A. A Clean Water Act (CWA), Section 401 Water Quality Certification (WQC) does not exempt a discharger from compliance with applicable CWA or State Water Quality Standards (WQS) requirements. A WQC only provides the framework within which work may be performed and must include the best degree of treatment or controls. The controls are generally referred to as the best management practices (BMPs). Samples are taken to verify that the BMPs are adequate to prevent any pollution of the surrounding receiving State waters.

An Applicable Monitoring and Assessment Plan (AMAP) is a document that addresses the sampling component of a given project. The AMAP explains in detail what you are doing and why you are doing it. The AMAP shall follow the standard approach utilized in the Scientific Method. The AMAP shall include some basic information that would allow someone unfamiliar with the project to understand what was done.

A well written and properly executed AMAP will produce representative data that is legally defensible. It is the sole responsibility of the discharger to obtain and provide representative data and demonstrate their compliance with their WQC conditions and/or environmental laws. The Department of Health (DOH), Clean Water Branch (CWB) is not responsible for checking or double checking your AMAP. Poorly written AMAPs, poor sample design or unrepresentative sampling cannot produce data of sufficient quality to demonstrate compliance with applicable WQS and environmental laws. If you have poor sample design, data that is not representative, and/or cannot demonstrate your compliance with your WQC conditions, State WQS or environmental laws, your WQC may be revoked and you may be subject to the appropriate corrective/compliance/enforcement actions authorized by the Hawaii Revised Statutes (HRS). Therefore, it is in your best interests to produce an AMAP of the highest quality.

B. The objective of taking samples is to obtain the most accurate information in order to make the correct decision. On a macro scale, the Data Quality Objectives (DQO) are utilized to ensure that representative data is collected using a systematic approach. On a micro scale, proper Quality Control (QC) is applied to ensure that accurate data is collected. Following these guidelines
will help to ensure that representative data is collected resulting in the best decision(s) being made.

C. Utilize the DQO to develop your AMAP. (Download and Read the DQO Guidelines: [http://www.epa.gov/quality/qs-docs/g4-final.pdf](http://www.epa.gov/quality/qs-docs/g4-final.pdf). Also see [www.QE3C.com](http://www.QE3C.com).)

The DQO is a seven (7) step planning process that addresses the problem(s) (or issues) that will be encountered during the project.

**TITLE PAGE** – provide preparer’s name, company, qualification and contact information of the author of the AMAP and date and version of the AMAP.

**INTRODUCTION** – a brief description of the project. Provide the following:

a. Project name, scope, location, existing environmental conditions, receiving State water information, and purposes of preparing this AMAP.

b. Specific statutory and legal requirements, rules, regulations that are applicable to this project and guidelines, matrix, rationale/justifications used as the basis of preparing this AMAP.

1. **STATE THE PROBLEM** - The first, and most critical step, is to define the problem(s). This is a description of each of the potential problems in one (1) or two (2) sentences that will be the focus of the AMAP. Everything else in the AMAP will seek to resolve this/these problem(s).

a. The problem statement describes the problem as it is currently understood and predicted/anticipated, and the conditions that are causing, or may have the potential of causing the problem.

b. The general format of a problem statement: **In order to** [support/understand/establish/determine/confirm/reduce/prevent] (some issue) **data regarding** [pollutant/contaminant] [in/on/above/below] (the medium) **are needed**.

c. Example: In order to confirm that BMPs are preventing sediment in the work area from impacting marine waters, data regarding turbidity in the ocean are needed.

d. There can be more than one problem statement that must be addressed.

2. **IDENTIFY THE GOAL OF THE STUDY** - Principal Study Questions (PSQs): Identify the issue(s) or condition(s) that will allow you to reveal the solution to the problem. State the alternative actions for each PSQ. For each PSQ, formulate a Decision Statement.
a. The general format of a decision statement: **Determine whether** [PSQ] **and requires** [Alternate Action A] or [Alternate Action B].

b. Example: Determine whether BMPs are ineffective and requires modification or no further action is necessary.

c. State how data will be used.
   1. Pre-construction (pre-con) data will be used to establish the baseline (existing) levels for each parameter in State waters. A minimum of 10 sets of data shall be collected at the Control and Impact station Decision Units (DUs). If 10 sets cannot be collected (e.g., dry stream bed) and there is insufficient data to establish action levels, then the DUs shall be photo-documented, and corrective actions shall be taken whenever water is present. Multi Increment® samples or the acceptable equivalent shall be collected over a reasonable period of time before commencing the proposed construction activity to collect seasonal (dry or wet for the class of the impacted State waters, as appropriate) representative samples at the project site. Multi Increment is a trademark of Envirostat, Inc.) As appropriate, samples may also be collected over a minimum of a two (2) week period immediately before commencing any proposed construction activity. Impact station DUs shall be sampled in triplicate with the highest pre-con means serving as the action levels (turbidity and Total Suspended Solids (TSS)). The highest and lowest pH means shall serve as the pH action levels. Dissolved Oxygen (DO), Temperature and Salinity means may also serve as action levels where these parameters are impacted by the project. The percent Relative Standard Deviation (%RSD) shall be calculated for all triplicate samples. The %RSD should be maintained as low as possible, and in no case should exceed 20%. (An exceedance of 20% indicates that the sampling procedure is not capturing the variability adequately.) Standard distance of the Control DUs from the Impact DU is within 50 feet. (See Figure 3 for an example.)
   2. Submit pre-con data to the CWB prior to the start of any construction activities, preferable to be submitted with the Section 401 WQC Application or the e-Permitting NWP Blanket WQC Notification Form.
   3. Pre-con data (turbidity and TSS highest triplicate means) will be compared to during-construction data to demonstrate whether there are no impacts to water quality during the project construction.
   4. Pre-con data will be compared to post construction data to demonstrate that there are no long-term adverse impacts to water quality from construction activities.
5. For streams, during construction, the upstream control station data will be compared to the impact and downstream control stations to demonstrate that there are no impacts to water quality.

6. For open coastal and oceanic waters, during construction, the up-current control station data will be compared to the impact and down-current control stations to demonstrate that there are no impacts to water quality.

7. If a plume emanates from the work area, the plume should also be sampled as a separate DU.

3. **IDENTIFY INFORMATION INPUTS** - Specify the parameters that will be measured/analyzed. State detection limits, action levels, instruments/measuring devices, references, calibration procedures, precision, accuracy, etc.

   a. General Information

      1. State who will take the samples.
      2. Photos shall be taken by the samplers of the sampling sites, BMPs and general work area that will be impacted, either directly or indirectly, by the proposed construction activities. Photos shall be date/time stamped with a narrative description of what is being documented. The standard date format is MM/DD/YY and the standard time format is the 24 hour clock. Include a photo orientation map that shows the location and orientation of photos taken.
      3. Station locations (i.e., DUs) shall be identified with GPS coordinates (latitude/longitude with datum (WGS84)).
      4. Include a scaled plan view map that shows the project location, a delineation of all BMPs and DUs, the location of all inputs that may impact the DUs, and GPS coordinates (WGS84) of all DU boundaries.
      5. All sampling activities shall be documented in a field notebook/logbook (Standard Methods 20th Ed. 1060B).
      6. Contractor/duly authorized representative’s responsibilities:
         a. Knowledgeable of their responsibilities as specified in the AMAP.
         b. Inspect and properly maintain BMPs, document in a logbook and include photos (follow procedure in step 2 above).

   b. Sampling

      1. Clearly indicate the sampling locations for Pre-, During- and Post-construction monitoring. (Inside of BMP containments, outside of BMP containments, impact DU, upstream/up-current, and
downstream/down-current control DUs, etc.)
2. Specify the number of DUs (by phase if it changes).
3. Note the importance of the pre-construction sample results for establishing baseline conditions, in establishing action levels, and for comparison to post-construction values to determine long term project impacts. Take at least 10 sets of MULTI INCREMENT pre-con samples (or the acceptable equivalent) in triplicate and calculate the percent relative standard deviation (%RSD). %RSD should be maintained as low as possible, and not exceed 20%.
4. State the sampling frequency(ies) (by phase if it changes).
5. State the Parameters that will be measured, Units, Methods, Instruments, Minimum Detectable, Minimum Sensitivity, Hold Times, and Field Preservation (present this information in a table). See Appendix 1 for the Matrix for minimum standard parameters and frequencies.
6. State that parameters are measured from MULTI INCREMENT samples or the acceptable equivalent.
7. Describe the sampling procedure (or include a Sampling Standard Operating Procedure (SOP)).
8. Samplers shall include a narrative of site conditions that may impact sample results.
9. Include an example of the Chain of Custody form, Data Sheet form, and Report form.
10. Specify calibration standards and ranges for instruments including any expiration dates for supplies.
11. State that samples must be taken during work operations (i.e., at the time when the potential for pollution is greatest).
12. Address specific QA/QC issues associated with the sampling. Lab QC should be described. Improper field sampling is usually the largest source of error. Field measurement QC must be as rigorous as lab QC.
13. Calibrate all field instruments/probes, as applicable.
14. Perform Secondary (QC) checks prior to, and after, each day's sampling. These procedures should be documented in specific SOPs, along with the acceptable ranges for each check. Submit QC data with field measurements.
15. Streams: For projects in streams, the standard requirement is to conduct post-con erosion assessment of the downstream banks and beds quarterly, for two (2) years, to verify no long term adverse impacts as a result of the project.
16. Beach nourishment: For beach nourishment projects, the standard requirement is to conduct post-con beach profile measurements of the nourished beach quarterly, for two (2) years, to verify that nourished beach performs effectively as proposed and there will be no long term adverse impacts as a result of the project.

c. Personnel
1. Specify Name, Title, Organization, Responsibilities and Qualifications of ALL personnel involved with this document (in a table). Samples should be collected by a Qualified Sampler. (“Qualified Sampler”, as used in this document, means a person who actively practices environmental science, or has formal training in sampling theory, practices and techniques. Qualified Samplers must be experienced in, and thoroughly knowledgeable of, all aspects of the sampling including all equipment, instruments, SOPs, calibrations, secondary checks, limits, and reporting requirements. Samplers must be able to recognize unobvious or potential problems and have the ability to address those issues, and notify the appropriate person of the problem(s) for timely proper corrective/remedial action. The concern here is that problems are best addressed if they are immediately recognized when the samples are taken. The chances of correcting problems are reduced with delay and the further the data gets passed on.)

2. Reports and Assessments

a. Field data (raw) shall be submitted to DOH-CWB within 24 hours (or by the end of the next business day) of when the field samples were taken, via e-mail in excel and pdf format to cleanwaterbranch@doh.hawaii.gov. Include photos and site conditions/comments in the field data report. Sample results for TSS shall be submitted by the end of the next business day after TSS results become available.

b. The project owner (Certifying person of the Section 401 WQC Application) or their duly authorized representative (the representative must meet 40 CFR § 122.22 requirements) is responsible for sending the reports to CWB.

c. Email reports to CleanWaterBranch@DOH.hawaii.gov. Specify when and how all reports and assessments will be submitted to the DOH-CWB to comply with your WQC requirements. Refer to your WQC for details.

4. DEFINE THE BOUNDARIES OF THE STUDY - Specify the boundaries: Define the population of interest, spatial boundaries, temporal boundaries, and scale of decision making. (The scale of decision making means the DU.)

a. Example: The DU consists of all of the water along the length of the installed BMP measures (i.e., turbidity barrier) out to one meter, from the surface to the bottom. The temporal boundaries are from the beginning of the project (e.g., March 1, 2011) to the end of the project (e.g., April 30, 2011).
b. Include a scaled map or construction drawing of the project site with the BMPs and indicate where the DUs are located. Note that because of the nature of water sampling, samplers may have to choose between addressing the spatial or temporal components.

5. **DEVELOP THE ANALYTIC APPROACH** - State the Decision Rule(s) as “if...then...else...” statements that incorporate the parameter of interest (or pollutants of concern (POC)), the unit of decision making, the action level and the alternative actions.

a. The general format of a Decision Rule: **If the** [parameter of interest] **within** [DU] **is** > [the action level] **then** [alternate action A] **else** [alternate action B].

b. Example: If the mean turbidity value of the Impact DU is greater than the value at the upstream control DU, or the highest mean pre-con value, then stop work and inspect/repair BMPs, else no further action required.

c. Since you may have multiple parameters of interest and multiple DUs, you will probably have multiple Decision Rules.

6. **SPECIFY PERFORMANCE OR ACCEPTANCE CRITERIA** - Specify Error Tolerances. (Depending on the project, this section can be quite involved. The more critical the consequences of an incorrect decision, the greater the importance of this section.)

a. MULTI INCREMENT samples are cheaper alternative means of obtaining representative and more accurate sample values than traditional (grab or composite) samples. MULTI INCREMENT samples cannot determine statistical values such as the range or standard deviation; however MULTI INCREMENT samples do provide values at, or very close to, the mean which are the most important values for determining impacts. Decision errors are far less likely with this method.

b. To verify that MULTI INCREMENT samples are providing accurate values, they should be taken in triplicate and the percent Relative Standard Deviation (%RSD) should be calculated. %RSD should be maintained as low as possible, and in no case should exceed 20%.

c. If MULTI INCREMENT samples are not taken, appropriate statistical performance or acceptance criteria shall be provided. For example:

1. Take 90+ samples per day (e.g., every 15 minutes 24/7). Explain how the data will be evaluated and what levels will trigger corrective actions. These levels should be recorded on the data sheets so that the samplers will know when an exceedance has occurred and that they need to take corrective actions.
2. Determine the variability of the environmental variables.
   a. An estimate of the population Standard Deviation is needed.
3. Identify the decision errors.
   a. Discuss the consequences of making each decision error.
   b. Example: There are 2 possible errors that could be made. A parameter is measured as above a limit when it is actually below, or it is measured below the limit when it is actually above.
4. Choose the Null Hypothesis.
   a. The Null Hypothesis should state the opposite of what the project hopes to accomplish.
   b. Example: The sampling is attempting to show that erosion control measures are reducing the amount of sediment runoff; therefore the null hypothesis should state that the erosion control measures did not reduce the amount of sediment runoff. You must then collect sufficient data to allow you to reject the null hypothesis. If you fail to do this, you must accept the null hypothesis (i.e., your BMP’s did not reduce runoff). 
5. Specify the boundaries of the gray region (width of the gray region = Δ).
   a. The gray region is the range of values within which the consequences of making a decision error are relatively minor. One end of the range is the action level, and the other end is the point at which the consequences of making a decision error become significant.
   b. Example: Lower Bound of the Gray Region (LBGR) = Action Level - (Analytical error + Sampling error)
6. Assign probability limits on either side of the gray region.
   a. In this step you specify the error rates that the decision makers are willing to accept, and provide a rationale for the rates.
   b. Example: Alpha (α) error - (5%) that the project succeeded when it actually failed. Beta (β) error - (20%) that the project failed when it actually succeeded.
   d. The action levels should be established and recorded on the data recording sheet so that the samplers will know when an exceedance has occurred and the project owner and/or general contractor needs to take appropriate corrective actions. (Example: Typical action levels could be “the highest mean pre-con turbidity value”.)

7. DEVELOP THE PLAN FOR OBTAINING DATA - Optimize the Sample Design. Identify the most resource effective data collection and analysis
design that satisfies the DQOs specified in the last six (6) steps.

a. Collect MULTI INCREMENT samples.
   1. Usually, MULTI INCREMENT samples are more accurate and a cheaper alternative to traditional sampling methods.

b. Non-MULTI INCREMENT samples.
   1. Review DQO outputs from steps 1 to 6 to ensure they are internally consistent.
      a. The outputs provide information on the context of, requirements for and constraints on data collection design.
   2. Develop alternate sample designs.
      a. For each decision rule, develop one or more sample designs for consideration and evaluation in Step 7. Keep in mind the Step 5 outputs defining the population you are trying to represent with the data.
   3. For each design option, select needed mathematical expressions.
      a. Define suggested method(s) for testing the statistical hypothesis and define sample size formula(e) that corresponds to the method(s).
      b. Example:
         1. Generate frequency distribution histogram(s) for each population.
         2. Select one or more statistical methods that will address the PSQ’s.
         3. List the assumptions for choosing these statistical methods.
         4. List the appropriate formula for calculating the number of samples, n.
   4. Select the optimal sample size that satisfies the DQO’s for each data collection design option.
      a. Using the appropriate formula, calculate the number of samples needed, by varying α and β for each Δ. Select the sample sizes that have acceptable levels of α, β and Δ.

D. Attachments

1. List all technical documents used in preparation of this document.
2. List all technical documents associated with equipment and instruments in the AMAP.
3. List all procedural documents that will be used in the AMAP.
4. Include copies of applicable SOPs, as referenced in the AMAP. See http://www.epa.gov/quality/qs-docs/g6-final.pdf.
5. Include example copies of the Chain of Custody form, Datasheet form and Report form.

Additional AMAPs may be required to assess impacts upon biota or for erosion (e.g. beaches and streams).
Appendix 1 – Matrix

General Monitoring Guideline for Section 401 Water Quality Certification Projects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Period of Construction Project</th>
<th>&lt;1 to 4 Months</th>
<th>≥5 Months to ≤4 Year</th>
<th>Construction Project Monitoring Frequency*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>≥2</td>
<td>≥3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Parameter to Monitor for &quot;X&quot; Months of &quot;In-Water&quot; Work</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Photo Documentation</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turbidity</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Suspended Solids (TSS)</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dissolved Oxygen (DO)</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salinity</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secchi Disc or Light Extinction</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biological Monitoring</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrate + Nitrite Nitrogen (NO₂NO₃)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen (TKN)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ammonia Nitrogen (NH₄)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Nitrogen (TN)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ortho-Phosphate (PO₄)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Phosphorus (TP)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlorophyll a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silicate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pesticides, PAHs, metals, etc.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Other</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Monitoring Frequency</strong></td>
<td>D</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>D</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Symbol Legend**

- ✔ Basic water quality monitoring parameters
- ❑ Included with dredging projects, if no habitat loss or modification
- ✔ Optional per data evaluation suggesting no significant impact
- ❑ Optional per dredging projects
- ❑ Photo documentation on dredging project with some habitat loss or modification
- ❑ Bio-monitoring on dredging projects with habitat loss or modification
- — To be determined on individual case
- ○ Optional per individual cases for dredging projects

**Notes:**

* Pre-construction sampling for TSS and Turbidity of TEN samples over TWO weeks for projects that impact bottom sediment.
** During construction monitoring is limited to length of "in-water" work period.
*** Post-construction monitoring is limited to once per construction period.

Shaded blocks represent basic or minimum requirement for most projects.

D = Daily
W = Weekly
M = Monthly
Q = Quarterly
(i.e., 3W = three times per week)
Note that the monitoring frequency is based on the length of in-water work where the BMPs are not modified (e.g., due to multiple phases). If the BMPs are modified for different phases, the length of in-water work will be based on the length of each phase. Thus, each phase may have a different monitoring frequency.
AMAP Sampling Notes
(Helpful Hints and Supporting Information)

A. Legal Requirements:

1. CWA, Section 401 (d) requires that:

(d) Any certification provided under this section shall set forth any effluent limitations and other limitations, and monitoring requirements necessary to assure that any applicant for a Federal license or permit will comply with any applicable effluent limitations and other limitations, under section 301 or 302 of this Act, standard of performance under section 306 of this Act, or prohibition, effluent standard, or pretreatment standard under section 307 of this Act, and with any other appropriate requirement of State law set forth in such certification, and shall become a condition on any Federal license or permit subject to the provisions of this section. (33 U.S.C. 1341)

2. HRS, §342D-55 requires that:

§342D-55 Recordkeeping and monitoring requirements. (a) The director may require the owner or operator of any effluent source, works, system, or plant; any discharger of effluent; the applicant for written authorization under this chapter for such sources or facilities; or any person engaged in management practices to:

(1) Establish and maintain records;

(2) Make reports and plans that shall cover existing situations and proposed additions, modifications, and alterations;

(3) Install, use, and maintain monitoring equipment or methods;

(4) Sample effluent, state waters, sewage sludge, and recycled water; and

(5) Provide such other information as the department may require.

(b) The director may require that information and items required under subsection (a) be complete and detailed, in a prescribed form, made or prepared by a competent person acceptable to the director, and at the expense of the owner, operator, or applicant.

(c) Management practices covered in this section are those for domestic sewage, sewage sludge, and recycled water, whether or not such practices cause water pollution.

B. Hawaii Administrative Rules (HAR), Title 11 (Department of Health), Chapter 54 (Water Quality Standards):
C. HAR, Chapter 11-54 titled Water Quality Standards (WQS) is an administrative rule adopted, and revised from time to time, by the DOH under the authorization of CWA, §303 and HRS, §342D-5. It consists of:

1. General policy of water quality antidegradation (HAR, §11-54-1.1).
2. Designated Uses (Beneficial Uses. HAR, §11-54-3).
3. Water Quality Criteria:
   (a) Basic water quality criteria applicable to all waters (HAR, §11-54-4).
   (b) Specific Water Quality Criteria for:
      (1) Uses and specific criteria applicable to inland waters (HAR, §11-54-5).
      (2) Uses and specific criteria applicable to marine waters (HAR, §11-54-6).
      (3) Uses and specific criteria applicable to marine bottom types (HAR, §11-54-7).
   (c) Recreational criteria for all State waters (HAR, §11-54-8).

C. Definition

"Water quality certification" or "certification" means a statement which asserts that a proposed discharge resulting from an activity will not violate applicable water quality standards and the applicable provisions of sections 301, 302, 303, 306 and 307 of the Act. A water quality certification is required by section 401 of the Act from any applicant for a federal license or permit to conduct any activity, including the construction or operation of facilities which may result in any discharge into navigable waters. (HAR, §11-54-9.1)

"Discharge" means the discharge of a water pollutant (HAR, §11-54-1).

“Water pollutant” means dredged spoil, solid refuse, incinerator residue, sewage, garbage, sewage sludge, munitions, chemical waste, biological materials, radioactive materials, heat, wrecked or discarded equipment, rock, sand, soil, sediment, cellar dirt and industrial, municipal, and agricultural waste. (HRS, §342D-1)

"Water pollution" means:

(1) Such contamination or other alteration of the physical, chemical, or biological properties of any state waters, including change in temperature, taste, color, turbidity, or odor of the waters, or

(2) Such discharge of any liquid, gaseous, solid, radioactive, or other substances into any state waters,

as will or is likely to create a nuisance or render such waters unreasonably harmful, detrimental, or injurious to public health, safety, or welfare, including harm, detriment, or injury to public water supplies, fish and aquatic life and wildlife, recreational purposes and agricultural and
industrial research and scientific uses of such waters or as will or is likely to violate any water quality standards, effluent standards, treatment and pretreatment standards, or standards of performance for new sources adopted by the department. (HRS, §342D-1)

"State waters", as defined by section 342D-1, HRS, means all waters, fresh, brackish, or salt ground and within the State, including, but not limited to, coastal waters, streams, rivers, drainage ditches, ponds, reservoirs, canals, ground waters, and lakes; provided that drainage ditches, ponds, and reservoirs required as part of a water pollution control system are excluded. This chapter applies to all State waters, including wetlands, subject to the following exceptions:

(1) This chapter does not apply to groundwater, except the director may in the director’s discretion take appropriate actions when the director believes that the discharge of pollutants to the ground or groundwater has adversely affected, is adversely affecting, or will adversely affect the quality of any State water other than groundwater.

(2) This chapter does not apply to drainage ditches, flumes, ponds and reservoirs that are required as part of a water pollution control system.

(3) This chapter does not apply to drainage ditches, flumes, ponds, and reservoirs that are used solely for irrigation and do not overflow into or otherwise adversely affect the quality of any other State waters, unless such ditches, flumes, ponds, and reservoirs are waters of the United States as defined in 40 C.F.R. section 122.2. The State of Hawaiʻi has those boundaries stated in the Hawaiʻi Constitution, art. XV, §1. (HAR, §11-54-1)

"Waste" means sewage, industrial and agricultural matter, and all other liquid, gaseous, or solid substance, including radioactive substance, whether treated or not, which may pollute or tend to pollute the waters of this State (HRS, §342D-1).

D. Content of AMAP – Applicable for e-Permitting NWP Blanket WQC Notification Form

The AMAP shall be properly designed and implemented to ensure that any applicant for a work authorization verification under DA 2012 – 2017 Nationwide Permits (NWPs) Nos. 3, 5, 6, 12, 13, 14, or 33 will comply with any applicable effluent limitations and other limitations, under section 301 or 302 of CWA, standard of performance under section 306 of CWA, or prohibition, effluent standard, or pretreatment standard under section 307 of CWA, applicable State WQS as adopted by the DOH in HAR, Chapter 11-54 under CWA, section 303 and HRS, §342D-5, and with any other appropriate requirement of State law or conditions set forth in the conditional blanket Section 401 WQC under File No. WQC0804.

A properly designed and well executed AMAP should, at a minimum, be able to provide:

- Affected existing project site physical, chemical and biological environmental information and identify the potential short/long term and construction/operations related physical, chemical and/or biological environmental effects as the result of the proposed construction activities.
- Accurate representative monitoring results that allow for timely management responses in implementing mitigative/corrective measures to potential water pollution issues attributable to equipment operations, construction methods, construction sequence,
material used, effectiveness of the installed BMPs or improperly installed or maintained BMPs, etc.

- Sufficient information to identify the expected/unexpected long term adverse impacts that may require additional mitigative measures to restore the affected physical, chemical and biological environment.
- Sufficient information on the existing uses at the project site, and assurance that existing uses and the level of water quality necessary to protect the existing uses, shall be maintained and protected.

The following information should be included as part of the AMAP:

1. **General Project Site Information**:
   a. Project location, project site Tax Map Keys & project site centroid coordinates (using datum WGS84) and project site contact person information.
   b. Receiving State waters name and classification (See HAR, Chapter 11-54); CWA, §303(d) listing; TMDL status and pollutant(s) of concerns (POC, specified in DOH September 2, 2014 Integrated Report to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency and the U.S. Congress Pursuant to §303(d) and §305(b), Clean Water Act (P.L. 97-117) and can be downloaded at: http://health.hawaii.gov/cwb/files/2014/11/Final-2014-State-of-Hawaii-Water-Quality-Monitoring-and-Assessment-Report.pdf, if any.

2. **Project scope, potential water pollutant producing and discharge activities and pollutant of concerns (POC).** Please:
   a. Describe overall project scope and construction activities.
   b. Provide project boundary, structure footprint, proposed BMPs and location with Coordinates (WGS84) on a scaled construction drawing.
   c. Identify location and dimension of the proposed sampling Control and Impact station decision units (DUs) with Coordinates (WGS84) on the same scaled construction drawing, above.
   d. Provide potential water pollutant producing activities that may result in water pollutants entering/re-entering State waters.
   e. List and provide physical, chemical, biological, thermal, and any other pertinent characteristics of each of the potential water pollutants that may result from the potential pollutant producing activities.

3. **Description of existing environment and potential environmental effects that may result from the construction and operation of the proposed construction activities**

   Provide:
   a. Project site existing physical, chemical and biological environment information or submit survey reports as an attachment to the WQC application.
b. Discuss the potential effects to the existing physical, chemical and biological environment as the result of the proposed construction activities.

c. Appropriate monitoring protocol to properly identify the extent of adverse effects (e.g., AMAP).

d. Sufficient information on the existing uses at the project site and assurances that existing uses and the level of water quality necessary to protect the existing uses shall be maintained and protected.

4. Monitoring Program (usually included in the AMAP)

a. Provide organizational responsibility in a table form that includes name, position/title, responsibility and qualification of each and every person or firm that will be involved in the proper execution of the AMAP.

b. Sampling locations - provide a narrative description and place on a scaled construction drawing all control and impact station DU’s. Specify additional DU when a turbidity plume exists. Specify latitude/longitude coordinates (WGS84) of all DU’s.

c. BMP locations – show the proposed structure footprint and BMP locations on the same scaled construction drawing along with the sampling station DU’s to demonstrate the adequacy of the proposed sampling station DU locations. If the BMPs will be deployed in different configurations, all configurations should be included.

d. Parameters to be monitored – All potential water pollutants resulting from the potential pollutant producing activities, Pollutants of Concern (POC) of the affected receiving State waters, potential water pollutants released from the operation of the construction equipment, etc. shall be properly monitored and analyzed. Water pollutants not disclosed in the e-Permitting NWP Blanket WQC Notification Form will not be permitted to be discharged into the affected State waters.

e. Sampling and frequencies – provide detailed pre, during and post construction sampling requirements and see Condition 5.b(9) of WQC0804 for the minimal during construction sampling frequency requirements. A more frequent sampling frequency may be warranted on a project specific or case-by-case basis.

f. Sampling and Analytical methods: provide detailed analytical methods and instruments to be used for the project, analytical holding time, preservation in a table form.

g. Quality Assurance

h. Data Quality Objective - Download and Read the DQO Guidelines from http://www.epa.gov/quality/qs-docs/g4-final.pdf. Also see guideline below.

i. Chain of Custody Procedures

j. Field Analysis Quality Control

k. Report and Assessment
GENERAL INFORMATION

Data Recording and Error correction – Data should be recorded using ink (not pencil). Written information should not be erased or covered over using correction fluid (e.g. “White out”). When an error is made, the person making the correction shall cross out the incorrect information with a single line strikethrough, enter the correct information, and then add their signature (minimum of first initial and last name) and date.

Photographs – Photos should be in color and displayed “as is” with no alteration. If an alteration is necessary, both the unaltered and altered photos should be included and each clearly labeled. Photos should be date and time stamped and accompanied by a descriptive narrative that explains what is being documented. A photo orientation map should be included that identifies where each photo was taken and the direction that the photo faces.

Sample Results - Sample results must be of sufficient quality for proper analysis. If the sample results do not accurately reflect water quality conditions, then any conclusions based on those results are not defensible. Sampling consists of more than just producing a “number”. There must be a plan for when, where and how samples are collected. Instruments must be calibrated and then checked for accuracy. To ensure consistency, there should be a sampling Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) that the sampler should follow. (CWB has SOPs for sampling, taking photographs, and for each instrument used.)

In-situ measurements – set the instrument to record data and average it over a long period of time (e.g. 1 minute). Or collect data for 1 minute if it cannot average over that time. Set the instrument to log data every 15 minutes 24/7.

Remotely deployed instruments may be acceptable under certain conditions (water is flowing like in a stream, there is adequate mixing of the flow and the flow is narrow enough to ensure that the probe is exposed to a representative quantity of water). If the instrument records values every 15 minutes, that should provide 96 values in a 24 hour period. A histogram can be plotted to verify the shape of the distribution, and a 96 sample moving average can be constructed to establish the trend over time. Action level criteria must be developed to determine when an exceedance has occurred and triggers corrective actions.

In practical applications, the use of remotely deployed instrumentation has not been very successful. Instruments have failed, personnel who service the instruments have been unable to identify when the instrument was failing or how to address the failures, management oversight was lax or non-existent or otherwise unable to direct corrective actions in a timely manner, data analysis was based on non-existent or poorly defined criteria, comparability of the different probes was not established, etc. These deficiencies must be addressed before these instruments are considered for use again.
Field instruments – Field instruments should have written Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs) governing their operation. The SOPs should be based upon the manufacturer’s instrument manual, but should also include step-by-step instructions on secondary checks, frequency of calibrations and secondary checks, safety issues, precautions, troubleshooting, etc.


Calibration – Calibrations are performed on instrument probes to reset them back to factory settings. Documentation of the calibration is required. One caution about calibrating too frequently is that you lose comparability between readings. The probe is only operated for a short time before being reset so degradation is harder to detect.

Secondary (QC) Check – Secondary checks are performed by placing a probe into a known standard solution. The standards should have values at or near the anticipated levels that will be measured in the field. The probe must accurately measure the standard (within a preset range, e.g. +/- 5%). Secondary checks should be performed prior to, and after, taking actual field measurements. Satisfactory checks help to ensure that the probe was functioning properly during the measurements. Failure of a check should require a recalibration of the probe. Failure of a check after measurements are taken should result in the data being discarded since they do not meet the QC requirements. Documentation of these actions is required.

Typical accuracy ranges for the five (5) standard field measured parameters are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Range</th>
<th>Compare Against</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Temperature</td>
<td>± 1°C</td>
<td>NIST thermometer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salinity</td>
<td>± 5%</td>
<td>Standard seawater or equivalent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>± 0.2 SU</td>
<td>Standard calibration solution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DO</td>
<td>± 5%</td>
<td>Use same procedure as for calibration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turbidity</td>
<td>± 5%</td>
<td>Known standard</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Documentation – In addition to calibrations and secondary checks, maintenance and other actions that may affect instrument and/or probe response must be documented.
Data quality indicators (DQIs - accuracy, precision, bias, completeness, representativeness, comparability, and sensitivity) refer to quality control criteria established for various aspects of data gathering, sampling, or analysis activity. In defining DQIs specifically for the project, the level of uncertainty associated with each measurement is defined.

When an organization contracts for analytical work it has two options. In Option 1 DQIs for laboratory work are defined in the AMAP. These DQIs are provided to the laboratory which then acknowledges that it is capable of meeting these criteria, and also states it is willing to meet them. In Option 2, the sampling organization reviews the information from the laboratory on its QA/QC Program and DQIs and determines whether the laboratory can meet project needs.

If the first approach is taken, the organization writing the AMAP should include the appropriate DQI tables in the AMAP. A QA Plan and/or SOPs from the laboratory should be included with the AMAP and the AMAP should state explicitly that the laboratory has agreed to meet the defined DQI criteria.

If the second approach is taken, the sampling organization must acknowledge that it understands and agrees to the DQIs defined by the contract laboratory which will be used for the project. DQIs for work performed by the laboratory will be found in either the laboratory’s QA Plan and/or its SOPs which must be included with the plan.

DQI DEFINITIONS

Accuracy is the degree of agreement of a measurement with a known or true value. In a limiting case where random errors are very tightly controlled, bias dominates the overall accuracy. In general, however, both precision and bias contribute to accuracy. A measurement result with zero bias may not be accurate if the measurement process is not precise. To determine accuracy, a laboratory or field value is compared to a known or true concentration. Accuracy is determined by such QC indicators as: matrix spikes, surrogate spikes, laboratory control samples (blind spikes) and performance samples.

In a given DU, a parameter will have a mean value that must be determined. How closely the measurement value is to the actual (unknown) value depends on the accuracy of the measurement. Conducting secondary checks prior to and after measurements will help to define the accuracy of the measurements. For example, if a pH probe were checked in a standard pH 7 solution and the acceptance criteria was 7.00 ± 0.20, then your sample results should have an accuracy of no less than ± 0.20.

The accuracy can also be affected by how the samples are taken (e.g. grabs vs MULTI INCREMENT). Here, the sampling procedure itself can introduce error due to non-representativeness (e.g. grab samples will tend to under represent the mean values).
**Precision** is the degree of agreement between independent measurements of a similar property under identical or substantially similar conditions (usually reported as a standard deviation [SD], relative standard deviation [RSD] or relative percent difference [RPD]). Precision is calculated from the analysis of replicate laboratory or field samples. Typically, field precision is assessed by co-located samples, field replicates, and laboratory precision is assessed using laboratory replicates, matrix spike duplicates, or laboratory control sample duplicates.

A minimum of three sample results (a sample and two replicates) are required to determine the RSD.

For MULTI INCREMENT samples, the Relative Standard Deviation (RSD) is the typical measurement of precision. An RSD of up to 20% may be acceptable (depending on the criteria developed in the DQOs). RSDs over the acceptable value (e.g. 20%) may indicate that the sampling procedure is inadequate to capture the variability. An adjustment to the sampling procedure may be required. (Note that a much lower RSD may be required when the sample results are very close to the action level, as determined during the DQO process.)

**Bias** is systematic or persistent distortion of a measurement process that causes errors in one direction. Bias may originate from sources such as calibration errors, response factor shifts, unaccounted-for interferences, or chronic sample contamination. The sample itself may generate real or apparent bias caused by a matrix effect or variation in physical properties such as particle size. Bias can be in the positive (high) or negative (low) direction from the true value.

Individual parameter probes can be checked against known standards with values at or near values that will be measured to minimize bias. If the probes produce values that are at or near the standard values, then the effects of bias can be controlled.

**Completeness** is expressed as percent of valid usable data actually obtained compared to the amount that was expected. Due to a variety of circumstances, sometimes either not all samples scheduled to be collected can be collected or else the data from samples cannot be used (for example, samples lost, bottles broken, instrument failures, laboratory mistakes, etc.). The minimum percent of completed analyses defined in this section depends on how much information is needed for decision making. Generally, completeness goals rise as the number of samples taken per event falls, or the more critical the data are for decision making. Goals in the 75-95% range are typical.

While the CWB matrix provides some guidance on the minimum level of sampling, the applicant must remain aware of the guiding information and documentation that the monitoring results provide. The concern is that as the expected amount of data is reduced, the ability to address the problem statement in step 1 of the DQO process is also diminished.

**Representativeness** is the measure of the degree to which data accurately and precisely represent a characteristic of a population, parameter variations at a sampling point, a process condition, or an
environmental condition. It relates both to the area of interest and to the method of taking the individual sample. The idea of representativeness should be incorporated into discussions of sampling design. Representativeness is best assured by a comprehensive statistical sampling design, but it is recognized that this is usually outside the scope of most one-time events.

AMAPs should focus on issues related to judgmental sampling and why certain areas are included or not included and the steps being taken to avoid either false positives or false negatives. *MULTI INCREMENT* sampling is specifically designed to help ensure that sample results are representative.

**Comparability** expresses the confidence with which one data set can be compared to another. The use of methods from EPA or “Standard Methods” or from some other recognized sources allows the data to be compared facilitating evaluation of trends or changes in a site, a river, groundwater, etc. Comparability also refers to the reporting of data in comparable units so direct comparisons are simplified (e.g., this avoids comparison of mg/L for nitrate reported as nitrogen to mg/L of nitrate reported as nitrate, or ppm vs. mg/L discussions).

Using different instruments may produce different values that could be interpreted as increases or decreases in the parameter when the differences are actually due to the different instruments instead. Different instruments must demonstrate the ability to produce the same values to ensure that the measurements are comparable.

Differences can also occur due to instrument adjustments (i.e. calibrations) where readings taken before calibration do not match readings taken after.

AMAPs require the applicant to specify the parameters, units, methods, instruments, hold times, field preservation, minimum detection and minimum sensitivity in a table to ensure comparability. This information helps to ensure that the data generated will be of sufficient quality to determine if the objectives are met.

**Sensitivity** is the capability of a method or instrument to discriminate between measurement responses representing different levels of the variable of interest. The term "detection limit" is closely related to sensitivity and is often used synonymously. In practical applications, sensitivity is the minimum attribute level that a method or instrument can measure with a desired level of precision. Sensitivity is often a crucial aspect of environmental investigations that make comparisons to particular action levels or standards.

**Detection Limits** are usually expressed as method detection limits (MDLs) or Quantitation Limits for all analytes or compounds of interest. These limits should be related to any decisions that will be made as a result of the data collection effort. A critical element to be addressed is how these limits relate to any regulatory or action levels that may apply.

---

1 American National Standard: *Specifications and Guidelines for Quality Systems for Environmental Data and Environmental Technology Programs* (ANSI/ASQC, 1994)
**Minimum Detectable** is the smallest value that can be measured accurately by the instrument.

**Minimum Sensitivity** is the smallest incremental difference that can be distinguished by the instrument.

Make sure that the Minimum Detectable level (MDL) is less than the typical levels of a parameter that will be measured. If the MDL is too high, the results will be non-detectable. Such results would be useless for evaluations.

**Example:** Some turbidity instruments can display values to the nearest 100th place (e.g. 1.05 NTU). The Minimum Sensitivity for this instrument would be 0.01 NTU. One might assume that the Minimum Detectable is also 0.01 NTU. However, according to Standard Methods, “Uncertainties and discrepancies in turbidity measurements make it unlikely that results can be duplicated to greater precision than specified [in the table to the right].” In this case, the Minimum Detectable should be denoted as 0.05 NTU.

Also note that the table specifies that readings are reported to the nearest 0.05, therefore readings of 0.03 NTU to 0.07 NTU are reported as “0.05 NTU”. Readings of <0.03 NTU are reported as “<0.05 NTU”.

The actual reporting levels may vary depending on the instrumentation used and should be defined in the respective instrument SOP.

**Example:** The minimum detectable level in the laboratory for TSS is above the actual levels expected in the field. This will result in the sample results being reported as “non-detect”. If all results are reported as “non-detect”, then no comparisons can be made to determine if there were impacts from a project. It is important that the lab be made aware of the expected levels in the field so that they can adjust accordingly.
Discussion on pH Probes

Always use fresh buffer solution to calibrate or conduct secondary checks. DO NOT REUSE BUFFER SOLUTIONS. (Used buffer solutions may be used for rinsing as it does not involve measurements.)

When a pH probe is new, it responds quickly to the solution that it is placed in. The chart to the right illustrates the typical response (blue line) of a pH probe immersed into a pH 7.00 solution.

Gradually, over time, the response of the pH probe becomes slower (light blue line), but the probe still manages to measure the value of the solution accurately.

Eventually, the response of the probe degrades to the point where it takes a long time to respond and never stabilizes at any value.

pH probes have a typical lifespan of approximately one year. This one year period is independent of usage (i.e. the probe decays regardless if the probe is used or not). For this reason, it is not advantageous to “stockpile” spare probes.
Knowing that the pH probes degrade over time, the question is, at what point should a probe be replaced? The acceptance criteria should be something like the following: “pH readings must stabilize for 8 seconds at the standard value (i.e. 7.00 ± 0.20) within 60 seconds of immersion.” (The acceptance criteria are shown in the chart to the right as a red box.) Note that if the drift of the box to the right is tracked over time, the decay of the pH probe can be identified well in advance of it becoming an issue.

pH probes may come in 2 “flavors” – high ionic and low ionic. The high ionic probes are designed for operation in marine environments; and low ionic, for fresh waters. They will both work regardless of the salinity of the waters being tested, but the difference is that they will deplete (i.e. die) faster when used in waters for which they were not intended.

The following is from the YSI website:

1. Check the age of the probe.

Probes for your pH meter generally last 12-18 months. This holds true whether the probe is being used or not. The lot code will determine the age of your pH probe. A lot code is two numbers then a letter. The numbers indicate the year of manufacture and the letter indications the month, i.e.- A=January, B=February, C=March etc. Please note that the letter “I” is not used, this means H=August and J=September and so on.

Lot code ex:12A*

*probe was manufactured in January 2012

2. Perform routine maintenance.

Keeping your pH probe clean can also help eliminate pH calibration problems. If the reference junction on the probe is not clean the probe may become unresponsive. Soak your probe with 1:1 bleach water solution for about 30 minutes regularly to reduce the chances of this happening. If hard deposits have built up on your probe, you can clean these by soaking the pH probe in vinegar or 1M...
(molar) HCL (hydrochloric acid) for about 3 minutes. pH probes usually require weekly or monthly cleanings.

Always check your pH meter manual for calibration and routine maintenance information.

3. Check for physical damage to the probe.

If your probe is damaged, broken glass bulb, crack in the glass etc., the probe must be replaced.

4. Confirm that the pH probe has never dried out.

Always store your pH probe in a moist environment or submerged in buffer 4 solution. If you find your pH probe has dried out, it will have to be replaced.

5. Check the temperature probe used with your instrument.

Check your probe’s temperature specifications. pH will not function accurately if the temperature probe is out of specification.

6. Always use fresh, unused, unexpired pH buffers for calibration.

You never want to re-use buffers for calibration. Once buffers are used for calibration, they are assumed contaminated. Re-using buffers can lead to slow responding pH probe performance or the inability to calibrate at all. This re-use can also make it difficult to determine whether the probe or the buffers are causing the pH calibration failure.

A good way to use re-used buffers is for probe rinsing only.

7. Perform at least a 2-point calibration-Buffer 7 MUST be one of these two points.

8. Always start with Buffer 7 when calibrating your instrument even though it is not always required.

9. Reset the calibration to factory default is possible.

Not all instruments are equipped with this ability. It is a good idea to consult the user manual. The user manual will also supply the proper process to do this task because this process can vary depending on the instrument.

10. Confirm the pH probe response time in each buffer.

Response time should be no longer than 60 seconds. Response time can depend on the age and cleanliness of your probe.

11. Check the millivolts in each buffer.

• Buffer 7 should be 0+-50 mV.
• Buffer 4 should be 165 to 180 mV away from the buffer 7 mV value, in the positive direction.
• Buffer 10 should be 165 to 180 mV away from the buffer 7 mV value in the negative direction.
12. NEVER accept out-of-range calibrations.

If you accept an out-of-range calibration, your probe will not calibrate. It is highly likely you will not collect any usable pH data if an out-of-range calibration is accepted.

Calibration - pH calibration procedures are generally detailed in the manufacturer’s manuals, however, a specific SOP should be written to describe the actual procedure since the procedure may vary according to the type of waters being monitored. For example, marine waters tend to be alkaline thus requiring the use of pH 7 and 10 buffer solutions, whereas fresh waters may be slightly acidic requiring pH 4 and 7 buffer solutions. The SOPs should discuss the proper buffers to use under different scenarios. Due to drift, pH probes should be calibrated at least monthly.

Secondary (QC) checks – secondary checks should be performed prior to and after each day’s sampling. Checks should be conducted at a value close to the values measured in the field, and/or at pH 7 (neutral value). An acceptable range must be established prior to conducting the checks (e.g. ±0.15 pH units).

Discussion on Salinity

HAR Chapter 11-54 water quality standards specifies the measurement of salinity in Parts Per Thousand (PPT). Standard Methods (20th Ed., 1998) states that for seawater measurements, salinity should be determined by using the Practical Salinity Scale (PSS). While discussions of these two methods state that they are approximately equal, there is no documentation of the exact relationship between the two.

In practice, field instruments that display readings in PSS tend to under estimate the salinity readings in PPT by approximately 2 PPT at 35 PPT (i.e. 33 vs 35 PPT). Samplers must be cautious when using field instruments and documenting results to ensure that they do not confuse PSS for PPT and vice versa. Additionally, the SOP must be very clear in describing the calibration and secondary check procedures to ensure that the probe measures correct values and in the correct units.

Calibration – Document the specific calibration procedure in a SOP. Recalibrate at set schedule (e.g. every 6 months) using a standard seawater solution.

Secondary check – Conduct secondary checks prior to and after each day’s sampling. Use a standard to verify that the instrument is reading correctly. Use DI/distilled water to verify the lower (i.e. zero) end of the scale. Establish acceptable ranges for each (e.g. ±5% at 34.99 PPT and 0 to 1.0 PPT at the lower end).

Discussion on Dissolved Oxygen (DO)

The traditional DO probe consists of a sensing element protected by an oxygen-permeable plastic membrane. The sensing element produces a current that is directly proportional to the DO concentration. This process can deplete the oxygen near the membrane surface, therefore the probe
may be equipped with a stirrer to provide a constant flow of water to the membrane surface. This probe may be calibrated in water-saturated air. Some DO instruments do not automatically correct for temperature and salinity differences (i.e. corrections must be done manually). Probes must be checked frequently for leaking reference solution, corroded elements, damaged membranes/o-rings and air bubbles in the electrode chamber. Unaddressed problems can lead to erroneous readings.

A newer development is the Luminescent DO (LDO) probe. This probe requires much less user maintenance and does not deplete the oxygen at the probe face. Calibration may be in water-saturated air or with the probe fully immersed in water. If calibration is conducted with the probe immersed in water, do not use tap water straight from the faucet. (This water is under pressure, probably at a lower temperature than ambient and of lower oxygen content. Calibration with this water will result in DO readings much higher than actual levels.) To address this issue, Hydrolab tech support recommends that a bottle be half-filled with tap water, covered, and then vigorously shaken for 30 seconds. The bottle should be opened to release any pressure and for air exchange, then recovered and reshaken for another 30 seconds. This will bring the oxygen content of the water back to 100% so that it may be used for calibration of the LDO probe.

After calibration, tests of the LDO probe versus the membrane DO probe showed close agreement.

Typical DO% readings are in the range of 80% to high 90%. Readings above 100% are possible, but occur rarely. Conditions that may contribute to readings above 100% include intense sunlight, calm and shallow waters, and an abundance of oxygen producing algae. Under such conditions, the excess oxygen will tend to strip free H⁺ ions from the water column, resulting in slightly higher pH levels as well. Multiple readings in excess of 100%, and/or readings above 130% with no obvious causes for the elevated levels are more likely due to an instrument defect and/or a calibration error.

Calibration – DO readings can be impacted by differences in barometric pressure, and therefore, the probe should be calibrated daily.

Secondary (QC) checks – secondary checks should be performed prior to and after each day’s sampling. Check the DO reading using the same setup as for calibration. The readings should fall within the range of 95% to 105% (±5%).

**Discussion on Turbidity**

In general, most turbidity meters measure light scattered 90° from an incident beam. These instruments are called Nephelometers, and the resulting measurements are reported in Nephelometric Turbidity Units (NTU). However, different companies manufacture instruments that may produce different readings when compared against each other. The design criteria are not stringent enough to ensure that a standardized result is produced.
Additionally, some instruments measure the turbidity in-situ, while others measure a collected sample. Natural agitation of the ambient water (e.g. waves or flow) can produce higher in-situ readings versus a collected sample due to settling.

Because of these differences, it is important to consider the instruments that will be used to take measurements and to use identical instruments for comparable results.

Some turbidity probes are sensitive to sunlight (i.e. the probes cannot distinguish sunlight from scattered light). This will result in higher turbidity readings. Do not purchase these probes.

Calibration – Some instruments (e.g. Hach 2100p) tend to be very stable and do not require frequent calibration (e.g. once/year) provided that secondary checks are performed frequently to verify accuracy.

Secondary (QC) checks – secondary checks should be performed prior to and after each day’s sampling. Establish an acceptable range (e.g. ±5%) for the check standard(s), including a DI/distilled water sample to check the zero value (e.g. 0 to 0.25 NTU).

**Discussion on Total Suspended Solids (TSS)**

TSS is determined by filtering a sample, then drying and weighing the filter paper. While TSS generally varies with turbidity, they are not directly comparable. Direct correlations should not be attempted.

Follow established laboratory procedures for calibration and QC checks.

**Discussion on Temperature**

Calibration – Field instruments are generally equipped with thermistors that are factory calibrated and cannot be adjusted by the end user.

Secondary (QC) checks – secondary checks should be performed periodically (e.g. every 90 days). Place the temperature probe and an NIST thermometer into a water bath. Verify that the thermistor value is within range of the NIST thermometer value (e.g. ±1°C).

**Multiple deployed instruments**

Calibrate and conduct secondary checks on multiple instruments at the same time (i.e. in the same bucket). Ensure that for each parameter measured, they both produce identical results. If they are not the same, there is a problem. If the results are identical, then direct comparisons can be made with the probes deployed in different locations.
Pre-Construction Monitoring

The purpose of the pre-construction monitoring is to establish the existing condition of the waterbody prior to any disturbance. Because of natural variability, a minimum of 10 sets of samples are required. MULTI INCREMENT samples or equivalent are taken in triplicate at the Control DU(s) and, if possible, at the Impact DU. The Percent Relative Standard Deviation (%RSD) is calculated for the triplicate samples to ensure that the variability is adequately captured. The acceptable %RSD should be determined (lower is better), but in no case should it exceed 20%. If the %RSD is regularly exceeded, then the sampling plan is not sufficient to capture the variability and revisions may be required.

The 10 sets of samples are typically taken over 10 business days due to time constraints, but in general, sampling over a longer period of time will capture more variability. Samples should only be collected under “normal” conditions (e.g. no storm events, impacts from other activities, high surf, etc.)

Turbidity and TSS – each set of triplicate samples should be averaged. The highest of these averaged values (i.e. the highest mean) shall be used as the action level for during construction monitoring.

pH – each set of triplicate samples should be averaged. The highest and lowest of these averaged values shall serve as the acceptable range for during construction monitoring.

Temperature, DO and Salinity – may need to be assigned action levels depending on the impacts of the project. Even if they are not directly affected, the sampler can use the information from these parameters to evaluate site conditions (e.g. ground water discharges may result in lower temperature, DO and salinity).

During Construction Monitoring

MULTI INCREMENT samples or equivalent are taken at the Control DU(s) and in triplicate at the Impact DU. Triplicate values are averaged and compared to their respective action levels. If the action level is exceeded, then the average value is compared to the current Control DU values. If the Control DUs values are also exceeded, then corrective actions should be taken.

Post Construction Monitoring

Take MULTI INCREMENT samples or equivalent at the Control DU(s) and in triplicate at the Impact DU. Triplicate values are averaged and compared to their respective Pre-Construction action levels. The Post Construction results should demonstrate “no net increase” in the pollutants of concern.

Base Assumption

The base assumption (or Null Hypothesis) is that the BMPs are not working properly. The sampler gathers data (measurements, photos, and/or other related information) that either proves or disproves this assumption. (If the data does not support the assumption the Null Hypothesis is rejected.)
Qualified Samplers

The largest source of error is usually a result of the sampling activity itself. This document, and the information contained herein will help to minimize error and achieve representative results. However, the proper execution of the plan ultimately lies in the hands of the field personnel (and their supervisors). Having read the instrument operating manual is not enough. Neither is being briefed on the sampling plan. The samplers must be “qualified”.

But what does “qualified” mean? For recent projects, CWB has been using the following definition to try to provide some guidance:

“Samples shall be collected by Qualified Samplers. “Qualified Sampler”, as used in this AMAP, means a person who actively practices environmental science, or has formal training in sampling theory, practices and techniques. Qualified Samplers must be thoroughly knowledgeable of all aspects of the sampling in this AMAP including all equipment, instruments, SOPs, calibrations, secondary checks, limits, and reporting requirements.”

In his book, “Outliers”, author Malcolm Gladwell observes that the mastery of a subject tends to occur after a person has practiced the activity for at least 10,000 hours. (Basically, 8 hours a day for 5 years.) Using this as a guideline, it could be reasoned that someone with no experience cannot be considered “qualified”. They would need to study the documentation and apprentice with a more experienced sampler for a period of time. Someone with 6 months to a year of experience (1000 to 2000 hours) might be considered “minimally qualified” (i.e. sample under close supervision); and with at least one (1) year of experience, “qualified”. A person with more than five (5) years of experience may be considered “highly qualified” and would be considered an “expert”.

Despite the vague definition, the bottom line will be how well the sampler can correctly execute the sampling protocol. The intent is to obtain the most accurate results possible. Using a “qualified sampler” is one of the key elements to ensuring that this objective is met.

(Note that the same experience criteria may be applied to document preparers who write the QAPPs, SAPs and AMAPs.)

So why must samplers be qualified? Does it really matter? “Qualified” samplers (those with many years of experience) have encountered many different situations and problems. They are more likely to produce quality work and avoid making simple mistakes.

Here are some examples of actual mistakes made by samplers:

a. Remote probe deployed incorrectly (probes mistakenly stuck into mud). This resulted in water with turbidity of <10 NTU, but readings of >3000 NTU. Neither the sampler, nor the reviewer recognized a problem.
b. Incorrect date/time/time zone on date/time stamp on pictures taken. The purpose of taking pictures is to document conditions at specific points in time. Documenting incorrect information defeats that purpose.

c. Triplicate samples taken at the wrong location (not at Impact station). The assumption was that as long as triplicates were taken at one of the stations, it would be acceptable. The purpose of taking triplicates (and running a %RSD) is to verify that the variability is being captured adequately. While it would be helpful to take all samples in triplicate, at the very least, the Impact station should be taken in triplicate because this is the most important location for determining if an exceedance occurs.

d. On a number of occasions, pH readings were incorrect. pH is one of the harder parameters to measure correctly. It takes a lot of practice (difficult for a novice to get it right from the beginning). Potential causes include reusing calibration solutions, defective probe, imprecise calibration, not recognizing when probe goes bad, not recognizing drift in readings, not cleaning the probe prior to calibration (see item f. below), etc. Note that calibration solutions go bad quicker upon opening the bottle (do not rely solely on the expiration date). Mark the open date on the bottle and discard after 6 months if not used by then.

e. Failure to read and comprehend all of the requirements of the AMAP. The requirements remain fairly consistent from one project to the next so those who have participated in multiple projects are more practiced than novices in the execution of the AMAP.

f. Unclean/fouled remotely deployed equipment/probes. Debris has caused spikes in turbidity readings, and on occasion, completely prevented data from being recorded. Dirty instruments can interfere with calibration, resulting in poor readings.

Additional tips:
1. Keep the instrument/probes clean.
2. For multi-probe instruments, rinse between calibration of different probes (reusing pH calibration solution for rinsing is ok).
3. Use the proper standards.
4. Calibrate for the expected range of values anticipated. If the range is unknown, calibrate the full range.
5. Have maintenance kits and spare parts available to service the instruments.
6. Properly handle and store instruments.
7. Store pH probes in tap water (or pH 4 solution). Do NOT store in DI water or allow probes to dry out.
Ultimately, the question is, can the sampler perform his/her duties and execute the actions specified in the AMAP in a satisfactory manner? In all probability, the best indicator of whether a sampler will be successful is if he/she has demonstrated an ability to do so in the past. The more experienced the sampler, the greater the confidence that they will also be successful in the future.

Assessing those with no such experience is more challenging. Possession of the following would be helpful:

1. A science based education.
2. Experience working with scientific equipment including calibration and secondary checks.
3. Laboratory work including quality assurance and quality control.
5. Knowledge of, and experience in, water pollution control.
6. Familiarity with the sampling equipment and instruments being used.
7. Familiarity with the operation manuals and Standard Operating Procedures.
8. Field sampling experience.
9. Comprehension of the sampling protocols and objectives especially MULTI INCREMENT sampling and EPA’s Data Quality Objectives.
10. Knowledge of BMPs including proper use, deployment and handling.
11. Ability to properly document sampling activities such that reviewers can fully comprehend what took place in the field.
12. An attention to detail and an obsessive desire for accuracy and “doing things correctly”.
13. Assumption of liability for producing correct and incorrect data.

There is much more that a sampler must know and be able to perform in order to produce quality data. The bottom line is that a qualified sampler is one who possesses the knowledge, skill, experience and ability to collect accurate and representative samples.
Figure 1 displays a typical DU (in blue) outside of a silt curtain. The DU extends out one (1) meter from the silt curtain, from the surface to the bottom, along the entire length of the silt curtain. This DU is chosen because if the silt curtain fails to isolate and contain pollutants, the water within the DU will be the most likely affected.

When the DU is sampled, the sampler must provide every drop of water in the DU an equal opportunity of being collected. (Within reason – in practice, bottom sediments and silt curtain deposits should not be disturbed since doing so will impact sampling results.) The sample collection bottle should be moved throughout the entire DU in a random manner.

When sampled correctly, a MULTI INCREMENT sample will provide results that are at, or very close to, the mean of the parameters being measured. To verify the accuracy of the sample results, the DU should be sampled in triplicate, and the percent Relative Standard Deviation (%RSD) calculated for each parameter. The results are in control (i.e. the variability has been properly captured) if the %RSD < 20%. (The lower the %RSD, the better.)
Figure 2 - DU when the water is flowing.

When the water is flowing, the DU can be situated at the downstream end of the silt curtain since any pollutants leaking out will flow through the DU. The sample bottle is moved throughout the entire DU, providing each drop of water an equal opportunity of being sampled.

Control Station DUs should be established about fifty (50) feet away from the Impact Station. The intent of the Control Stations is to document the ambient conditions in the general vicinity of the project. In addition, the Control Stations should be situated such that they will detect any contributions from non-project related sources that could impact sample results.
Triplicate samples means three separate samples taken sequentially. It does not mean one large sample split into 3 parts (split samples), nor does it mean three samples taken simultaneously (co-located samples), nor does it mean a single sample that is measured three times.

Red Flags – “Grab samples”, “Outliers” and “Hot spots” are terms that are generally used when sampling is not representative. When sampling procedures or data analyses include these terms, care should be taken to ensure that the data are accurate and representative.

The in-water work area is 10 feet from the toe of the active Activity Decision Unit boundary. A vessel/barge may be operated outside of the isolated and confined in-water work area only if it is surrounded by a boom.

In a narrow channel situation, such as a stream, ensure that the isolated area does not block the entire channel. This is to prevent the creation of a potential flood hazard and to allow for fish migration.
The Aloha Sampler™
(The following is from the Envirostat website.)

“The Aloha Sampler™ was developed as a tool for collecting MULTI INCREMENT samples. The Aloha Sampler™ consists of a standard one (1) liter high density polyethylene (HDPE) sample bottle with two (2) quarter inch holes drilled in the cap. The bottle is immersed horizontally with the holes aligned vertically (one above the other). This arrangement allows for the bottle to fill slowly (it takes approximately one (1) minute to fill completely). The sampler must move the bottle throughout the entire DU during this time window. Care must be taken to ensure that the bottle is neither under-filled, nor completely filled before the entire DU is traversed.”

Purchase and/or use of The Aloha Sampler™ is not required. This information is solely intended to provide an option for samplers who do not prefer to manufacture their own sampler. Other methods of collecting MULTI INCREMENT samples may be acceptable.

MULTI INCREMENT is a registered trademark of EnviroStat, Inc.
Aloha Sampler™ is a trademark of EnviroStat, Inc.
Liquid Sampler is a patent (7571657) of EnviroStat, Inc.
Samples must be collected in a manner that ensures that the results will be representative. The collection process should include the following:

First, the process must include the proper development of project objectives (e.g., the 7 step Data Quality Objectives process). Next, the tenants of Sampling Theory should be employed to determine the appropriate number of increments or samples, and that the correct sampling tools are selected and used for sample collection. Quality control is incorporated for assessment of the sampling plan design and data quality. The combination of these elements will help to ensure that representative samples are collected to provide data that meet project objectives.

MULTI INCREMENT sampling has demonstrated the ability to meet these criteria. Other equivalent methods may also be able to provide representative data (e.g. taking 200 individual samples and using that data to calculate alpha and beta errors, establish a distribution curve, determine mean values, etc.) The exact details of how such samples are to be collected, and how the data will be used must be described in detail in the AMAP. As always, it is the responsibility of the applicant to provide sufficient information to demonstrate that the results will be representative.