



DATA VALIDATION REPORT

**Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility
Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam
CV 23F0104**

**SDG: 580-120925-1
APPL, INC.**

Prepared by
ENVIRONMENTAL DATA SERVICES, LTD.

Prepared for
AECOM Environmental

Released: 12/29/22

Data Validators and Peer Reviewers:

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Diane Waldschmidt".

Diane Waldschmidt

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Gretchen Phipps".

Gretchen Phipps

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Dina Manov".

Dina Manov

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Larry Lewis".

Larry Lewis

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Paloma Hoelzle".

Paloma Hoelzle



EXECUTIVE NARRATIVE

Sample Delivery Groups: 580-120925-1

Laboratory: Eurofins, Seattle

Site: Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CV 23F0104

Sampling dates: 12/2/2022, 12/5/2022

Number of Samples: 3

Test Method: SW-846 8015C

Analysis: 2-(2-Butoxyethoxy)ethanol

Quality Assurance Project Plan: Sampling and Analysis Plan, Investigation and Remediation of Releases and Groundwater Protection and Evaluation, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O’ahu, Hawai’i (Revision 01, April 2017); PFAS-Specific Sampling and Analysis plan, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Adit 6, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, O’Ahu, Hawai’i (November 30, 2022) (SAP).

Validation Guidelines: United States Department of Defense Data Validation Guidelines Module 4: Data Validation Procedure for Organic Analysis by GC, Environmental Data Quality Workgroup, March 9, 2021; United States Department of Defense (DOD) General Data Validation Guidelines Environmental Data Quality Workgroup (EDQW), November 2019. United States Department of Defense Data Validation Guidelines Modules 1, 2, 3, and 4 Revised Table for Sample Qualification in the Presence of Blank Contamination, February 09, 2022

Client Sample Identification	Laboratory Sample Identification	Matrix	Validation Stage
AF-RHMW225401-WGN01B-2212W1	580-120925-1	water	S4VEM
AF-RHMW03-WGN01LF-2212W1	580-120925-2	water	S4VEM
AF-RHMW02-WGN01LF-2212W1	580-120925-3	water	S4VEM

Table 1 provides a summary of the major and minor data quality issues identified in this data set. All data are acceptable except those results which have been qualified with “X”, rejected. Data validation qualifiers along with associated descriptions are provided in Table 2. All data qualification related to this group of samples is detailed on the attached sheets.

All data users should note two facts. First, an “X” flag means that the associated value is unusable due to significant quality control (QC) problems, the data is invalid and provides no information as to whether the compound is present or not. “X” values should not appear on any data tables even as a last resort. Second, no analyte concentration, even if it passed all QC tests, is guaranteed to be accurate. Strict QC serves to increase confidence in data, but any value potentially contains error.

DATA ASSESSMENT

1. NARRATIVE AND COMPLETENESS REVIEW

The case narrative was reviewed, and the data package was checked for completeness. No discrepancies were noted.

2. SAMPLE DELIVERY AND CONDITION

The samples arrived at the laboratory in acceptable condition.

Proper custody was documented.

3. HOLDING TIME

The amount of an analyte in a sample can change with time due to chemical instability, degradation, volatilization, etc. If the specified holding time is exceeded, the data may not be valid. Those analytes detected in the samples whose holding time has been exceeded will be qualified as estimated, "J". The non-detect results will be flagged as not detected at an estimated quantitation limit, "UJ", unless the holding time is grossly exceeded (by more than two times the holding time specified), in which case non-detect results are flagged "X", rejected. Qualifications were applied to the samples and analytes as shown below.

All sample analyses were within the validation guidance.

4. CALIBRATION

Satisfactory instrument calibration is established to ensure that the instrument can produce acceptable quantitative data. An initial calibration demonstrates that the instrument can give acceptable performance at the beginning of an experimental sequence. The continuing calibration checks document that the instrument is giving satisfactory daily performance.

Percent Relative Standard Deviation and Percent Difference

Percent relative standard deviation (%RSD) is calculated from the initial calibration and is used to indicate the stability of the specific compound response factor over increasing concentration. Percent difference (%D) compares the response factor of the continuing calibration check to the mean response factor (RRF) from the initial calibration. Percent difference is a measure of the instrument's daily performance. If the %D exceeds 20% for any analyte, qualify all associated positive results "J" and non-detects "UJ". If %RSD and %D grossly exceed QC criteria, non-detect data may be qualified "X".

A multi-point initial calibration curve was used for the target analyte. The initial calibration demonstrated instrumental linearity. The %RSD was within validation guidelines.

Continuing calibrations were analyzed at the proper frequencies and the observed %D values met quality control criteria.

5. BLANK CONTAMINATION

Quality assurance (QA) blanks, i.e., method, trip, field, or rinse blanks are prepared to identify any contamination which may have been introduced into the samples during sample preparation or field activity. Method blanks measure laboratory contamination. Field, equipment and rinse blanks measure cross-contamination of samples during field operations.

A) Method blank contamination

No problems were found for this criterion.

B) Field/Equipment blank contamination

No field or equipment blanks were submitted in association with this SDG.

6. SURROGATES / SYSTEM MONITORING COMPOUNDS

All samples are spiked with surrogate/system monitoring compounds (SMC) prior to sample preparation to evaluate overall laboratory performance and efficiency of the analytical technique. If the measured surrogate/SMC concentrations were outside contract specifications, qualifications were applied to the samples and analytes as shown below.

No surrogates were used for this analysis.

7. COMPOUND IDENTIFICATION

The retention times (RTs) of reported compounds must fall within the calculated retention time windows for chromatographic column.

Retention Time

No positive results were reported for the samples in this SDG.

Relative Percent Difference

No positive results were reported for the samples in this SDG.

8. COMPOUND QUANTIFICATION

Analyte quantitation was reviewed at the Stage 4 level. No anomalies were identified.

Manual integrations were reviewed at the Stage 4 level. No anomalies were identified.

9. MATRIX SPIKE / MATRIX SPIKE RECOVERY

Matrix spike / matrix spike duplicate (MS/MSD) data is generated to determine the long-term precision and accuracy of the analytical method in various matrices. The MS/MSD data may be used in conjunction with other quality control criteria for additional qualification of data.

Samples AF-RHMW02-WGN01LF-2212W1 was submitted for MS/MSD evaluation in association with this SDG. Upon evaluation all precision and accuracy indicators were favorable.

10. LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLES

The Laboratory Control Sample (LCS) serves as a monitor of the overall performance of each step during the analysis, including the sample preparation. Aqueous/water, soil/sediment, wipe, and filter LCSs shall be analyzed for each analyte utilizing the same sample preparations, analytical methods, and quality assurance/quality control (QA/QC) procedures as employed for the samples. All LCS percent recoveries must fall within the control limits. Qualifications were applied to the samples and analytes as shown below.

No problems were found for this criterion.

11. INTERNAL STANDARDS PERFORMANCE:

Internal standard performance criteria are meant to ensure that the gas chromatograph (GC) sensitivity and response are stable during every experimental run.

The internal standard area count must not vary by more than a factor of two from the associated continuing calibration standard. The retention time of the internal standard must not vary by more than ± 10 seconds from the associated continuing calibration standard. The area count must be within a (50-200%) range of the associated standard. If the area count is greater than 200%, non-detected results are not qualified and positive results are flagged as estimated with potential negative bias, "J-". If the area count is less than 50%, positive results are flagged as estimated with potential positive bias, "J+", and non-detected results are flagged "UJ". If the area count is less than 20%, positive results and non-detected results will be classified as unusable "X". Qualifications were applied to the samples and analytes as shown below.

No problems were found for this criterion.

12. FIELD DUPLICATE

Field duplicates may be taken and analyzed as an indication of overall precision. These analyses measure both field and laboratory precision. A control limit of 50% for the Relative Percent Difference (RPD) shall be used for original and duplicate sample values greater than the LOQ in the case of solid samples and 30% RPD for water samples. A control limit of a difference between results no more than the LOQ shall be used if either the sample or duplicate value is less than the LOQ. For field duplicate analyses that do not meet the technical criteria, the action was applied to only the parent sample and its duplicate.

No samples were submitted as a field duplicate pair in association with this SDG.

12. DILUTIONS, RE-EXTRACTIONS & REANALYSIS

Samples may be re-analyzed for dilution, re-extraction and for other QC reasons. In such cases, the best result values are used.

No dilutions, re-extractions, or other re-analyses were performed. The level of quantitation (LOQ) specified in the SAP for the analytes reported have been achieved.

13. OTHER PROBLEMS

None.

Table 1 Major and Minor Findings

	Were acceptance criteria met?		
	Yes	No	
2-(2-Butoxyethoxy)ethanol		Major	Minor
Holding Time	x		
Calibration	x		
Method Blank	x		
Equipment/Field Blank	NA		
Surrogates/System Monitoring Compounds	NA		
Compound Identification	x		
Compound Quantitation	x		
Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate	x		
Internal Standards	x		
Field Duplicate	NA		
Laboratory Control Samples	x		
Other Quality Control Data out of Specification	x		

Major = Major data quality issue identified resulting in rejection of data.

Minor = Minor data quality issue identified resulting in the qualification of data. Data qualification should be used to inform the data users of data limitations.

NA = Not applicable

Table 2 Data Validation Qualifiers

Data Qualifier	Definition
U	The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected above the level of the reported sample quantitation limit.
J	The result is an estimated quantity. The associated numerical value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
J+	The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased high.
J-	The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased low.
UJ	The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected. The reported quantitation limit is approximate and may be inaccurate or imprecise.
X	The data are unusable. The sample results are rejected due to serious deficiencies in meeting QC criteria. The analyte may or may not be present in the sample.
N	The analysis indicates the presence of an analyte for which there is presumptive evidence to make a tentative identification.
NJ	The analyte was tentatively identified, and the associated numerical value represents its approximate concentration.

Data Qualification Reason Codes	
Reason Code	Reason Code Description
A	Serial dilution
A1	Ambient Blank
B	The analyte was found in an associated blank as well as in the sample.
B2	CCB
B3	CCB - Neg
B4	Grinding Blank
C	LCS Recovery
C1	Reference Recovery
C2	Reference Recovery RPD
D	MS RPD
D1	Lab Replicate RPD
D2	No precision available
D3	Field Duplicate RPD
D4	Field Triplicate RSD
D5	Laboratory Triplicate RSD
F	Field Blank
F1	Hydrocarbon pattern does not match standard
G1	Initial Calibration RRF
G2	Initial Calibration RSD/r²/r
G3	ICV RRF
H1	Test Hold Time
H2	Prep Hold Time
I	Surrogate recovery outside project limits.
J	CRA/CRI Recovery
K	An analyte (non-common laboratory artifact) was detected in the sample at a concentration less than 5X the concentration detected in the associated method blank.
L	Lab Blank
L1	Lab Blank - Neg
M	MS Recovery
M2	Post Spike
N	Blank - No Action
O	ICS
P	Sample preservation/collection requirement not met.
P1	Column RPD
P2	Improper preparation/extraction
Q	Encore sample holding time exceeded by more than 2X.
Q1	Material Blank

Q2	Encore sample holding time exceeded by less than 2X.
R	Exceeds Linear Calibration Range
S	Internal standard
T	Trip Blank
TI	Tentatively Identified Compound
TR	Trace Level Detect
U	Receipt Temperature
V	Equipment Blank
V1	ICV
V2	CCV
V3	CCV RRF
V4	Sample Receipt Condition
V5	Ending Continuing Calibration Verification
V6	Low Level Calibration Verification
V7	Interference Check Sample A
V8	Interference Check Sample AB
V9	Interference Check Sample A - Negative
W	Column breakdown (pesticides/8270)
X	Raised reporting limit
Y	Cooler temperature greater than 10 degreeec C.
Y1	False Positive
Y2	Data rejected due to radiological anomolies
Y3	Non-accredited analyte/compound. Accreditation not offered at time of analyses for the analyte/compound by the stated method and matrix.
Y4	Performance Check - Degradation of DDT
Y5	Extracted Internal Standard
Y6	Analyte not confirmed on second column.
Y7	Signal to Noise Ratio not met
Z	LCS RPD
Z1	Non-accredited analyte/compound
Z1	Data rejected, more valid data available.
Z2	Detection Level not met uncertainty greater than DL
Z4	MDA Greater than RDL.
Z5	Ion Ratio
Z6	Samples were analyzed past the 12 hour time period from the Tune or opening CCV.

Calculation Documentation

Internal Standard Initial Calibration and Calculation Worksheet

Lab: Eurofins Seattle
 Method: 8015 DAI
 Instrument: CVGG2
 Curve Date: 12/15/2022
 Compound: 2-(2-Butoxyethoxy)ethanol
 Internal Standard: n-Heptyl Alcohol

Initial Calibration Model Worksheet								
Compound Area	Ax	ISTD Area Ais	Compound Conc Cx	ISTD Conc Cis	Y-Values Ax/Ais	X-Values Cx/Cis	X ² (Cx/Cis) ²	RF (Ax*Cis)/(Ais*Cx)
405977		7165368	5	50	0.05665822	0.1	0.01	0.5665822
750029		7105611	10	50	0.10555447	0.2	0.04	0.5277723
1256158		6443842	20	50	0.194939292	0.4	0.16	0.4873482
2462169		5607434	50	50	0.439090144	1	1	0.4390901
6163303		7544066	80	50	0.816973632	1.6	2.56	0.5106085
6649122		7445839	100	50	0.892998358	2	4	0.4464992
SUM OF EACH COLUMN :					2.5062	5.3	7.77	2.9779

CALIBRATION MODELS:

Average Response Factor:

$Cx = Ax \cdot Cis / Ais / RF$

Average RF	0.4963	AVERAGE(RF)
RSD	9.8575%	STDEV(RF)/(AveRF)

Reported

0.4963

9.9

Linear Regression:

$y = mx + b$

$Cx = (((Ax/Ais)-b)/m) \cdot Cis$

Weighting	Equal	1/X	1/X ²	Equation
Slope (m)	0.46082	0.46046	0.45993	SLOPE(RatioY,RatioX)
Intercept (b)	0.01064	0.01096	0.01125	INTERCEPT(RatioY,RatioX)
CC (R)	0.99443	0.99673	0.99737	CORREL(RatioY,RatioX)
COD (R ²)	0.98890	0.99347	0.99475	POWER(R,2)

slope (m1)

y-intercept

reported CC

reported COD

Quadratic Regression:

$y = ax^2 + bx + c$

$Cx = (SQRT(b^2 - 4 \cdot a \cdot (c - (Ax/Ais)))) - b) / (2 \cdot a) \cdot Cis$

Weighting	Equal	1/X	1/X ²	Equation
x ² Coefficient (a)	-0.01978	-0.03824	-0.05157	LINEST(RatioY,RatioX:RatioX ² ,1,1)
x Coefficient (b)	0.50120	0.53890	0.55197	INDEX(LINEST(RatioY,RatioX:RatioX ² ,1,1),1,2)
Intercept (c)	0.00058	-0.00880	-0.00309	INDEX(LINEST(RatioY,RatioX:RatioX ² ,1,1),1,3)
COD (R ²)	0.98928			INDEX(LINEST(RatioY,RatioX:RatioX ² ,1,1),3,1)

Sample Concentration Calculations

Sample ID	File ID	Compound Response Ax	ISTD Response Ais	ISTD Conc Cis	Ave RF On-column Conc	Linear Cal On-column Conc Equal Weighting	Linear Cal On-column Conc 1/X Weighting	Linear Cal On-column Conc 1/X ² Weighting	Quadratic Cal On-column Conc Equal Weighting	Quadratic Cal On-column Conc 1/X Weighting	Quadratic Cal On-column Conc 1/X ² Weighting	
Equations:					Ax*Cis/Ais/RF	(Ax/Ais-b)/m)*Cis			(SQRT(b^2-4*a*(c-(Ax/Ais))))-b)/(2*a)*Cis			reported on column
ICV/CCV	22GL15013.D	1746317	7820966	50	22.494	23.072	23.056	23.065	22.621	22.235	21.358	22.5
MB	22GL15022.D	0	8250469	50	0.000	-1.155	-1.190	-1.209	-0.058	0.818	0.280	ND
LCS	22GL15014.D	1706529	7851500	50	21.896	22.428	22.411	22.419	22.007	21.648	20.775	21.9
LCSD	22GL15015.D	1779155	7940718	50	22.572	23.156	23.139	23.148	22.700	22.311	21.434	22.6
580-120925-1	22GL15024.D	0	8851350	50	0.000	-1.155	-1.190	-1.209	-0.058	0.818	0.280	ND

Final Sample Result Calculation
 Red Hill
 PFAS
 method 8015 DAI
 Eurofins Seattle

on column result (ug/ml) x (final volume(ml)/initial sample amount (mL)) x dilution factor = calculated result

Sample	Analyte	On column results (ug/ml)	Final Prep Volume (ml)	Initial Sample amount (mL)	Dilution Factor	Calculate result (mg/L)	Reported Result (mg/L)
580-120925-1	2-(2-Butoxyethoxy)ethanol	0	1	1	1	0	3.0U
580-120925-2	2-(2-Butoxyethoxy)ethanol	0.0865	1	1	1	0.0865	3.0U
580-120925-3	2-(2-Butoxyethoxy)ethanol	0.0745	1	1	1	0.0745	3.0U
LCS	2-(2-Butoxyethoxy)ethanol	21.9	1	1	1	21.9	21.9

Low standard Calculation	
Sample calculation for results in Column G	
Sample ID	AF-RHMW225401-WGN01B-2212W1
Compound	2-(2-Butoxyethoxy)ethanol
Low standard conc. (ng/ml)	5
Sample amount (mL)	1
Extraction Volume (ml)	1
Dilution	1
AECOM calculated conc. (mg/L)	5.000 %D=
Lab reported conc. (mg/L)	5 0.0

AF-RHMW225401-WGN01B-2212W1			
COMPOUND	CONC. of Low Cal Std and ISC Std (ug/ml)	LOQ (mg/L)	Calculated LOQ (mg/L)
2-(2-Butoxyethoxy)ethanol	5.00	5.0	5.000

*The lab provides the sample weight in grams.
 This assumes that the density of the water sample is 1.0 g/ml.
 It should be noted that the actual density of the sample was not in the lab report. (Fresh water is most likely 1.0 g/ml)

Data Validation Worksheet

DATA VALIDATION GC (8015)

DOD

Validator: LL Reviewed: DLW 12/28/22

Date Validated: 12/28/2022

Project: Red Hill Bulk Storage Facility, CV 23F0104

SDG: 580-120925-1

LAB: Eurofins, Seattle

Samples Collected: 12/2/2022, 12/5/2022

3 aqueous samples

Sample Receipt and Case Narrative Review

- ✓ Traffic reports, chain-of-custody forms or SDG narrative do not indicate any problems with sample receipt, condition of the samples, analytical problems or special circumstances affecting the quality of the data.

No problems found

Holding Times

- ✓ Aqueous samples extracted within 7 days of collection and analyzed within 40 days of extraction
- ✓ Solid samples extracted within 14 days of collection and analyzed within 40 days of extraction
- ✓ There is no specific holding time for PCB samples
- ✓ If temp of receipt is >6° but ≤15% qualify J-/UJ
- ✓ If temp >15°C qualify X
- ✓ If holding time is exceeded qualify J-/UJ
- ✓ If holding time is grossly exceeded (>2X) qualify J-/X

14-day holding time used for 8015 DAI

Samples collected on 12/2/2022, 12/5/2022

Samples extracted on 12/15/2022

Samples analyzed on 12/15/2022

ICAL (Form VI)

- ✓ %RSD \leq 20% or $r > 0.995$ or $R^2 > 0.990$
- ✓ minimum 5 standards for linear; minimum 6 standards for quadratic
- ✓ 5-pt calibration for multicomponent analytes
- ✓ If %RSD $> 20\%$ or $r < 0.995$ or $r^2 < 0.990$ qualify J/UJ
- ✓ If %RSD $> 40\%$ or $r < 0.95$ or $r^2 < 0.90$ qualify X

ICAL 680-755296/7 12/15/2022 13:40 1 22GL15007.D RSD ok used avg RF

ICV/CCV (from VII/Analysis Run Log)

- ✓ ICV after ICAL; all %Ds within $\pm 20\%$
- ✓ CCVs before sample, every 10 samples
- ✓ all ICV/CCV %D $\pm 20\%$
- ✓ RTs within established window
- ✓ closing calibration is not required.
- ✓ if %D is high then qualify J+
- ✓ if %D is low then qualify J-/UJ
- ✓ if %D is $> 50\%$ then qualify X

ICV/CCV 680-755296/13 12/15/2022 15:56 1 22GL15013.D ok
CCV 680-755296/39 12/16/2022 01:55 1 22GL15039.D ok

Surrogate (Form II)

- ✓ if acceptance criteria is not defined by project, use limits in Table C below
- ✓ RTs within range of 5 pt
- ✓ do not evaluate for if diluted out
- ✓ if surrogate recovery $< 10\%$ then qualify J-/X
- ✓ if surrogate recovery is low but $> 10\%$ then qualify J-/UJ
- ✓ if surrogate recovery is high then qualify J+

No surrogate used

LCS (Form III)

- ✓ one per prep batch
- ✓ used lab limits 50-150, RPD 50
- ✓ if recovery low then qualify J-/X
- ✓ if recovery is high then qualify J+
- ✓ if LCS/LCSD RPD is out then qualify detects J, do not qualify NDs

[LCS680755296/14](#)
[LCSD680755296/15](#)

All ok

MS/MSD (Form III)

- ✓ one per prep batch
- ✓ used lab limits 50-150, RPD 50
- ✓ MS/MSD RPD \leq 30%
- ✓ if MS recovery <10% then qualify J-/X
- ✓ if MS recovery is low but >10% then qualify J-/UJ
- ✓ if MS recovery is high then qualify J+
- ✓ if MS/MSD RPD is out then qualify detects J, do not qualify NDs

[AF-RHMW02-WGN01LF-2212W1 \(sample 3\)](#) all ok

Blanks (Form IV/Form1)

- ✓ method blank – analyzed one per prep batch

Method Blank

[MB 680-755296/22](#) ND

Field Blank

none submitted

Internal Standard Areas and RTs (Form VIII)

- ✓ areas within -50% to +100% of ICAL midpoint standard
- ✓ RTs within 30 seconds of midpoint standard
- ✓ if IS recovery is >200% then detects qualify J (do not qualify NDs)
- ✓ if IS recovery is <50% but >20% then qualify J/UJ
- ✓ if IS recovery is <20% or RT out then qualify X

Internal standard used – n-Heptyl Alcohol all ok

Identification Summary / Second Column Confirmation (Form X)

- ✓ present for all positive results
- ✓ RTs within range for both columns (not applicable for single column 8015)
- ✓ RPD ≤ 40% [Single col analyses NA](#)
- ✓ if RPD >40% then qualify J [Single col analyses NA](#)

All ND

Sample Data (Form I)

- ✓ Chromatogram acceptable
- ✓ manual integrations acceptable

All ok

Field Duplicates

- ✓ no criteria per QSM; use project specific criteria when available in QAPP
- ✓ per Module 4: if RPD > QAPP limit qualify J, no Q for non-detects
- ✓ See field duplicate worksheet

use 30% for aqueous and solids

None

Automated Data Review Detail Report for 5801209251
 RH Fire Suppression System
 RHS PFAS UFP-QAPP

Sample Summary									SW8015C
Location	Field Sample ID	Date	Time	Sample Type	Matrix	SBD	SED		
RHMW2254-01	AF-RHMW225401-WGN01B-2212W1	12-02-2022	1725	N	WG	0.00	0.00		X
RHMW03	AF-RHMW03-WGN01LF-2212W1	12-05-2022	2005	N	WG	0.00	0.00		X
RHMW02	AF-RHMW02-WGN01LF-2212W1	12-05-2022	1645	MS	WG	0.00	0.00		X
RHMW02	AF-RHMW02-WGN01LF-2212W1	12-05-2022	1645	N	WG	0.00	0.00		X
RHMW02	AF-RHMW02-WGN01LF-2212W1	12-05-2022	1645	SD	WG	0.00	0.00		X
Total									5

Automated Data Review Detail Report for 5801209251
 RH Fire Suppression System
 RHS PFAS UFP-QAPP

Batch Report

Test Method: SW8015C		Analysis Batch: 755296								
Location	Matrix	Field Sample ID	Lab Sample ID	Calibration Ref	Run#/ Dil'n	Collection Date/Time	Extraction Date/Time	Analysis Date/Time	Prep/Leach Batch	Sample Type
LABQC	WQ	LABQC	ICV68075529613		1/1	12/15/2022 15:56	12/15/2022 15:56	12/15/2022 15:56	755296/	CV
LABQC	WQ	LABQC	LCS68075529614		1/1	12/15/2022 16:19	12/15/2022 16:19	12/15/2022 16:19	755296/	BS
LABQC	WQ	LABQC	LCSD68075529615		1/1	12/15/2022 16:42	12/15/2022 16:42	12/15/2022 16:42	755296/	BD
LABQC	WQ	LABQC	MB68075529622		1/1	12/15/2022 19:31	12/15/2022 19:31	12/15/2022 19:31	755296/	LB
RHMW2254-01	WG	AF-RHMW225401-WGN01B-2212W1	580-120925-1		1/1	12/2/2022 17:25	12/15/2022 20:16	12/15/2022 20:16	755296/	N
RHMW03	WG	AF-RHMW03-WGN01LF-2212W1	580-120925-2		1/1	12/5/2022 20:05	12/15/2022 20:39	12/15/2022 20:39	755296/	N
RHMW02	WG	AF-RHMW02-WGN01LF-2212W1	580-120925-3		1/1	12/5/2022 16:45	12/15/2022 21:01	12/15/2022 21:01	755296/	N
RHMW02	WG	AF-RHMW02-WGN01LF-2212W1	580-120925-3		1/1	12/5/2022 16:45	12/15/2022 21:24	12/15/2022 21:24	755296/	MS
RHMW02	WG	AF-RHMW02-WGN01LF-2212W1	580-120925-3		1/1	12/5/2022 16:45	12/15/2022 21:46	12/15/2022 21:46	755296/	SD
LABQC	WQ	LABQC	CCV68075529639		1/1	12/16/2022 01:55	12/16/2022 01:55	12/16/2022 01:55	755296/	CV

Automated Data Review Detail Report for 5801209251
RH Fire Suppression System
RHS PFAS UFP-QAPP

Field Batch Report

--No Records Found--

MS Mismatch Report

--No Records Found--

Section to identify Matrix Spike mismatches where parent sample differs from MS by dilution.

Automated Data Review Detail Report for 5801209251
 RH Fire Suppression System
 RHS PFAS UFP-QAPP

QC Outlier Report

Test Method: SW8015C		Extraction Method: METHOD		Leach Method: NONE							
QC Element	Sample ID/ Lab Sample ID	Run#/ Dil'n	Analyte	Result (Units)	Qualifier	Warning Limits	Control Limits	Reason	Comment	Rule	Action Level
Prep Hold Time	AF-RHMMW02- WGN01LF-2212W1 (N) / 580-120925-3	1 / 1.00	All in Run	10.18 (days)	J/UJ	< 7	< 14	H2	Prep Exceeds UWL		
Prep Hold Time	AF-RHMMW03- WGN01LF-2212W1 (N) / 580-120925-2	1 / 1.00	All in Run	10.02 (days)	J/UJ	< 7	< 14	H2	Prep Exceeds UWL		
Prep Hold Time	AF-RHMMW225401- WGN01B-2212W1 (N) / 580-120925-1	1 / 1.00	All in Run	13.12 (days)	J/UJ	< 7	< 14	H2	Prep Exceeds UWL		

Rule is the multiplier used when blank contamination occurs to determine action level.

Automated Data Review Detail Report for 5801209251
RH Fire Suppression System
RHS PFAS UFP-QAPP

Qualified Results

--No Records Found--

Detected Results

--No Records Found--

Rejected Results

--No Records Found--

Anomalies Count

--No Records Found--

Automated Data Review Detail Report for 5801209251
RH Fire Suppression System
RHS PFAS UFP-QAPP

Reporting Anomalies

--No Records Found--

Automated Data Review Detail Report for 5801209251
RH Fire Suppression System
RHS PFAS UFP-QAPP

Review Questions
