

STATE HEALTH PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT AGENCY

NEIL ABERCROMBIE GOVERNOR OF HAWAII

LORETTA J. FUDDY, A.C.S.W., M.P.H. DIRECTOR OF HEALTH

ROMALA SUE RADCLIFFE, B.A., M.A.

1177 Alakea St., #402, Honolulu, HI 96813 Phone: 587-0788 Fax: 587-0783 www.shpda.org

June 14, 2012

CERTIFIED MAIL, RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

Eric Hannum President InVision, LLC 1010 S. King Street, Suite 109 Honolulu, HI 96814

Dear Mr. Hannum:

The State Health Planning and Development Agency has evaluated InVision, LLC's Certificate of Need application #12-10A for the addition of a Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) scanner at 1010 S. King Street, Suite 110, Honolulu, Hawaii, at a capital cost of \$2,332,740.

Pursuant to Title 11, Chapter 186 of the Hawaii Administrative Rules (HAR), the Agency has determined that:

- (a) The proposal is eligible for administrative review as it meets the criteria in HAR 11-186-99.1(b)(6) "Any proposal which is determined by the agency not to have a significant impact on the health care system."
- (b) The applicant, InVision, LLC, has proven by a preponderance of the evidence that its proposal meets the Certificate of Need criteria in HAR 11-186-15.
- (c) There is no compelling public interest which will be served by requiring the application to go through the standard review process.

As required under Section 323D-43(b), Hawai'i Revised Statutes (HRS), the Agency finds that:

- 1. There is a public need for this proposal.
- 2. The cost of this proposal will not be unreasonable in light of the benefits it will provide and its impact on health care costs.

Accordingly, the State Health Planning and Development Agency hereby APPROVES and ISSUES a Certificate of Need to InVision, LLC for the proposal described in Certificate of Need application #12-10A. The maximum capital expenditure allowed under this approval is \$2,332,740.

#12-10A, Administrative Review Decision June 14, 2012 Page 2

Please be advised that pursuant to Section 323D-47, HRS, any person may, for good cause shown, request in writing a public hearing for reconsideration of the Agency's decision within ten working days from the date of this decision. Accordingly, if no person makes such a timely request for reconsideration, this decision shall become final immediately after the deadline for making such a request has expired.

Romala Sue Radcliffe

Romale Sue Paddiffe

Administrator