574 | 0.12 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mean | 0.541202 | 16.26262 | 570.5714 | 2.703548 | 680.4762 | 0.421321 |
| Standard Error | 0.103257 | 1.150265 | 44.86308 | 0.253415 | 54.53046 | 0.099213 |
| Standard Deviation | 0.669181 | 7.454568 | 290.746 | 1.642315 | 353.3977 | 0.642974 |
| Sample Variance | 0.447803 | 55.57058 | 84533.23 | 2.697197 | 124890 | 0.413415 |
| Range | 3.1335 | 42.46 | 1257 | 7.521 | 2039 | 3.1775 |
| Minimum | 0.1015 | 2.54 | 193 | 0.339 | 151 | 0.0575 |
| Maximum | 3.235 | 45 | 1450 | 7.86 | 2190 | 3.235 |
| Count | 42 | 42 | 42 | 42 | 42 | 42 |
| Confidence Level(95.0\%) | 0.208532 | 2.323007 | 90.60283 | 0.511781 | 110.1265 | 0.200365 |

AES Hawaii, Inc. Conditioned Ash Results and Statistics

| Composite Sample | $\mathrm{Cr} \text { (Chromium) }$ $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | Cu (Copper) $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | Fe (Iron) $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | Pb (Lead) $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | Hg (Mercury) $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | Mo (Mołybdenum) $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Limit | 58 | 3100 | N/A | 400 | 13 | 390 |
| 17-3-1-1 | 36.5 | 32.8 | 26300 | 18.2 | 0.292 | 9.88 |
| 17-3-1-2 | 30.2 | 39.3 | 26000 | 28.6 | 0.046 | 12.00 |
| 17-3-2-1 | 27.7 | 24.1 | 28800 | 14.9 | 0.376 | 6.01 |
| 17-3-2-2 | 29.2 | 21.1 | 31800 | 14.8 | 0.430 | 1.58 |
| 17-3-3-1 | 25.7 | 16.2 | 31000 | 10.0 | 0.423 | 4.67 |
| 17-3-3-2 | 35.3 | 31.9 | 28500 | 16.3 | 0.329 | 7.20 |
| 17-4-4-1 | 35.3 | 25.8 | 27300 | 17.1 | 0.244 | 6.84 |
| 17-4-4-2 | 17.1 | 14.5 | 22400 | 9.9 | 0.335 | 4.28 |
| 17-4-5-1 | 33.1 | 29.9 | 18800 | 18.1 | 0.366 | 7.84 |
| 17-4-5-2 | 23.3 | 20.9 | 10900 | 17.2 | 0.303 | 6.84 |
| 17-4-6-1 | 16.5 | 14.8 | 17900 | 7.1 | 0.348 | 3.83 |
| 17-4-6-2 | 22.8 | 22.2 | 17700 | 13.0 | 0.472 | 7.87 |
| 18-1-7-1 | 19.0 | 18.6 | 19600 | 11.0 | 0.418 | 6.56 |
| 18-1-7-2 | 32.9 | 28.5 | 15800 | 12.8 | 0.282 | 3.29 |
| 18-1-8-1 | 33.4 | 42.1 | 17200 | 18.0 | 0.059 | 15.65 |
| 18-1-8-2 | 36.9 | 41.5 | 18500 | 15.1 | 0.059 | 16.15 |
| 18-1-9-1 | 33.9 | 40.0 | 27100 | 20.3 | 0.586 | 4.94 |
| 18-1-9-2 | 34.7 | 36.8 | 34500 | 24.7 | 0.613 | 5.43 |
| 18-2-10-1 | 32.4 | 30.0 | 38000 | 23.5 | 0.589 | 4.71 |
| 18-2-10-2 | 32.6 | 33.5 | 30900 | 25.7 | 0.305 | 4.01 |
| 18-2-11-1 | 37.8 | 37.3 | 29700 | 21.2 | 0.063 | 6.86 |
| 18-2-11-2 | 31.4 | 34.8 | 32600 | 16.7 | 0.262 | 6.95 |
| 18-2-12-1 | 34.6 | 49.1 | 28700 | 33.3 | 0.278 | 9.37 |
| 18-2-12-2 | 32.2 | 50.4 | 21000 | 33.9 | 0.336 | 6.19 |
| 18-3-1-1 | 47.1 | 59.8 | 17000 | 18.5 | 0.327 | 2.28 |
| 18-3-1-2 | 36.5 | 54.1 | 16200 | 36.2 | 0.575 | 4.82 |
| 18-3-2-1 | 50.3 | 34.0 | 12400 | 15.1 | 0.540 | 4.03 |
| 18-3-2-2 | 25.5 | 35.8 | 11300 | 35.4 | 0.428 | 4.53 |
| 18-3-3-1 | 45.8 | 34.3 | 13400 | 12.9 | 0.428 | 4.36 |
| 18-3-3-2 | 73.3 | 25.7 | 16000 | 14.9 | 0.550 | 4.00 |
| 18-4-4-1 | 33.2 | 28.5 | 21000 | 20.5 | 0.355 | 3.93 |
| 18-4-4-2 | 36.0 | 39.2 | 16900 | 25.1 | 0.438 | 2.68 |
| 18-4-5-1 | 22.1 | 30.9 | 12600 | 15.1 | 0.296 | 2.84 |
| 18-4-5-2 | 22.0 | 30.0 | 11700 | 17.5 | 0.337 | 0.98 |
| 18-4-6-1 | 41.4 | 37.6 | 10600 | 17.5 | 0.448 | 3.57 |
| 18-4-6-2 | 36.5 | 27.5 | 12000 | 11.6 | 0.261 | 3.50 |
| 19-1-7-1 | 57.8 | 29.2 | 24600 | 19.0 | 0.352 | 4.19 |
| 19-1-7-2 | 240.0 | 54.1 | 58600 | 51.5 | 0.939 | 10.50 |
| 19-1-8-1 | 85.2 | 23.3 | 23700 | 14.0 | 0.301 | 4.27 |
| 19-1-8-2 | 40.4 | 28.1 | 30400 | 17.1 | 0.144 | 6.87 |
| 19-1-9-1 | 43.1 | 47.3 | 31200 | 20.1 | 0.307 | 7.50 |
| 19-1-9-2 | 14.3 | 17.8 | 19900 | 13.7 | 0.332 | 3.12 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mean | 39.88095 | 32.69762 | 22869.05 | 19.45333 | 0.361182 | 5.879095 |
| Standard Error | 5.306354 | 1.693958 | 1448.955 | 1.318392 | 0.02588 | 0.506329 |
| Standard Deviation | 34.3891 | 10.9781 | 9390.303 | 8.54416 | 0.16772 | 3.281389 |
| Sample Variance | 1182.61 | 120.5188 | 88177799 | 73.00266 | 0.02813 | 10.76751 |
| Range | 225.7 | 45.3 | 48000 | 44.41 | 0.89335 | 15.188 |
| Minimum | 14.3 | 14.5 | 10600 | 7.09 | 0.04565 | 0.982 |
| Maximum | 240 | 59.8 | 58600 | 51.5 | 0.939 | 16.15 |
| Count | 42 | 42 | 42 | 42 | 42 | 42 |
| Confidence Level(95.0\%) | 10.7164 | 3.421018 | 2926.225 | 2.662548 | 0.052265 | 1.022553 |

AES Hawail, Inc. Conditioned Ash Results and Statistics

| Composite <br> Sample | Ni (Nickel) <br> mg/kg | Se (Selinium) <br> mg/kg | Ag (Silver) <br> mg/kg | Tl (Thallilum) <br> Limit | 1600 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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## Appendix E

## Statistical Analysis

## General UCL Statistics for Full Data Sets

## User Selected Options

From File C:IDocuments and Settingsivincent.yanagitalMy Documents\Projectslpvt|Risk Assessmentl2008-2009 Condition
Full Precision OFF
Confidence Coefficient 95\%
Number of Bootstrap Operations 2000

## General Statistics

Number of Valid Observations 42

## Raw Statistics <br> Minimum 0.102 <br> Maximum 3.235 <br> Mean 0.541 <br> Median 0.331 <br> SD 0.669 <br> Coefficient of Variation 1.236 <br> Skewness 3.169

Number of Distinct Observations 38

## Log-transformed Statistics

Minimum of Log Data -2.288
Maximum of Log Data 1.174
Mean of $\log$ Data - 1.013
SD of $\log$ Data 0.817

Relevant UCL Statistics

## Normal Distribution Test

Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic 0.571
Shapiro Wilk Critical Value 0.942
Data not Normal at 5\% Significance Level

## Assuming Normal Distribution

95\% Student's-t UCL 0.715
95\% UCLs (Adjusted for Skewness)
95\% Adjusted-CLT UCL 0.765 95\% Modified-t UCL 0.723

## Gamma Distribution Test

k star (bias corrected) 1.312
Theta Star 0.412 nu star 110.2

Approximate Chi Square Value (.05) 87.01
Adjusted Level of Significance 0.0443
Adjusted Chi Square Value 86.28

Anderson-Darling Test Statistic 2.106
Anderson-Darling 5\% Critical Value 0.769
Kolmogorov-Smirnov Test Statistic 0.205
Kolmogorov-Smirnov 5\% Critical Value 0.139
Data not Gamma Distributed at 5\% Significance Level

## Assuming Gamma Distribution

95\% Approximate Gamma UCL 0.686
95\% Adjusted Gamma UCL 0.692

## Lognormal Distribution Test

Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic 0.898
Shapiro Wilk Critical Value 0.942
Data not Lognormal at 5\% Significance Level

## Assuming Lognormal Distribution

95\% H-UCL 0.668
95\% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL 0.81
97.5\% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL 0.944

99\% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL 1.206

Data Distribution
Data do not follow a Discernable Distribution (0.05)

Nonparametric Statistics<br>95\% CLT UCL 0.711<br>95\% Jackknife UCL 0.715<br>95\% Standard Bootstrap UCL 0.711<br>95\% Bootstrap-t UCL 0.871<br>95\% Hall's Bootstrap UCL 1.515<br>$95 \%$ Percentile Bootstrap UCL 0.719<br>95\% BCA Bootstrap UCL 0.778<br>95\% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL 0.991<br>97.5\% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL 1.186<br>99\% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL 1.569

Use 95\% Chebyshev (Mean, Sd) UCL 0.991

General Statistics
Number of Valid Observations 42
Number of Distinct Observations 37

| Raw Statistics | Log-transformed Statistics |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Minimum 2.54 | Minimum of Log Data 0.932 |
| Maximum 45 | Maximum of Log Data 3.807 |
| Mean 16.26 | Mean of log Data 2.689 |
| Median 14.9 | SD of log Data 0.474 |
| SD 7.455 |  |
| Coefficient of Variation 0.458 |  |

Skewness 1.535

Relevant UCL Statistics

## Normal Distribution Test

Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic 0.871
Shapiro Wilk Critical Value 0.942
Data not Normal at 5\% Significance Level

## Assuming Normal Distribution

95\% Student's-t UCL 18.2
95\% UCLs (Adjusted for Skewness)
95\% Adjusted-CLT UCL 18.45
95\% Modified-t UCL 18.24

## Gamma Distribution Test

k star (bias corrected) 4.808
Theta Star 3.382 nu star 403.9

Approximate Chi Square Value (.05) 358.3
Adjusted Level of Significance 0.0443
Adjusted Chi Square Value 356.8

Anderson-Darling Test Statistic 0.483
Anderson-Darling 5\% Critical Value 0.752
Kolmogorov-Smirnov Test Statistic 0.101
Kolmogorov-Smirnov 5\% Critical Value 0.137
Data appear Gamma Distributed at 5\% Significance Level

## Assuming Gamma Distribution

95\% Approximate Gamma UCL 18.33
95\% Adjusted Gamma UCL 18.41

## Data Distribution

Data appear Gamma Distributed at 5\% Significance Level

\author{

## Lognormal Distribution Test

 <br> Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic 0.911 <br> Shapiro Wilk Critical Value 0.942 <br> Data not Lognormal at 5\% Significance Level <br> \section*{Assuming Lognormal Distribution} <br> 95\% H-UCL 18.92 <br> 95\% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL 21.89 <br> 97.5\% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL 24.25 <br> 99\% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL 28.9}

Nonparametric Statistics
95\% CLT UCL 18.15
95\% Jackknife UCL 18.2
95\% Standard Bootstrap UCL 18.14
95\% Bootstrap-t UCL 18.58
95\% Hall's Bootstrap UCL 18.93
95\% Percentile Bootstrap UCL 18.17
95\% BCA Bootstrap UCL 18.59
95\% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL 21.28
97.5\% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL 23.45

99\% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL 27.71

Use 95\% Approximate Gamma UCL 18.33

Ba (Barium)

## General Statistics

Number of Valid Observations 42
Number of Distinct Observations 42
Raw Statistics

\[\)|  Minimum  193 |  Log-transformed Statistics  |
| :---: | ---: |
|  Maximum  1450 |  Minimum of Log Data  5.263 |
|  Mean  570.6 |  Maximum of Log Data  7.279 |
|  Median  477 |  Mean of log Data  6.241 |
|  SD of log Data  0.45 |  |

\]

SD 290.7
Coefficient of Variation 0.51
Skewness 1.485

## Relevant UCL Statistics

## Normal Distribution Test

Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic 0.809
Shapiro Wilk Critical Value 0.942
Data not Normal at 5\% Significance Level

## Assuming Normal Distribution

95\% Student's-t UCL 646.1
95\% UCLs (Adjusted for Skewness)
95\% Adjusted-CLT UCL 655.3
95\% Modified-t UCL 647.8

## Gamma Distribution Test

k star (bias corrected) 4.573
Theta Star 124.8
nu star 384.1
Approximate Chi Square Value (.05) 339.7
Adjusted Level of Significance 0.0443
Adjusted Chi Square Value 338.2

Anderson-Darling Test Statistic 1.234
Anderson-Darling 5\% Critical Value 0.752
Kolmogorov-Smirnov Test Statistic 0.17
Kolmogorov-Smirnov 5\% Critical Value 0.137
Data not Gamma Distributed at 5\% Significance Level

## Assuming Gamma Distribution

95\% Approximate Gamma UCL 645.2 95\% Adjusted Gamma UCL 648

Potential UCL to Use
Use 95\% Student's-t UCL 646.1
or 95\% Modified-t UCL 647.8

| Raw Statistics | Minimum |
| ---: | ---: |
|  | 0.339 |
| Maximum | 7.86 |
| Mean | 2.704 |
| Median | 2.41 |
| SD | 1.642 |
| Coefficient of Variation | 0.607 |

Skewness 1.326

## Relevant UCL Statistics

[^0]Potential UCL to Use
Use 95\% Approximate Gamma UCL 3.167

Raw Statistics | Minimum 151 |
| ---: |
| Maximum 2190 |
| Mean 680.5 |
| Median 585 |
| SD 353.4 |
| Coefficient of Variation 0.519 |

Skewness 2.022

## Relevant UCL Statistics

## Normal Distribution Test

Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic 0.823
Shapiro Wilk Critical Value 0.942
Data not Normal at 5\% Significance Level

## Assuming Normal Distribution

95\% Student's-t UCL 772.2
95\% UCLs (Adjusted for Skewness)
95\% Adjusted-CLT UCL 788.3
95\% Modified-t UCL 775.1

## Gamma Distribution Test

k star (bias corrected) 4.198
Theta Star 162.1
nu star 352.7
Approximate Chi Square Value (.05) 310.2
Adjusted Level of Significance 0.0443
Adjusted Chi Square Value 308.7

Anderson-Darling Test Statistic 0.513
Anderson-Darling 5\% Critical Value 0.752
Kolmogorov-Smirnov Test Statistic 0.106 Kolmogorov-Smirnov 5\% Critical Value 0.137

Data appear Gamma Distributed at 5\% Significance Level

## Assuming Gamma Distribution

95\% Approximate Gamma UCL 773.8 95\% Adjusted Gamma UCL 777.3

## Lognormal Distribution Test

Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic 0.935
Shapiro Wilk Critical Value 0.942
Data not Lognormal at 5\% Significance Level

## Assuming Lognormal Distribution

95\% H-UCL 791.5
95\% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL 918.8
97.5\% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL 1021

99\% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL 1222

## Data Distribution

Data appear Gamma Distributed at 5\% Significance Level
Nonparametric Statistics
95\% CLT UCL 770.2
95\% Jackknife UCL 772.2
$95 \%$ Standard Bootstrap UCL 766.9
95\% Bootstrap-t UCL 799.9
95\% Hall's Bootstrap UCL 831.3
$95 \%$ Percentile Bootstrap UCL 769.7
95\% BCA Bootstrap UCL 790.7
$95 \%$ Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL 918.2
$97.5 \%$ Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL 1021
$99 \%$ Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL 1223

Use 95\% Approximate Gamma UCL 773.8

## Cd (Cadmium)

## General Statistics

## Raw Statistics

Minimum 0.0575
Maximum 3.235
Mean 0.421
Median 0.316
SD 0.643
Coefficient of Variation 1.526
Skewness 4.007

## Relevant UCL Statistics

## Normal Distribution Test

Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic 0.434
Shapiro Wilk Critical Value 0.942
Data not Normal at 5\% Significance Level

## Assuming Normal Distribution

95\% Student's-t UCL 0.588
95\% UCLs (Adjusted for Skewness)
95\% Adjusted-CLT UCL 0.65
95\% Modified-t UCL 0.599

## Gamma Distribution Test

k star (bias corrected) 1.166
Theta Star 0.361
nu star 97.92
Approximate Chi Square Value (.05) 76.09
Adjusted Level of Significance 0.0443
Adjusted Chi Square Value 75.4

Anderson-Darling Test Statistic 2.634
Anderson-Darling 5\% Critical Value 0.773
Kolmogorov-Smirnov Test Statistic 0.204
Kolmogorov-Smirnov 5\% Critical Value 0.14
Data not Gamma Distributed at 5\% Significance Level

## Assuming Gamma Distribution

95\% Approximate Gamma UCL 0.542
95\% Adjusted Gamma UCL 0.547
Lognormal Distribution Test
Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic 0.875
Shapiro Wilk Critical Value 0.942
Data not Lognormal at $5 \%$ Significance Level

## Assuming Lognormal Distribution

95\% H-UCL 0.512
$95 \%$ Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL 0.623
97.5\% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL 0.728

99\% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL 0.935

## Data Distribution

Data do not follow a Discernable Distribution (0.05)

## Nonparametric Statistics

95\% CLT UCL 0.585
95\% Jackknife UCL 0.588
95\% Standard Bootstrap UCL 0.578
95\% Bootstrap-t UCL 1.032
95\% Hall's Bootstrap UCL 1.548
95\% Percentile Bootstrap UCL 0.606
95\% BCA Bootstrap UCL 0.649
95\% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL 0.854
97.5\% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL 1.041

99\% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL 1.408

General Statistics
Number of Valid Observations 42
Number of Distinct Observations 39

## Raw Statistics

Minimum 14.3
Maximum 240
Mean 39.88
Median 33.65
SD 34.39
Coefficient of Variation 0.862
Skewness 5.08

## Log-transformed Statistics

Minimum of Log Data 2.66
Maximum of Log Data 5.481
Mean of $\log$ Data 3.538
SD of $\log$ Data 0.47

## Relevant UCL Statistics

## Normal Distribution Tes

Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic 0.464
Shapiro Wilk Critical Value 0.942
Data not Normal at $5 \%$ Significance Level

Assuming Normal Distribution
95\% Student's-t UCL 48.81

## 95\% UCLs (Adjusted for Skewness)

95\% Adjusted-CLT UCL 53.05
95\% Modified-t UCL 49.5

Lognormal Distribution Test<br>Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic 0.85<br>Shapiro Wilk Critical Value 0.942 Data not Lognormal at 5\% Significance Level

## Assuming Lognormal Distribution

95\% H-UCL 44.08
95\% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL 50.94
97.5\% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL 56.41

99\% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL 67.15

Data Distribution
Data do not follow a Discernable Distribution (0.05)
Theta Star 12.07
nu star 277.4
Approximate Chi Square Value (.05) 239.9
Adjusted Level of Significance 0.0443
Adjusted Chi Square Value 238.6

Anderson-Darling Test Statistic 2.897
Anderson-Darling 5\% Critical Value 0.754
Kolmogorov-Smirnov Test Statistic 0.23
Kolmogorov-Smirnov 5\% Critical Value 0.137
Data not Gamma Distributed at 5\% Significance Level

## Assuming Gamma Distribution

95\% Approximate Gamma UCL 46.13
95\% Adjusted Gamma UCL 46.37

## Cu (Copper)

## General Statistics

| Raw Statistics | Log-transformed Statistics |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| $\qquad$ | Minimum 14.5 | Minimum of Log Data 2.674 |
| Maximum 59.8 | Maximum of Log Data 4.091 |  |
| Mean 32.7 | Mean of log Data 3.43 |  |
| Median 31.4 | SD of log Data 0.349 |  |
| SD 10.98 |  |  |
| Coefficient of Variation 0.336 |  |  |

Skewness 0.504

## Relevant UCL Statistics

## Normal Distribution Test

Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic 0.927
Shapiro Wilk Critical Value 0.942
Data not Normal at 5\% Significance Level

## Assuming Normal Distribution

95\% Student's-t UCL 35.55
95\% UCLs (Adjusted for Skewness)
95\% Adjusted-CLT UCL 35.62
95\% Modified-t UCL 35.57

## Lognormal Distribution Test

Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic 0.934
Shapiro Wilk Critical Value 0.942
Data not Lognormal at 5\% Significance Level

## Assuming Lognormal Distribution

95\% H-UCL 36.19
95\% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL 40.64
97.5\% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL 44.05 99\% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL 50.74

## Data Distribution

Data appear Gamma Distributed at 5\% Significance Level

## Nonparametric Statistics

95\% CLT UCL 35.48
95\% Jackknife UCL 35.55
95\% Standard Bootstrap UCL 35.39
95\% Bootstrap-t UCL 35.73
95\% Hall's Bootstrap UCL 35.69
95\% Percentile Bootstrap UCL 35.37
95\% BCA Bootstrap UCL 35.51
95\% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL 40.08
97.5\% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL 43.28

99\% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL 49.55
95\% Approximate Gamma UCL 35.79
95\% Adjusted Gamma UCL 35.91

Potential UCL to Use

## General Statistics

| Raw Statistics | Log-transformed Statistics |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Minimum 10600 | Minimum of Log Data 9.269 |
| Maximum 58600 | Maximum of Log Data 10.98 |
| Mean 22869 | Mean of $\log$ Data 9.961 |
| Median 21000 | SD of log Data 0.396 |
| SD 9390 |  |
| Coefficient of Variation 0.411 |  |

Skewness 1.317

## Relevant UCL Statistics

Normal Distribution Test
Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic 0.857
Shapiro Wilk Critical Value 0.942
Data not Normal at 5\% Significance Level

## Assuming Normal Distribution

95\% Student's-t UCL 25307
95\% UCLs (Adjusted for Skewness)
95\% Adjusted-CLT UCL 25567
95\% Modified-t UCL 25357

## Gamma Distribution Test

k star (bias corrected) 6.232
Theta Star 3669
nu star 523.5
Approximate Chi Square Value (.05) 471.5
Adjusted Level of Significance 0.0443
Adjusted Chi Square Value 469.7

Anderson-Darling Test Statistic 0.472
Anderson-Darling 5\% Critical Value 0.751
Kolmogorov-Smirnov Test Statistic 0.0846
Kolmogorov-Smirnov 5\% Critical Value 0.137
Data appear Gamma Distributed at 5\% Significance Level

## Assuming Gamma Distribution

95\% Approximate Gamma UCL 25394
95\% Adjusted Gamma UCL 25489

Potential UCL to Use
Use 95\% Approximale Gamma UCL 25394

## General Statistics

Number of Valid Observations 42

## Raw Statistics

Minimum 7.09
Maximum 51.5
Mean 19.45
Median 17.35
SD 8.544
Coefficient of Variation 0.439
Skewness 1.732

Relevant UCL Statistics

## Normal Distribution Test

Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic 0.83
Shapiro Wilk Critical Value 0.942
Data not Normal at $5 \%$ Significance Level

## Assuming Normal Distribution

95\% Student's-t UCL 21.67
95\% UCLs (Adjusted for Skewness)
95\% Adjusted-CLT UCL 22
95\% Modified-t UCL 21.73

## Gamma Distribution Test

k star (bias corrected) 6.099
Theta Star 3.19
nu star 512.3
Approximate Chi Square Value (.05) 460.8
Adjusted Level of Significance 0.0443
Adjusted Chi Square Value 459.1

Anderson-Darling Test Statistic 0.878
Anderson-Darling 5\% Critical Value 0.751
Kolmogorov-Smirnov Test Statistic 0.141
Kolmogorov-Smirnov 5\% Critical Value 0.137
Data not Gamma Distributed at 5\% Significance Level

## Assuming Gamma Distribution

95\% Approximate Gamma UCL 21.63
95\% Adjusted Gamma UCL 21.71

## Log-transformed Statistics

Minimum of Log Data 1.959
Maximum of Log Data 3.942
Mean of log Data 2.89 SD of $\log$ Data 0.39

## Lognormal Distribution Test

Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic 0.943
Shapiro Wilk Critical Value 0.942
Data appear Lognormal at 5\% Significance Level

## Assuming Lognormal Distribution

95\% H-UCL 21.69
95\% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL 24.61
97.5\% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL 26.87

99\% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL 31.32

## Data Distribution

Data appear Lognormal at 5\% Significance Level

## Nonparametric Statistics

95\% CLT UCL 21.62
95\% Jackknife UCL 21.67
95\% Standard Bootstrap UCL 21.58
95\% Bootstrap-t UCL 22.21
95\% Hali's Bootstrap UCL 22.57
95\% Percentile Bootstrap UCL 21.64
95\% BCA Bootstrap UCL 22.1
95\% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL 25.2
97.5\% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL 27.69

99\% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL 32.57

Use 95\% Student's-t UCL 21.67
or $95 \%$ Modified-t UCL 21.73
or $95 \%$ H-UCL 21.69

## General Statistics

Number of Valid Observations 42
Number of Distinct Observations 40
Raw Statistics

\[\)|  Minimum  0.0457 |
| ---: |
|  Maximum  0.939 |
|  Mean  0.361 |
|  Median  0.337 |
|  SD  0.168 |

\]

Coefficient of Variation 0.464
Skewness 0.695

## Log-transformed Statistics

Minimum of Log Data -3.087
Maximum of Log Data -0.0629
Mean of $\log$ Data -1.169
SD of $\log$ Data 0.647

## Relevant UCL Statistics

## Normal Distribution Test

Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic 0.903
Shapiro Wilk Critical Value 0.942
Data not Normal at 5\% Significance Level

## Assuming Normal Distribution

95\% Student's-t UCL 0.405
95\% UCLs (Adjusted for Skewness)
95\% Adjusted-CLT UCL 0.407 95\% Modified-t UCL 0.405

## Lognormal Distribution Test

Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic 0.777
Shapiro Wilk Critical Value 0.942
Data not Lognormal at 5\% Significance Level

## Assuming Lognormal Distribution

95\% H-UCL 0.469
95\% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL 0.559
97.5\% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL 0.636

99\% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL 0.788

## Data Distribution

Data do not follow a Discernable Distribution (0.05)
Theta Star 0.111
nu star 273
Approximate Chi Square Value (.05) 235.7
Adjusted Level of Significance 0.0443
Adjusted Chi Square Value 234.5

Anderson-Darling Test Statistic 2.062
Anderson-Darling 5\% Critical Value 0.754
Kolmogorov-Smirnov Test Statistic 0.205
Kolmogorov-Smirnov 5\% Critical Value 0.137
Data not Gamma Distributed at 5\% Significance Level

## Assuming Gamma Distribution

95\% Approximate Gamma UCL 0.418 95\% Adjusted Gamma UCL 0.42

Potential UCL to Use

## Mo (Molybdenum)

General Statistics
Number of Valid Observations 42
Number of Distinct Observations 41

| Raw Statistics | Log-transformed Statistics |
| :---: | :---: |
| Minimum 0.982 | Minimum of Log Data -0.0182 |
| Maximum 16.15 | Maximum of Log Data 2.782 |
| Mean 5.879 | Mean of $\log$ Data 1.63 |
| Median 4.765 | SD of $\log$ Data 0.553 |
| SD 3.281 |  |
| Coefficient of Variation 0.558 |  |
| Skewness 1.486 |  |
| Relevan | stics |
| Normal Distribution Test | Lognormal Distribution Test |
| Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic 0.842 | Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic 0.935 |
| Shapiro Wilk Critical Value 0.942 | Shapiro Wilk Critical Value 0.942 |
| Data not Normal at 5\% Significance Level | Data not Lognormal at 5\% Significance Level |
| Assuming Normal Distribution | Assuming Lognormal Distribution |
| 95\% Student's-t UCL 6.731 | 95\% H-UCL 7.024 |
| 95\% UCLs (Adjusted for Skewness) | 95\% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL 8.251 |
| 95\% Adjusted-CLT UCL 6.836 | 97.5\% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL 9.26 |
| 95\% Modified-t UCL 6.751 | 99\% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL 11.24 |
| Gamma Distribution Test | Data Distribution |
| k star (bias corrected) 3.438 | Data appear Gamma Distributed at 5\% Significance Level |
| Theta Star 1.71 |  |
| nu star 288.8 |  |
| Approximate Chi Square Value (.05) 250.4 | Nonparametric Statistics |
| Adjusted Level of Significance 0.0443 | 95\% CLT UCL 6.712 |
| Adjusted Chi Square Value 249.1 | 95\% Jackknife UCL 6.731 |
|  | 95\% Standard Bootstrap UCL 6.714 |
| Anderson-Darling Test Statistic 0.482 | 95\% Bootstrap-t UCL 6.89 |
| Anderson-Darling 5\% Critical Value 0.753 | 95\% Hall's Bootstrap UCL 6.931 |
| Kolmogorov-Smirnov Test Statistic 0.106 | 95\% Percentile Bootstrap UCL 6.706 |
| Kolmogorov-Smirnov 5\% Critical Value 0.137 | 95\% BCA Bootstrap UCL 6.795 |
| Data appear Gamma Distributed at 5\% Significance Level | 95\% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL 8.086 |
|  | 97.5\% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL 9.041 |
| Assuming Gamma Distribution | 99\% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL 10.92 |
| 95\% Approximate Gamma UCL 6.78 |  |
| 95\% Adjusted Gamma UCL 6.814 |  |
| Potential UCL to Use | Use 95\% Approximate Gamma UCL 6.78 |

## General Statistics

## Raw Statistics

Minimum 21.6
Maximum 609
Mean 69.09
Median 45.5
SD 93.96
Coefficient of Variation 1.36
Skewness 4.99

## Relevant UCL Statistics

## Normal Distribution Test

Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic 0.428
Shapiro Wilk Critical Value 0.942
Data not Normal at 5\% Significance Level

## Assuming Normal Distribution

95\% Student's-t UCL 93.48
95\% UCLs (Adjusted for Skewness)
95\% Adjusted-CLT UCL 104.9
95\% Modified-t UCL 95.34

## Gamma Distribution Test

k star (bias corrected) 1.67
Theta Star 41.38
nu star 140.3
Approximate Chi Square Value (.05) 113.9
Adjusted Level of Significance 0.0443
Adjusted Chi Square Value 113

Anderson-Darling Test Statistic 3.554
Anderson-Darling 5\% Critical Value 0.763
Kolmogorov-Smirnov Test Statistic 0.255
Kolmogorov-Smirnov 5\% Critical Value 0.138
Data not Gamma Distributed at 5\% Significance Level

## Assuming Gamma Distribution

95\% Approximate Gamma UCL 85.08
95\% Adjusted Gamma UCL 85.72

Lognormal Distribution Test<br>Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic 0.835<br>Shapiro Wilk Critical Value 0.942<br>Data not Lognormal at 5\% Significance Level

## Assuming Lognormal Distribution

95\% H-UCL 76.65
95\% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL 91.4
97.5\% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL 104 99\% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL 128.8

## Data Distribution

Data do not follow a Discernable Distribution (0.05)

## Nonparametric Statistics

95\% CLT UCL 92.93
95\% Jackknife UCL 93.48
95\% Standard Bootstrap UCL 93.11
95\% Bootstrap-t UCL 139.2
95\% Hall's Bootstrap UCL 186.3
95\% Percentile Bootstrap UCL 95.72
95\% BCA Bootstrap UCL 109.6
95\% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL 132.3
97.5\% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL 159.6

99\% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL 213.3

Use 95\% Chebyshev (Mean, Sd) UCL 132.3

## Se (Selinium)

## General Statistics

Number of Valid Observations 42
Number of Distinct Observations 39
Raw Statistics

\[\)|  |
| ---: | :--- |
|  Minimum  0.0575 |
|  Maximum  4.82 |
|  Mean  1.631 |
|  Median  1.72 |
|  SD  1.142 |
|  Coefficient of Variation  0.7 |
|  Skewness  0.539 |

\]

## Log-transformed Statistics

Minimum of Log Data -2.856
Maximum of Log Data 1.573
Mean of $\log$ Data 0.103
SD of $\log$ Data 1.064

## Relevant UCL Statistics

## Normal Distribution Test

Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic 0.892
Shapiro Wilk Critical Value 0.942
Data not Normal at 5\% Significance Level

## Assuming Normal Distribution

95\% Student's-t UCL 1.927
95\% UCLs (Adjusted for Skewness)
95\% Adjusted-CLT UCL 1.936
95\% Modified-t UCL 1.93
Lognormal Distribution Test
Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic 0.848
Shapiro Wilk Critical Value 0.942
Data not Lognormal at $5 \%$ Significance Level

## Assuming Lognormal Distribution

95\% H-UCL 2.916
95\% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL 3.535
97.5\% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL 4.237

99\% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL 5.616

Data Distribution
Data do not follow a Discernable Distribution (0.05)
Theta Star 1.205
nu star 113.6
Approximate Chi Square Value (.05) 90.04
Adjusted Level of Significance 0.0443
Adjusted Chi Square Value 89.29

Anderson-Darling Test Statistic 0.965
Anderson-Darling 5\% Critical Value 0.768
Kolmogorov-Smirnov Test Statistic 0.162
Kolmogorov-Smirnov 5\% Critical Value 0.139
Data not Gamma Distributed at 5\% Significance Level

## Assuming Gamma Distribution

95\% Approximate Gamma UCL 2.059 95\% Adjusted Gamma UCL 2.076

Potential UCL to Use

## General Statistics

Number of Valid Observations 42
Number of Distinct Observations 37

## Raw Statistics

Minimum 0.0575
Maximum 3.235
Mean 0.564
Median 0.334
SD 0.745
Coefficient of Variation 1.321
Skewness 2.795

## Log-transformed Statistics

Minimum of Log Data -2.856
Maximum of Log Data 1.174
Mean of $\log$ Data -1.019
SD of $\log$ Data 0.854

## Lognormal Distribution Test

Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic 0.869
Shapiro Wilk Critical Value 0.942
Data not Lognormal at 5\% Significance Level

## Assuming Lognormal Distribution

95\% H-UCL 0.697
95\% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL 0.848
97.5\% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL 0.992

99\% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL 1.275

## Data Distribution

Data do not follow a Discernable Distribution (0.05)

## Nonparametric Statistics

95\% CLT UCL 0.753
95\% Jackknife UCL 0.757
95\% Standard Bootstrap UCL 0.755
95\% Bootstrap-t UCL 0.864
95\% Hall's Bootstrap UCL 0.768
95\% Percentile Bootstrap UCL 0.772
95\% BCA Bootstrap UCL 0.828
95\% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL 1.065
97.5\% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL 1.281

99\% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL 1.707
95\% Approximate Gamma UCL 0.723
95\% Adjusted Gamma UCL 0.73
Lognormal Distribution Test
Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic 0.869
Shapiro Wilk Critical Value 0.942
Data not Lognormal at $5 \%$ Significance Level
Assuming Lognormal Distribution
95\% H-UCL 0.697
95\% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL 0.848
97.5\% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL 0.992
99\% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL 1.275
Data Distribution
95\% Jackknife UCL 0.757
Nonparametric Statistics
95\% CLT UCL 0.753
95\% Standard Bootstrap UCL 0.755
95\% Bootstrap-t UCL 0.864

## TI (Thallium)

General Statistics
Number of Valid Observations 42
Number of Distinct Observations 41

| Raw Statistics | Log-transformed Statistics |
| :---: | :---: |
| Minimum 0.194 | Minimum of Log Data -1.64 |
| Maximum 1.735 | Maximum of Log Data 0.551 |
| Mean 0.562 | Mean of $\log$ Data -0.697 |
| Median 0.519 | SD of $\log$ Data 0.479 |
| SD 0.325 |  |
| Coefficient of Variation 0.578 |  |
| Skewness 2.219 |  |
| Relevant UCL Statistics |  |
| Normal Distribution Test | Lognormal Distribution Test |
| Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic 0.751 | Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic 0.926 |
| Shapiro Wilk Critical Value 0.942 | Shapiro Wilk Critical Value 0.942 |
| Data not Normal at 5\% Significance Level | Data not Lognormal at 5\% Significance Level |
| Assuming Normal Distribution | Assuming Lognormal Distribution |
| 95\% Student's-t UCL 0.647 | 95\% H-UCL 0.643 |
| 95\% UCLs (Adjusted for Skewness) | 95\% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL 0.744 |
| 95\% Adjusted-CLT UCL 0.663 | 97.5\% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL 0.826 |
| 95\% Modified-t UCL 0.65 | 99\% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL 0.985 |
| Gamma Distribution Test | Data Distribution |
| k star (bias corrected) 3.974 | Data Follow Appr. Gamma Distribution at 5\% Significance Level |
| Theta Star 0.142 |  |
| nu star 333.8 |  |
| Approximate Chi Square Value (.05) 292.5 | Nonparametric Statistics |
| Adjusted Level of Significance 0.0443 | 95\% CLT UCL 0.645 |
| Adjusted Chi Square Value 291.1 | 95\% Jackknife UCL 0.647 |
|  | 95\% Standard Bootstrap UCL 0.643 |
| Anderson-Darling Test Statistic 0.911 | 95\% Bootstrap-t UCL 0.682 |
| Anderson-Darling 5\% Critical Value 0.753 | 95\% Hall's Bootstrap UCL 0.682 |
| Kolmogorov-Smirnov Test Statistic 0.128 | 95\% Percentile Bootstrap UCL 0.651 |
| Kolmogorov-Smirnov 5\% Critical Value 0.137 | 95\% BCA Bootstrap UCL 0.661 |
| Data follow Appr. Gamma Distribution at 5\% Significance Level | 95\% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL 0.781 |
|  | 97.5\% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL 0.876 |
| Assuming Gamma Distribution | 99\% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL 1.061 |

95\% Approximate Gamma UCL 0.642
95\% Adjusted Gamma UCL 0.645

General Statistics
Number of Valid Observations 42
Number of Distinct Observations 42

| Raw Statistics | Minimum 84.8 |
| ---: | ---: |
| Maximum 1670 |  |
| Mean 497 |  |
| Median 396.5 |  |
| SD 369 |  |
| Coefficient of Variation 0.743 |  |
| Skewness 1.478 |  |

## Log-transformed Statistics

Minimum of Log Data 4.44
Maximum of Log Data 7.421
Mean of $\log$ Data 5.937
SD of $\log$ Data 0.782

## Relevant UCL Statistics

Normal Distribution Test
Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic 0.823
Shapiro Wilk Critical Value 0.942
Data not Normal at $5 \%$ Significance Level

## Assuming Normal Distribution

95\% Student's-t UCL 592.8
95\% UCLs (Adjusted for Skewness)
95\% Adjusted-CLT UCL 604.5
95\% Modified-t UCL 595

## Lognormal Distribution Test

Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic 0.912
Shapiro Wilk Critical Value 0.942
Data not Lognormal at 5\% Significance Level

## Assuming Lognormal Distribution

95\% H-UCL 666.5
95\% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL 806.9
97.5\% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL 935.6

99\% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL 1189

## Data Distribution

Data appear Gamma Distributed at 5\% Significance Level
Theta Star 266.8
nu star 156.5
Approximate Chi Square Value (.05) 128.6
Adjusted Level of Significance 0.0443
Adjusted Chi Square Value 127.7

Anderson-Darling Test Statistic 0.303
Anderson-Darling 5\% Critical Value 0.76
Kolmogorov-Smirnov Test Statistic 0.067
Kolmogorov-Smirnov 5\% Critical Value 0.138
Data appear Gamma Distributed at 5\% Significance Level

## Assuming Gamma Distribution

95\% Approximate Gamma UCL 604.9
95\% Adjusted Gamma UCL 609.1

## Nonparametric Statistics

95\% CLT UCL 590.6
95\% Jackknife UCL 592.8
95\% Standard Bootstrap UCL 589.5
95\% Bootstrap-t UCL 604.4
95\% Hall's Bootstrap UCL 613.9
95\% Percentile Bootstrap UCL 596.7
95\% BCA Bootstrap UCL 603.6
95\% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL 745.2
97.5\% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL 852.6

99\% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL 1064

Use 95\% Approximate Gamma UCL 604.9

## Appendix F

## Air Dispersion Modeling

Emission Rate Calculations - Sample PVT-EndCap-PMIO
Soil Disposal Emission Rate


Unlimited Erosion Model Emission Rate


USEPA 1996 Soil Screening Guidance: User's Guide. Office of Emergency and Remedial Response. Washington, DC. OSWER No. 9355.4-23


## Appendix

## Risk Characterization Spreadsheets

Summary of Dose-Response Information
Activity Description


NA - Not Applicable
(a) U.S. EPA (2009). IRIS
(c) DEAvived from Inhalation RfC
(d) Derived from Oral RTD.
(e) ASTDR
(f) Cal EPA
) derived from Inhalation URF
(w) RAIS
(x) AMEC Derived
(z) Hawaii DOHEAL Table H (2009)

WORKER - DUST INHALATION - ASH EXPOSURES
RISK CHARACTERIZATION
PVT LANDFILL

Scenario:
Receptor:
Medium:
Exposure Pathway:

| Subactivity name |
| :--- |
| Worker |
| Dust from ash |
| Inhalation |

Chemical Concentration in Air $=$ CS * RF

| $\mathrm{ADD}(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{day})=$ | $\frac{\text { Cdust } \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathrm{RAF} \times \mathrm{ET} \times E F \times E D}{\mathrm{AT} \times \mathrm{BW}}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Hazard Quotient $(\mathrm{HQ})=$ $\mathrm{ADD}(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{day}) / \mathrm{RfDi}(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{day})$ <br> Cancer Risk $(E L C R)=$ $\mathrm{ADD}(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{day}) * \mathrm{CSFi}[1 /(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{day})]$ |  |



WORKER - DUST INHALATION - 1 HR END CAP ASH EXPOSURES
RISK CHARACTERIZATION
PVT LANDFILL

| Scenario: <br> Receptor: <br> Medium: <br> Exposure Pathway: | Subactivity name |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | Worker |
|  | Dust from ash |
|  | Inhalation |
| Chemical Concentration in Air $=$ CS * RP |  |
| ADD ( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{day}$ ) $=$ | $\frac{\text { Cdust } \times \mathbb{R} \times R A F \times E T \times E F \times E D}{A T \times B W}$ |
| Hazard Quotient (HQ) = | ADD (mg/kg/day) / RfDi (mg/kg/day) |
| Cancer Risk (ELCR) $=$ | ADD (mg/kg/day) * CSFi [1/(mg/kg/day)] |


| Parameter (units) |  |  | Value |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ADD: Average Daily Dose ( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{day}$ ) |  |  | See Below Chemical-Specific |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CS: Chemical Concentration in Soil ( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cdust: Concentration of dust-bound chemical in air ( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m} 3$ ) |  |  | Chemical-Specific <br> Calculated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RAF: Relative Absorption Factor (Inhalation) (unitless) |  |  | Chemical-Specific |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ET: Exposure Time - dust (hr/d) |  |  | 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EF: Exposure Frequency (days/year) |  |  | 250 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ED: Exposure Duration (years) |  |  | 25 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| IR: Inhalation Rate ( $\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{hr}$ ) |  |  | 0.833 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AT: Averaging Time (days) (ED $\times 365$ days/yr, noncancer) |  |  | 9125 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AT: Averaging Time (days) ( $75 \mathrm{yr} . \times 365$ days/yr, cancer) |  |  | 25550 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BW: Body Weight (kg) |  |  | 70 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RfDi: Reference Dose Inhalation (mg/kg/day) |  |  | Chemical-Specific | (End Cap PM10 Concentration) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CSFi: Cancer Slope Factor Inhalation [1/(mg/kg/day)] |  |  | Chemical-Specific |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RP: Respirable particulate conc. in air ( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m} 3$ ) CF: Conversion Factor ( $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{mg}$ ) |  |  | $1.10 \mathrm{E}+00$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | $1.00 \mathrm{E}-06$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Compound | Soil Concentration ( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ ) | Chemical Concentration in Air ( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m} 3$ ) | Noncancer Hazard Quotient |  |  |  | Excess Lifetime Cancer Risk |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Inhalation RAF (noncancer) | ADD (noncancer) (mg/kg/day) | RFDi <br> (non-cancer) (mg/kg/day) | Soil-Dust HQ | Inhalation RAF (cancer) | $\begin{gathered} \text { ADD } \\ \text { (cancer) } \\ \text { (mg/kg/day) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{CSFi} \\ 1 /(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{day})] \end{gathered}$ | Soil- Dust Risk |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Antimony | $7.19 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 7.91E-07 | 1 | 6.45E-09 | $4.00 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 1.61E-05 | 1 | NA | NA | NA |
| Arsenic | $1.82 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $2.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 1 | $1.63 \mathrm{E}-07$ | 4.29E-06 | 3.80E-02 | 1 | 5.82E-08 | $1.51 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 8.76E-07 |
| Barium | $6.45 \mathrm{E}+02$ | 7.10E-04 | 1 | 5.78E-06 | 1.43E-04 | 4.05E-02 | 1 | NA | NA | NA |
| Beryllium | $3.12 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 3.43E-06 | 1 | $2.80 \mathrm{E}-08$ | 5.71E-06 | $4.90 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 1 | 9.99E-09 | $8.40 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 8.39E-08 |
| Boron | 7.70E+02 | 8.47E-04 | 1 | 6.90E-06 | $5.71 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 1.21E-03 | 1 | NA | NA | NA |
| Cadmium | $6.06 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 6.67E-07 | 1 | 5.43E-09 | $2.86 \mathrm{E}-07$ | $1.90 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 1 | 1.94E-09 | $6.62 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 1.28E-08 |
| Chromium VI (1:6 VI:1II Ratio) | $8.23 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $9.05 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 1 | 7.38E-08 | $2.86 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 2.58E-03 | 1 | NA | NA | NA |
| Copper | 3.54E+01 | 3.89E-05 | 1 | NA | NA | NA | 1 | NA | NA | NA |
| Iron | $2.54 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $2.79 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 1 | NA | NA | NA | 1 | NA | NA | NA |
| Lead | $2.16 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $2.38 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 1 | NA | NA | NA | 1 | NA | NA | NA |
| Mercury, Divalent | 4.04E-01 | $4.44 \mathrm{E}-07$ | 1 | 3.62E-09 | 8.57E-05 | 4.23E-05 | 1 | NA | NA | NA |
| Molybdenum | $6.74 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 7.42E-06 | 1 | 6.04E-08 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $1.21 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 1 | NA | NA | NA |
| Nickel | $9.57 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 1.05E-04 | 1 | $8.58 \mathrm{E}-07$ | $2.57 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 3.34E-02 | 1 | 3.07E-07 | 9.10E-01 | 2.79E-07 |
| Selenium | $1.93 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 2.12E-06 | 1 | $1.73 \mathrm{E}-08$ | $5.71 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 3.03E-06 | 1 | NA | NA | NA |
| Silver | 7.72E-01 | 8.49E-07 | 1 | $6.92 \mathrm{E}-09$ | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 1.38E-06 | 1 | NA | NA | NA |
| Thallium | 6.51E-01 | 7.16E-07 | , | NA | NA | NA | 1 | NA | NA | NA |
| Zinc | $5.97 \mathrm{E}+02$ | 6.56E-04 | 1 | 5.35E-06 | $3.00 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 1.78E-05 | 1 | NA | NA | NA |

WORKER - DIRECT CONTACT - ASH EXPOSURES
RISK CHARACTERIZATION
PVT LANDFILL
Scenario:
Receptor:
Medium:
Exposure Pathway:

| Subactivity name |
| :--- |
| Industrial Worker |
| Ash |
| Ingestion and Dermal Contact |

$\operatorname{ADD}(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}-\mathrm{day})=$
$\frac{C S \times[([R \times F(\times R A F)+[S A \times A F \times F A \times R A F \times E F D)] \times E F \times E D \times C F}{B W \times A T}$ BW $\times$ AT

Hazard Quotient $(H Q)=$
Cancer Risk (ELCR) =

ADD (mg/kg-day) / RfD (mg/kg-day) ADD ( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$-day) ${ }^{*}$ CSF [1/(mg/kg-day) $]$

| Parameter (units) | Value |
| :--- | ---: |
| ADD: Average Daily Dose (mg/kg-day) | See Below |
| CS: Chemical Concentration in Soil (mg/kg) | Chemical-Specific |
| IR: Ingestion Rate (mg/day) | 100 |
| RAF: Relative Absorption Factor (Oral-Soil) (unitless) | Chemical-Specific |
| FI: Fraction Ingested from Site (unitless) | 1 |
| SA: Skin Surface Area (cm2) | 3300 |
| AF: Adherence Factor (mg/cm2/event) | 0.29 |
| RAF: Relative Absorption Factor (Dermal-Soil) (unitless) | Chemical-Specific |
| FA: Fraction Absorbed from Site (unitless) | 1 |
| EFD: Exposure Frequency - Dermal (event/day) | 1 |
| EF: Exposure Frequency (days/year) | 250 |
| ED: Exposure Duration (years) | 25 |
| BW: Body Weight (kg) | 70 |
| AT: Averaging Time (days) (ED $\times 365$ days/yr, noncancer) | 9125 |
| AT: Averaging Time (days) (75 yr. $\times$ 365 days/yr, cancer) | 25550 |
| CF: Conversion factor (kg/mg) | $1.00 \mathrm{E}-06$ |
| RfD: Reference Dose (mg/kg-day) | Chemical-Specific |
| CSF: Cancer Slope Factor [1/(mg/kg-day)] | Chemical-Specific |


| Compound | $\qquad$ | Noncancer Hiazard Quotient |  |  |  |  | Excess Lifetime Cancer Risk |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Oral-Soil RAF (noncancer) | Dermal-Soil RAF (noncancer) | ADD (noncancer) (mg/kg-day) | Chronic TDI/RfD (mg/kg-day) | Soil HQ | Oral-Soil RAF (cancer) | Dermal-Soil RAF (cancer) | ADD (cancer) (mg/kg-day) | $\begin{gathered} \text { CSF } \\ {[1 /(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}-\mathrm{day})]} \end{gathered}$ | Soil Risk |
| METALS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Antimony | 7.19E-01 | 0.15 | 1 | 6.84E-06 | 4.00E-04 | 1.71E-02 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Arsenic | $1.82 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 0.51 | 0.0004 | 9.14E-06 | $3.00 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 3.05E-02 | 0.51 | 0.0004 | $3.26 E-06$ | $1.50 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 4.89E-06 |
| Barium | $6.45 \mathrm{E}+02$ | 0.07 | 1 | $6.09 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $2.00 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 3.04E-02 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Beryllium | $3.12 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.007 | 0.14 | 4.11E-06 | $2.00 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $2.06 \mathrm{E}-03$ | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Boron | $7.70 \mathrm{E}+02$ | 1 | 1 | 7.96E-03 | $2.00 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 3.98E-02 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Cadmium | 6.06E-01 | 0.025 | 0.001 | $2.05 \mathrm{E}-08$ | $1.00 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 2.05E-05 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Chromium VI (1:6 VI:III Ratio) | $8.23 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.025 | 0.04 | 3.28E-06 | 3.00E-03 | 1.09E-03 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Copper | $3.54 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 1 | 1 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Iron | $2.54 \mathrm{E}+04$ | 1 | 1 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lead | 2.16E+01 | 1 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Mercury, Divalent | 4.04E-01 | 0.07 | 0.01 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Molybdenum | $6.74 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 1 | 1 | $6.97 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 5.00E-03 | 1.39E-02 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Nickel | 9.57E+01 | 0.04 | 1 | 9.00E-04 | $2.00 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $4.50 \mathrm{E}-02$ | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Selenium | $1.93 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 1 | 1 | 2.00E-05 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 3.99E-03 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Silver | 7.72E-01 | 0.04 | 1 | 7.26E-06 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 1.45E-03 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Thallium | $6.51 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 1 | 1 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Zinc | 5.97E+02 | 1 | 0.003 | 6.01E-04 | $3.00 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 2.00E-03 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |

CHILD RESIDENT - DUST INHALATION - ASH EXPOSURES
RISK CHARACTERIZATION
PVT LANDFILL

| Scenario: | Subactivity name |
| :--- | :--- |
| Receptor: | Child Resident |
| Medium: | Dust from ash |
| Exposure Pathway: | Inhalation |

Chemical Concentration in $\mathrm{Air}=\mathrm{CS}$ * RP

| $A D D(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg} /$ day $)=$ | $\frac{\text { Cdust } \times \mathbb{R} \times R A F \times E T \times E F \times E D}{A T \times B W}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Hazard Quotient ( HQ ) = | ADD (mg/kg/day) / RfDi (mg/kg/day) |
| Cancer Risk (ELCR) = | $\operatorname{ADD}(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{day})^{*} \mathrm{CSFi}[1 /(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{day})$ ] |



ADULT RESIDENT - DUST INHALATION - ASH EXPOSURES
RISK CHARACTERIZATION
PVT LANDFILL

| Scenario: | Subactivity name |
| :--- | :--- |
| Receptor: | Adult Resident |
| Medium: | Dust from ash |
| Exposure Pathway: | Inhalation |

Chemical Concentration in Air $=C S$ * RP * CF


## Appendix $\boldsymbol{H}$

## Relative Absorption Factors Derivation


#### Abstract

ARSENIC The oral reference dose for noncarcinogenic effects of arsenic is $3 \mathrm{E}-04 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}-\mathrm{day}$, and the oral cancer slope factor for carcinogenic effects is 1.5 per $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$-day (IRIS-U.S. EPA, 2001). Both values are based on epidemiological studies that characterized health effects in a large population of Taiwanese who consumed drinking water containing arsenic. The exact form of the ingested arsenic is unknown.


## Estimation of Absorption in the Dose-Response Study

The relevant dose-response study characterized health effects in a large population of Taiwanese who consumed drinking water containing arsenic. Several studies investigating the absorption of arsenic have been performed in humans and various animal species. Human studies are sufficiently extensive to strongly suggest that close to $100 \%$ of soluble inorganic arsenic in water is absorbed from the gastrointestinal tract. These human studies are reviewed in detail here.

One direct indication of absorption of an orally administered dose of a chemical is its urinary excretion. Several studies show that urinary excretion can account for the majority of an orally administered dose of arsenic. Buchet et al. (1981a) administered aqueous sodium arsenite ( NaAsO 2 ) as a single dose to three human volunteers. An average of $45 \%$ of the dose was excreted in the urine in four days. In a second study (Buchet et al., 1981b), four individuals given $125,250,500$, or 1000 Ug As/day orally for five days excreted $54,73,74$, and $64 \%$ of the dose in urine, respectively, over 14 days. The average urinary excretion of arsenic for the four subjects was $66 \%$ of the administered dose. Crecelius (1977) reports that approximately $50 \%$ and $80 \%$ of orally administered aqueous arsenic was excreted in urine within 61 hours by a single individual in two experiments. The results of these studies represent the minimum amount of arsenic absorbed since the balance of the dose was not accounted for.

Data for human fecal excretion of arsenic do exist. Pomroy et al. (1980) gave 6 male subjects radiolabelled arsenic acid ( $\left[{ }^{74} \mathrm{As}\right] \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{AsO}_{4}$ ) in gelatin capsules followed by a glass of water. The presence of arsenic in the body, urine, and feces was measured using a whole body radiation counter. The authors report that for the six subjects the average total excretion over 7 days was $6.1 \pm 2.8 \%$ in feces. It is not possible to determine how much of this arsenic was first absorbed and then excreted. The total recovery of arsenic (urine plus feces) was $68.4 \pm 4.0 \%$ of the single oral dose. The remaining arsenic was reported to be present in the body tissues; virtually the entire dose could be accounted for. This suggests a minimum absorption of $94 \%(100 \%-6 \%)$ of orally ingested arsenic.

A study by Bettley and O'Shea (1975) also reports excretion of arsenic in both urine and feces. Three subjects were exposed to 8.52 mg As (as 1.25 ml of Liq. Arsenicalis B.P.) in three portions 8 hours apart on one day. They found that at most $3.5 \%$ of the dose was excreted in feces over ten days. This suggests a minimum absorption of $96 \%$. Urinary excretion averaged $52 \pm 4 \%$ of the exposure dose over 10 days ( $n=3$ ). The remaining half of the dose was unaccounted for, although small amounts of arsenic were found in blood and hair.

In the Coulson study (Coulson et al., 1935), results from two humans each ingesting two forms of arsenic are reported. Less than $5 \%$ of an oral dose was excreted in feces whether the arsenic was taken as arsenic trioxide $\left(\mathrm{As}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}\right)$ or as natural arsenic present in shrimp.

The remainder of the dose, more than $95 \%$, was recovered in urine in three experiments where total recoveries ranged from 74 to $115 \%$. Based on the fecal excretion data from this study, it can be estimated that at least $95 \%$ of the ingested arsenic was absorbed. The fecal excretion data are consistent with those of Pomroy et al. (1980) and Bettley and O'Shea (1975).

Fecal excretion data from oral studies provide a minimum estimate of absorption, because it cannot be determined how much of the dose was first absorbed and then excreted into the feces. However, a study in humans injected intravenously with arsenic suggests that absorbed arsenic may be excreted, presumably from bile, into the feces. Mealy et al. (1959) administered radiolabelled arsenic by intravenous injection. Between $57 \%$ and $90 \%$ of the injected dose was recovered in urine in 10 days. Fecal excretion accounted for $1.3 \%$ of the dose after seventeen days in one individual. A second subject excreted $0.2 \%$ of the intravenous dose into the feces in one week. Both results indicate some excretion of arsenic into the feces. Virtually all of the remaining dose was recovered in the urine. Biliary excretion of arsenic has been demonstrated in rats, rabbits, and dogs (Klaassen, 1974; Gregus and Klaassen, 1986). This indicates that a portion of the arsenic found in feces in studies using oral dosing may have been first absorbed and then excreted.

The urinary excretion data from the oral studies discussed above provide minimum estimates of arsenic absorption ranging from $45 \%$ to $95 \%$. The fecal excretion data suggest that, at a minimum, $95-96 \%$ of an orally administered dose of arsenic is absorbed. The study of intravenously administered arsenic suggest that biliary excretion can occur. Therefore, it can conservatively be concluded from the above studies that virtually $100 \%$ of an orally administered dose of soluble inorganic arsenic can be absorbed in humans.

## RAF (Oral-Soil)

The oral-soil RAF for arsenic is defined as: (absorption of arsenic in humans from ingested soil) / (absorption of arsenic in humans in the epidemiological study from ingested water). There are many forms of inorganic arsenic, and these have widely varying solubilities. While it is appropriate to assume that arsenic present in water would be a soluble form of arsenic, this is not necessarily the case for arsenic present in soil or ash. Arsenic present in soils can either be in a relatively insoluble mineral form, such as would be expected in slags, mine tailings, and ash; or, the arsenic can be present in a more soluble form such as might be present at hazardous waste sites where arsenic salts were either disposed of or accidentally released. Even soluble species, however, become bound tightly to soils after years of weathering.

## Ruby et al. (1999) Literature Review

Ruby et al. (1999) have recently summarized the available bioavailability studies from arsenic-containing media from metal mining and processing sites. Twenty two Relative Absorption Factors have been summarized from studies in swine, rats, rabbits and monkeys. The RAFs range from 0.03 to 0.51 . It should be noted that two samples from Aspen, CO were rejected because the arsenic levels were too low to produce reliable estimates of the RAF by the method used. The mean value for arsenic RAF from these 22 studies was 0.26 . The values are summarized below.

TABLE 1
SUMMARY OF ORAL-SOIL RAFS FOR ARSENIC FROM THE LITERATURE

| Source of Sample | Study Type | Arsenic Level ( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ ) | RAF |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Aspen soil (berm) | EPA Region VIII Swine Study | 67 | Rejected as unreliable |
| Aspen soil (residential) | EPA Region VIII Swine Study | 17 | Rejected as unreliable |
| Bingham Creek tailings (channel) | EPA Region VIII Swine Study | 149 | 0.37 |
| Butte soil | EPA Region VIII Swine Study | 239 | 0.10 |
| Leadville soil (residential) | EPA Region VIII Swine Study | 203 | 0.08 |
| Leadville soil (Fe-$\mathrm{Mn}-\mathrm{Pb}$ oxide) | EPA Region VIII Swine Study | 110 | 0.28 |
| Leadville soil (AV) | EPA Region VIII Swine Study | 1050 | 0.15 |
| Midvale slag | EPA Region VIII Swine Study | 591 | 0.18 |
| Murray Smelter (slag) | EPA Region VIII Swine Study | 695 | 0.51 |
| Murray Smelter (soil) | EPA Region VIII Swine Study | 310 | 0.34 |
| Palmerton soil (location 2) | EPA Region VIII Swine Study | 110 | 0.39 |
| Palmerton soil (location 4) | EPA Region VIII Swine Study | 134 | 0.52 |
| Clark Fork tailings (GK) | EPA Region VIII Swine Study | 181 | 0.49 |
| Oklahoma calcine/soil 1 | U. MO. Swine Study | 11300 | 0.03 |
| Oklahoma calcine/soil 2 | U. MO. Swine Study | 17500 | 0.03 |
| Oklahoma calcine/soil 3 | U. MO. Swine Study | 13500 | 0.08 |
| Oklahoma calcine/soil 4 | U. MO. Swine Study | 11500 | 0.22 |
| Oklahoma calcine/soil 5 | U. MO. Swine Study | 6250 | 0.30 |
| Oklahoma iron slag 3 | U. MO. Swine Study | 1180 | 0.29 |
| Oklahoma Iron slag 4 | U. MO. Swine Study | 5020 | 0.30 |
| Oklahoma Iron slag 5 | U. MO. Swine Study | 4650 | 0.16 |
| Anaconda soil | Battelle Rabbit Study | 3900 | 0.48 |
| Anaconda soil | Battelle Monkey | 410 | 0.20 |


| (residential) | Study |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Anaconda <br> House Dust | Battelle Monkey <br> Study | 170 | 0.28 |
| Pure arsenic trioxide <br> in rat food | Harrison et al. <br> (1956) Rat Study | Not known | 0.11 |
| Soil in vicinity of <br> historical sheep dip | Ng et al. (1998) Rat <br> Study | $32-295$ | 0.10 |

There are few studies in the literature of the bioavailability of arsenic from aged soil matrices into which soluble arsenic compounds were historically released. It is known that such compounds bind to soil. For instance, WHO (1981) states:

Arsenate ions are readily sorbed by hydrous oxides of iron and aluminum and thus leaching of arsenate is slow. Absorption appears to be a major factor in the retention of arsenic in soils. Similarly, Hindmarsh and McCurdy (1986) state:

These arsenicais form very insoluble and stable complexes in soil systems which contribute to their long residence time ( 9400 years). Organic and inorganic arsenicals in soil behave similarly. They react with iron in conjunction wit clay and other particles or with various cations in soil to form insoluble complexes.

Only four studies were found in the literature. As presented below, RAFs are derived from each.

## Harrison et al. (1956) Study

Harrison et al. (1956) determined the $\mathrm{LD}_{50}$ in albino rats of crude arsenic trioxide (97.7\% pure) and purearsenic trioxide ( $99.999+\%$ pure). In one experiment, the arsenic trioxide was dissolved in water and given by gavage to 40 animals in four dose groups of ten animals each. In another experiment, the arsenic trioxide was given in dry form as a supplement to the food in 140 animals in seven dose groups of twenty each. The 96hour $\mathrm{LD}_{50}$ was determined for each of the four experiments. As shown below, the $\mathrm{LD}_{50}$ was markedly reduced when given in food as compared to aqueous solution. All animals in the highest dose groups were dead at 96 hours, so sufficient time was allowed for the acute toxic effects of trivalent arsenic to manifest.

TABLE 2
COMPARISON OF LETHAL DOSES TO RATS OF ARSENIC TRIOXIDE

| Compound Tested | $\mathrm{LD}_{50}$ Aqueous Solution | $\mathrm{LD}_{50}$ Food Supplement |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Crude Arsenic Trioxide | $23.6 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | $214 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ |
| Pure Arsenic Trioxide | $15.1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | $145.2 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ |

Because the dose-response values for arsenic are based on ingestion of dissolved arsenic in drinking water, estimates of relative bioavailability of trivalent arsenic can be made from the above data. For the crude arsenic trioxide, the ratio of the lethal dose for food administration versus administration of an aqueous solution is 0.11 . For the pure arsenic, the ratio is similar, 0.10 . Accordingly, the estimate of the RAF for pure trivalent arsenic compounds is 0.11 .

In this experiment, no soil was used, but the addition of the soluble arsenic species to food and administered immediately to animals without aging greatly overestimates the binding that would be expected to soil after years of ageing. Accordingly, this estimate is appropriate to use for the RAF of soluble arsenicals in soil.

Ng et al. (1998) Study
Ng et al. (1998) measured the absolute absorption of arsenic in soils near a former sheep dip. Five soil samples near the sheep dip site contained arsenic at concentrations of $55,32,165,295$, and $67 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ total arsenic. It should be noted that native soils in this area may also be naturally high in arsenic due to the presence of specific geological formations that are known to occur elsewhere in Australia.

Male Wistar rats were given soil suspended in water by gavage in groups of five rats at a dose of 0.5 mg As $/ \mathrm{kg}$ body weight. As positive controls, groups of four rats were given the equivalent dose of arsenic by intravenous injection of 0.5 mg As $/ \mathrm{kg}$ body weight in the form od a solution of sodium arsenite (As III) or sodium arsenate (As III). All animals were given water and food ad libitum. 24- hour urine samples free from fecal contamination were collected daily for four days post dosing. Absolute absorption was determined by calculating the area under the urinary arsenic curve (AUC) for measurements taken at $0,24,48,72$ and 96 hours. The absolute absorption was determined as:
$\%$ Absolute Absorption (Bioavailabilty) $=100 \times$ AUC $_{\text {oral }} /$ AUC $_{\text {intravenous }}$

TABLE 3
ABSOLUTE ABSORPTION OF ARSENIC IN SOIL NEAR SHEEP DIP

| Soil Sample Near Sheep Dip | Absolute Absorption (\%) |
| :--- | :--- |
| C1 | 10.81 |
| C2 | 5.57 |
| C3 | 12.55 |
| C4 | 7.00 |
| C5 | 12.56 |

The average absolute absorption (absolute bioavailability) of arsenic from soils near a former sheep dip site is $10 \%$. Because the estimated absolute absorption of arsenic in humans from drinking water in the dose-response studies is $100 \%$, the RAF is $10 \% / 100 \%=0.10$.

This RAF is based on an animal study of aged soils in which soluble trivalent arsenic compounds were released due to the former use of the area as a sheep dip. However, it cannot be ruled out that some of the arsenic present in the soil was naturally present from rock and soil formations.

## Roberts, et al. (2002) Study

Roberts, et al. (2002) measured arsenic bioavailability from soils affected by releases of soluble arsenic salts in Cebus apella monkeys in a study for the Florida Department of Environmental Protection. Soil samples were taken from five sites with arsenic contaminated soil from different sources, but all from arsenical salts: (1) electrical substation, (2) cattle dip site, (3) pesticide site \#1, (4) wood treatment site, and (5) pesticide site \#2. Relative bioavailability was assessed based on urinary excretion following an oral dose in solution. Relative bioavailability for the five sites was: (1) 0.146 +/ 0.05, (2) $0.247+/-0.03$, (3) $0.107+/-0.05$, (4) $0.163+/-0.07$, and (5) $0.17+/-0.10$. The mean of these five soil types was 0.17 . Relative bioavailability of the soil from the wood treatment site was 0.16 .

## Casteel et al. (2001) Study

Casteel et al. measured the relative bioavailability of arsenic in soils affected by a release of the arsenical herbicide PAX in swine in a study for the U.S. EPA. The relative bioavailability compared to arsenic salts in water varied from 0.18 to 0.45 in five samples.

## Summary

RAFs presented above range from 0.03 to 0.51 . The most health-protective use of the above data is to use the maximum value listed above, 0.51 , as a conservative default oralsoil RAF.

## RAF (Dermal-Soil)

The RAF (dermal-soil) for this chemical is defined as: (absorption in humans from dermal contact with soil) / (absorption of arsenic in humans in the epidemiological study from ingested water). The RAF (dermal-soil) of 0.009 is derived below.

To derive the RAF (dermal-soil), AMEC uses the estimates of the fractional dermal absorption of arsenic from soil reported by Wester et al. (1993). Wester et al. (1993) measured the skin uptake of soluble arsenic $\left(\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{AsO}_{4}\right)$ from soil in monkey skin in vivo and in human skin in vitro. Radiolabelled arsenic was mixed with Yolo County 65-California-57-8 soil at two concentrations: $0.001 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ and $15 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$. The soil retained by an 80 -mesh sieve was $26 \%$ sand, $26 \%$ clay, $48 \%$ silt, and $0.9 \%$ organic matter. Soil load on the skin was $40 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$. For each dose of arsenic, four female Rhesus monkeys were administered the arsenic containing soil on abdominal skin. The area was covered with a nonocclusive cover. After 24 hours, the soil was removed from the skin, and the area was washed with soap and water. Urine was collected for 7 days. In vivo percutaneous absorption was determined by the ratio of urinary excretion following topical administration to that following intravenous administration. Percutaneous absorption of arsenic from soil was $4.5+/-3.2 \%$ from the low dose and $3.2+/-1.9 \%$ from the high dose. Soap and water washes removed most of the admistered dose after the 24 hour exposure period.

Percutaneous absorption was also measured in viable human cadaver skin dermatomed to 500 um . The skin was preserved and used within five days of collection.
Measurements were taken in triplicate for each of three skin samples. The arsenic dose
was $0.001 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ and the soil loading was $40 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$. After a 24 -hour exposure period, the amount of arsenic present in the receptor fluid (phosphate buffered saline) plus the amount in the skin that was not removed by a surface wash was $0.76 \%$ of the administered dose.

The dermal-soil RAF is calculated by using all three results from Wester et al. (1993):
4.5\% monkey in vivo, low dose
3.2\% monkey in vivo, high dose
$0.8 \%$ human in vitro, low dose
The average fractional absorption over 24 hours is $2.8 \%$. Typical exposures at industrial sites are not 24 hours in length. Thus, the above data are prorated to a more reasonable exposure period of 8 hours. The average fractional absorption over 8 hours is $0.94 \%$. The dermal-soil RAF is calculated as follows:

$$
\operatorname{RAF}(\text { Dermal-Soil })=(0.944 \%) /(100 \%)=0.009 .
$$

## Summary of Derived RAFs for Arsenic

Oral-Soil 0.51
Dermal-Soil 0.009
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[^0]:    Normal Distribution Test
    Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic 0.853
    Shapiro Wilk Critical Value 0.942
    Data not Normal at 5\% Significance Level

    ## Assuming Normal Distribution

    95\% Student's-t UCL 3.13
    95\% UCLs (Adjusted for Skewness)
    95\% Adjusted-CLT UCL 3.176
    95\% Modified-t UCL 3.139

    ## Gamma Distribution Test

    k star (bias corrected) 2.819
    Theta Star 0.959
    nu star 236.8
    Approximate Chi Square Value (.05) 202.2
    Adjusted Level of Significance 0.0443
    Adjusted Chi Square Value 201

    Anderson-Darling Test Statistic 0.356
    Anderson-Darling 5\% Critical Value 0.755
    Kolmogorov-Smirnov Test Statistic 0.0865
    Kolmogorov-Smirnov 5\% Critical Value 0.137
    Data appear Gamma Distributed at 5\% Significance Level

    ## Assuming Gamma Distribution

    95\% Approximate Gamma UCL 3.167
    95\% Adjusted Gamma UCL 3.184

