Appendix K:
Regional Haze Control
Measures Selected for
August 12, 2022 RH-SIP Submittal
and
2024 RH-SIP Submittal

# Regional Haze Control Measures Selected for August 12, 2022 RH-SIP Submittal

#### Kanoelehua-Hill Power Plant

	Highest Emissio	n Reduction				. ,	reshold in Green
Unit	Control Measure	Cost per Ton		Emission		on (Tons)	Notes
Offic	Control Micasure	Oost per Ton	NO <sub>X</sub>	SO <sub>2</sub>	PM <sub>10</sub>	Total Reduction	IVOICS
Boiler Hill 5	Fuel Switch from Fuel Oil No. 6 to ULSD	\$4,319	113.2	819.9	21.0	954	Boilers Hill 5 and Hill 6 shall be fired only on ULSD with a
Boiler Hill 6	Fuel Switch from Fuel Oil No. 6 to ULSD	\$4,684	70.7	1,345.5	27.5	1,444	maximum fuel sulfur content not to exceed 0.0015% by weight.
	Total Co	mbined Redu	ction for S	witching f	-uel>	2,398	
Boiler Hill 5	LNB w/OFA and/or FGR for fuel oil No. 6	\$1,051	119.7			120	
Boiler Hill 6	LNB w/OFA and/or FGR for fuel oil No. 6	\$598	209.4			209	
	Total Combine	d Reduction fo	or Combus	stion Cont	rols>	329	
Boiler Hill 5	Fuel Switch to ULSD + Combustion Controls	\$4,147	183.2	819.9	21.0	1,024	Combustion controls are economically feasible after fuel switch
Boiler Hill 6	Fuel Switch to ULSD + Combustion Controls	\$4,345	212.2	1,345.5	27.5	.,	from fuel oil No. 6 to ULSD since control cost is below \$5,800/ton
	Total Combined Reduction for F	uel Switch an	d Combus	stion Cont	rols>	2,609	threshold. See Notes a, b, d, e, f, and g.
Boiler Hill 5	SCR for Fuel Oil No. 6	\$1,733	207.6			208	
Boiler Hill 6	SCR for Fuel Oil No. 6	\$1,858	281.5			282	
		Total Combi	ned Redu	ction for S	CR>	489	
Boiler Hill 5	Fuel Switch to ULSD + SCR	\$4,152	238.2	819.9	21.0	1,079	SCR is economically feasible after fuel switch from fuel oil No. 6
Boiler Hill 6	Fuel Switch to ULSD + SCR	\$4,290	325.4	1,345.5	27.5		to ULSD since control cost is below \$5,800/ton threshold. See
	Total Combir	ned Reduction	for Fuel S	Switch + S	CR>	2,778	Notes a, c, d, e, h, and i.
Boiler Hill 5	SCR + Combustion Controls for Fuel Oil No. 6	\$2,116	229.5			230	
Boiler Hill 6	SCR + Combustion Controls for Fuel Oil No. 6	\$2,041	317.6			318	
	Total Combined Redu	ction for SCR	+ Combus	stion Cont	rols>	547	
Boiler Hill 5	SCR + Combustion Controls + ULSD	\$4,242	245.3	819.9	21.0	1,086	SCR and combustion controls are economically feasible after fuel
Boiler Hill 6	SCR + Combustion Controls + ULSD	\$4,326	339.6	1,345.5	27.5	1,713	switch to ULSD since control cost is below \$5,800/ton threshold.
	Total Combined Reduction for S	CR +Combust	ion Contro	ols + ULS	D>	2,799	See Notes a, b, c, d, e, f, h, k, l, and m.
Boiler Hill 5	SNCR for Fuel Oil No. 6	\$1,884	119.7			120	SNCR was not evaluated after fuel switch sinced SCR provides
Boiler Hill 6	SNCR for Fuel Oil No. 6	\$1,522	209.4			209	greater control for NO <sub>X</sub> than SNCR. See Note d.
		Total Combine	ed Reduct	ion for SN	ICR>	329	ground solid of the trial offer. Sou from d.
Boiler Hill 5	SNCR + Combustion Controls for Fuel Oil No. 6	\$2,147	163.7			164	SNCR + combustion controls was not evaluated after fuel switch
Boiler Hill 6	SNCR + Combustion Controls for Fuel Oil No. 6	\$1,597	245.5				since SCR provides greater control for NO <sub>X</sub> than SNCR. See
	Total Combined Reduction	for SNCR plu	s Combus	stion Cont	rols>	409	Note d.

### Footnotes:

- a. Annual cost of fuel switch from fuel oil No. 6 to ULSD for Hill 5 and Hill 6 is \$4,120,758 and \$6,762,141, respectively.
- b: Annual cost of combustion controls for Hill 5 and Hill 6 is \$125,823 and \$125,184, respectively.
- c: Annual cost of SCR for Hill 5 and Hill 6 is \$359,776 and \$522,986, respectively.
- d. Control efficieny for control equipment is based on AP-42 Chapter 1.3 Fuel Oil Combustion, Table 1.3-14 Control Options for Oil-Fired Boilers, 2010.
- e: Total 2017 NO<sub>x</sub> after fuel switch is 252 tpy 113 tpy = 139 tpy for Hill 5 and 354 tpy 71 tpy = 283 tpy for Hill 6.
- f. Assuming 50% control efficiency for combustion controls, after fuel switch an additional 70 tpy of NO<sub>x</sub> reduced for Hill 5 and 142 tpy of NO<sub>x</sub> reduced for Hill 6.
- g: Cost of combustion controls after fuel switch is \$4,246,581/1,024 tons = \$4,147/ton for Hill 5 and \$6,887,325/1,585 = \$4,345/ton of pollutant removed for Hill 6.
- h: Assuming 90% control efficiency for SCR, after fuel switch an additional 125 tpy of NO<sub>x</sub> reduced for Hill 5 and a 254.7 tpy of NO<sub>x</sub> reduced for Hill 6.
- i: Total cost of fuel switch + SCR is \$4,480,534/1,079 tons = \$4,152/ton for Hill 5 and \$7,285,127/1,698 tons = \$4,290/ton for Hill 6.
- j: Total NO<sub>x</sub> after fuel switch and combustion controls is 252 tpy 113 tpy 70 tpy = 69 tpy for Hill 5 and 354 tpy 71 tpy 142 tpy= 141 tpy for Hill 6.
- k: Assuming 90% control efficiency for SCR after fuel switch and combustion controls, an additional 62.1 tpy of NO<sub>x</sub> is reduced for Hill 5 and 126.9 tpy of NO<sub>x</sub> reduced for Hill 6.
- I: Cost of fuel switch + combustion controls + SCR is \$4,606,357/1,086 tons of pollutant removed = \$4,242/ton of pollutant removed for Hill 5.
- m: Cost of fuel switch + combustion controls + SCR is \$7,410,311/1,713 tons of pollutant removed = \$4,326/ton of pollutant removed for Hill 6.

## Kahului Power Plant

	Kahului Power Plant an	d Highest Emiss		on from Cont		res Below \$5,800	/Ton Threshold in Green
				Emission Re			
Unit	Control Measure	Cost per Ton	NO <sub>X</sub>	SO <sub>2</sub>	PM <sub>10</sub>	Total Reduction	Notes
K-1	Fuel switch to ULSD	\$4,935	40.1	292.9	12.4	345	
K-2	Fuel switch to ULSD	\$4,910	38		8.9	300	Boilers K-1, K-2, K-3, and K-4 shall be fired only on ULSD with a
K-3	Fuel switch to ULSD	\$4,920	131.7		32.6		maximum fuel sulfur content not to exceed 0.0015% by weight.
K-4	Fuel switch to ULSD	\$5,156	82.2	+		,	4
		otal Combined Re		1		2,582	
K-1	LNB w/OFA and/or FGR for Fuel Oil No. 6	\$3,723	18.8			19	
K-2	LNB w/OFA and/or FGR for Fuel Oil No. 6	\$3,239				22	
K-3	LNB w/OFA and/or FGR for Fuel Oil No. 6	\$803				149	
K-4	LNB w/OFA and/or FGR for Fuel Oil No. 6	\$2,050				58	
		tal Combined Re			ntrols>	247	
K-1	Fuel switch to ULSD + combustion controls	\$4,956	52.9			358	
K-2	Fuel switch to ULSD + combustion controls	\$4,946	69.5			332	Combustion controls are economically feasible after fuel switch to
K-3	Fuel switch to ULSD + combustion controls	\$4,676	223.1	897.8			
K-4	Fuel switch to ULSD + combustion controls	\$5,006	132.5				notes a through I.
	Total Combined Reductio					2,769	1
K-1	SCR for Fuel Oil No. 6	\$3,719	1			50	
K-2	SCR for Fuel Oil No. 6	\$3,795				49	
K-3	SCR for Fuel Oil No. 6	\$1,456				245	
K-4	SCR for Fuel Oil No. 6	\$2,381				141	
		. ,	tal Combined		r SCR>	485	
K-1	Fuel Switch to ULSD + SCR	\$5,124				369	
K-2	Fuel Switch to ULSD + SCR	\$5,149					
K-3	Fuel Switch to ULSD + SCR	\$4,624	<del>                                     </del>	t		1207	SCR is economically feasible after fuel switch to ULSD since
K-4	Fuel Switch to ULSD + SCR	\$5,018		775.2			control cost is below \$5,800/ton threshold. See notes a through I.
		Total Combined F			- SCR>	2,863	
K-1	SCR + Combustion Controls for Fuel Oil No. 6	\$4,422	58.0			58	
K-2	SCR + Combustion Controls for Fuel Oil No. 6	\$4,595				56	
K-3	SCR + Combustion Controls for Fuel Oil No. 6	\$1,769	268.6			269	
K-4	SCR + Combustion Controls for Fuel Oil No. 6	\$2,813				162	
		nbined Reduction	·		ntrols>	544	
K-1	SCR + Combustion Controls + ULSD	\$5,300			1	370	
K-2	SCR + Combustion Controls + ULSD	\$5,350		353.1		423	SCR + combustion controls + ULSD is economically feasible
K-3	SCR + Combustion Controls + ULSD	\$4,692	284.6	897.8	32.6		since control cost is below \$5,800/ton threshold. See notes a
K-4	SCR + Combustion Controls + ULSD	\$5.120			17.6	970	through I
		nbined Reduction			ntrols>	2,978	
K-1	SNCR	\$6,359				19	
K-2	SNCR	\$6,178	<b>!</b>			22	
K-3	SNCR	\$1,549				149	SNCR was not evaluated after fuel switch because SCR provides
K-4	SNCR	\$3,195				58	adreater control for NO <sub>2</sub> than SNCR. See Note 1.
	,		I Combined R		SNCR>	247	
K-1	SNCR + Combustion Controls	\$5,495				35	
K-2	SNCR + Combustion Controls	\$5,794				0	SNCR + combustion controls was not evaluated after fuel switch
K-3	SNCR + Combustion Controls	\$1,777					because SCR provides greater control for NO <sub>X</sub> than SNCR. See
K-4	SNCR + Combustion Controls	\$3,195				100	Note I.
		ined Reduction for		mbustion Co	ntrols>	331	1

#### Kahului Power Plant

#### Footnotes:

- a: Annual cost for fuel switch from fuel switch for fuel oil No. 6 to ULSD for K-1, K-2, K-3, and K-4 is \$1,704,479; 1,473,028; \$5,225,092; and \$4,511,548, respectively.
- b: Annual cost for combustion controls is \$69,914; \$70,168; \$119,191; and \$119,394 for K-1, K-2, K-3, and K-4, respectively.
- c: Annual cost for SCR is \$186,428; \$185,001; \$356,020; and \$336,163 for K-1, K-2, K-3, and K-4, respectively.
- d. Control efficieny for control equipment is based on AP-42 Chapter 1.3 Fuel Oil Combustion, Table 1.3-14 Control Options for Oil-Fired Boilers, 2010.
- e: Total NO<sub>x</sub> after fuel switch is 65.8 tpy 40.1 tpy = 25.7 for K-1; 62.3 tpy 38.0 = 24.3 tpy for K-2; 292.6 tpy 131.7 tpy = 160.9 tpy for K-3; and 182.7 tpy 82.2 tpy = 100.5 tpy for K-4.
- f: Assuming 50% control efficiency for combustion controls after fuel switch, an additional 12.85 tpy, 12.15 tpy, 80.45 tpy, and 50.25 tpy of NOx is removed for K-1, K-2, K-3, and K-4, respectively.
- g: Cost for combustion controls after fuel switch, is \$1,774,393/358 ton = \$4,956/ ton for K-1; \$1,543,196/312 ton = \$4,946/ton for K-2; \$5,344,283/1,143 ton =\$4,676/ton for K-3; \$4,630,942/925 ton = \$5,006/ton for K-1
- h: Assuming a 90% control efficiency for SCR after fuel switch, an additional 23.1 tpy, 21.9 tpy, 144.8 tpy, and 90.5 tpy of NO<sub>X</sub> is removed for K-1, K-2, K-3, and K-4, respectively.
- i: Cost for SCR after fuel switch is \$1,890,907/369 ton = \$5,124/ ton for K-1; \$1,658,029/322 ton = \$5,149/ton for K-2; \$5,581,112/1,207 ton =\$4,624/ton for K-3; \$4,847,711/966 ton = \$5,018/ton for K-4.
- j: Total NO<sub>x</sub> after fuel switch and combustion controls is 25.7 tpy 12.85 tpy = 12.85 for K-1; 24.3 tpy -12.15 tpy = 12.15 tpy for K-2; 160.9 tpy 80.45 tpy for K-3; and 1005 tpy 50.25 tpy = 50.25 tpy for K-4.
- k: Assuming a 90% control efficiency for SCR after fuel switch and combustion controls, an additional 11.57 tpy, 10.94 tpy, 72.41 tpy, and 45.23 tpy of NO<sub>X</sub> is removed from K-1, K-2, K-3, and K-4, respectively.
- I: Cost for SCR + combustion controls after fuel switch is \$1,960,821/370 ton = \$5,300/ton for K-1; \$1,728,197/323 ton = \$5,350/ton for K-2; \$5,700,303/1,215 ton = \$4,692/ton for K-3; \$4,967,105/970 ton = \$5,120/to

## **Puna Power Plant**

		Hig	hest Emissic	n Reduction	n from Cont	rol Measure Belo	w \$5,800/Ton Threshold in Green				
1.1	Orantaral Marazana	O 4 T		Emission R	eduction (To	ons)	Furthering Burth				
Unit	Control Measure	Cost per Ton	NO <sub>X</sub>	SO <sub>2</sub>	PM <sub>10</sub>	Total Reduction					
Boiler	Fuel Switch to ULSD	\$4,697	18.2	183.9	8.3	210	The boilers shall be fired only on ULSD with a maximum fuel sulfur content not to exceed 0.0015% by weight.				

## Maalaea Power Plant

		Hig	hest Emissio	n Reduction	from Cont	rol Measures Belo	ow \$5,800/Ton Threshold in Green
1.1	Control Manager	Cast nan Tan		Emission R	eduction (To	ons)	Notes
Unit	Control Measure	Cost per Ton	NO <sub>X</sub>	SO <sub>2</sub>	PM <sub>10</sub>	Total Reduction	Notes
M1	FITR	\$3,030	5.0			5	
M2	FITR	\$5,225	2.9			3	
M3	FITR	\$3,030	5.0			5	
		To	otal Combined	Reduction f	or FITR>	13	
M7	SCR	\$5,530	110.6			111	
			Tota	al Reduction	for SCR>	111	
		Total Comb	ined Reduction	on for FITR a	nd SCR>	124	

# The Effect of Emission Controls on Q/d Metric - Haleakala NP Big Island

			20	14			20	17	
Facility: Before Controls	d (Km)		Q (tpy)		Q/d		Q (tpy)		Q/d
		NOx	SO2	PM10	Q/a	NOx	SO2	PM10	Q/a
HELCO - Kanoelehua-Hill	147.01	611	1852	56	17	609	2167	57	19
MECO - Kahului	26.49	483	1634	60	82	603	2221	84	110
MECO - Maalaea	25.52	2114	549	148	110	2786	235	144	124

Facility: After Single Control			uel-Switc	th to ULSE	)		S	CR			SN	CR		С	ombustic	on Contro	ls		FI	TR	
Method Applied	d (Km)		Q (tpy)		Q/d		Q (tpy)		Q/d		Q (tpy)		Q/d		Q (tpy)		Q/d		Q (tpy)		Q/d
Wethou Applied		NOx	SO2	PM10	Q/u	NOx	SO2	PM10	Q/u	NOx	SO2	PM10	Q/u	NOx	SO2	PM10	ğ	NOx	SO2	PM10	Q/u
HELCO - Kanoelehua-Hill	147.01	421	1.73	8.6	3	116	2167	57	16	276	2167	57	17	333	2167	57	17	N/A	N/A	N/A	NA
MECO - Kahului	26.49	314	1.78	12.7	12	119	2221	84	92	356	2221	84	100	247	2221	84	96	N/A	N/A	N/A	NA
MECO - Maalaea	25.52	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	456	235	144	33	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	2773	235	144	124

Facility: After All Control		Contr	ol Metho	ds within	Cost Thre	eshold		Q (tpy)		Final
Methods within Control Cost	d (Km)	ULSD	SCR	SNCR	Comb.	FITR		Q (tpy)		Q/d
Threshold Are Applied		בב	JCK	SINCK	Cntrls.	FIIK	NOx	SO2	PM10	Q/u
HELCO - Kanoelehua-Hill: Hill 5		./	./		<b>√</b>					
and Hill 6	147.01	•	•		•		24	2	8	0.2
MECO - Kahului: K-1, K-2, K-3,		./	./		./					
K-4	26.49	•	•		<b>V</b>		15	2	12	1.1
MECO - Maalaea: FITR for M1,			./			./				
M2, M3; SCR for M7	25.52		•			<b>✓</b>	2662	N/A	N/A	104.3

# The Effect of Emission Controls on Q/d Metric - Haleakala NP Small Island

			20	14			20	17	
Facility: Before Controls	d (Km)		Q (tpy)		Q/d		Q (tpy)		Q/d
		NOx	SO2	PM10	Q/a	NOx	SO2	PM10	Q/u
HELCO - Kanoelehua-Hill	147.66	611	1852	56	17	609	2167	57	19
MECO - Kahului	50.16	483	1634	60	43	603	2221	84	58
MECO - Maalaea	48.49	2114	549	148	58	2786	235	144	65

Facility: After Single Control			uel-Switc	th to ULSI	)		SC	CR			SN	ICR		C	ombustio	n Contro	ls		FI	TR	
Method Applied	d (Km)		Q (tpy)		Q/d		Q (tpy)		Q/d		Q (tpy)		Q/d		Q (tpy)		Q/d		Q (tpy)		Q/d
		NOx	SO2	PM10	Q/u	NOx	SO2	PM10	Q/u	NOx	SO2	PM10	Q/u	NOx	SO2	PM10	Q/u	NOx	SO2	PM10	Q/u
HELCO - Kanoelehua-Hill	147.66	421	1.73	8.6	3	116	2167	57	16	276	2167	57	17	333	2167	57	17	N/A	N/A	N/A	NA
MECO - Kahului	50.16	314	1.78	12.7	7	119	2221	84	48	356	2221	84	53	247	2221	84	51	N/A	N/A	N/A	NA
MECO - Maalaea	48.49	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	456	235	144	17	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	2773	235	144	65

Facility: After All Control		Contr	ol Metho	ds within	Cost Thre	eshold		Q (tpy)		Final
Methods within Control Cost	d (Km)	ULSD	SCR	SNCR	Comb.	FITR		Q (tpy)		Q/d
Threshold Are Applied		OLSD	3CN	SINCK	Cntrls.	FIIK	NOx	SO2	PM10	ğ
HELCO - Kanoelehua-Hill: Hill 5		./	./		<b>√</b>					
and Hill 6	147.66	•	•		•		24	2	8	0.2
MECO - Kahului: K-1, K-2, K-3,		./	./		<b>√</b>					
K-4	50.16	•	•		•		15	2	12	0.6
MECO - Maalaea: FITR for M1,			./			./				
M2, M3; SCR for M7	48.49		•			•	2662	N/A	N/A	54.9

# The Effect of Emission Controls on Q/d Metric - Volcanoes NP

			20	)14			20	17	
Facility: Before Controls	d (Km)		Q (tpy)		Q/d		Q (tpy)		Q/d
		NOx	SO2	PM10	Q/u	NOx	SO2	PM10	Q/u
HELCO - Kanoelehua-Hill	34.53	611	1852	56	73	609	2167	57	82
HELCO - Puna	27.46	70	524	29	23	23	187	11	8
MECO - Kahului	176.82	483	1634	60	12	603	2221	84	16
MECO - Maalaea	169.61	2114	549	148	17	2786	235	144	19

Facility: After Single Control		Afte	er Fuel-Sv	vitch to U	LSD		Afte	r SCR			After	SNCR		С	ombustic	on Contro	ls		F	ITR	
Method Applied	d (Km)		Q (tpy)		Q/d		Q (tpy)		Q/d		Q (tpy)		Q/d		Q (tpy)		Q/d		Q (tpy)		Q/d
Метой Аррией		NOx	SO2	PM10	Q, u	NOx	SO2	PM10	Q, u	NOx	SO2	PM10	Q) u	NOx	SO2	PM10	3	NOx	SO2	PM10	۵,u
HELCO - Kanoelehua-Hill	34.53	421	1.73	8.6	12	116	2167	57	68	276	2167	57	72	333	2167	57	74	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
HELCO - Puna	27.46	4.8	0.15	1.65	0.2	9.8	187	11	8	15	187	11	8	15	187	11	8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
MECO - Kahului	176.82	314	1.78	12.7	2	119	2221	84	14	356	2221	84	15	247	2221	84	14	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
MECO - Maalaea	169.61	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	456	235	144	5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	2773	235	144	19

Facility: After All Control		Contro	ol Metho	ds within	Cost Thre	eshold		Q (tpy)		Final
Methods within Control Cost	d (Km)	ULSD	SCR	SNCR	Comb.	FITR		Q (tpy)		Q/d
Threshold Are Applied		ULSD	SCR	SINCK	Cntrls.	FIIK	NOx	SO2	PM10	Q/a
HELCO - Kanoelehua-Hill: Hill 5		✓	<b>√</b>		./					
and Hill 6	34.53		•		•		24	2	8	1.0
HELCO - Puna	27.46	<b>✓</b>					4.8	0.15	1.65	0.2
MECO - Kahului: K-1, K-2, K-3,		<	<b>\</b>		./					
K-4	176.82		•		•		15	2	12	0.2
MECO - Maalaea: FITR for M1,			<b>√</b>			1				
M2, M3; SCR for M7	169.61		•			•	2662	N/A	N/A	15.7

# The Effect of Emission Controls on Q/d Metric - Volcanoes NP Olaa Tract

			20	14			20	17	
Facility: Before Controls	d (Km)		Q (tpy)		Q/d		Q (tpy)		Q/d
		NOx	SO2	PM10	Q/a	NOx	SO2	PM10	Q/a
HELCO - Kanoelehua-Hill	25.69	611	1852	56	98	609	2167	57	110
HELCO - Puna	23.01	70	524	29	27	23	187	11	10
MECO - Kahului	197.82	483	1634	60	11	603	2221	84	15
MECO - Maalaea	191.85	2114	549	148	15	2786	235	144	16

Escility: After Single Control		Aft	er Fuel-Sv	vitch to U	LSD		Afte	r SCR			After	SNCR		С	ombustic	n Control	ls		F	TR	
Facility: After Single Control Method Applied	d (Km)		Q (tpy)		Q/d		Q (tpy)		Q/d		Q (tpy)		Q/d		Q (tpy)		Q/d		Q (tpy)		Q/d
Wethod Applied		NOx	SO2	PM10	Q/a	NOx	SO2	PM10	Q/a	NOx	SO2	PM10	Q/a	NOx	SO2	PM10	Q/a	NOx	SO2	PM10	Q/a
HELCO - Kanoelehua-Hill	25.69	421	1.73	8.6	17	116	2167	57	91	276	2167	57	97	333	2167	57	100	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
HELCO - Puna	23.01	4.8	0.15	1.65	0.3	9.8	187	11	9	15	187	11	9	15	187	11	9	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
MECO - Kahului	197.82	314	1.78	12.7	2	119	2221	84	12	356	2221	84	13	247	2221	84	13	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
MECO - Maalaea	191.85	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	456	235	144	4	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	2773	235	144	16

Facility: After All Control		Contro	ol Metho	ds within	Cost Thre	eshold		Q (tpy)		Final
Methods within Control Cost	d (Km)	ULSD	SCR	SNCR	Comb.	FITR		Q (tpy)		Q/d
Threshold Are Applied		OLSD	300	SINCK	Cntrls.	FIIK	NOx	SO2	PM10	ζų
HELCO - Kanoelehua-Hill: Hill 5		<b>√</b>	./		./					
and Hill 6	25.69	•	•		•		24	2	8	1.3
HELCO - Puna	23.01	✓					4.8	0.15	1.65	0.3
MECO - Kahului: K-1, K-2, K-3,		<b>✓</b>	./		./					
K-4	197.82		•		•		15	2	12	0.1
MECO - Maalaea: FITR for M1,			./			./				
M2, M3; SCR for M7	191.85		•			V	2662	N/A	N/A	13.9

Regional Haze Control Measures Selected for 2024 RH-SIP Submittal

	Kano	elehua-Hill Po	wer Plant										
	Emission Reduct	ion with Contr	ol Measu	re in Gree	n								
11	Control Massacra	C+		Emission	Reductio	on (Tons)	Notes						
Unit	Unit Control Measure Cost per Ton NO <sub>X</sub> SO <sub>2</sub> PM <sub>10</sub> Total Reduction												
Boiler Hill 5													
Boiler Hill 6	Shut Down by December 31, 2028	N/A	353.6	1,346.6	32.4	1,733	See Note a and b.						
	Total Cor	mbined Reduc	tion for S	witching F	uel>	2,829							
a. Hawaiian Electric commited toan enforceable shutdown of Boilers Hill 5 and Hill 6 by December 31, 2028. Therefore, the four factor analysis does not apply.													
b: The combust	The combustion turmine generator and diesel engine generators were considered limited use units.												

		Kahulu	i Power Plant									
	Kahului Power Plant and Highest E	mission Reduction 1	rom Control I	Aeasures Bel	ow \$5,800 <sub>/</sub>	Ton Threshold in	Green					
11-14	Unit Control Measure Cost per Ton Emission Reduction (Tons)											
Unit	Control Measure	Cost per Ion	NO <sub>X</sub>	SO <sub>2</sub>	PM <sub>10</sub>	Total Reduction	Notes					
K-1	Shut Down by December 31, 2028	N/A	65.8	293.1	14.6	374						
K-2	Shut Down by December 31, 2028	N/A	62.3	253.3	10.5	326	See Note a.					
K-3	Shut Down by December 31, 2028	N/A	292.6	898.5	38.4	1,230	See Note a.					
K-4	Shut Down by December 31, 2028	N/A	182.7	775.8	20.7	979						
	Total Combined Reduction for Switching Fuel> 2,908											

a: Maui Electric committed to an enforceable shut down of Boilers K-1, K-2, K-3, and K-4 by December 31, 2028. Therefore, the four factor analysis does not apply.

			Puna Power	Plant and Hig	ghest Emissio	n Reduction	from Control Mea	asure Below \$6,800/Ton Threshold in Green
	11-24	Control Manager	CtT		Emission Re	eduction (To	ns)	Facilities - Handle
	Unit	Control Measure	Cost per Ton		SO <sub>2</sub>	PM <sub>10</sub>	Total Reduction	Emissions limit
	oiler	Fuel Switch to ULSD	\$5,804	15	183.9	8.3	107	The Puna Boiler shall be fired only on ULSD with a maximum fuel sulfur content
	onei	I del Switch to obsb	,5,604	4.5	103.3	0.5	137	not to exceed 0.0015% by weight.

		Maala	aea Power Plant E	mission Red	uction from	Control Measures	in Green (\$6,800 Cost Threshold)
Unit	Control Massura	Cost nor Ton		Emission Red	luction (Ton	s)	Notes
Onit	Control Measure	Cost per Ton	NO <sub>X</sub>	SO <sub>2</sub>	PM <sub>10</sub>	Total Reduction	Notes
M1	FITR	\$5,328	5.0			5	5
M3	FITR	\$5,328	5.0			5	;
			Total Combine	d Reduction	for FITR>	10	
							Control cost based on remaining useful life of 10 years (time from when SCR
M7	SCR or (shut down)	\$7,753	110.6(122.9)	(1.2)	(1.2)	110.6(125.3)	could be installed by end of 2027 and unit shut down date by end of 2037). a
							Control cost based on remaining useful life of 3 years (time from when SCR
M10	SCR or (shut down)	\$7,864	522.3(580.3)	(11.6)	(10.9)	522.3(602.8)	could be installed by end of 2027 and unit shut down date by end of 2030).
							Control cost based on remaining useful life of 3 years (time from when SCR
M11	SCR or (shut down)	\$7,174	455.6(506.2)	(10.1)	(9.5)	455.6(525.8)	could be installed by end of 2027 and unit shut down date by end of 2032). a
							Control cost based on remaining useful life of 10 years (time from when SCR
M12	SCR or (shut down)	\$7,256	365.3(405.9)	(11.4)	(19.0)	365.3(436.3)	could be installed by end of 2027 and unit shut down date by end of 2037). a
							Control cost based on remaining useful life of 10 years (time from when SCR
M13	SCR or (shut down)	\$7,020	377.6(419.5)	(11.0)	(19.1)	377.6(449.6)	could be installed by end of 2027 and unit shut down date by end of 2037). a
		T	otal Reduction fo	r SCR or (shut	t down)>	1831.4(2139.8)	
	Tota	al Combined Redu	iction for FITR and	d SCR or (shu	t down)>	1841.4(2149.8)	
a. Provided op	otion to either install S	SCR or shut down	unit.				

# The Effect of Emission Controls on Q/d Metric - Haleakala NP Big Island

			20	14			20	17	
Facility: Before Controls	d (Km)		Q (tpy)		Q/d		Q (tpy)		0/4
		NOx	SO2	PM10	Q/a	NOx	SO2	PM10	Q/d
HELCO - Kanoelehua-Hill	147.01	611	1852	56	17	609	2167	57	19
MECO - Kahului	26.49	483	1634	60	82	603	2221	84	110
MECO - Maalaea	25.52	2114	549	148	110	2786	235	144	124

Facility After Single Central		F	uel-Swit	ch to ULS	D		SN	ICR		Co	mbustic	n Contro	ols
Facility: After Single Control Method Applied	d (Km)		Q (tpy)		Q/d		Q (tpy)		Q/d		Q (tpy)	Q (tpy)	
метной Аррней		NOx	SO2	PM10	8	NOx	SO2	PM10	8	NOx	SO2	PM10	Q/d
HELCO - Kanoelehua-Hill	147.01	421	1.73	8.6	3	276	2167	57	17	333	2167	57	17
MECO - Kahului	26.49	314	1.78	12.7	12	356	2221	84	100	247	2221	84	96
MECO - Maalaea	25.52	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Facility After Single Central			FI	TR			SC	CR			Shut	Down	
Facility: After Single Control Method Applied	d (Km)		Q (tpy)		Q/d		Q (tpy)		Q/d		Q (tpy)		0/4
месной Аррней		NOx	SO2	PM10	8	NOx	SO2	PM10	8	NOx	SO2	PM10	Q/d
HELCO - Kanoelehua-Hill	147.01	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	116	2167	57	16	609	2167	57	19
MECO - Kahului	26.49	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	119	2221	84	92	603	2221	84	110
MECO - Maalaea	25.52	2776	235	144	124	955	235	144	52	2786	235	144	124

Facility: After All Control		Control	Method	s within	Cost Thr	eshold			Q (tpy)		Final
Methods within Control	d (Km)	ULSD	SNCR	Comb.	FITR	SCR	Shut		C (tpy)		Q/d
Cost Threshold Are Applied		OLSD	SINCK	Cntrls.	FIIK	SCK	Down	NOx	SO2	PM10	άya
HELCO - Kanoelehua-Hill:							<b>✓</b>				
Shut Down Hill 5 and Hill 6	147.01						•	4.2	0.0	0.1	0.03
MECO - Kahului: Shut Down							<b>✓</b>				
K-1, K-2, K-3, K-4	26.49						•	0.0	0.0	0.0	N/A
MECO - Maalaea: FITR for											
M1 and M3; SCR for M7, and					✓	✓					
M10 - M13	25.52							944.6	N/A	N/A	37.01
MECO - Maalaea: FITR for											
M1 and M3; Shut Down M7,					✓		✓				
and M10 - M13	25.52							636.2	N/A	N/A	24.93

## The Effect of Emission Controls on Q/d Metric - Haleakala NP Small Island

			20	14			20	17	
Facility: Before Controls	d (Km)		Q (tpy)		0/4		Q (tpy)		0/4
		NOx	SO2	PM10	Q/d	NOx	SO2	PM10	Q/d
HELCO - Kanoelehua-Hill	147.66	611	1852	56	17	609	2167	57	19
MECO - Kahului	50.16	483	1634	60	43	603	2221	84	58
MECO - Maalaea	48.49	2114	549	148	58	2786	235	144	65

Facility After Single Control		F	uel-Swit	ch to ULS	D		SN	ICR		Co	ombustic	n Contro	ols
Facility: After Single Control Method Applied	d (Km)		Q (tpy)		0/4		Q (tpy)		0/4		Q (tpy)		0/4
імесной Аррней		NOx	SO2	PM10	Q/d	NOx	SO2	PM10	Q/d	NOx	SO2	O2 PM10	Q/d
HELCO - Kanoelehua-Hill	147.66	421	1.73	8.6	3	276	2167	57	17	333	2167	57	17
MECO - Kahului	50.16	314	1.78	12.7	7	356	2221	84	53	247	2221	84	51
MECO - Maalaea	48.49	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Facility After Circle Control			FI	TR			SC	CR			Shut	Down	
Facility: After Single Control	d (Km)		Q (tpy)		0/4		Q (tpy)		O/d		Q (tpy)		Q/d
Method Applied		NOx	SO2	PM10	Q/d	NOx	SO2	PM10	Q/d	NOx	SO2	PM10	Q/a
HELCO - Kanoelehua-Hill	147.66	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	116	2167	57	16	609	2167	57	19
MECO - Kahului	50.16	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	119	2221	84	48	603	2221	84	58
MECO - Maalaea	48.49	2776	235	144	65	955	235	144	28	2786	235	144	65

Facility: After All Control		Co	ntrol Me	thods wi	thin Cos	t Thresh	old		Q (tpy)		Final
Methods within Control	d (Km)	ULSD	SNCR	Comb.	FITR	SCR	Shut		C (tpy)		Q/d
Cost Threshold Are Applied		5	SINCK	Cntrls.	FIIK	SCK	Down	NOx	SO2	PM10	άχα
HELCO - Kanoelehua-Hill:							<b>✓</b>				
Shut Down Hill 5 and Hill 6	147.66						•	4.2	0.0	0.1	0.03
MECO - Kahului: Shut Down							<b>✓</b>				
K-1, K-2, K-3, K-4	50.16						•	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.00
MECO - Maalaea: FITR for					<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>					
M1, M2, M3; SCR for M7	48.49				•	•		944.6	N/A	N/A	19.48
MECO - Maalaea: FITR for											
M1 and M3; Shut Down M7,					✓		✓				
and M10 - M13	48.49							636.2	N/A	N/A	13.12

# The Effect of Emission Controls on Q/d Metric - Volcanoes NP

			20	14			20	17	
Facility: Before Controls	d (Km)		Q (tpy)		Q/d		Q (tpy)		Q/d
		NOx	SO2	PM10	ğ	NOx	SO2	PM10	Q/a
HELCO - Kanoelehua-Hill	34.53	611	1852	56	73	609	2167	57	82
HELCO - Puna	27.46	70	524	29	23	23	187	11	8
MECO - Kahului	176.82	483	1634	60	12	603	2221	84	16
MECO - Maalaea	169.61	2114	549	148	17	2786	235	144	19

Facility: After Single Control		Afte	r Fuel-S۱	witch to I	JLSD		After	SNCR		Co	mbustio	on Contro	ols
Method Applied	d (Km)		Q (tpy)		Q/d		Q (tpy)		Q/d		Q (tpy)		Q/d
Wethou Applied		NOx	SO2	PM10	ğ	NOx	SO2	PM10	ğ	NOx	SO2	PM10	Qγα
HELCO - Kanoelehua-Hill	34.53	421	1.73	8.6	12	276	2167	57	72	333	2167	57	74
HELCO - Puna	27.46	4.8	0.15	1.65	0.2	15	187	11	8	15	187	11	8
MECO - Kahului	176.82	314	1.78	12.7	2	356	2221	84	15	247	2221	84	14
MECO - Maalaea	169.61	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Carillan After Cinals Control			FI	TR			Afte	r SCR			Shut	Down	
Facility: After Single Control Method Applied	d (Km)		Q (tpy)		Q/d		Q (tpy)		Q/d		Q (tpy)		0/4
Method Applied		NOx	SO2	PM10	ζya	NOx	SO2	PM10	ď	NOx	SO2	PM10	Q/d
HELCO - Kanoelehua-Hill	34.53	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	116	2167	57	68	609	2167	57	82
HELCO - Puna	27.46	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	9.8	187	11	8	23	187	11	8
MECO - Kahului	176.82	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	119	2221	84	14	603	2221	84	16
MECO - Maalaea	169.61	2776	235	144	19	955	235	144	8	2786	235	144	19

Facility: After All Control		Cor	ntrol Me	thods wi	ithin Cos	t Thresh	old		O /tmul		Final
Methods within Control	d (Km)	ULSD	SNCR	Comb.	FITR	SCR	Shut		Q (tpy)		Final Q/d
Cost Threshold Are Applied		ULSD	SINCK	Cntrls.	FIIK	SCR	Down	NOx	SO2	PM10	Q/a
HELCO - Kanoelehua-Hill:							<b>✓</b>				
Shut Down Hill 5 and Hill 6	34.53						•	4.2	0.0	0.1	0.13
HELCO - Puna	27.46	>						18.5	3.1	2.3	0.87
MECO - Kahului: Shut Down							_				
K-1, K-2, K-3, K-4	176.82						•	0.0	0.0	0.0	N/A
MECO - Maalaea: FITR for					<b>~</b>	<b>✓</b>					
M1, M2, M3; SCR for M7	169.61				•	•		944.6	N/A	N/A	5.57
MECO - Maalaea: FITR for											
M1 and M3; Shut Down M7,					✓		✓				
and M10 - M13	169.61							636.2	N/A	N/A	3.75

## The Effect of Emission Controls on Q/d Metric - Volcanoes NP Olaa Tract

			20	14			20	17	
Facility: Before Controls	d (Km)		Q (tpy)		0/4		Q (tpy)		0/4
		NOx	SO2	PM10	Q/d	NOx	SO2	PM10	Q/d
HELCO - Kanoelehua-Hill	25.69	611	1852	56	98	609	2167	57	110
HELCO - Puna	23.01	70	524	29	27	23	187	11	10
MECO - Kahului	197.82	483	1634	60	11	603	2221	84	15
MECO - Maalaea	191.85	2114	549	148	15	2786	235	144	16

Facility of the Circle Control		Afte	r Fuel-S۱	witch to	ULSD		After	SNCR		Co	ombustio	on Contro	ols
Facility: After Single Control Method Applied	d (Km)		Q (tpy)		Q/d		Q (tpy)		Q/d		Q (tpy)		Q/d
метной Аррней		NOx	SO2	PM10	ď	NOx	SO2	PM10	C/a	NOx	SO2	PM10	Q/u
HELCO - Kanoelehua-Hill	25.69	421	1.73	8.6	17	276	2167	57	97	333	2167	57	100
HELCO - Puna	23.01	4.8	0.15	1.65	0.3	15	187	11	9	15	187	11	9
MECO - Kahului	197.82	314	1.78	12.7	2	356	2221	84	13	247	2221	84	13
MECO - Maalaea	191.85	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Facility of the Cinela Control			FI	TR			Afte	r SCR			Shut	Down	
Facility: After Single Control Method Applied	d (Km)		Q (tpy)		Q/d		Q (tpy)		Q/d		Q (tpy)		0/4
Method Applied		NOx	SO2	PM10	ζ	NOx	SO2	PM10	Cy a	NOx	SO2	PM10	Q/d
HELCO - Kanoelehua-Hill	25.69	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	116	2167	57	91	609	2167	57	110
HELCO - Puna	23.01	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	9.8	187	11	9	23	187	11	10
MECO - Kahului	197.82	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	119	2221	84	12	603	2221	84	15
MECO - Maalaea	191.85	2776	235	144	16	955	235	144	7	2786	235	144	16

Facility: After All Control		Control	Method	s within	Cost Thr	eshold			O (toy)		Final
Methods within Control	d (Km)	ULSD	SNCR	Comb.	FITR	SCR	Shut		Q (tpy)		Q/d
Cost Threshold Are Applied		ULSD	SINCK	Cntrls.	FIIK	SCR	Down	NOx	SO2	PM10	ζζū
HELCO - Kanoelehua-Hill:							<b>✓</b>				
Hill 5 and Hill 6	25.69						•	4.2	0.0	0.1	0.17
HELCO - Puna	23.01	✓						18.5	3.1	2.3	1.04
MECO - Kahului: K-1, K-2, K-							<b>✓</b>				
3, K-4	197.82						•	0.0	0.0	0.0	N/A
MECO - Maalaea: FITR for					<b>\</b>	·					
M1, M2, M3; SCR for M7	191.85				•	•		944.6	N/A	N/A	4.92
MECO - Maalaea: FITR for											
M1 and M3; Shut Down M7,					✓		✓				
and M10 - M13	191.85							636.2	N/A	N/A	3.32