The meeting was called to order at 9:27 A.M. with quorum by Chair, Dominic Inocelda. Neighbor Island council members joined in via videoconferencing.

1. Attendance

Present:
- Dominic Inocelda
- Serafin “Jun” Colmenares
- Livia Wang for Bill Hoshijo
- Azi Turturici
- Mary Santa Maria
- Lito Asuncion
- Sr. Earnest Chung
- Kristine Pagano for Francine Wai
- Dr. Suzanne Zeng
- Canisius Filibert
- Gerald Ohta
- Betty Brow
- Nāmaka Rawlins
- Dr. Tin Myaing Thein

Language Access Advisory Council, Chairperson
Executive Director, Office of Language Access, Ex-officio
Executive Director, HI Civil Rights Commission, Ex-officio
Language Access Advisory Council Member
Language Access Advisory Council Member
Language Access Advisory Council Member
Language Access Advisory Council Member
Language Access Advisory Council Member
Language Access Advisory Council Member
Executive Director, DCAB, Ex-officio
Language Access Advisory Council Member
Language Access Advisory Council Member
Language Access Advisory Council Member
Language Access Advisory Council Member
Language Access Advisory Council Member

Excused:
- Jennifer Dotson
- Alohali Boido

Language Access Advisory Council Member
Language Access Access Advisory Council Member
Others Present:
- Frances Lum
- Uilani Pauole de Sa
- Kendall Zukeran
- Jeremy Low

Department of the Attorney General
Senior Legal Analyst, Office of Language Access
Research Analyst, Office of Language Access
Research Analyst, Office of Language Access

2. Welcome and Introductions

3. Approval of minutes for the September 9, 2009 meeting
   - Jun Colmenares made the motion to approve the minutes and this was seconded by
     Canisius Filibert. Motion carried.

4. Executive Director’s Report – See attached
   - Annual report being prepared and is due on 11/10/09.

5. OLA’s Future Plans
   - LAAC Chairperson, Dominic Inocelda, emailed council members a draft of the letter that
     will be sent to the Governor, DLIR Director, Legislature, and various media, pertaining to
     saving the OLA office and positions. The mailings will occur after the letter is edited and
     finalized with the assistance of Gerald and Jun.
   - Recommendation was made to include as an attachment, a list of all the agencies that the
     OLA oversees and has assisted with pertaining to their language access plans.
   - Another suggestion was to include the actual number of attendees over the years for the
     conferences, workshops, forums, and community fairs.
   - LAAC members present did not object to having their names listed at the bottom of the
     letter. If anyone does object, please let Dominic know ahead of time. Kristine Pagano
     (DCAB) advised that her boss, Francine Wai, has no problems with having her name
     listed.
   - Dr. Sue Zeng made the initial motion to accept the corrected letter with formatting and
     edits and Sister Earnest seconded the motion. All members accepted and the motion was
     carried.
   - Regarding the OLA, Jun shared the five most basic functions of the office: information and
     assistance, training, monitoring of state agencies, complaints resolution, and reporting.
     Gerald suggested that “administration” should also be included since the office utilizes the
     LAAC and staff.
   - In Jun’s discussions with the DLIR Director, it has been suggested that he would be able to
     utilize the DLIR clerical staff and IT support people to assist the office. The OLA should
     look into using more technology such as videos and webinars and outside help such as
     volunteers and interns.
   - The University of Hawaii and Hawaii Pacific University have been contacted and the OLA
     can look into partnering with these internship programs. However, the OLA must create a
     plan for the interns to follow and be evaluated upon rather than just use them for clerical
     work. Suggestion was to also contact various ethnic organizations and social service
     groups.
   - LAAC could create a pseudo-committee such as “Friend of the OLA” to address the issues
     above.
- For OLA's future, recommendations were made to draft legislation so that the LAAC can become more independent and have the power to hire/fire the OLA Executive Director. Also to amend the law to give the OLA "more teeth" and move the office to the Legislature. Lastly, to possibly have the various language access coordinators spend one week at the OLA.

   - About 200 people attended the conference and the overall response from the evaluations was positive.
   - Some suggestions by the attendees were to shorten the introductions, have all the sponsors speak in a "2 minute drill" format or have none speak at all, or just have them advertise in the booklet. Parking, lighting, and sound were not very good. Some attendees mistakenly parked in restricted areas.
   - Audience seemed to really enjoy the speakers in the afternoon and keynote, John Transviña.
   - The one day theme was good and the price was right.
   - A conference debriefing will be scheduled in the near future.
   - A big mahalo goes out to the Neighbor Island LAAC members who assisted with the conference, Jennifer Dotson for coordinating, and all the volunteers and sponsors of this event.

7. Announcements
   - The next Inter-Agency Council (IAC) meeting is scheduled for a date in November.
   - The next LAAC meeting is scheduled for Wednesday, November 18, 2009 @ 9 A.M. Videoconferencing is planned again for the Neighbor Island members. Possible luncheon is planned for departing OLA staff.

8. Adjournment
   - Meeting was adjourned at 11 A.M.
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MINUTES

The meeting was called to order at 9:27 A.M. with quorum by Chair, Dominic Inocelda. Neighbor Island council members joined in via videoconferencing.

1. Attendance

Present:
- Dominic Inocelda  Language Access Advisory Council, Chairperson
- Serafin “Jun” Colmenares  Executive Director, Office of Language Access, Ex-officio
- Livia Wang for Bill Hoshijo  Executive Director, HI Civil Rights Commission, Ex-officio
- Azi Turturici  Language Access Advisory Council Member
- Mary Santa Maria  Language Access Advisory Council Member
- Lito Asuncion  Language Access Advisory Council Member
- Sr. Earnest Chung  Language Access Advisory Council Member
- Kristine Pagano for Francine Wai  Executive Director, DCAB, Ex-officio
- Aholalani Boido  Language Access Advisory Council Member
- Dr. Suzanne Zeng  Language Access Advisory Council Member
- Jennifer Dotson  Language Access Advisory Council Member

Excused:
- Gerald Ohta  Language Access Advisory Council, Vice-Chair
- Canisius Filibert  Language Access Advisory Council Member
- Betty Brow  Language Access Advisory Council Member
- Nāmaka Rawlins  Language Access Advisory Council Member
- Dr. Tin Myaing Thein  Language Access Advisory Council Member
Four exhibitor spots are still available on a first come, first serve basis. Neighbor island agency representatives are welcome.
- Ten volunteer slots are available as well to assist with the conference.

7. **Announcements**
- The Inter-Agency Council (IAC) meeting is next Wednesday, September 16, 2009, 1:30 P.M. at the Susannah Wesley Center.
- The Hawaii Civil Rights Commission will present a conference, “EEO in Hawaii: Recent Developments” on October 7, 2009 at the Hawaii Convention Center. Registration is $30 for attendees and the deadline is September 30th. More information is available at the HCRC website.
- The next LAAC meeting is scheduled for Wednesday, October 14, 2009 @ 9 A.M. Videoconferencing is planned again for the Neighbor Island members. Recommendations were made to send any relevant documents ahead of time to these members on the other islands, speakers should identify themselves first before talking, and there should be additional microphones or a better speaker phone in place to improve the communication quality.

8. **Adjournment**
- Meeting was adjourned at 10:40 AM.
November 3, 2000

Mr. Dominic Inocelda, Chair
And Members
Language Access Advisory Council
Susannah Wesley Community Center
1117 Kalihi Street
Honolulu, Hawaii 96819-3432

Dear Mr. Inocelda and Members:

Thank you for your October 29, 2000 letter relating to the Office of Language Access.

We appreciate your support for language access in Hawaii and your concern about the impact that the layoffs would have on the ability of the Office of Language Access (OLA) to perform its mandated functions and consequently, on the accessibility of government programs and activities to the limited English proficient (LEP) population in Hawaii.

It was a very difficult decision on our part, but the dire budgetary shortfalls that the State is experiencing left us with no alternative. We will have OLA continue to perform basic functions by making enhanced use of technology, utilizing the services of volunteers and providing clerical assistance as needed from the Director's Office, etc. OLA has worked on these alternative plans in conjunctions with our offices, and we have come up with a plan of action to continue the functions of OLA.

Should you have any questions or concerns, please call Mr. Serafin "Jun" Colmenares, Jr., OLA Executive Director, at (808) 586-8731.

Sincerely,

DARWIN L.D. CHING

Office of Language Access

[Address and Contact Information]
Language Access Advisory Council Meeting
October 14, 2009
Report of the Executive Director

Budget Status:

- Negotiations between State and union still going on. Even with furlough agreement, first round of lay-offs will most probably continue.

Operations:

- September 28, 2009 language access conference was successful. Thanks to everybody for your help.
- Follow up with remaining state agencies receiving federal funds re submission of their revised language access plans (deadline was July 1, 2009).
- Monitoring of non-federally funded agencies (7) will begin by end of October 2009.
- Latest issue of OLA newsletter being prepared.
- Received copy of COVO resolution supporting OLA (passed August 15, 2009).
  Other Filipino organizations sent letters to Governor supporting OLA.
- Annual report being prepared.
- OLA preparing plans for its future.

Update on Poster

- Awaiting update from CITS on the revisions of the poster.
October 13, 2009

The Honorable Linda Lingle
Governor, State of Hawai‘i
Executive Chambers
State Capitol
Honolulu, Hawaii 96813

Mr. Darwin Ching
Director, Department of Labor and Industrial Relations
830 Punchbowl Street
Honolulu, Hawaii 96813

Dear Governor Lingle and Director Ching:

The members of the Office of Language Advisory Council would like to request your thoughtful reconsideration of the laying off of four of the five personnel, except for the Director, within the Department of Labor & Industrial Relations, Office of Language Access (OLA). We are well aware of the State’s serious economic downturn and the strong possibility for further budget restrictions. However, as advisory council members who wholeheartedly understand and believe in the mission and importance of this office, we ask that all of the current OLA personnel be reinstated. Further, we would like to request that if layoffs are inevitable as projected for November 20, 2009, we ask that all OLA positions be maintained for future staffing.

Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 provides that “No person in the United States shall, on the ground of race, color, or national origin, be excluded from participation in, be denied the benefits of, or be subjected to discrimination under any program or activity receiving federal financial assistance.” Under your administration and the signing into law of Act 290 in July 2006, which created the Office of Language Access, Hawaii is now one of the few states in the nation to legislatively address discrimination based on national origin as related to language access for limited and/or non-English speaking legal residents in Hawaii.
We recognize and appreciate your support of Act 290 and the institution of the Office of Language Access within the Department of Labor and Industrial Relations.

Since its inception, OLA has worked diligently to implement the law which resulted in training of the language access rights of non and limited English speakers to twenty-five state agencies and a significant number of State and Federal contracted private server providers, the development of language access plans by public and private entities that serve the limited English speaking population, a successful first language access conference with nationally and locally recognized speakers and a second conference on September 28, 2009, agency training in the utilization of interpreters/translation, monitoring for compliance with the law, addressing complaints, a study to look into the feasibility of a centralized language access bank in Hawaii, quarterly newsletter publications, and providing technical assistance.

Hawaii has become a recognized leader and hopeful innovator in the provision of language access in the nation. We ask that all these accomplishments continue with an efficient and effective OLA office. This is why we make this request for continued current staffing. Hawaii has a great opportunity to not only be in the forefront of language access innovations but in becoming a national model.

On behalf of all Hawaii’s limited and Non-English speaking population, the full advisory council respectfully submits this request.

Respectfully,

Dominic

Inocelda,

Chair Person and Advisory Council Members
Office of Language Access Advisory Council

Advisory Council Members / Affiliation
2009 EVALUATION RESULTS
OLA’s 2nd Annual Hawai‘i Conference on Language Access:
Ho‘opono: Plan Implementation and Promising Practices

The conference evaluations were included in the conference packet and consisted two pages printed on one sheet of paper, as follows: (1) Page 1 - general questions about the conference and attendees and suggestions; (2) Page 2 – evaluations of the nine speakers.

A non-scientific approach was used to simply add up the responses for each question or speaker. The written comments have not been altered, but they were grouped under headings for ease of reference. The results are divided into three parts:

I. Summary – this section reflects in chart/picture form the responses received from the white sheet;

II. Details – this section includes the evaluation questions and shows the corresponding number of responses; evaluation responses for the speakers; and

III. Feedback – this section lists participants’ written responses to the following: A. Most interesting or useful topics; B. What they will take back to the office; C. Future sessions or topics; D. Speakers; and E. General Comments

I. SUMMARY

A. Overall Rating: VERY GOOD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Overall Conference Satisfaction Rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of Responses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excellent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

B. Who came?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2009 OLA Conference Attendees</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>State/County Agency 42%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonprofit/Comm. Org. 33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interpreter/Translator 15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private Sector 4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other (not specified) 2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
D. SPEAKERS: Very Good

E. SCHEDULING & LOGISTICS
II. DETAILS

Total Number of Attendees = 200
Total Number of Evaluations Received = 84 responses (67 w/comments; 17 no comment)
* In some evaluations, multiple responses were received from same attendee.

1. How would you categorize yourself or your agency/organization? (Check one) 95 responses*
   - Interpreter/Translator 14 or 15%
   - Non-Profit/Community Organization 31 or 33%
   - Private Sector 4 or 4%
   - State/County Agency 40 or 42%
   - Student 2 or 2%
   - Other 4 or 4%

2. How did you hear about this conference? (Check one) 91 responses*
   - Email 47 or 52%
   - Flyer 4 or 4%
   - Newspaper 1 or 1%
   - Radio 33 or 36%
   - Work 3 or 3%
   - Other: 4 or 4%

3. The content of conference sessions was appropriate & informative. (Check one) 85 responses*
   - Strongly Agree 37 or 44%
   - Agree 44 or 52%
   - Neither Agree nor Disagree 3 or 3%
   - Disagree 1 or 1%
   - Strongly Disagree 1 or 1%

4. I found new contacts and opportunities for future collaboration. (Check one) 84 responses*
   - Strongly Agree 26 or 31%
   - Agree 47 or 56%
   - Neither Agree nor Disagree 9 or 11%
   - Disagree 1 or 1%
   - Strongly Disagree 1 or 1%

5. The conference was a valuable professional development experience for me. (Check one) 85 responses*
   - Strongly Agree 28 or 33%
   - Agree 49 or 58%
   - Neither Agree nor Disagree 7 or 8%
   - Disagree 1 or 1%
   - Strongly Disagree 1 or 1%

6. What topics did you find most interesting or useful? See comments below

7. How will the knowledge & skills learned today help you contribute to your agency/office? See comments below

8. What kinds of sessions or topics would you like to see included at future conferences? See comments below

9. Overall, how was the quality of the speakers/presenters? (Check one) 84 responses*
   - Excellent 33 or 39%
   - Very good 39 or 46%
   - Good 11 or 13%
   - Fair 1 or 1%
   - Poor 1 or 1%

10. How was the quality of food? (Check one) 81 responses*
    - Excellent 8 or 10%
    - Very good 14 or 17.3%
    - Good 38 or 47%
    - Fair 20 or 24.7%
    - Poor 1 or 1%

11. How were the conference facilities? (Check one) 84 responses*
    - Excellent 19 or 22.6%
    - Very good 31 or 36.9%
    - Good 25 or 30%
    - Fair 8 or 9.5%
    - Poor 1 or 1%

12. OVERALL SATISFACTION RATING: (Check one) 83 responses*
    - Excellent 27 or 32.5%
    - Very good 36 or 43.4%
    - Good 18 or 21.7%
    - Fair 2 or 2.4%
    - Poor 4 or 4%

2009 OLA Conference Evaluation Results
III. FEEDBACK – verbatim comments from attendees

A. Most interesting or useful topics

Assessment
- Assessment and evaluation methods used to build and assess lang. access services in social services agencies
- MPI

Interpreters
- Distinguish interpreter vs. translator. Appreciate the description of the training of interpreters
- Experiences by challenging facing by interpreters & LEP; role of bilingual & resources available on line & books
- I find interpreting topic interesting but its not related to my job. It’s more of my personal situation
- Information regarding what is competent interpreter
- Skills and ethic of translation/interpretation
- The commitment the state/federal/private agencies are keep consistency to the interpreting services
- The different resources about interpretation and translations
- The role and ethics of the interpreter

LEP
- Dev of LEP & challenges
- LEP

Other
- As a novice in this field, all topics
- Language access in the economical sense?
- Legal requirements
- Police not w.

Panel
- Afternoon session was better, speakers were better presenters and knowledgeable
- All
- All of it
- All provided diverse perspectives
- Everything! Thanks to all the speakers & organizers, planners, etc.
- Everything was very interesting
- Help other
- Info on the law & its requirements
- National Center on Immigrant & Interpretation Policy and Promising Practices
- Panel “What Works, Good Stuff, How it can happen”
- Panel discussion in general
- Panels
- Practical discussions of real life challenges
- The A.M. panel was terrific…down to earth presentation; PM panel complemented the keynote and OLA director’s speeches
- P.M. panel; loved the PM panel
- Promising practices in the afternoon e.g. the MPI assessment, Ayuda model
- The morning panel was great, especially Luane and Merina
- The second half of the presentation. Very good ideas on implementation.
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- By using the different sources mention in the conference also the important info from different presentations
- Change in perspective
- Comply with laws ___? – surrounding language access
- Gave me a clearer idea of what it takes to make a successful LEP program
- “If you don’t do it then who”? Just have the passion to advocate for those who are disadvantaged.
- Increase technical knowledge/capacities for our agency
- It will help me to assist LEP client with their language needs in the most appropriate and effective means possible.
- Knowledge & information is critical in order to service those in need
- Knowledge & skills learned today benefits me personally. Passionate about helping my own people. Knowledge will help me be an effective interpreter for them in an informal environment
- More culturally sensitive
- Refreshing my thinking that will help my work
- Use of contract language important
- Yes, helping people

Next Steps
- Better serve clients access to program
- Encourage me to work with my agency and other community members
- Get more involved w/the community
- Suggest how to expand outreach

Other
- It’s not related for my agency, especially my agency office is for autism clients

Policy
- Develop a language access program
- Develop policy for organization
- I have a better understanding of the challenges in this area & what strategies are available
- Implementation to be taken seriously! Commitment of service providers needed as usual.
- Improve procedures on providing services to LEP individuals.
- Make sure our agency’s LEP plan is up to par
- Making it a priority. Renewing interest in language accessibility in our service & outreach
- Relook @ own language access plan, update, service & improve
- Reinforcing the importance of LEP issues
- The access to various agencies
- Will improve it

Resources
- Expand partnerships with community encourage?
- MHAC – Micronesian Health Advocacy coalition definitely will benefit as we establish ourselves as a community resource – Micronesians for Micronesians.
- More awareness of resources available
- Secure resources for use by frontline staff
- To support development of Micronesian language resources/agencies

Training
- Better info about LEP
- Further educate and afford more resources to staff
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Other
- Maybe a video of the process of using an interpreter. For example, showing the interaction between client, interpreter and provider
- Nothing much – enjoyed the session but perhaps a different set up to facilitate group interaction & also the agency use of its bilingual staff

D. Speakers
- Very enthusiastic about what they all shared

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Speaker</th>
<th>Additional Comments / What they learned:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>John Trasvina, Assistant Secretary, HUD, Keynote Address</td>
<td>- Evolution of language access rights in laws &amp; policy implementation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Good introduction on the importance of equal language access</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Informative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Nice keynote address</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- The cooperative work of the various agencies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- The historical-broad based overview strengthened the teeth of Title VI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- That everyone has civil legal rights especially for those who speak languages other than English</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Very short!!</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Check on our agency’s language access plans &amp; improve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Excellent conference overall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Funding ??</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Great info on lang. access</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- In November 2009, OLA will operate under/on a one man basis due to budget cuts ☹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Informative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Lots of info</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Not enough on the challenges – mostly about bureaucratic regulations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Policy does not necessarily reflect practice or vice versa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Very important intro – understand the meaning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Very informative data &amp; information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suzanne Zeng, UH CITS Language Access Resources In Hawaii</td>
<td>- Ask ?s research competency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Classes, courses &amp; resources available to interpreters at UH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Complexity and challenges</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Good ethics practice is important</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Engaging &amp; informative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Excellent info on interpreting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- I expect more on resources. No tools on assessing competency of resources &amp; expensive translation ??</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- “If you get stolen, call the police” ☺</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Informative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Simple, practical presentation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Spelled Samoa wrong!</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Super!</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Very good info</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Very practical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Panel II: Promising Practices</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Irfana Anwer, Ayuda (Community Legal Interpreter Bank) | - Cost saving & the legal spectrum of language access
- Excellent
- Excellent! Clear!
- Fabulous
- Model development
- Need to know more and understand how to get to workshops
- Very informative
- Very informative and knowledgeable
- Will Ayuda model work in Hawaii? |
| Laureen Laglagaron, Migration Policy Institute | - Ability to translate own “academic” notion to practice
- Assessment and evaluation of our program & services
- Assessment of promising practices – resourcefulness & creativity; issue tracking and evaluation
- Excellent
- Excellent chart on language access from each state
- Good comprehensive review
- Reflect on how well are we doing?
- To the point info, very professional
- Very informative Excellent resource material
- Very very very informative
- Very well explain everything is self explanatory
- You cannot measure improved state & ?? just by ? provision of language access services. If you could, we would resolve the health disparity issue! |
| Rodolfo Estrada, Vera Institute of Justice | - Best practices examples
- Great brah!
- How to draw on his own experience
- Presents the topic in a very understandable way
- Real life examples were good to hear
- Sample of documents
- Simple and a very clear pic of how to understand different aspects
- Vera Institute of Justice should be a ‘model’ entity here in Hawaii in terms Hawaii’s population of immigrants
- Very informative – good speaker. Excellent ideas |

E. General Comments

Audience
- Distracting audience – woman on my right texting or playing games; woman on my left sleeping; woman in next row 3 seats over reading paperback book

Facilitators
- Introduction to speakers seem too long
- Introductions were a bit too long & seemed to take away from speakers’ time
- The facilitators takes away too much time, should allow attendees more time to ask questions and to make comments

Facilities
- Seating – hurt my back
- Should have turned on overhead lights on stage so we could better see speaker’s faces. Stage was dark; limited parking
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