THE UXO CONUNDRUM

UNDERSTANDING THE SCOPE AND ISSUES

STEVEN MOW, SUPERVISOR, DOH,
HAZARD EVALUATION AND EMERGENCY RESPONSE OFFICE

DEFINITION OF CONUNDRUM

"a confusing and difficult problem or question."

"a situation for which there is no clear right answer or no good solution"

CHALLENGES OF UXO

- 1. Cleanup not 100% effective... 'no guarantees.'
- 2. 'Residual risk' of harm continues.
- 3. Risk difficult to quantify and communicate.

CHALLENGES OF UXO

- 4. No objective standard for acceptable risk.
- 5. Everyone fears liability.
- 6. Unable to offer "no further action letters."

1. CLEANUP NOT 100% EFFECTIVE 'NO GUARANTEES'

- State-of-the-art detection technology.
- High Site Complexity.
- Rugged terrain...scattered UXO.
- Process complicated...costly...limited.

2. 'RESIDUAL RISK' REMAINS

- Not possible to remove all risk.
- Small but real risk remains.
- Explosive risk...not chemical exposure.

3. RISK DIFFICULT TO QUANTIFY & COMMUNICATE

- Explosive risk much different than chemical.
- Small but catastrophic risk.
- Traditional site assessment methods are ineffective.
- Awareness/precautions lowers risk.

4. NO STANDARD FOR ACCEPTABLE RISK.

- 'Catastrophic' vs. 'dose-response' risk.
- No action levels for UXO risk.
- No 'official' DOH cleanup protocol.
- No 'official' DOH acceptable risk level.

5. EVERYONE FEAR LIABILITY

- Absence of official standards.
- Small but real residual risk.
- Judging catastrophic risk for others.
- Declaring/implying 'safe' conditions.

6. "NO FURTHER ACTION" LETTER

- Signals residual risk is acceptable.
- Implies safe for intended use.
- Requires science-based standards.
- Currently not possible without 'caveats.'

CONUNDRUM SUMMARY

- Unavoidable risk of serious harm remains.
- Absence of standards to judge safety.
- Parties want assurances of safety.
- Potential liability is 'palpable.'
- Re-use of property is interrupted.

